





A GENERAL VIEW OF THE HISTORY  
OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE



# A GENERAL VIEW OF THE HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE

BY

BROOKE FOSS WESTCOTT, D.D.

THIRD EDITION REVISED BY  
WILLIAM ALDIS WRIGHT,  
VICE-MASTER OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE

London:

MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED

NEW YORK: THE MACMILLAN COMPANY

1905

*{All Rights reserved}*



# A GENERAL VIEW OF THE HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE

BY

BROOKE FOSS WESTCOTT, D.D.

THIRD EDITION REVISED BY  
WILLIAM ALDIS WRIGHT,  
VICE-MASTER OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE

London:  
MACMILLAN AND CO., LIMITED  
NEW YORK: THE MACMILLAN COMPANY  
1905

[*All Rights reserved*]

Εὐλόγως ὁ Διδάσκαλος ἡμῶν ἔλεγεν

Γίνεσθε τραπεζῖται δόκιμοι.

*First Edition, Crown 8vo. 1868,*

*Second Edition, Crown 8vo. 1872,*

*Third Edition revised by William Aldis Wright, 8vo. 1905*



## PREFACE.

IN the following Essay I have endeavoured to call attention to some points in the history of the English Bible which have been strangely neglected. The history of our Bible is indeed a type of the history of our Church, and both histories have suffered the same fate. The writers who have laboured most successfully upon them have in the main confined themselves to outward facts without tracing the facts back to their ultimate sources, or noticing the variety of elements which go to form the final result. As far as I know no systematic inquiry into the internal history of our Authorised Version has yet been made, and still no problem can offer greater scope for fruitful research. To solve such a problem completely would be a work of enormous labour, and I have been forced to content myself with indicating some salient points in the solution, in the hope that others may correct and supplement the conclusions which I have obtained. It is at least something to know generally to what extent Tindale and Coverdale made use of earlier versions, and to be able to refer to their sources most of the characteristic readings of Matthew's New Testament and of the Great Bibles<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Perhaps I may be allowed to mention one or two collations which would certainly furnish some valuable results.

(1) A collation of the Grenville Fragment with the smaller Tindale's Testament of 1525.

(2) A collation of Tindale's Testaments of 1534 and 1535 with the New Testament in Matthew's Bible of 1537.

(3) A collation of Tindale's Pentateuchs of 1530 and 1534 with Matthew's Bible 1537, for which Mr Ofor's MSS. in the British Museum would be available as a verification (see p. 208, n.).

(4) A collation of numerous select passages in the Great Bibles of 1539,

w.

b

Even in the external history of our Bible much remains to be done. It seems scarcely credible that adequate inquiry will not shew from what presses Tindale's New Testament of 1535<sup>1</sup>, Coverdale's Bible of 1535 and Matthew's Bible of 1537 proceeded. And it is impossible not to hope that Mr Brewer's researches may yet bring to light new documents illustrating the vacillating policy of Henry VIII. as to the circulation of the vernacular Scriptures.

It does not fall within my province to criticise other histories. I have used Mr Anderson's *Annals of the English Bible*, and the *Historical Account*<sup>2</sup> prefixed to Bagster's *Hexapla* (to which Mr Anderson does scant justice) with the greatest profit, and I desire to express generally my obligations to both essays. If I differ from them silently on any points I do so purposely, and in some cases I have even felt obliged to point out errors in them which were likely to mislead.

Absolute accuracy in an inquiry of so wide a range seems to be impossible, and everyone who is conscious of his own manifold mistakes would gladly leave the mistakes of others unnoticed; but when writers like Mr Hallam and Mr Froude misrepresent every significant feature in an important episode of literary history, it seems necessary to raise some protest. Their names are able to give authority to fictions, if the fictions are unchallenged<sup>3</sup>.....

April, 1540, and November, 1540, with a view to ascertaining how far the reaction in the last text extends, and whether it can be traced to any principle.

(5) A collation of the New Testaments of the Bishops' Bibles of 1568 and 1572.

<sup>1</sup> See p. 161, n., 1872.

<sup>2</sup> The *Historical Account* appears in two forms. That which I have used was drawn up (I am informed) by Dr S. P. Tregelles. In the later issue of the *Hexapla* this independent and valuable narrative was replaced by another written (it is said) by Mr Anderson, which I have not consulted. 1872. [It was written not by Mr Anderson, but by Dr John Stoughton.—W. A. W.]

<sup>3</sup> One example of this contagiousness of error, which is a fair specimen of a very large class, falls under my notice as these sheets are passing through the press. 'Tindale,' writes Mr Smiles, 'unable to get his New Testament

No apology, I trust, will be needed for the adoption of our ordinary orthography in quotations from the early versions; and the extreme difficulty of revising proofs by the help of distant libraries must be pleaded as an excuse for more serious errors.

What I have done is for the most part tentative and incomplete, and many points in the history of the Bible are left wholly unnoticed. If my leisure would have allowed I should have been glad to examine the changes in the headings of the chapters and the marginal references, both before and after 1611, for their history involves many details of great interest. One question however in connexion with the Authorised Version I have purposely neglected. It seemed useless to discuss its revision. The revision of the original texts must precede the revision of the translation, and the time for this, even in the New Testament, has not yet fully come<sup>1</sup>.

But however painful the sense of incompleteness and inaccuracy in such an essay as this must be, it has this advantage, that it bears witness almost on every page to

'printed in England, where its perusal was forbidden [?], had the first edition  
'printed at Antwerp in 1526...A complete edition of the English Bible,  
'translated partly by Tindale and partly by Coverdale, was printed at  
'Hamburg in 1535; and a second edition, edited by John Rogers, under  
'the name of Thomas Matthew, was printed at Marlbrow in Hesse in  
'1537...Cranmer's Bible, so called because revised by Cranmer, was pub-  
'lished in 1539-40.' *Huguenots*, p. 15, and note. London, 1867. Neither  
the first nor the second edition of Tindale's New Testament was printed at  
Antwerp. The Bible of 1535 was not partly translated by Tindale; and  
no competent bibliographer at present assigns it to the Hamburg press.  
Matthew's Bible was in no sense a second edition of Coverdale's, of which,  
indeed, two editions were published in 1537, and the place where it was  
printed is as yet uncertain. 'Cranmer's Bible' was not revised by Cranmer,  
and the editions of 1539 and 1540 are quite distinct. With that of 1539  
Cranmer had nothing to do till after it was printed. Thus every statement in  
the quotation is incorrect. Lewis's *History* has, I fear, much to answer for;  
but it is unpardonable to use it without verification.

<sup>1</sup> [The experience of the work of New Testament Revision during the  
last two years has shewn me that I was wrong in this opinion. Whatever  
may be the merits of the Revised Version it can be said confidently that in  
no parallel case have the readings of the original text to be translated been  
discussed and determined with equal care, thoroughness and candour. 1872.]

the kindness of friends. It would have been far more imperfect than it is if I had not been allowed every facility for using the magnificent collections of Bibles in the British Museum, the University Library at Cambridge, and the Baptist College at Bristol. For this privilege and for similar acts of courtesy my warmest thanks are due to the Rev. H. O. Coxe, Bodley's Librarian at Oxford, Mr Bradshaw, University Librarian at Cambridge, Mr Bullen, of the British Museum, the Rev. Dr Gotch, Principal of the Baptist College, Bristol, Mr Aldis Wright, Librarian of Trinity College, Cambridge, Mr Francis Fry, Cotham, Bristol, and the late Rev. Dr Milman, Dean of St Paul's.

B. F. W.

HARROW,

*Nov.* 3, 1868.

## NOTICE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

THE kindness of many friends has enabled me to issue this second edition of the *History of the English Bible* with considerable additions in different sections, but the book is substantially unchanged. Later researches have fully established the general results which I indicated as to the composite character of our present Authorised Version; and the labours of the New Revision have brought into clearer relief the merits and defects of the Scholars who successively fulfilled the office of Revisers in earlier times. Even now perhaps full justice has not been done to the exquisite delicacy of Coverdale and the stern fidelity of the Rhemists. But, not to dwell on the individual characteristics of former Revisers, it may fairly be said that they have marked a general method of procedure which those who follow them are not likely to abandon. The changes in our Authorised Version which are still necessary are due for the most part to the claims of riper scholarship and more searching criticism, and not to any altered conception of the style and character most appropriate to a popular Version of the Holy Scriptures. That question most happily has been settled for ever.

One most remarkable discovery which has been made lately as to the early editions of the English Testament requires to be brought into special notice. Mr F. Fry has found the text of 'Tindale 1535' in an edition dated 1534 (see p. 161, n.). It is possible, therefore, that the misspelt copies may belong to a pirated reprint of Tindale's own work.

The admirable biography of Tindale by the Rev. R. Demaus appeared after my early sheets were printed off; but I owe to the kindness of the author several

criticisms and corrections of which I have gladly availed myself. In expressing the hope that he will be encouraged to continue his exhaustive labours upon the great leaders of our Reformation, I say only what all must feel who have had occasion to profit by his researches.

To Mr F. Fry and Professor Moulton my warmest thanks are due. Both placed at my disposal extensive collections, which I have used only partially, yet, as I hope, in such a manner as to shew how highly I value the generosity which allowed me to gather the fruits of long and unattractive work<sup>1</sup>.

B. F. W.

TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE,

*Nov. 7, 1872.*

<sup>1</sup> As this last sheet is passing through the press I have noticed a very remarkable detail in the History of the English Bible, which seems to call for further investigation. In the Library of the House of Lords there is a draft of 'An Act for reducing of diversities of Bibles now extant in the 'English tongue to one settled Vulgar translated from the original.' The draft is not dated, but is referred to the reign of Elizabeth, and is certainly after 1560 from internal evidence. 'Great errors,' it is recited, 'arise and 'papisty and atheism increase from the variety of translations of Bibles, 'while many desire an authorised translation, which the Lords spiritual 'could complete had they power to compel assistance from students of the 'Universities. The Lords spiritual or any six of them (of whom the Arch-bishop of Canterbury for the time being is to be one) may assemble, treat, 'and deal touching the accomplishment of the work, and may call for the 'assistance of students of either University, and pay them out of moneys 'to be levied on such cathedral churches or colleges as shall be thought 'requisite, and any temporal person may give gift or legacy for furtherance 'of the work.' I owe this abstract of the draft to the kindness of Mr R. W. Monro. [Mr Edmund Gosse, Librarian to the House of Lords, informs me that the MS. is now preserved in the Victoria Tower. It appears to have been a form for the first reading which was never proceeded with.—W. A. W.]

## PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION.

AT the end of the year 1900, when Bishop Westcott was in Cambridge, I asked him why he did not bring out a new edition of his *History of the English Bible*, which had been long out of print. He explained that his own engagements left him no time for such a work, and other arrangements he had hoped to make had not been carried out, but he said, 'If you will do it, I will give you my materials.' After some consideration I consented, and on January 24, 1901, the Bishop wrote, 'It will be a very great pleasure to me if you undertake a new edition of the *History of the English Bible*. A conversation with you after a lecture which I gave at Cambridge on the question led me to write it. What then can be more appropriate than that you should complete it?'

This to the best of my ability I have endeavoured to do. The plan of the work is unchanged. Every statement and every quotation have been verified. Such corrections as were necessary have been silently made, and all additions are placed in the notes in square brackets, sometimes for the sake of clearness with my own initials attached. The corrections, however, have been not merely of errors of the press or slips of the pen, but involve a rectification of the manner of reference to authorities. In estimating, for instance, the influence of Luther upon Tindale it is useless to quote the modern editions. Luther's New Testament as contained in his Bible of 1534 could have had no influence upon Tindale's version of 1525, and Luther's final edition of 1541 could not have affected Tindale's of 1534 and 1535. In like manner,

Tindale in 1525 could only have known of the New Testament of Erasmus as it appeared in the first three editions of 1516, 1519, and 1522, and it was not till 1534 that he could have used the edition of 1527. Similar remarks apply to Coverdale. There is no doubt that the translation of the New Testament in the Genevan Bible of 1560 was affected by Beza's Latin Version, but this could only have been as it appeared in 1556 and 1559. The edition of Beza published in 1565 may have been used for the Bishops' Bible of 1568, and the Latin renderings in the subsequent issues of 1575, 1576, 1582, 1585, 1589, and 1598 could have been consulted by the translators of the Authorised Version, but as the renderings vary it is necessary to specify the edition in which they first appear. In the previous editions of this History it seems that the important fact of these variations was not sufficiently recognized, and it has been my endeavour to reduce the method of reference to these authorities to a system more consistent with chronology. In the previous editions also the spelling of the English quotations is modernized, but though such a change may be tolerable in a merely popular book I could not regard it as appropriate to the work of a scholar of Bishop Westcott's reputation, and I have therefore in most instances restored the ancient forms, only regretting that I have not done so more completely. This change will cause no difficulty to intelligent readers, while it will add an interest to the quotations themselves.

The additions, such as the notes on the Tindale New Testament of 1536 (p. 49), on the printing of the Coverdale Bible (p. 57), on the influence of the French translations on Matthew (p. 170), on the editions of 1549 (p. 73), on Tindale's connexion with the translation of the historical books in Matthew's Bible (p. 172), on the marginal notes in Matthew (Appendix XI.), and others, explain themselves. Appendix IX., on the revision of the Authorised Version, has been expanded, and Appendices XII. and XIII. have been added.



Since the last edition of this work in 1872 there have appeared other books on the same subject which may be consulted with advantage. Among these are *The English Bible* by Dr John Eadie (1876), *The History of the English Bible* by Dr W. F. Moulton (1878), *Our English Bible* by Dr Stoughton, *The Bibles of England* by Dr A. Edgar (1889), *English Versions of the Bible* by Dr Mombert (1890), and *The Evolution of the English Bible* by Mr H. W. Hoare (1901). More recently Dr Lupton has contributed an extremely valuable article on the English Versions to the supplementary volume of Hastings' *Dictionary of the Bible*, though he has unfortunately been led into error by mistaking the 1569 edition of the Great Bible for the Bishops' Bible in 4to of the same date.

I may take the opportunity of adding to what I have said (p. 19, note 2) of a Bible which in 1480 was in the possession of Edward the Fourth. Among the King's Privy Purse Expenses for that year is an item, 'For binding, gilding and dressing of a booke called the Bible 'xvjs,' and I have said that there is nothing to shew that this was an English Bible. Nor is there in this entry; but later in the same MS. (Harl. 4780) there is an inventory of the books belonging to the King at Richmond, and we find among them 'The Bible, in englissh,' so that no doubt there was a copy of the Wycliffite Version in the Royal Library.

With regard to the different title-pages of Coverdale's Bible of 1535 I have not been able to satisfy myself, owing to the uncertainty introduced by the so-called facsimiles. There are undoubtedly three title-pages which are genuine: one (in German type) in the Library of the Earl of Leicester at Holkham, with a list of the books on the reverse: one (English type) in the possession of the Marquess of Northampton: and one (English type) in the University Library, Cambridge, which has on the reverse the prayer here printed at p. 66. In one of the two copies in the British Museum there is a made-up title, partly genuine, but completed in facsimile by Harris from the title-page

of the Bible printed for Berthelet by Petit and Redman in 1540. The other Museum copy, which is in the Grenville Library, has a facsimile title, resembling but differing from that in the Holkham copy, the original of which I have been unable to trace. Mr Fry had a facsimile made from the Holkham title, but without the list of books on the reverse. It is difficult therefore to place implicit confidence in facsimiles.

In conclusion I have to express my sincere thanks to all who have assisted me in this work: to His Grace the Archbishop of Canterbury for his kindness in allowing me to have a transcript made of the interesting manuscript in Lambeth Palace Library which is printed in Appendix XII.; to Dr Ginsburg for giving me free access to his fine collection of Bibles, which is especially rich in copies of Luther's and the Zürich Versions; to the officers of the British Museum for many courtesies and much assistance; to Mr H. F. Moule, to whom is largely due the excellent Catalogue of Bibles in the Library of the British and Foreign Bible Society, and to whom I am indebted for valuable suggestions; to the Librarian of the John Rylands Library, Manchester, for the readiness with which he has supplied me with information from the rich collection under his charge; to Mr Charles Sayle, of the University Library, Cambridge, for constant help; to Mr Cowley, of the Bodleian; to the Rev. Prebendary Maddison, Librarian of the Chapter Library, Lincoln, and to others who have materially lightened my labours.

WILLIAM ALDIS WRIGHT.

TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE,  
16th August, 1905.

#### ERRATUM.

p. 137, note<sup>1</sup>, l. 18, *For seyne read keyne.*

# CONTENTS.

## INTRODUCTION.

|   | PAGES |
|---|-------|
| pp. 3—8.  |       |
| Vernacular versions of Scripture among the first works of Christian antiquity . . . . . | 3     |
| Early Saxon Versions : Bede, Alfred, and others . . . . .                               | 5, 6  |
| A pause in the work . . . . .   | 6     |

## CHAPTER I.

### THE MANUSCRIPT ENGLISH BIBLE,

pp. 11—21.

|   |        |
|---|--------|
| Characteristics of the fourteenth century . . . . .     | 11, 12 |
| The Wycliffite Versions . . . . .                       | 12     |
| Purvey's revision . . . . .                             | 13, 14 |
| Perils of the work . . . . .                            | 15     |
| Spirit of the translators . . . . .                     | 16     |
| The progress of the work checked . . . . .              | 17, 18 |
| Manuscripts of the version still remaining . . . . .    | 18, 19 |
| The version secretly used in the xvth century . . . . . | 20     |

## CHAPTER II.

### THE PRINTED BIBLE: EXTERNAL HISTORY,

pp. 24—121.

|  |        |
|--|--------|
| Position of the Bible at the beginning of the xvth century . . . . . | 24, 25 |
|--|--------|

§ 1. TINDALE, pp. 25—54.

|  |        |
|--|--------|
| Tindale's early life : residence at London . . . . .                       | 25—29  |
| Visits Hamburg 1524 : Cologne 1525 . . . . .                               | 29, 30 |
| The first <i>New Testament</i> finished at Worms in two editions . . . . . | 31—33  |
| Tidings of the work spread . . . . .                                       | 33, 34 |
| Copies of the translation received in England . . . . .                    | 35—37  |
| The English New Testament at Cambridge . . . . .                           | 38—40  |
| "                    "                    at Oxford . . . . .              | 40—42  |
| Bp. Nix's Complaint . . . . .  | 42     |
| Archbp. Warham's assembly . . . . .  | 43     |

|  | PAGES  |
|--|--------|
| Tindale translates the <i>Pentateuch</i> , 1530, 1 . . . . .   | 44     |
| and the book of <i>Jonah</i> , 1534 . . . . .  | 44     |
| Joye's New Testament . . . . .   | 45, 46 |
| Tindale's revised New Testament, 1534 . . . . .  | 47     |
| Q. Anne Boleyn's copy . . . . .  | 48     |
| A New Testament printed in England, 1536 . . . . .   | 48     |
| Tindale's martyrdom, 1536 . . . . .  | 49     |
| His last revision of the New Testament, 1534, 1535 . . . . .   | 50     |
| Characteristics of Tindale . . . . .   | 52—54  |
| § 2. COVERDALE, pp. 55—67.   |        |
| Coverdale's connexion with More and Crumwell . . . . .   | 55     |
| His Bible printed, 1535. Different title-pages . . . . .   | 56—58  |
| His account of his work . . . . .  | 59—61  |
| His Latin-English Testaments . . . . .   | 62, 63 |
| Coverdale's first edition not sanctioned by the king . . . . .   | 63     |
| A council held by Crumwell (1537) in which the use of Scripture<br>is discussed . . . . .                | 64, 65 |
| Coverdale's second edition printed in England, and published (1537)<br>with the king's license . . . . . | 65, 66 |
| The prefatory Prayer to this edition . . . . .   | 66, 67 |
| § 3. MATTHEW (ROGERS), pp. 67—72.  |        |
| Composite character of this Bible . . . . .  | 67     |
| Dedicated to Henry VIII. . . . .   | 69     |
| Cranmer's joy at receiving it . . . . .  | 69, 70 |
| Licensed by the king . . . . .   | 71     |
| § 4. THE GREAT BIBLE, pp. 73—83.   |        |
| The revision undertaken by Coverdale . . . . .   | 73     |
| His account of his design . . . . .  | 74—76  |
| The commentary finally abandoned . . . . .   | 78     |
| Public use of the Bible . . . . .  | 79—81  |
| Feeling divided . . . . .  | 81—83  |
| § 5. TAVERNER, pp. 83, 84.   |        |
| His account of his work . . . . .  | 84     |
| Superseded by the Great Bible . . . . .  | 84     |
| § 6. A TIME OF SUSPENSE, pp. 85—89.  |        |
| The revision of the Bible suspended . . . . .  | 85     |
| Edward VI., his devotion to the Bible . . . . .  | 86, 87 |
| Sir J. Cheke's translation of St Matthew . . . . .   | 88     |
| The reign of Mary . . . . .  | 89     |
| § 7. THE GENEVAN BIBLE, pp. 90—94.   |        |
| The Genevan Testament (1557), and Psalms (1559) . . . . .  | 90, 91 |
| The Bible . . . . .  | 91     |
| Becomes the popular English Bible . . . . .  | 93     |
| Archbp. Parker's judgment on it . . . . .  | 94     |

|  | PAGES   |
|--|---------|
| § 8. THE BISHOPS' BIBLE, pp. 95—102.                     |         |
| Q. Elizabeth's relation to the English Bible . . . . .   | 95, 96  |
| Archbp. Parker plans a new revision . . . . .            | 96      |
| Characteristics of the work . . . . .                    | 98      |
| Scholars engaged upon it . . . . .                       | 99      |
| Sanctioned for public use by Convocation . . . . .       | 100     |
| Displaces the Great Bible . . . . .                      | 102     |
| § 9. THE RHEIMS AND DOWAY VERSION, pp. 102—106.          |         |
| Vernacular Versions of Roman Catholics . . . . .         | 102     |
| The English Version of the New Testament . . . . .       | 103     |
| The translators and annotators . . . . .                 | 104     |
| The Old Testament . . . . .                              | 105     |
| § 10. THE AUTHORISED VERSION, pp. 107—121.               |         |
| The English Bible at the accession of James I. . . . .   | 107     |
| Proposals for a revision . . . . .                       | 108     |
| The proposals carried out . . . . .                      | 110     |
| Choice of revisers . . . . .                             | 111     |
| Their qualifications . . . . .                           | 114     |
| Instructions for the revision . . . . .                  | 114—116 |
| The revisers' own account of the work . . . . .          | 116—118 |
| The revised Bible printed . . . . .                      | 119     |
| A new revision proposed under the Commonwealth . . . . . | 120     |

## CHAPTER III.

THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE,  
pp. 125—178.

|  |         |
|--|---------|
| Materials available for a translation of the Bible at the beginning<br>of the xvth century . . . . . | 126     |
| Greek, 127; Hebrew, 127; texts and translations . . . . .  | 128—131 |

## § 1. TINDALE, pp. 131—161.

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Tindale acquainted with Greek and Hebrew . . . . .   | 131      |
| His independence in the New Testament; how far he used<br>the Vulgate, 132; Luther, <i>id.</i> ; Erasmus . . . . . | 135      |
| The quarto fragment . . . . .  | 137      |
| His own statement . . . . .  | 139, 140 |
| Comparison of the texts of 1525 and 1534 . . . . .   | 140      |
| Glosses of the edition of 1534 . . . . .   | 141      |
| Revision of 1535 [1534, G. H.] . . . . .   | 144      |
| Influence of Luther on Tindale's writings . . . . .  | 146      |
| On the short Prologues . . . . .   | 149      |
| Differences . . . . .  | 150      |

|  | PAGES    |
|--|----------|
| Tindale's independence in the Old Testament . . . . .  | 153      |
| Revision of lessons from Old Testament . . . . .   | 156      |
| His permanent influence . . . . .  | 157      |
| Note. <i>Comparison of readings in Tindale's second and third revisions</i> . . . . .              | 158—161  |
| § 2. COVERDALE, pp. 161—169.   |          |
| Coverdale's Bible, a secondary translation . . . . .   | 161      |
| His Old Testament based on the Zürich Version . . . . .  | 163      |
| His New Testament a revision of Tindale's . . . . .  | 164      |
| The value of his work . . . . .  | 164      |
| Note. <i>Examples of Coverdale's renderings in St Matthew</i> . . . . .                            | 167—169  |
| § 3. MATTHEW, pp. 169—179.   |          |
| His Bible, a composite work, 169, edited by Rogers . . . . .                                       | 171      |
| Jonah taken from Coverdale . . . . .   | 171      |
| Tindale's fragmentary translations neglected . . . . .   | 175      |
| The New Testament taken from Tindale, 1535 [1534, G. H.] . . . . .                                 | 178      |
| § 4. THE GREAT BIBLE, pp. 179—207.   |          |
| The revision of the Old Testament based upon Münster . . . . .                                     | 179      |
| Examination of Judges v. 28—30 . . . . .   | 181      |
| „ Psalm li. . . . .  | 183      |
| „ Psalms xix, xlii. . . . .  | 184      |
| „ Isaiah liii. . . . .   | 186      |
| Different revisions, 1539, 1540 April, 1540 November . . . . .                                     | 192, 193 |
| The revision of the New Testament based on Erasmus . . . . .                                       | 195      |
| The Vulgate and Complutensian texts used . . . . .   | 197      |
| The Psalter retained in the Prayer-Book . . . . .  | 198      |
| Note A. <i>Comparisons of readings in the representative editions of the Great Bible</i> . . . . . | 203      |
| Note B. <i>Various readings in the Psalters of the Great Bible</i> . . . . .                       | 206      |
| § 5. TAVERNER, pp. 207—211.  |          |
| Merits of the revision of the New Testament . . . . .  | 208      |
| Note. <i>Characteristic renderings of Taverner</i> . . . . .                                       | 209      |
| § 6. THE GENEVAN BIBLE, pp. 212—230.   |          |
| New Latin and other versions available . . . . .   | 212      |
| General character of the Version . . . . .   | 214      |
| Examination of 1 Kings iii. 5—10 . . . . .   | 214      |
| „ Job xix. 23—28 . . . . .   | 215      |
| „ Isai. ix. 2—7 . . . . .  | 217      |
| „ Wisd. vii. 15—21, 27—30 . . . . .  | 219      |
| „ Wisd. viii. 19—21 . . . . .  | 221      |
| The revision of the New Testament based on Beza . . . . .  | 222      |

|   | PAGES    |
|---|----------|
| Examination of Eph. ii. 12—18 . . . . .   | 224      |
| „ Rev. ii. 8—11 . . . . .   | 225      |
| Special readings . . . . .  | 227      |
| Notes from the Genevan Bible . . . . .  | 229      |
| § 7. THE BISHOPS' BIBLE, pp. 230—244.   |          |
| General character of the revision . . . . .   | 231      |
| Examination of Isai. liii. . . . .  | 232      |
| „ Ps. xix. . . . .  | 235      |
| Lawrence's Notes . . . . .  | 237      |
| Examination of Eph. iv. 7—16 . . . . .  | 238      |
| The revision again revised in 1572 . . . . .  | 241      |
| Notes from the Bishops' Bible . . . . .   | 243      |
| § 8. THE RHEIMS AND DOWAY BIBLE, pp. 245—255.   |          |
| The plan of the work . . . . .  | 245      |
| The peculiar value of the version . . . . .   | 249      |
| Specimens of the version: Dan. ix. 18—26 . . . . .                                      | 249      |
| „ „ Isai. ix. 6, 7 . . . . .  | 251      |
| „ „ Ps. xix. 8—13 . . . . .   | 251      |
| „ „ Ps. lvii. 9—12 . . . . .  | 252      |
| Latin phrases adopted from it . . . . .   | 253      |
| Fidelity of the translators . . . . .   | 253      |
| § 9. THE AUTHORISED VERSION, pp. 255—278.   |          |
| New materials available . . . . .   | 255      |
| Use of the Genevan and Rhemish Versions . . . . .                                       | 257, 273 |
| Examination of Isai. liii. . . . .  | 258      |
| „ Wisd. vii. 15—21, 27—30 . . . . .   | 262      |
| „ the marginal renderings in Malachi . . . . .  | 264      |
| Revision of the New Testament . . . . .   | 266      |
| Examination of Hebr. xiii. 5—16 . . . . .   | 267      |
| Use of Beza . . . . .   | 269      |
| Examination of the marginal renderings in St Mark . . . . .                             | 270      |
| „ changes in 1 John . . . . .   | 272      |
| General characteristics . . . . .   | 274      |
| Note. <i>Comparison of renderings in the Bishops' and Authorised Versions</i> . . . . . | 276      |

## CONCLUSION.

pp. 279—284.

|  |       |
|--|-------|
| The different versions recognized in the Prayer-Book . . . . . | 279   |
| The English Bible compared with the Vulgate . . . . .          | 281 ✓ |
| Words of the Translators . . . . .                             | 282   |

## APPENDICES.

|       | pp. 285—354.  | PAGES |
|-------|---|-------|
| I.    | Specimens of the earlier and later Wycliffite Versions .  | 287   |
| II.   | Chronological List of Bibles . . . . .  | 290   |
| III.  | Collation of 1 John in the three texts of Tindale . .   | 295   |
| IV.   | An examination of the sources of Coverdale's Notes .  | 298   |
| V.    | Specimen of notes from Tindale and Matthew . . .  | 306   |
| VI.   | Specimens of the Latin-English Testaments of Coverdale .  | 308   |
| VII.  | Passages from the Pentateuch and Historical Books in<br>Tindale, &c. . . . .                              | 311   |
| VIII. | The relation of the Wycliffite to later Versions . .  | 316   |
| IX.   | The Revision of the Authorised Version . . . . .  | 320   |
| X.    | Phrases in the Psalms marked in the Psalter of the Great<br>Bible as additions from the Vulgate . . . . . | 333   |
| XI.   | Sources of the notes in Matthew's Bible . . . . .   | 336   |
| XII.  | Notes on the Translators of the Authorised Version .  | 342   |
| XIII. | Rules for the translation of the Authorised Version reported<br>to the Synod of Dort . . . . .            | 351   |
|       | INDEX . . . . .   | 353   |



## INTRODUCTION.

THEN the boy sprang up from his knees, and ran,  
Stung by the splendour of a sudden thought,  
And fetched the seventh plate of graven lead  
Out of the secret chamber, found a place,  
Pressing with finger on the deeper dints,  
And spoke, as 'twere his mouth proclaiming first,  
'I am the Resurrection and the Life.'

Whereat he opened his eyes wide at once,  
And sat up of himself, and looked at us;  
And thenceforth nobody pronounced a word:  
Only, outside, the Bactrian cried his cry  
Like the lone desert-bird that wears the ruff,  
As signal he were safe, from time to time.

BROWNING, *Dramatis Personæ, A Death in the Desert.*



## INTRODUCTION.

THERE is a famous saying, which dates from the times of persecution, that 'the blood of Martyrs is the seed of the 'Church.' It may be added in the like spirit that the voice of Holy Scripture is the spring and measure of individual faith. Both statements require to be modified in their application; but it remains generally true that the society which is founded by human devotion and labour, is quickened in its several members by the influence of the Word. So it is that the history of the vernacular Scriptures is in a great measure the history of personal faith. A people which is without a Bible in its mother tongue, or is restrained from using it, or wilfully neglects it, is also imperfect, or degenerate, or lifeless in its apprehension of Christian Truth, and proportionately bereft of the strength which flows from a living Creed.

*The History of vernacular versions of Holy Scripture the history of personal faith.*

In the first ages of the Church the translation of the Scriptures followed immediately on the introduction of Christianity to a nation of a new language. When the Gospel spread eastwards, a Syriac translation of the New Testament was one of the first monuments of its power. When it spread westwards, a Latin version preceded, as far as we know, all other literary efforts of the African Church. Ulfilas, the second bishop of the Goths, gave them the Scriptures in their own language. Miesrob, the framer of the Armenian alphabet, was the translator of the Armenian Bible; and the Slavonic version was due in part at least

*Versions the first work in the early spread of Christianity to new nations.*

to the two brothers, Cyrillus and Methodius, who first reduced the Slavonic dialect to writing. The history of the Æthiopic and Egyptian Scriptures is probably similar, though it is more obscure; and it is most significant, that of these ancient versions, the greater part survive substantially the same in the public services of the nations which occupy the places of those for whom they were originally composed.

*The action of this law necessarily suspended for a time among the Northern nations.*

The original versions of Holy Scripture remain, but all else is changed. If we fix our eyes on the west only, we see the new-won empire of the Church desolated almost as soon as it was gained, by successive hordes of barbarian invaders, out of whom she was destined in the Providence of God to shape the forefathers of modern Europe. In less than ten years after Jerome completed his version of the Old Testament from the Hebrew (A.D. 400—404), Alaric took Rome (A.D. 410). Thenceforward a fresh work was to be achieved by Christianity, and by a new method. For a time the normal processes of Christianity were in abeyance: organization prevailed over faith. These new races were to be disciplined by act before they could be taught by the simple word. Thus the task of the translation of Scripture among the northern nations was suspended. The Latin Vulgate sufficed for the teachers, and they ministered to their congregations such lessons from it as they could receive.

*The first Northern versions in England.*

But as soon as society was again settled, the old instinct asserted itself, and first, which is a just cause of pride, in our own island. As early as the eighth century, the Psalms were rendered into Anglo-Saxon; and about the same time, Bede, during his last illness, translated the Gospel of St John.

*Bede translates St John's Gospel.*

The narrative of the completion of this work is given by an eye-witness, Cuthbert, a scholar of Bede, in a letter to a fellow-scholar, and is in itself so beautiful a picture of the early monastic life, that it may be quoted in abstract. Bede had been ill for some weeks. About Easter (A.D. 735), he felt that his end was approaching, and looked forward

to it with ceaseless gratitude, 'rejoicing that he was counted 'worthy' thus to suffer.' He quoted much from Holy Scripture; and one fragment of Saxon poetry, which he recited and may have composed, was taken down by Cuthbert<sup>1</sup>. But he was chiefly busy with two English translations of Excerpts from Isidore, and of the Gospel of St John. Ascension-day drew near. His illness increased, but he only laboured the more diligently. On the Wednesday, his scribe told him that one chapter alone remained, but feared that it might be painful to him to dictate. 'It is 'easy,' Bede replied, 'take your pen and write quickly.' The work was continued for some time. Then Bede directed Cuthbert to fetch his little treasures from his casket (*capsella*), 'pepper, scarves (*oraria*) and incense,' that he might distribute them among his friends. And so he passed the remainder of the day till evening in holy and cheerful conversation. His boy-scribe at last found an opportunity to remind him, with pious importunity, of his unfinished task: 'One sentence, dear master, still remains 'unwritten.' 'Write quickly,' he answered. The boy soon said, 'It is completed now.' 'Well,' Bede replied, 'thou hast said the truth: all is ended. Take my head 'in thy hands, I would sit in the holy place in which 'I was wont to pray, that so sitting I may call upon 'my Father.' Thereupon, resting upon the floor of his cell, he chanted the *Gloria*, and his soul immediately passed away, while the name of the Holy Spirit was on his lips<sup>2</sup>.

In the next century Alfred prefixed to his laws a translation of the Ten Commandments, and a few other fragments from the book of Exodus; and is said to have been engaged on a version of the Psalms at the time of his death (A.D. 901). In the tenth century, or a little later, the

*Other old  
English  
translations.*

<sup>1</sup> The original is given in Gale, *Hist. Angl. Script.* i. 152, and by Wright, *Biographia Literaria*, i. p. 21, from whom I borrow a literal translation: 'Before the necessary 'journey no one becomes more prudent 'of thought than is needful to him, to

'search out before his going hence what 'to his spirit of good or of evil after 'his death hence will be judged.'

<sup>2</sup> Cuthbert's letter is given in Bede's *Eccles. Hist.* Præf. c. ii. Tom. vi. p. 15, ed. Migne.

four Gospels were translated apparently for public use; and two interlinear translations, probably of an earlier date, into other English dialects, are preserved in Latin Manuscripts, which shew at least individual zeal<sup>1</sup>. Of the Old Testament, the Pentateuch, Joshua, Judges, Esther, and parts of other books were translated about the tenth century. All these translations, with the possible exception of Bede's<sup>2</sup>, were only secondary translations from the Latin, but none the less they reveal the thoughts with which men's hearts were stirred. And there was no hindrance to their execution. On the contrary, the number of the labourers who took part in the work shews that it was of wide popularity.

*A pause  
followed.*

But the effort was as yet premature. England had still to receive a new element of her future strength; and for her the time of discipline was not over. The Norman invasion, which brought with it the fruits of Romanic thought and culture, checked for a while the spontaneous development of religious life. Nevertheless fragmentary translations of Scripture into Norman-French shew that the Bible was popularly studied, and in the end the nation was

<sup>1</sup> One of these noble MSS. is in the British Museum (the Lindisfarne (St Cuthbert's) Gospels, *Cotton*, Nero, D. iv.); and the other is in the Bodleian (the Rushworth (Mac Regol's) Gospels, *Bodl.* D. 24 (now *Auct.* D. II. 19)). I am not acquainted with any satisfactory description of the MSS. of the common Anglo-Saxon Version; nor yet with any general account of the relation in which the several copies stand to one another. In this respect Thorpe's edition is most unsatisfactory. Three distinct types of the text of St Matthew with various readings from four other manuscripts have been published by Mr C. Hardwick (Cambridge, 1858), who so far finished the work begun by Mr J. M. Kemble. At present Mr W. W. Skeat is engaged on

completing an edition of the four Gospels, which will supply the critical introduction in which Mr Hardwick's work is wholly deficient. [Professor Skeat edited St Mark in 1871, St Luke in 1874, St John in 1878, and re-edited St Matthew in 1887. The MSS. are described in the Preface to the Gospel of St Mark.]

<sup>2</sup> Bede at least was acquainted with Greek, and in his *Retractationes* (*Act. Ap. Pref.*) he notices the variations of a Greek manuscript of the Acts which he had collated from the ordinary Latin text. From the readings cited there is every reason to believe that his manuscript was the Græco-Latin copy of the Acts in the Bodleian known as the *Codex Laudianus* (E<sub>2</sub>). Compare Mill, *N. T. Prolegg.* 1022 ff.

richer by the delay<sup>1</sup>. Nor may it be forgotten even in this relation that the insularity of the people furthered its characteristic growth; for while it remained outside the Roman Empire yet it shared in the spiritual strength which came at that time from an intimate union with the Roman See. Thus the nation preserved throughout its progress the features of its peculiar constitution, and at the same time was brought within the influence of Catholic discipline and sympathy. It would be out of place to follow out here the action and reaction of these special and general powers upon the English type of mediæval Christianity; but the recognition of their simultaneous working is necessary for the understanding of the history of the English Bible. For three centuries they acted with various and beneficent results. At length in the 14th century the preparatory work of the Papacy was ended and its dissolution commenced. The many nations and the many churches began from that time to define their separate peculiarities and functions. The time of maturity was now ready to follow on the time of tutelage: a free development was sufficiently prepared by a long discipline<sup>2</sup>.

*The Papal discipline of Europe completed in 14th century.*

It is then at this point that the history of the English Bible properly commences, a history which is absolutely unique in its course and in its issue. And this history is twofold. There is the external history of the different

*The history of the English Bible:  
1. external,  
2. internal.*

<sup>1</sup> [The Canterbury Psalter (12th cent.) in the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge, contains interlinear translations in Anglo-Saxon and Norman-French. The former of these is written between the lines of the Roman Psalter, and was edited for the Early English Text Society in 1889 by Mr F. Harsley. The latter accompanies what is known as Jerome's Hebrew Version, and was edited in 1876 by M. Francisque Michel, who had previously (1860) edited another version from a MS. in the Bodleian Library. Sir John Spelman in 1640 published an edition

of the Anglo-Saxon Psalter from a MS. in the possession of his father, with the readings of three other copies.]

<sup>2</sup> No notice has been taken of the metrical paraphrases and summaries of parts of Scripture, as that of Cædmon († c. 680) on parts of Genesis, Exodus, and Daniel; of Orm (c. 1150) on the Gospels and the Acts; and the 'Sowlehele' (c. 1250). These, though they paved the way for translations of the Bible, cannot be reckoned among them. [See *Biblical Quotations in Old English Prose Writers*, by Professor A. S. Cook. Two Series, 1898—1903.]

versions, as to when and by whom and under what circumstances they were made ; and there is the internal history which deals with their relation to other texts, with their filiation one on another, and with the principles by which they have been successively modified. The external history is a stirring record of faithful and victorious courage : the internal history is not less remarkable from the enduring witness which it bears to that noble catholicity which is the glory of the English Church.



## CHAPTER I.

### THE MANUSCRIPT BIBLE.

Another race hath been and other palms are won.

WORDSWORTH, *Ode: Intimations of Immortality.*



## CHAPTER I.

### THE MANUSCRIPT BIBLE.

THE external history of the English Bible may be divided into two periods of not very unequal length, the first extending from the beginning of Wycliffe's labours to the publication of Tindale's New Testament in 1525, the second from that date to the completion of our present received version in 1611. The first of these will be the subject of the present chapter.

1. *External History.*  
*Two periods:* (1)  
1380-1525;  
(2) 1525-1611.

It has been already said that the 14th century was the first stage in the dissolution of the mediæval Church. Its character was marked by the corruption of the higher clergy, and the growth of independence in the masses of the people. Both facts favoured an appeal from custom and tradition to the written and unchanging Word. Moreover the last great progressive effort for the restoration of the Church—the establishment of the mendicant orders—had failed, but not before the people had been roused by the appeals which were addressed to them. Touched by a feeling of anxious suspense men turned with intense longing to the Bible, and in the first instance naturally to the Psalter, which has been in every age the fresh spring of hope in times of trial. Of this no less than three English versions in prose, dating from the first half of the 14th century, have been preserved<sup>1</sup>. But the work of

(1) *First period.*  
Manuscript translations.

<sup>1</sup> Of these the most important is that by Richard Rolle, Hermit of Hampole. [The three MSS. mentioned

by Lewis (*Hist. of Eng. Tr. of the Bible*, pp. 12-15) are apparently varieties of Richard Rolle's Psalter and Com-

translation did not long stop here. The years from 1345 to 1349 were full of calamities—pestilence and famine and war—which seemed to men already deeply stirred by the sight of spiritual evils to portend the end of the world. Other commotions followed not long afterwards which shewed the widespread disorganization of society. In France there was the terrible rising of the Jacquerie (1358); in Italy the momentary triumph and fall of Rienzi (1347—1354); a great schism (1378—1417) divided the forces of the Church; and Adrianople became (1360) the capital of a Turkish Empire in Europe built on the ruins of a Christian power.

*The begin-  
ning of  
Wycliffe's  
translation.*

In the meantime the general belief that some awful crisis was at hand found expression in England in the Tract on the *Last Age of the Church* (1356), which has been commonly though wrongly attributed to Wycliffe; and Wycliffe himself must have been influenced by a like expectation when he chose the Apocalypse as the subject of his first labours on the Bible. His translation of the Apocalypse was soon followed by a translation of the Gospels with a commentary, and at a later time by versions of the remaining books of the New Testament with a fresh rendering of the Apocalypse, so that a complete English New Testament was finished about 1380. To this a version of the Old Testament was soon added, which appears to have been undertaken by a friend of Wycliffe's, Nicholas de Hereford. The original manuscript of Nicholas is still preserved in the Bodleian, and offers a curious memorial of his fortunes. For having incurred the displeasure of his superiors, he was cited to appear in London in 1382, to answer for his opinions. He was excommunicated, and

NEW TES-  
TAMENT.

mentary. This was edited in 1884 by the Rev. H. R. Bramley, and in 1895-6 other treatises by Rolle were published by C. Horstman. In 1891 Dr Karl Bülbring edited for the Early English Text Society *The Earliest Complete English Psalter* in the West Midland Dialect from two 14th cent.

MSS. (Brit. Mus. Add. 17376, and Trinity College, Dublin, MS. A. 4. 4), and in 1904 Miss Paues published *A Fourteenth Century English Biblical Version*, containing portions of the New Testament, from 14th cent. MSS.]

left England shortly afterward, breaking off his translation in the middle of Baruch (iii. 20), where the manuscript ends abruptly. The work was afterwards completed, as it is supposed, by Wycliffe, who thus before he died in 1384 had the joy of seeing his hope fulfilled and the Scriptures circulated in various forms among his countrymen.

OLD TESTAMENT.

Like the earlier Saxon translations, Wycliffe's translation was made from the Latin Vulgate, and from the text commonly current in the 14th century, which was far from pure. It was also so exactly literal that in many places the meaning was obscure. The followers of Wycliffe were not blind to these defects, and within a few years after his death a complete revision of the Bible was undertaken by John Purvey, who had already become notorious for his opinions, and had shared in the disgrace of Nicholas de Hereford<sup>1</sup>.

From the Latin Vulgate.

Revised by Purvey, c. 1388.

Purvey has left, in a general Prologue, an interesting account of the method on which he proceeded in his revision, which is marked by singular sagacity and judgment. He had, as will be seen, clear conceptions of the duties of the critic and of the translator, and the comparison of his work with Wycliffe's shews that he was not unable to carry out the design which he formed. After enumerating several obvious motives for undertaking his task, he continues: 'For these resons and othere, with comune 'charite to saue alle men in oure rewme, whiche God wole 'haue sauid, a symple creature [so he calls himself] hath 'translatid the bible out of Latyn into English. First, this 'symple creature hadde myche trauaile, with diuerse 'felawis and helperis, to gedere manie elde biblis, and 'othere doctouris, and comune glosis, and to make oo 'Latyn bible sumdel [somewhat] trewe<sup>2</sup>; and thanne to

Purvey's account of his work.

<sup>1</sup> Purvey's copy is still preserved at Dublin. The Latin MSS. which Purvey used exhibit many different readings from Wycliffe's, but they are not different in character. Both translations contain the interpolations in the books of Samuel, e.g. 1 Sam.

v. 6, x. 1, &c.

<sup>2</sup> The collation of manuscripts must have been very partial and scanty. Thus in 1 John ii. 14 all the copies of Purvey's translation read '*brithren*,' i.e. *fratres* for *patres*, a blunder of which I can find no trace in Bentley's

'studie it of the newe, the text with the glosse...; the  
 'thridde tyme to counseile with elde gramariens...; the iiij.  
 'tyme to translate as cleerli as he code to the sentence  
 '[sense], and to haue manie gode felawis and kunnyng at  
 'the correcting of the translacioun. First it is to knowe,  
 'that the best translating is...to translate after the sentence,  
 'and not oneli aftir the wordis, so that the sentence be as  
 'opin, either openere, in English as in Latyn, and go not  
 'fer from the lettre...In translating into English, many  
 'resolucions moun [may] make the sentence open, as an  
 'ablatif case absolute may be resoluid into these thre  
 'words, with couenable [suitable] verbe, *the while, for, if...*  
 'and...*whanne*...Also a participle of a present tens...mai  
 'be resoluid into a verbe of the same tens, and a coniunc-  
 'cioun copulatif...Also a relatif, which mai be resoluid  
 'into his antecedent with a coniunccion copulatif...Also  
 'whanne rijtful construccion is lettid [hindered] bi rela-  
 'cion, I resolute it openli: thus where...*Dominum formi-*  
 '*dabunt aduersarij ejus* shulde be Englishid thus bi the  
 'lettre, *the Lord hise aduersaries shulen drede*, I Englishe it  
 'thus bi resolucoun, *the aduersaries of the Lord shulen*  
 '*drede him*...At the bigynnyng I purposide, with Goddis  
 'helpe, to make the sentence as trewe and open in English  
 'as it is in Latyn, either more trewe and more open than it  
 'is in Latyn; and I preie, for charite and for comoun  
 'profyt of cristene soulis, that if ony wiys man fynde ony  
 'defaute of the truthe of translacioun, let him sette in the  
 'trewe sentence and opin of holi writ...for...the comune  
 'Latyn biblis haue more nede to be correctid, as manie as  
 'I haue seen in my lif, than hath the English Bible late  
 'translatid'. As might be expected the revised text dis-

collations of English MSS. of the Vulgate. The clause is omitted by Wycliffe, as by many Latin MSS.

<sup>1</sup> *Prologue*, c. xv. p. 57. Mr Froude's statement (which is retained in his last edition, 1870) that the second version, based upon Wycliffe's, was 'tinted more strongly with the 'peculiar opinions of the Lollards,' is,

as far as I have compared the two, wholly without foundation. The differences are exactly those which the Prologue describes. It need not be said that it was not made 'at the 'beginning of the fifteenth century' (*History of England*, III. p. 77). [The Lollard opinions are in Purvey's *Prologue*, not in his version.]

placed the original version, and in spite of its stern proscription in a convocation in 1408 under the influence of Archbishop Arundel<sup>1</sup>, it was widely circulated through all classes till it was at last superseded by the printed versions of the 16th century<sup>2</sup>.

But this first triumph of the English Bible was not won without a perilous struggle. One or two contemporary notices of the state of feeling over which it was achieved and of that again out of which it sprung are of deep interest. Thus a scholar writes when asked to teach the ignorant the contents of the Gospel: 'Brother, I knowe 'wel that I am holde by Crystis lawe to parforme thyn 'axinge, bote natheles we beth now so fer yfallen away 'fram Cristis lawe, that if Y wolde answeere to thyn axingus 'I moste in cas vnderfonge the deth; and thu wost wel, 'that a man is yholden to kepe his lyf as longe as he 'may<sup>3</sup>.' Many think it amiss, says Wycliffe, 'that men 'schulden knowe Cristus lyfe, for thenne prestus schullen 'schome of hyre lyues, and specyaly these hye prestus, and

*Dangers of  
the work.*

<sup>1</sup> See p. 17.

<sup>2</sup> The translation included all the Apocryphal Books except 2 Esdras. The *Epistle to the Laodiceans* was not included in Wycliffe's or Purvey's translation, but was added afterwards in some MSS. The texts of the original translation and of the revision are generally uniform.

It is scarcely necessary to add that Sir T. More's statement that 'the 'Holy Bible was translated [into English] long before Wycliffe's days' is not supported by the least independent evidence. He may have seen a MS. of Wycliffe's version, and (like Lambert, see p. 24) have miscalculated the date. Bp. Bonner (for instance) had a copy [now at Lambeth], and there was a fine one at the Charterhouse [now in the Bodleian]. See p. 19. Compare Tindale's *Answer to More*, III. p. 168 (Parker Soc. ed.).

[In Dec. 1868 Henry Bradshaw

wrote to Dr Westcott: 'There is one 'thing that I could wish you had 'mentioned in your first chapter, for 'though I have never seen it remarked 'anywhere, it has long struck me as 'being very remarkable; I mean the 'apparent fact that the English Wycliffite version seems so much to 'have superseded the Latin. I have 'examined scores of English copies of 'Latin Bibles, and I have never seen 'a XVth century copy, never a copy 'which could be put later in execution 'than the completion of the English 'version. I dare say there are such 'copies, but I don't think my experience is likely to be exceptional, 'and as such it is a thing worth noting 'in the history of the English Bible, 'as showing how little effect the proscription had.']

<sup>3</sup> Forshall and Madden, *Wycliffe's Bible*, Pref. p. xv. n.

*Supporters.*

'for they reuersen Crist bothe in worde and in dede. Yet there was a vigorous party to which the reformers could trust. 'On comfort,' he adds, 'is of knyghtes, that they 'saueren muche [care much for] the gospel, and haue wylle 'to rede in Englysche the gospel of Cristys lyf<sup>1</sup>.' But the fear of death and the power of enemies could not prevail against the spirit in which the work was wrought.

*Spirit of  
reader and  
writer.*

'Cristen men,' one says, 'owe moche to traueile nyzt 'and day aboute text of holy writ, and namely the gospel 'in her modir tunge, sithe Jhesu Crist, very God and very 'man, tauzt this gospel with his owne blessid mouth and 'kept it in his lyf<sup>2</sup>.' 'I besek and with alle my hert pray 'them that this werk redyn,' writes Wycliffe, in the preface to his *Harmony of the Gospels*, 'that for me thei pray the 'mercy of God, that I may fulfyllen that is set in the 'dragging of this boke; and that he at whos suggestyon 'I this werke began, and thei that [this] werk redyn, and 'alle cristen men with me, thurgh doynge of that that is 'wrytyn in this bok, may com to geder to that blisse 'that neuer salle ende<sup>3</sup>.' And Purvey when he revised Wycliffe's work knew well what was required of the interpreter of Scripture. 'He hath nede to liue a clene 'lif, and be ful deuout in preiers, and haue not his wit 'ocupied about worldli thingis, that the Holi Spiryte, Autour 'of wisdom, and kunnyng [knowledge], and truthe, dresse 'him in his werk and suffre him not for to erre...Bi this 'maner,' he concludes, 'with good liuyng and greet traueil, 'men moun come to trewe and cleer translating, and trewe 'vnderstanding of holi writ, seme it neuere so hard at the 'bigynnyng. God grante to us alle grace to kenne wel, 'and kepe wel holi writ, and *suffre* ioiefulli sum peyne for 'it at the laste<sup>4</sup>.'

The last words were not allowed to remain without fulfilment. As long as the immediate influence of Wycliffe lasted the teaching of his followers was restrained within reasonable bounds. Times of anarchy and violence fol-

<sup>1</sup> *Wycliffe's Bible*, l. c.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* p. xiv. n.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* p. x. n.

<sup>4</sup> *Id.* Prologue, p. 60.



lowed, and spiritual reform was confounded with the destruction of society. The preachers of the Bible gave occasion to their enemies to identify them with the enemies of order; and the reestablishment of a strong government led to the enactment of the statute *De hæretico comburendo* (2 Hen. IV), which was soon put in force as a powerful check on heresy. It is impossible to determine whether the Wycliffite Bible was among 'the books' mentioned in the preamble of the act by which the Lollards were said to excite the people to sedition<sup>1</sup>. Later parallels make it likely that it was so; but it was not long before the Version was directly assailed.

*The act de hæretico comburendo. A.D. 1401.*

In a convocation of the province of Canterbury held at Oxford under Archbishop Arundel in 1408, several constitutions were enacted against the party of the Reformation. The one on the use of the vernacular Scriptures is important both in form and substance. 'It is a dangerous thing,' so it runs, 'as witnesseth blessed St Jerome, 'to translate the text of the holy Scripture out of one 'tongue into another; for in the translation the same 'sense is not always easily kept, as the same St Jerome 'confesseth, that *although he were inspired* (etsi inspiratus 'fuisset), yet oftentimes in this he erred; we therefore 'decree and ordain that no man hereafter by his own 'authority (auctoritate suâ) translate any text of the 'Scripture into English or any other tongue, by way of 'a book, pamphlet, or treatise; and that no man read any 'such book, pamphlet, or treatise, now lately composed 'in the time of John Wycliffe or since, or hereafter to be 'set forth in part or in whole, publicly or privately, upon 'pain of greater excommunication, until the said translation be approved by the ordinary of the place, or, if 'the case so require, by the council provincial. He that 'shall do contrary to this shall likewise be punished as 'a favourer of heresy and error<sup>2</sup>.'

*The convocation of Oxford, 1408.*

✓

<sup>1</sup> The preamble is quoted by Mr Froude, *History of England*, II. 20.

<sup>2</sup> Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, III.

245 (whose translation I have generally followed). The original Latin is given in Wilkins' *Concilia*, III. 317.

*The  
Wycliffite  
Bible sur-  
vives the  
fall of the  
Lollards.*

Four years after came the insurrection and death of Sir John Oldcastle. A new and more stringent act was passed against heresy (2 Hen. V), and the Lollards as a party were destroyed. But the English Bible survived their destruction. The terms of the condemnation under Archbishop Arundel were explicit, but it was practically ineffectual. No such approbation as was required, so far as we know, was ever granted, but the work was still transcribed for private use; and the manuscripts are themselves the best records of its history<sup>1</sup>.

*Manuscripts  
of Wycliffite  
Versions.*

Of about one hundred and seventy copies of the whole or part of the Wycliffite versions which have been examined, fifteen of the Old Testament and eighteen of the New belong to the original version. The remainder are of Purvey's revision, which itself has in some very rare cases undergone another partial revision. Of these not one-fifth are of an earlier date than Arundel's condemnation<sup>2</sup>. The greater part appear to have been written between 1420 and 1450; and what is a more interesting fact, nearly half the copies are of a small size, such as could be made the constant daily companions of their owners. Others again are noticeable for the rank of those by whom they were once possessed. One belonged to Humphrey, the 'good' duke of Gloucester<sup>3</sup>; another to Henry VI, who gave it to the Charterhouse<sup>4</sup>; another (apparently) to Richard III<sup>5</sup>; another to Henry VII(?); another to Edward VI<sup>6</sup>; and another was presented to Queen Eliza-

✓

<sup>1</sup> Two names however are connected too closely with Wycliffe to be omitted altogether. John of Gaunt vigorously supported Wycliffe in his endeavours to circulate an English version of the Bible, and after his death successfully opposed a Bill brought into the House of Lords, 1390, to forbid the circulation of the Scriptures in English (*Hist. Acc.* p. 33). Anne of Bohemia also, according to the testimony of Archbishop Arundel, 'constantly studied the four 'Gospels in English' (Foxe, III. 202,

ed. Townshend). The subsequent conduct of Arundel is not inconsistent with the belief that this version was Wycliffe's.

<sup>2</sup> [In a copy of the New Testament in the Library of Emmanuel College, Cambridge, there is a note 'Finished 1382, this copy taken 1397.']

<sup>3</sup> [Brit. Mus. Eg. MSS. 617, 618.]

<sup>4</sup> [Bodl. 2249.]

<sup>5</sup> [Forshall and Madden, Pref. p. lxiii.]

<sup>6</sup> This copy is now in the University Library at Cambridge (Mm. II. 15),

beth as a new-year's gift by her chaplain'. There are yet other copies with interest of a different kind<sup>2</sup>. One probably was that of Bp. Bonner<sup>3</sup>: another records in a hand of the 16th century, that 'this ancient monyment of holy 'scripture dothe show, how the Lord God in all ages and 'tymes wold haue his blessed woorde preserved for the 'comforte of his elect children and church in all tymes 'and ages, in despyte of Sathane<sup>4</sup>.'

and R. Crowley printed from it the General Prologue in 1550, 'the 'Originall whereof is founde written 'in an olde English Bible,' so he writes on the title-page, 'bitwixt the 'olde Testament and the Newe. 'Whych Bible remaynith now in y<sup>e</sup> 'Kyng his maiesties Chamber.'

The book retains a binding apparently of the age of Edward VI, which bears stamped on one side *Verbum Domini* and on the other *manet in aeternum*.

Part of Crowley's notice to the reader is worthy of being quoted:

'[This Prologue] was at y<sup>e</sup> fyrste 'made common to fewe men y<sup>t</sup> wolde 'and were able to optayn it. But 'nowe it is made commen to all 'menne, that be desyrrouse of it. 'Forget not therfore, [gentle reader,] 'to take it thanckfully, to vse it 'Christenly, and to esteeme it of no 'lesse value than a most preciouise 'iewell, fyrst framed by the Diuine 'wisdomme of gods spirite poured vpon 'the fyrste Autoure, preserued by 'goddis mercyfull prouidence, and 'nowe offered vnto the by God hym- 'selfe, that thou hongring the perfecte 'knowledge of goddis worde shuldest 'not be destituted of so necessarye a 'meane to attayne to the same.'

<sup>1</sup> [Forshall and Madden, Pref. p. xxxix.]

<sup>2</sup> [In the Chapter Library, Westminster, there is a copy, written about 1450, which was given by the

Duchess of Richmond, Surrey's sister, to Henry Fitz Alan, Earl of Arundel, and by him in September, 1576, to Richard Wiclif. In the Wardrobe Accounts of Edward IV (1480) there is an item for binding his Bible, but nothing to shew whether it was Latin or English, or possibly French.]

<sup>3</sup> [Lambeth 25.]

<sup>4</sup> [Forshall and Madden, Pref. p. lx.] But it must be observed that in spite of the wide circulation of the English Version the Latin Vulgate remained the Bible of those who could read, just as afterwards in Cranmer's time. One interesting memorial of this remains. The 'Per- 'sones Tale' in Chaucer (c. 1386—1390) abounds in passages of the Bible in English. The Latin 'catch-word' is very rarely given; and in no one case have I observed a real coincidence with either of the Wycliffite versions. On the contrary, the renderings differ from them more than might have been expected in contemporary versions of the same Latin text; and the same text (e.g. Acts iv. 12) is turned differently in different places. One or two examples are worth quoting.

'Allas! I caytif man, who shal 'delivere me fro the prisoun of my 'caytif body?' (Rom. vii. 24).

'An avaricious man is in the thral- 'dom of idolatrie' (Eph. v. 5).

'Go, quod Iesu Crist, and have na- 'more wil to sinne' (John viii. 11).

*Spread of  
the study of  
the Bible at  
the begin-  
ning of 16th  
century.*

Thus the books themselves speak to us and witness of the work which they did<sup>1</sup>. In fact, they help us to understand Foxe's famous testimony that in 1520... 'great 'multitudes...tasted and followed the sweetness of God's 'holy Word almost in as ample manner, for the number 'of well-disposed hearts, as now... Certes, the fervent zeal 'of those Christian days seemed much superior to these 'our days and times, as manifestly may appear by their 'sitting up all night in reading and hearing; also by 'their expenses and charges in buying of books in English, 'of whom some gave five marks [equal to about £40 in 'our money], some more, some less for a book: some gave 'a load of hay for a few chapters of St James or of St 'Paul in English... To see their travails, their earnest seek- 'ings, their burning zeal, their readings, their watchings, 'their sweet assemblies... may make us now in these days 'of free profession, to blush for shame<sup>2</sup>. So Foxe wrote in 1563, and after three centuries the contrast is still to our sorrow<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> The editors of the Versions quote two instances of copies given to churches for ecclesiastical use at York (1394) and Bristol (1404): Forshall and Madden, Pref. p. xxxii. n.

[The Wycliffite origin of the translation of the Bible was never seriously questioned till, in an article on the Pre-Reformation English Bible in the *Dublin Review* for July, 1894, Father (now Abbot) Gasquet propounded the theory that the so-called Wycliffite Version was in reality the orthodox version mentioned by Sir Thomas More and others, which was sanctioned by the Church and the use of which was conditionally permitted. This view was subjected to criticism by Mr F. D. Mathew in the *English Historical Review* for January, 1895, by Dr F. G. Kenyon in *Our Bible and the Ancient Manuscripts*, 1895, and by a writer in the *Church Quarterly Review* for October, 1900,

and January, 1901, with the result that the Wycliffite origin of the translations which came into existence in the 14th century has been reestablished. Abbot Gasquet has no doubt brought into greater prominence the fact that the version was found where it could hardly have been except by express permission of the Church, but it is remarkable that notwithstanding such permission it was never allowed to be printed. Perhaps this may have been because some taint of Wycliffite heresy was believed to be attached to it. In Germany, France, Italy, and Spain, translations from the Vulgate into the vernacular languages of those countries existed many years before the Reformation.]

<sup>2</sup> Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, iv. 217 f.

<sup>3</sup> [The later of the Wycliffite Versions of the New Testament was printed by Lewis in 1731, and re-

printed by Baber in 1810. The earlier version was published by Pickering in 1848 from a MS. in the possession of Mr Lea Wilson and afterwards in the collection of Lord Ashburnham. The text in Bagster's *Hexapla* (1841) is of the later version, from a MS. which belonged successively to the Duke of Sussex, Mr Lea Wilson, and the Earl of Ashburnham. But the great authority on the subject of the Wycliffite translations is the monumental work of

Forshall and Madden in four volumes quarto, Oxford, 1850, which contains both versions of the Old and New Testaments and Apocrypha, with an elaborate critical apparatus, preface, and glossary. Reprints from this edition of the New Testament (1879) and of the Poetical Books, Job—Song of Solomon (1881), were edited for the Clarendon Press by Professor Skeat.]



## CHAPTER II.

### THE PRINTED BIBLE.

This is the doctrine simple, ancient, true ;

Such is life's trial, as old earth smiles and knows.

If you loved only what were worth your love,

Love were clear gain and wholly well for you :

Make the low nature better by your throes !

Give earth yourself, go up for gain above !

BROWNING, *Dramatis Personæ, James Lee's Wife*, VII. 2.

## CHAPTER II.

### THE PRINTED BIBLE.

*The circulation of the Manuscript Bible precarious and limited.*

THE general testimony of Foxe to the circulation of the English Scriptures at the beginning of the 16th century, which has been just quoted, is illustrated by several special incidents, which he records. These, however, shew at the same time that the circulation and study of the manuscripts was both precarious and perilous. 'I did once,' says Lambert in 1538, 'see a booke of the Newe Testamēt '(which was not vnwryttē by my estimation this C. yeres) 'and in my minde right wel translate after the example of 'that which is red in the Church in Laten. But he that 'shewed it me said, he durst not be known to haue it by 'him, for many hadde bene punished afore time for keping 'of such as conuict of heresy<sup>1</sup>. And that this fear was not ungrounded may be seen by the registers of the dioceses of Norwich and Lincoln, which contain several examples of men charged before the bishops with the offence of reading or perusing 'the New Law' (that is, the New Testament) in English<sup>2</sup>.

*Influence of the study of Greek in the 16th century.*

But meanwhile a momentous change had passed over Western Europe. 'Greece,' in the striking language of an English scholar, 'had risen from the grave with the New 'Testament in her hand'; and the Teutonic nations had welcomed the gift. It had been long felt on all sides that

<sup>1</sup> Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, v. 213. I have quoted from the text of the edition 1563 (March 20: ? 1564), p. 559.

<sup>2</sup> Foxe, *ib.* iv. 217 ff.



the Latin Bible of the mediæval Church could no longer satisfy the wants of the many nations of a divided world. Before the end of the 15th century Bibles were printed in Spanish, Italian, French, Dutch, German and Bohemian; while England as yet had only the few manuscripts of the Wycliffite versions. But, like Wycliffe's, these were only secondary versions from the Vulgate. The Hebrew text of the Old Testament was published as early as 1488, though very few except Jews could use it; but the Greek text of the New Testament was not yet printed. Scholars however were being duly trained for the work of direct translation. The passionate declamation then current against Hebrew and Greek shews that the study of both was popular and advancing<sup>1</sup>. And England, though late to begin, eagerly followed up the 'new learning'<sup>2</sup>. From 1509 to 1514 Erasmus was Professor of Greek at Cambridge, and, as appears probable, it was the fame of his lectures which drew there William Tindale about the year 1510<sup>3</sup>, to whom it has been allowed more than to any other man to give its characteristic shape to our English Bible. And the man, as we shall see, was not unworthy of the glorious honour for the attainment of which indeed he lived equally and died.

### § 1. TINDALE.

With Tindale the history of our present English Bible begins<sup>4</sup>; and for fifteen years the history of the Bible is almost identical with the history of Tindale. The fortunes of both if followed out in detail are even of romantic interest. Of the early life of Tindale we know nothing.

<sup>1</sup> See Chap. III.

<sup>2</sup> According to Erasmus, England was second only to Italy and in advance of France and Germany. Erasmus himself studied Greek at Oxford. Compare Hallam, *Introduction to Lit. of Europe*, I. pp. 269 f.

<sup>3</sup> [This is not now so probable since the discovery of an entry in the Oxford Register by which it appears that William Hichyns, who is supposed to be Tindale, took his M.A. degree in 1515.]

<sup>4</sup> See Appendix VIII.

He was born about 1484<sup>1</sup>, at an obscure village in Gloucestershire<sup>2</sup>, and 'brought up from a child,' as Foxe says, in the University of Oxford, where he was 'singularly 'addicted to the study of the Scriptures<sup>3</sup>.' From Oxford he went to Cambridge, and after spending some time there, as we have noticed, he returned about 1520 to his native county as tutor in the family of Sir John Walsh of Little Sodbury. Here he spent two years, not without many controversies, in one of which he made his memorable declaration to 'a learned man' who 'said we were 'better be without God's laws than the Pope's': 'I defy 'the Pope and all his laws'; and said, 'If God spare my 'life, ere many years I will cause a boy that driveth the 'plough shall know more of the Scripture than thou 'doest<sup>4</sup>.' The boast was not an idle phrase. Erasmus

<sup>1</sup> [Probably later.] The dates in Tindale's life up to his coming to London in 1522-3 are fixed only approximately and by conjecture. There is no adequate external evidence to determine them exactly, but the amount of error cannot be great. I may refer by anticipation to a promised *Life of Tyndale* by the Rev. R. Demaus [published in 1871], as certain to exhaust all the information on the subject which is left to us.

<sup>2</sup> The exact place is uncertain, but it was near Nibley Knoll, one of the Cotswold hills, on which a monument has lately been erected to his memory. Mr F. Fry informs me that 'there 'are Tindales now in those parts'; and further that 'Hunt's Court, 'where Tindale is said to have been 'born, did not come into the possession of the Tindale family till later.' Tindale was known also by the name Hutchins (Hychins, Hochin), which had been assumed, it is said, by his great-grandfather; and in official documents he is described by both titles: e.g. in the *Articles against Munmouth*, Strype, *Eccles. Mem.* 1.

488. [Demaus (ed. 2) was of opinion that all the evidence is in favour of Melksham Court, in the parish of Stinchcombe, being the home of Tindale's family.]

<sup>3</sup> He studied in Magdalen Hall, called *Grammar Hall* from the labours of Grocyn, W. Latimer, and Linacre there in favour of classical learning (Anderson, i. 26). [He probably took the degree of M.A. in 1515.]

Mr Fry informs me that the MS. quoted in the *Historical Account*, p. 41 n., purporting to contain translations by Tindale ('W.T.') from the New Testament and dated 1502, was unquestionably a forgery. The MS. was afterwards burnt [in 1865 at Sotheby's, when the sale of Oflor's Library had begun]; but the facsimile of a single page, for the sight of which I am indebted to Mr Fry, seems absolutely conclusive as to its spuriousness.

<sup>4</sup> This passage is given according to the first edition (1563), p. 514. In the later editions the form of the last sentence is turned into the oblique: *Acts and Monuments*, v. 117.

had published the Greek Testament for the first time, with a new Latin version, in 1516, before Tindale left Cambridge; and Tindale must have been acquainted with the effect which its introduction there had immediately produced<sup>1</sup>. At the same time, as he tells us, he 'had perceaued by experyence, how that it was impossible to stablysh the laye people in any truth, excepte y<sup>e</sup> scripture were playnly layde before their eyes in their mother tonge, that they might se the processe, ordre and meanninge of the texte'... 'Which thinge onlye,' he says, 'moved me to translate the new testament<sup>2</sup>.'

When his enemies grew so powerful as to endanger his patron, 'I gat me,' he says, to 'London.' 'If I might come to the Bishop of London's service'—Tunstall's, of whose love of scholarship Erasmus had spoken highly—'thought I, I were happy.' By this time he knew what his work was, and he was resolutely set to accomplish it<sup>3</sup>.

*His failure  
with the  
Bishop of  
London.*

<sup>1</sup> One memorable instance of its influence is seen in the narrative of Bilney, afterwards martyred in 1531, who was first roused to a lively faith by reading in Erasmus' edition, 1 Tim. i. 15, as he narrates in touching words in a letter addressed to Tunstall: Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, IV. 635. Bilney's Latin Bible is still preserved [in the Library of Corpus Christi College, Cambridge], with many passages marked, and among them the one on which he dwelt most in the night before his death. Anderson, I. p. 301. [The Librarian, Mr C. W. Moule, informs me that the volume is a small folio copy of the Vulgate printed at Lyons in 1520 at the expense of Antony Koberger, and presented to the College in 1588 by Robert Willon, Rector of Wilbraham Parva and formerly Fellow. See Masters's *History of Corpus*, ed. Lamb, p. 321. W.A.W.]

It is not indeed unlikely, as has been pointed out by the author of the *Historical Account* (p. 44), that the saying of Tindale given above

was suggested by a phrase in the *Exhortation* of Erasmus. 'I would,' he writes, 'that the husbandman at the plough should sing something from hence [the Gospels and Epistles].'

<sup>2</sup> *Preface to Genesis* [*Pentateuch*], p. 394 (Park. Soc.).

<sup>3</sup> No phrase could more completely misrepresent Tindale's character than that by which Mr Froude has thought right to describe him at this time—'the young dreamer' (II. 30). Tindale could not have been much less than forty years old at the time, and he was less of a 'dreamer' even than Luther. From the first he had exactly measured the cost of his work; and when he had once made his resolve to translate the Scriptures, he never afterwards lost sight of it, and never failed in doing what he proposed to do.

I do not think that the phrase 'fiery young enthusiast,' which Mr Froude has substituted for 'young dreamer' in his last edition is much happier, though it certainly indicates a very different character. 1870.

At the same time he was prepared to furnish the bishop for whose countenance he looked with an adequate test of his competency. The claim which he preferred was supported by a translation of a speech of Isocrates from the Greek. 'But god,' he continues, and the story can only be given fitly in his own words, 'sawe that I was 'begyled, ād that that counsell was not the nexte way 'vnto my purpose'—to translate the Scriptures—'And 'therfore he gate me no favoure in my lordes sight. Wher- 'uppon my lorde answered me, his house was full: he had 'mo thē he coude well finde, and advised me to seke in 'london, wher he sayd I coude not lacke a service.'

*Entertained  
by H. Mun-  
mouth.*

The bishop's prediction was fulfilled in a way which he could not have anticipated. Tindale had indeed already found a friend ready to help him in an alderman of London, Humphrey Munmouth. Munmouth, who was afterwards (1528) thrown into the Tower for the favour which he had shewn Tindale and other reformers, has left an interesting account of his acquaintance with him in a petition which he addressed to Wolsey to obtain his release. 'I heard '[Tindale], he writes, 'preach two or three sermons at 'St Dunstan's-in-the-West in London', and after that I 'chanced to meet with him, and with communication I 'examined what living he had. He said he had none at 'all, but he trusted to be with my lord of London, in his 'service, and therefore I had the better fantasy to him. 'Afterward [when this hope failed, he]...came to me again, 'and besought me to help him; and so I took him into my 'house half a year; and there he lived like a good priest as 'methought. He studied most part of the day and of the 'night at his book; and he would eat but sodden meat by 'his good will, nor drink but small single beer. I never 'saw him wear linen about him in the space he was with

<sup>1</sup> It is not known when Tindale was admitted to Holy Orders; but it is at least clear from the silence of Sir T. More that he was not the W. Tindale who is said to have 'made 'profession in the monastery of the

'Observants at Greenwich in 1508'; for More does not fail to taunt Joye and Jerome, who had belonged to that monastery, with being renegade friars, while he brings no such charge against Tindale.

'me. I did promise him ten pounds sterling to pray for  
'my fater and mother their souls and all Christian  
'souls. I did pay it him when he made his exchange  
'to Hamburgh<sup>1</sup>.'

This time of waiting was not lost upon Tindale. In the busy conflicts and intrigues of city life he learnt what had been hidden from him in the retirement of the country. 'In london,' he continues, 'I abode almoste an  
'yere, and marked the course of the worlde...and vnder-  
'stode at the laste not only that there was no rowme  
'in my lorde of londons palace to translate the new  
'testament, but also that there was no place to do it in  
'all englonde...''

So he left his native country for ever, to suffer, as he elsewhere says, 'poverty, exile, bitter absence from friends,  
'hunger and thirst and cold, great dangers and innumerable  
'other hard and sharp fightings<sup>2</sup>,' but yet to achieve his work and after death to force even Tunstall to set his name upon it.

*His retire-  
ment to the  
Continent.*

Tindale's first place of refuge was Hamburgh. This free city, like Antwerp, offered great advantages to religious exiles; and at a later period we find Coverdale also living there for some months<sup>4</sup>. At the same time, as no press was yet established at Hamburgh, Tindale may not have remained there during the whole of the year 1524, if, as appears likely, he published the Gospels of St Matthew and St Mark separately at that date<sup>5</sup>. Among other places, Wittenberg, where Luther was then living, was easily accessible, and it is not unlikely that Tindale found some opportunity of seeing the great leader with whom the work of the Reformation was identified. The fact of a passing visit would explain satisfactorily the statement of Sir T.

*He begins to  
print his  
New Testa-  
ment. 1525.*

<sup>1</sup> Foxe, iv. 617. App. to Strype, *Eccles. Mem.* [vol. 1. part 2], No. 89.

<sup>2</sup> *Preface to Genesis*, p. 396 (Park. Soc. ed.).

<sup>3</sup> Report of Vaughan to Henry VIII, quoted by Anderson, i. 272.

<sup>4</sup> See p. 30, note 6.

<sup>5</sup> The separate publication of these Gospels appears probable from the evidence adduced by Anderson, i. 153, 183, but the references may be to the (Cologne) quarto edition. See p. 33, n. 1.

More<sup>1</sup>, while the more exact account of Spalatinus<sup>2</sup>, who makes no mention of Luther, leads to the belief, on all grounds the most probable, that Tindale, though acquainted with Luther's writings and ready to make use of them<sup>3</sup>, lived independently, with his fellow-exiles, at Hamburg<sup>4</sup> or elsewhere, till his chosen work was completed. In the next year (1525) Tindale went to Cologne, and there began to print the translation of the New Testament, which he had by that time completed<sup>5</sup>. It was a time of sore trial for the Reformers. Luther's marriage troubled some. His breach with Karlstadt alienated others. The rising of the peasants furnished a ready pretext to the lukewarm for confounding the new doctrines with revolutionary license. But Tindale laboured on in silence, and ten sheets of his Testament were printed in quarto when his work was stopped by the intrigues of Cochläus, a relentless enemy of the Reformation<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> *Dialogue*, Book III. ch. 8. 'It is to be cōsidered that at the tyme of this translacyon, Hychens [Tindale] was with luther in Wyttēberge, & set certayne glosys in the mergent, framed for the settinge forth of the vngacious secte. By saynt Iohan quod your frende yf that be trewe that Hychens were at that time wyth Luther, it is a playne token that he wrought som what after his counsaile... Very trewe quod I. But as touchynge the confederacye betwene Luther and hym [it] is a thyng well knowen and playnly confessed, by soche as haue ben taken and conuoycted here of heresy comynge frome thens....'

To this Tindale's reply is simply: 'When he sayth "Tyndall was confederate with Luther," that is not truth.' This statement is of course consistent with the fact of a visit to Luther. Sir T. More's information was without doubt derived from Cochläus. See also the letter of Lee, p. 34.

<sup>2</sup> See below, p. 35, n.

<sup>3</sup> See below, Chap. III.

<sup>4</sup> Tindale's close connexion with Hamburg appears at a later time in the circumstantial statement of Foxe that 'at his appointment Coverdale tarried for him there and helped him in the translating of the whole five books of Moses, from Easter to December, in the house of a worshipful widow Mistress Margaret van Emmerson, anno 1529...' [Foxe, v. 120.]

<sup>5</sup> Fryth did not join him till 1528; and there is no evidence that either his amanuensis Roye, or Joye, if he was with him at the time, had any independent part in the translation. See below, Ch. III. The date of the printing of the New Testament is established by the use of a woodcut as the frontispiece to St Matthew which was afterwards cut down and used in an edition of Rupert of Deutz, finished June 12, 1526. A facsimile of each of these woodcuts is given in Mr Arber's edition of the fragment.

<sup>6</sup> The one fragment of this edition which remains (see below, p. 37) has

It is a strange and vivid picture which Cochläus, who is the historian of his own achievement, draws of the progress and discovery of the work<sup>1</sup>. The translation of 'the New Testament of Luther'—so he calls it—was, in his eyes, part of a great scheme for converting all England to Lutheranism. The expense, as he learnt, was defrayed by English merchants; and their design was only betrayed by their excess of confidence. But though Cochläus was aware of the design, he could not for some time find any clue to the office where it was being executed. At last becoming familiar with the printers of Cologne while engaged on a book to be published there, he heard them in unguarded moments boast of the revolution which would be shortly wrought in England. The clue was not neglected. He invited some of them to his house, and plying them with wine learned where three thousand copies of the English Testament were being worked off, for speedy and secret distribution through England. He took immediate measures to secure the aid of the authorities of the city for checking the work. The printers were forbidden to proceed, but Tindale and Roye taking their printed sheets with them escaped to Worms by ship. Cochläus—it was all he could then do—warned Henry, Wolsey, and Fisher of the peril to which they were exposed, that so they might take measures 'to prevent the importation of the 'pernicious merchandise.'

*Cochläus' accounts of Tindale's first attempt to print his New Testament.*

Meanwhile Tindale pursued his work under more favourable circumstances. The place to which he fled was already memorable in the annals of the Reformation. It was then not much more than four years since the marvellous scene

*Tindale finishes two editions at Worms.*

been photo-lithographed and published with an excellent introduction by Mr E. Arber (London, 1871), who has printed at length with great exactness and illustrated by careful notes the original records bearing upon the early life and work of Tindale.

<sup>1</sup> Mr Arber has given at length (*l. c.* pp. 18 ff.) the three passages, from works dated respectively 1533,

1538, 1549, in which Cochläus mentions the transaction: the last account, from *De Actis et Scriptis M. Lutheri*, pp. 132 ff., is in every respect the most detailed. Cochläus thinks that Henry VIII was as much indebted to him for the information as Ahasuerus to Mordecai, though he gave him no acknowledgment for the service.

when Luther entered Worms (1521) to bear witness before the Emperor. But within that time the city had 'become 'wholly Lutheran'. So Tindale found a safe retreat there, and prepared two editions of his New Testament instead of one. The edition, which had been commenced at Cologne, was in quarto and furnished with marginal glosses. A description of this had been sent to England by Cochläus, and therefore, as it seems, to baffle his enemies Tindale commenced a new edition in small octavo without glosses.

*in octavo,*

This octavo edition was finished first. In a short epistle to the reader, which is placed at the end, the translator apologizes for 'the rudnes off the worke' then first accomplished: 'Count it' he says 'as a thyng not havyng his 'full shape, but as it were borne afore hys tyme, even as a 'thīg begunne rather then fynessed. In tyme to come (yf 'god have apoynted vs there vnto) we will geve it his full 'shape: and putt out yf ought be added superflously: and 'adde to yff ought be oversene thorowe negligence: and 'will enforce to bryng to compendeousnes, that which is 'nowe translated at the lengthe, ād to geve lyght where it 'is requyred, and to seke ī certayne places more proper 'englysshe, and with a table to expoūde the wordes which 'are nott cōmenly vsed, and shewe howe the scripture 'vseth many wordes, which are wother wyse vnderstonde of 'the cōmen people: ād to helpe with a declaracion where 'one tonge taketh nott another. And will endeuer oure 'selves, as it were to sethe it better, and to make it more 'apte for the weake stomakes: desyryng them that are 'learned, and able, to remember their duetie, and to helpe 'there vnto: and to bestowe vnto the edyfyge of Christis 'body (which is the cōgregacion of them that beleve) those 'gyftes whych they have receaved of god for the same 'purpose. The grace that cometh of Christ be with thē 'that love hym.' The whole book then closes with the characteristic words: 'praye for vs.'

The words just quoted in part describe the general

<sup>1</sup> Anderson, 1. p. 64, quoting Cochläus (plebs pleno furore Lutherizabat) and Seckendorf.



Prologue and glosses with which the quarto edition was furnished, and Tindale appears to have lost no time in completing this interrupted work<sup>1</sup>. Both editions reached England without any indication of the translator's name<sup>2</sup> early in 1526; and, as might have been expected, the quarto edition first attracted attention, while for a short time the undescribed octavo escaped notice.

Before the books arrived Henry VIII had received a second warning of the impending danger from his almoner Lee, afterwards archbishop of York, who was then on

*Lee's Letter  
to Henry  
VIII.  
Dec. 2, 1525.*

<sup>1</sup> The quarto edition was commenced by Quentel. The octavo was printed by P. Schoeffer, the son of one of the first great triumvirate of printers. The same printer, it has been conjectured, completed the quarto; but of this there is no direct evidence, as the Grenville Fragment contains only sheets A—H, while A—K were printed by Quentel. There is not however any reasonable doubt that the quarto edition was completed about the same time as the first octavo, and therefore it seems likely that it was completed at Worms and by Schoeffer. Two editions, a large and a small, one with and one without glosses, made their appearance simultaneously in England. Three thousand copies of the first sheets of the quarto were struck off and six thousand is said to have been the whole number of New Testaments printed. Moreover it is not likely that Tindale would allow the sheets which he rescued to lie idle. On the other hand, as Mr F. Fry reminds me, there is no direct evidence that the quarto edition was printed at Worms or printed in 1525, or that the Cologne sheets were used in this edition. But on the whole the conjectural interpretation of the facts which I have ventured to give seems to me to be correct. It is of course possible that

'the chapters of Matthew' referred to by Necton as in his possession before the Testaments may refer to these sheets, and not to another separate publication of that Gospel. Strype, *Mem.* i. 2, p. 63. See also Mr Arber, *l. c.* pp. 26–7. 1871. For specimens of the Glosses, see App. v.

<sup>2</sup> Tindale's name was attached to the *Parable of the Wicked Mammon* in 1528, and he there gives his reasons for printing his New Testament anonymously. 'The cause why I set my name before this little treatise and have not rather done it in the New Testament is, that then I followed the counsel of Christ, which exhorteth men (Matt. vi.) to do their good deeds secretly, and to be content with the conscience of well-doing and that God seeth us; and patiently to abide the reward of the last day which Christ hath purchased for us: and now would I fain have done likewise, but am compelled otherwise to do.' (*To the Reader*, p. 37, ed. Park. Soc.) He wished to separate his own writings distinctly from the violent satires of W. Roye. In speaking simply of 'the New Testament' it seems evident that Tindale included the two editions, quarto and octavo. In the revised edition (1534) his name was added.

the Continent. Writing to the king from Bordeaux on Dec. 2nd, 1525, Lee says: 'Please it your highness moreover to understand that I am certainly informed, as I passed in this country, that an Englishman your subject, at the solicitation and instance of Luther, with whom he is, hath translated the New Testament into English, and within few days intendeth to arrive with the same imprinted in England. I need not to advertise your grace what infection and danger may ensue hereby if it be not withstood. This is the next way to fulfil your realm with Lutherians.' And then he adds, 'All our forefathers, governors of the Church of England, hath with all diligence forbid and eschewed publication of English Bibles, as appeareth in constitutions provincial of the Church of England<sup>1</sup>...'

*The work a  
subject of  
conversation  
abroad.*

The account which reached Lee's ears had travelled far and was inaccurate in its details; but the swiftness with which it reached him is a proof of the interest which Cochläus' discovery excited. Another notice of Tindale's translation which appears in the diary of a German scholar under August 1526 is more truthful and full of interest. After mentioning other subjects of conversation at the dinner table, as the war with the Turks, the exhaustion of the bishops by the peasants' war, the literary troubles of Erasmus, he adds, one told us that '6000 copies of the English Testament had been printed at Worms. That it was translated by an Englishman who lived there with two of his countrymen, who was so complete a master of seven languages, Hebrew, Greek, Latin, Italian, Spanish, English, French, that you would fancy that whichever one he spoke was his mother tongue. He added that the English, in spite of the active opposition of the king, were so eager for the Gospel as to affirm that they would buy a New

<sup>1</sup> For this letter I am indebted to Mr Froude, *Hist. of England*, II. 31. It is given more accurately by Mr Arber, *l.c.* p. 37. [Cotton MSS. Vesp. C. III. fol. 211.] At the same date

Lee writes also to Wolsey to the same effect, informing him that he had written to the king. Brewer, *State Papers*, No. 1802. [Hen. VIII. vol. IV. part 1, p. 805.]

'Testament even if they had to give a hundred thousand 'pieces of money for it'.

The reception of the books in England answered to these anticipations. They were eagerly bought, and as eagerly proscribed and sought out for destruction. Sir T. More fiercely attacked the translation as ignorant, dishonest and heretical<sup>1</sup>. In the autumn Tunstall and Warham issued mandates for the collection and surrender of copies<sup>2</sup>. Tunstall attacked it in a Sermon at Paul's Cross, and professed to have found 3000 errors in it: 'and truly,' writes one [Lambert] who heard him, 'my heart lamented greatly 'to hear a great man preaching against it [the New Testament], who shewed forth certain things that he noted for 'hideous errors to be in it, that I, yea, and not only I, but 'likewise did many other, think verily to be none'.

*Reception of  
the Books in  
England.*

<sup>1</sup> Etiam si centenis millibus æris sit redimendum. Diary of Spalatinus under 'Sunday after St Laurence's 'Day, 1526,' given in Schelhorn, *Amæn. Liter.* iv, 431 (ed. 1730). The enumeration of languages is 'Hebraicæ, Græcæ, Latinæ, Italicæ, 'Hispanicæ, Britannicæ, Gallicæ.' The passage is misquoted in the life of Tindale prefixed to the edition of Park. Soc. with '*Dutch*' (i.e. German) for 'French' (p. xxx. n.). The error is important, for if the printed reading be correct, it is unlikely that Tindale had spent a long time at Wittenberg with Luther.

<sup>2</sup> His great charge was the disregard of 'ecclesiastical terms,' 'church, 'priest, charity, grace, confess, penance,' for which Tindale substituted 'congregation, elder, love, favour, 'knowledge, repentance.' Tindale's reply is full of interest.

A similar charge against the translation was made by R. Ridley (uncle of N. Ridley). Writing in Feb. 1527 to [Henry Golde] the chaplain of Archbp. Warham, he says: 'By this 'translation shall we losse al thies 'cristian wordes *penaunce, charite,*

*'confession, grace, prest, chirche,* which 'he alway calleth a congregation, 'quasi turcharum et brutorum nulla 'esset congregatio, nisi vellet illorum 'etiam esse ecclesiam; *Idololatria* 'callith he worshipping of images.... 'Ye shal not neede to accuse this 'translation. It is accused and damned by the consent of the prelates 'and learned men, and commanded 'to be brynt, both heir and beyonde 'the see, wher is many hundreth of 'tham brynt; so that it is to layt now 'to ask reson why that be condemned, 'and which be the sawtes and errors....' (Arber, pp. 52 ff. Anderson, i. 153 ff.). [Cotton MSS. Cleop. E. v. fol. 362 b.]

<sup>3</sup> Oct. 24, 1526. Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, p. 449 (ed. 1563). Anderson, i. p. 118. Arber, pp. 50 ff.

<sup>4</sup> Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, v. 213. Tunstall returned in April 1526. [Roye in his *Rede me and be nott wrothe* mentions the three thousand errors which Tunstall professed to have found. Cochläus (*Acta et Scripta Martini Lutheri*, Moguntiae, 1549, p. 135) says 'supra duo milia depravationum.']

*The translation condemned.*

The attack of Tunstall appears to have been the result of a deliberation of the Cardinal and the bishops. In a preface added to the English translation of Henry VIII's answer to Luther's letter of 1525 it is said in the name of the king that he had 'with the deliberate aduysse of..... 'Thomas lorde Cardynall.....and other reuerende fathers 'of the spyritualtye, determyned.....[Tindale's] vntrue 'translatyons to be brenned, with further sharppe correction 'and punisshment against the kepars and reders of the 'same.....<sup>1</sup>' Roye, in his *Brief Dialogue*, gives an account of the discussion which issued in this condemnation, and represents at least the popular opinion as to the parts played by the several actors<sup>2</sup>. The betrayal of the New Testament is compared with the betrayal of Christ. The part of Judas is assigned to Standish, bishop of St Asaph. The Cardinal 'spake the words of Pilat, Sayinge, I fynde 'no fault therin.' But the argument of 'bissshop Cayphas' [Tunstall] prevailed, who pleaded that it was better that the Gospel be condemned than their estate contemned; and so the Cardinal and all the bishops decided that the book should be burnt.

*The spread of the translation.*

The decision being once made was vigorously carried out. Copies of the New Testament were bought up and burnt in Antwerp and London and Oxford<sup>3</sup>. Diplomacy was invoked to restrain the printers. But all was in vain. The tide was fairly flowing and it could not be checked. A formidable popular organization was ready in England to welcome the books and to spread them. Numerous agents were employed both in importing them from Holland and in circulating them. There is even something quaintly

<sup>1</sup> The preface is given at length by Mr Arber, pp. 48 f. The date of the book 'cannot be long after the beginning of 1527' (Arber).

<sup>2</sup> The passages are printed in full by Mr Arber, pp. 29 ff.

<sup>3</sup> ...nuper cum summa ejus laude et gloria auditum est, Majestatem suam sacrum B[ibliæ] codicem, qui

ad pervertendum pias fidelium simplicium mentes a perfidis abominandæ sectæ Lut[heranæ] sectatoribus vernaculo sermone depravatus, et ad ejus regnum delatus fuerat, justissime comburi fecisse (Campeggio to Wolsey, Nov. 21, 1526. Arber, p. 49). Compare also Anderson, I. p. 214, Arber, pp. 49 ff., and below pp. 39, 41.

human in the spirit of the trader which shewed itself in this sacred work. One John Tyball came with a friend to London (1526) to buy one of Tindale's New Testaments. After giving some proof of their sincerity they shewed 'the 'Friar Barnes of certain old books that they had, as of four 'Evangelists and certain epistles of Peter and Paul in 'English. Which books the said Friar did little regard, 'and made a twit of it and said "a point for them! for they "'be not to be regarded toward the new *printed* Testament "'in English. For it is of more cleaner English." And 'then the said Friar Barnes delivered to them the said 'New Testament in English...and after...did liken the 'New Testament in Latin to a cymbal tinkling and brass 'sounding<sup>1</sup>. Thus by 1530 swiftly and silently six editions, of which three were surreptitious, were dispersed, and Tindale could feel that so far his work was substantially indestructible. He had anticipated its immediate fate. 'In burning the New Testament,' he wrote soon after the book reached England (1527), 'they did none other thing 'than that I looked for; no more shall they do if they burn 'me also, if it be God's will it shall so be. Nevertheless in 'translating the New Testament I did my duty and so do 'I now...<sup>2</sup>' Yet so fierce and systematic was the persecution both now and afterwards, that of these six editions, numbering perhaps 15,000 copies, there remains of the first one fragment only, which was found about thirty years ago<sup>3</sup>, attached to another tract; of the second, one copy, wanting the title-page, and another very imperfect<sup>4</sup>; and of the

<sup>1</sup> Deposition of John Tyball, *Strype's Memorials*, i. 131, App. i. part 2, xvii. p. 55.

<sup>2</sup> Preface to *Parable of the Wicked Mammon*, p. 44 (Parker Soc. ed.).

<sup>3</sup> [In 1834, Anderson, Index List.] Now in the Grenville Library in the British Museum. See p. 30, n. 6.

<sup>4</sup> The first, which is in the Library of the Baptist College at Bristol, has been reproduced in facsimile by Mr Fry: the second is in the Library of

St Paul's, London. The Bristol copy has richly illuminated capitals, and was evidently designed for a wealthy purchaser. Marginal references are also added, perhaps by the illuminator, which are generally but not always identical with those in the edition of 1534. A very few notes in Latin and English were added by an early hand, but they are of no special interest.

others, two or three copies, which are not however satisfactorily identified<sup>1</sup>.

Two characteristic incidents will be sufficient to shew the strength and weakness of the popular movement to which the origin and circulation of the translation was due.

*The New Testament at Cambridge and London.*

The Eastern Counties, which took an active part on the popular side in the barons' war and in the great revolution, seem to have been most ready to welcome the New English Testament. Nearly all the places out of London mentioned in direct connexion with the first circulation of the books lie in this district, as Norwich, Bury, Colchester. And Cambridge, which had enjoyed the teaching of Erasmus, was early and deeply leavened by the 'new learning.' Bilney, Latimer, and Barnes, men of distinction in the University and not young students, were its representatives. Their position made them bold. On Christmas Eve, 1525, Barnes preached a sermon in which he criticized among other things the luxury of Wolsey. This personal attack gave force to the accusation against him, which after a little delay was laid before the Cardinal. A messenger came early in February of the next year to search for heretical books, but his visit was anticipated by private information. The books were placed carefully beyond his reach, but he arrested Barnes. With such an offender the process was short and simple. After he had appeared before the court the choice was left him of abjuration or the stake. A bitter struggle revealed his present weakness, and on the next Sunday in company with some German traders—'Stillyard men'—committed 'for Luther's 'books and Lollardy<sup>2</sup>, he performed a memorable penance

<sup>1</sup> Of these three editions one was printed by Endhoven, and the two others by Ruremonde, but all at Antwerp: Anderson, 1. 129—133; 163—165. The Dutch copy in the Library of Emm. Coll. Cambridge [printed in 1538 without the name of place or printer], as Dr Cotton points out, is Coverdale's and not Tindale's

version. It is very probable that other editions [besides the six which Anderson mentions] existed of which no trace has yet been discovered.

<sup>2</sup> An abstract of the depositions of these men (Feb. 8, 1526) is given by Brewer, *Calendar of State Papers*, Henry VIII, No. 1962 [vol. IV. part 1, p. 884].

in St Paul's<sup>1</sup>. 'The Cardinal had a scaffold made on the  
'top of the stairs for himself, with six-and-thirty Abbots,  
'mitred Priors and Bishops, and he in his whole pomp  
'mitred, which Barnes spake against, sat there enthronised,  
'his chaplains and spiritual doctors, in gowns of damask  
'and satin, and he himself in purple, even like a bloody  
'antichrist. And there was a new pulpit erected on the  
'top of the stairs also for [Fisher] the Bishop of Rochester,  
'to preach against Luther and Dr Barnes; and great baskets  
'full of books standing before them within the rails, which  
'were commanded after the great fire was made before  
'the Rood of Northen<sup>2</sup> there to be burned; and these  
'heretics after the sermon to go thrice about the fire and  
'to cast in their faggots.' The ceremony was duly enacted.  
Barnes humbly acknowledged the mercy which he had  
received, and the obnoxious books were burnt. 'And so  
'the Cardinal,' Foxe continues with grave humour, 'de-  
'parted under a canopy with all his mitred men with him,  
'till he came to the second gate of Paul's; and then he  
'took his mule and the mitred men came back again<sup>3</sup>.'

The tidings of this scene and of Fisher's sermon reached  
Tindale. 'Mark, I pray you,' he wrote not long after-  
wards, 'what an orator he [Rochester] is, and how vehe-  
'mently he persuadeth it! Martin Luther hath burned  
'the pope's decretals: a manifest sign, saith he, that he  
'would have burned the pope's holiness also, if he had  
'had him! A like argument, which I suppose to be  
'rather true, I make: Rochester and his holy brethren  
'have burnt Christ's Testament: an evident sign verily,  
'that they would have burnt Christ Himself also, if they  
'had had Him<sup>4</sup>.' But so it was that for a while the per-

*Tindale's  
comment.*

<sup>1</sup> This took place Feb. 11, 1526. The narrative is given by Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, v. 414 ff. See De-maus' *Life of Latimer*, pp. 49 ff.

<sup>2</sup> The crucifix, that is, 'towards  
'the great north door...whereunto  
'oblations were frequently made,  
'whereof the dean and canons had

'the benefit.' Dugdale, *History of St Paul's*, p. 15 (ed. 1818).

<sup>3</sup> Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, v. 418.

<sup>4</sup> *Obedience of a Christian Man* (A.D. 1528), p. 221, ed. Parker Soc. I owe the passage to Mr Anderson, l. p. 107. It is possible indeed that

secution triumphed. The faith of the confessors was not yet purified and strengthened.

*The English  
New Testa-  
ment at  
Oxford.*

From Cambridge and London we pass to Oxford. One of the first and most active distributors of Tindale's Testaments was Thomas Garret, curate of All Hallows, Cheapside. It seems that he had been engaged some time in circulating them at Oxford and elsewhere before the suspicion of the government was roused. At last, in Feb. 1528<sup>1</sup>, tidings of his labours reached Wolsey, and search was made for him in all London. It was found that he was then 'gone to Oxford to make sale of [the 'books] there to such as he knew to be the lovers of the 'Gospel,' for this was not his first labour of the kind. A messenger was despatched thither to apprehend him, but the timely warning of a friend gave him an opportunity of escaping. But 'after that he was gone a day's 'journey and a half he was so fearful that his heart would 'no other but that he must needs return again unto 'Oxford.' He was immediately apprehended, but again escaped from custody and sought out his friend Dalaber, who has recorded the story. With 'deep sighs and plenty 'of tears, he prayed me,' Dalaber writes, 'to help to convey 'him away; and so he cast off his hood and his gown, 'wherein he came unto me, and desired me to give him 'a coat with sleeves, if I had any; and told me that he 'would go into Wales, and thence convey himself into 'Germany, if he might. Then I put on him a sleeved 'coat of mine, of fine cloth in grain, which my mother 'had given me. He would have another manner of cap 'of me, but I had none but priest-like, such as his own 'was. Then kneeled we both down together on our knees, 'lifting up our hearts and hands to God, our heavenly

Tindale may be speaking here of the burning of Luther's translations, which were found in possession of the Hanse merchants; for it is not certain that the English Testaments were burnt till after Tunstall's sermon (*i.e.* after April, 1526). See p. 35.

<sup>1</sup> Mr Demaus has pointed out to me that this is certainly the date of Garret's apprehension. At the same time there can be no doubt that his connexion with Oxford commenced at an earlier time, and probably in 1526.



'Father, desiring him, with plenty of tears, so to conduct  
'and prosper him in his journey, that he might well escape  
'the danger of all his enemies, to the glory of his holy  
'name, if his good pleasure and will so were. And then  
'we embraced, and kissed the one the other...and so he  
'departed from me apparelled in my coat....' But when  
Garret thus fled others remained behind not unworthy to  
carry on his work. 'When he was gone down the stairs  
'from my chamber,' Dalaber continues, 'I straightways  
'did shut my chamber-door, and went into my study  
'shutting the door unto me, and took the New Testament  
'of Erasmus' translation in my hands, kneeled down on  
'my knees, and with many a deep sigh and salt tear, I did  
'with much deliberation read over the tenth chapter of  
'St Matthew his Gospel; and when I had so done, with  
'fervent prayer I did commit unto God that our dearly  
'beloved brother Garret, earnestly beseeching him in and  
'for Jesus Christ's sake, his only begotten Son our Lord,  
'that he would vouchsafe not only safely to conduct and  
'keep our said dear brother from the hands of all his  
'enemies; but also that he would vouch endue his tender  
'and lately-born little flock in Oxford with heavenly  
'strength by his Holy Spirit, that they might be well  
'able thereby valiantly to withstand, to his glory, all their  
'fierce enemies; and also might quietly, to their own salva-  
'tion, with all godly patience bear Christ's heavy cross,  
'which I now saw was presently to be laid on their young  
'and weak backs, unable to bear so huge a one, without  
'the great help of his Holy Spirit. This done, I laid  
'aside my books safe....' Within a short interval Garret  
was brought back to Oxford. By this time numerous  
discoveries had been made. Forbidden books had been  
found carefully secreted. The Cardinal's College, which  
had received a large infusion of Cambridge men, was  
deeply infected with the new heresy. But for the moment  
old influences were too powerful. The 'lately-born flock'  
was not ripe for the trial. Before many days were over  
Garret and Dalaber took a principal part in a public act

of penance in company with Fryth and Taverner and Coxe and Udall and Ferrar and many others destined to play an important part in the coming struggle of the Reformation. One detail of their punishment was to throw a book into a fire kindled at Carfax. The procession passed away, the fire died out, the books were consumed, and such was the end of the first appearance of Tindale's New Testament at Oxford<sup>1</sup>.

Twelve years later (1540) Barnes and Garret were martyred together, two days after the execution of Crumwell.

*Progress of  
the demand  
for the  
English  
Bible.*

Even within a short time this zeal of persecution brought out into greater prominence the extent of the movement against which it was directed. One of those who had originally (June, 1527) contributed money for the purpose of buying up Tindale's Testaments was Nix, bishop of Norwich<sup>2</sup>. This singular plan for stopping the sale of the books having failed, Nix wrote three years afterwards in deep distress to Archbishop Warham to obtain some more effectual interference in the matter. His letter is in every way so quaint and characteristic that it must be quoted in its original form :

*Bp. Nix's  
Complaint.*

'I am accombred with such, as kepith and redith these  
'arronious boks in Engleshe.....My Lorde, I have done that  
'lieth in me for the suppresion of suche parsons; but it  
'passith my power, or any spiritual man for to do it. For  
'dyverse saith openly in my Diocesse, that the Kings  
'grace wolde, that they shulde have the saide arroneous  
'boks.....And they [with whom I confer] say, that wher-  
'somever they go, they here say, that the Kings pleasure  
'is, the Newe Testament in English shal go forth, and  
'men sholde have it and read it. And from that opinion  
'I can no wise induce them but I had gretter auctoritie  
'to punyshe them, than I have. Wherefore I beseiche  
'your good Lordshap...that a remedy may be had. For  
'now it may be done wel in my Diocesse: for the Gentil-

<sup>1</sup> The original history is given by Foxe, v. 421 ff., and App. No. vi.

<sup>2</sup> His letter is given by Anderson, i. p. 158.

'men and Commenty be not greatly infect; but marchants,  
'and suche that hath ther abyding not ferre from the See...  
'There is a Collage in Cambrige, called Gunwel haule  
'[Gonville Hall], of the foundation of a Bp. of Norwich.  
'I here of no clerk, that hath comen ought lately of  
'that Collage, but saverith of the frying panne, tho he spek  
'never so holely'....

The fears and wishes of Nix were probably shared by a large party in England, and ten days after he wrote an imposing assembly was convened by Archbishop Warham, at which the errors of Tindale and his friends were formally denounced, and a bill drawn up to be published by preachers. In this it was stated, among other things, that, in spite of the widespread feeling to the contrary, it was not part of the King's duty to cause the Scriptures to be circulated among the people in the vulgar tongue. And that he 'by th' advise and deliberation of his 'counceill, and the agrement of great learned men, thinkith 'in his conscience that the divulging of this Scripture at 'this tyme in Englysshe tonge, to be committed to the 'people...shulde rather be to their further confusion and 'destruction then the edification of their soules<sup>2</sup>.' Thus in the very condemnation of the vernacular Bible, the general demand for it is acknowledged, and a translation is only deferred till a more convenient opportunity, which was nearer at hand than More or Tunstall could have imagined. Even in Warham's assembly 'there were' on Latimer's testimony 'three or four that would have had the Scripture 'to go forth in English.' 'The which thing also your 'grace,' so he writes to the King, 'hath promised by your 'last proclamation: the which promise I pray God that 'your gracious Highness may shortly perform, even to- 'day before to-morrow. Nor let the wickedness of these 'worldly men detain you from your godly purpose and 'promise<sup>3</sup>.'

*Archbp.  
Warham's  
Assembly.  
1530, May  
24.*

<sup>1</sup> Strype's *Cranmer*, 695 f. App. XII. [fol. 360.]

The letter is dated May 14th. [The original is Cotton MS. Cleop. E. 5,

<sup>2</sup> Wilkins' *Councils*, III. 736.

<sup>3</sup> Foxe, VII. 509.

Thus the first battle for the Bible was being fought in England. Meanwhile the work had advanced one step further abroad. Very early in the same year it is likely that Tindale continued his work by publishing separately translations of Genesis and Deuteronomy. It is not known when the other books of the Pentateuch were printed. The earliest edition which contains the five books has at the end of Genesis the date '1530, the 17th of January.' Perhaps however this may indicate, according to our style, 'Jan. 1531;' and there is no evidence to shew when the whole collection was issued, or indeed whether it was issued as a whole. The marginal glosses with which these translations are annotated are full of interest and strongly controversial. The spirit and even the style of Luther is distinctly visible in them. In the directness and persistency of their polemics against Rome they differ much from the glosses in the quarto Testament. Thus Tindale finds in the ceremonies of the Jewish Church the origin of the Romish rites (note on Ex. xxviii.). For example, on Ex. xxix. 37, he adds, 'Toch not the chalyce nor the 'altare stōne, nor holy oyle and holde youre hande out off 'the fonte.' On Ex. xxxvi. 5, he writes: 'when wil the 'Pope saye hoo, and forbid to offere for the bylding of 'saint Peters chyrch: and when will our spiritualtie saye 'hoo, and forbid to geue thē more londe, ād to make moe 'fūdacions? neuer verely vntill they hane all.' Even Tindale too could descend to a pleasantry like Luther. Thus on Ex. xxxii. 35, he remarks, 'The popis bull sleeth moo 'thā Aarons calfe...' The tonsure is criticized Levit. xxi. 5, 'Of the hethē preastes therfore toke our prelates the 'ensample off their balde pates.' One grim touch of satire may be added, Deut. xi. 19, 'Talk of them [the Lord's 'words] when thou sittest in thine house.' 'Talke of 'robynhod saye oure prelates.'

*The Trans-  
lation of  
Jonah, 1531.*

In the same year (1531), in all probability, the book of 'Jonah' with an important Prologue appeared, but no more

<sup>1</sup> Of this a single copy was found at A. Hervey [afterwards Bishop of Bath and Wells], which was reproduced in Ickworth [in 1861] by [the late] Lord

of Tindale's work on the Old Testament was published during his lifetime, except the 'Epistles from the Old Testament,' which were added to the revised edition of his New Testament. For in the midst of his constant perils and anxieties from within and from without Tindale found time to revise his New Testament carefully. The immediate occasion for the publication of his work was the appearance of an unauthorised revision in August 1534, by George Joye. The demand for the New Testaments, which appears to have slackened since 1530, was again so great that three surreptitious editions were printed at Antwerp in that year<sup>1</sup>; and Joye undertook to revise the sheets of a fourth edition. In doing this he made use, as he says, of the Latin text, and aimed at giving 'many words their pure and native signification.' The title of the book is singularly affected<sup>2</sup>, and the alterations were

*Joye's New Testament.*

facsimile by Mr F. Fry, 1863. [It is now in the British Museum.] For a comparison of the version with that of Coverdale, see p. 68. The book was denounced by Stokesley, Dec. 3, 1531, and in 1532 Sir T. More speaks of 'Jonas made out of Tindale.' Mr Fry has called my attention to these references.

<sup>1</sup> [According to Joye (*An Apology to W. Tindale*, ed. Arber, 1882, pp. 20-1), writing in November 1534, two pirated editions were sold off more than a year before, the first having apparently been issued soon after (Joye says 'a non afir') the appearance of Tindale's translation. A third was printed in the course of 1534, and Joye was asked but declined to correct it. The fourth edition which gave great offence to Tindale was edited by Joye and was published in August 1534.]

<sup>2</sup> The new Testament as it was written, and caused to be written, by them which herde yt. Whom also oure sauoure Christ Iesus commaunded that they shulde preach it vnto al creatures.

At the end of the New Testament is this colophon:

Here endeth the new Testament, diligently ouersene and corrected, and prynted now agayn at Antwerpe, by me wydowe of Christoffel of Endouē In the yere of oure Lorde .m.cccccc. and .xxxiiii, in August.

One copy only of this edition is known, which is in the Grenville Library in the British Museum.

It is not true, as is commonly said, that Joye 'expunged' the word 'resurrection' from his New Testament. It stands in such critical passages as Acts i. 22; iv. 2; xvii. 18, 32, &c.; 1 Cor. xv. 12, &c.; nor did Tindale bring this charge against him, but that 'throughout Matthew, Mark and Luke perpetually, and often in the Acts, and sometimes in John, and also in the Hebrews, where he findeth this word "Resurrection," he changeth it into the "life after this life," or "very life," and such like, as one that abhorred the name of 'the resurrection.' (*W. T. yet once more to the Christian reader*, in the N.T. of 1534.) Thus in Matt. xxii.

such as to arouse the just indignation of Tindale, whose name however is nowhere connected with the version. Among other new renderings Tindale specially notices that of '*the life after this*' for '*resurrection*.' Still Joye does not avoid the word '*resurrection*'; and if this were the only change, the particular substitution would be of little moment in the connexion where it occurs; but comparatively few paragraphs are left wholly untouched as far as I have examined the book. One continuous passage will exhibit Joye's mode of dealing with the text. The words in italics are variations from Tindale:

'That *thing* (om. T.) which was from the beginning 'declare we unto you, (add. *concerning* T. 1st ed.) which we 'have heard, which we have seen with our eyes, which 'we have looked upon, and our hands have handled; '*even that same thing which is (of the word of T.) life*. For '*that (the T.) life* appeared, and we have seen *it* (om. T.), '*wherefore we (and T.)* bear witness and shew unto you 'that eternal life, which was with the Father and appeared 'unto us. That *same thing* (om. T.) which we have seen 'and heard declare we unto you, that ye may have fellow-  
'ship with us, and that our fellowship may be with the 'Father and His Son Jesus Christ'. (1 John i. 1—3.)

Several of the changes noticed are suggested by the Vulgate; others are due apparently only to a mistaken effort to obtain clearness: none mark a critical examin-

23, 30, we read '*life after this*'; xxii. 31, '*the life of them that be dead*.'

So also Luke xx. 27, 33, 36 (children of that life). John v. 29 is translated 'and shall come forth, they that have 'done good unto the very life. And 'they that have done evil into the life 'of damnation.' In John xi. 24, 25 the word '*resurrection*' is retained. From these examples it is obvious that Joye's object was simply exegetical in the particular passages which he altered, and that he had no desire to expunge the idea or the word '*resurrection*' from his version. Later

writers have not dealt justly with him.

<sup>2</sup> In John i. 1—18 the following noticeable variations occur:

1 *that* Word: and God *was that* Word. 4 life (om. *the*). 5 darkness (om. *the*). 10 and the world (om. *yet*). 11 *into* his own and his (om. *own*) received. 15 bare witness of him, saying. 16 *favour for favour*. 17 *favour and verity*.

In Ephes. i. again these are found:

5 that we should be *chosen to be* heirs. 6 in *his* beloved son. 8 wisdom and *prudence*. 13 the Gospel of your *health*. 18 *what thing* that hope is.

ation of the original. But Joye knew that Tindale was studying the Greek afresh for his revised edition, which he had had some time in hand, and so he might well be said not to have 'used the office of an honest man.' However Tindale's own work was ready in the November of the same year. The text was not only revised, but furnished also with short marginal notes. Prologues were added to the several books<sup>1</sup>; the beginnings and endings of the lessons read in Church were marked; and a translation of 'the Epistles taken oute of the olde testament, 'whiche are red in the church after the vse of Sals-burye vpon certen dayes of the yere,' which include a large number of fragments from the Old Testament and the Apocrypha, classed together by Tindale under one head<sup>2</sup>.

*Tindale's  
revised edi-  
tion, 1534.*

<sup>1</sup> On the relation of these Prologues to Luther's, see Chap. III.

<sup>2</sup> The relation of the 'Epistles' containing translations of the Old Testament to the text of Tindale's continuous translation will be noticed afterwards. The following is (I hope) an accurate list of them. Gen. xxxvii. 6—22; Ex. xii. 1—11; xx. 12—24; xxiv. 12—18; Lev. xix. 1—18; Num. xx. 2—13; 1 Kings xvii. 17—24; xix. 3—8; Prov. xxxi. 10—31; Cant. ii. 1—14; Is. i. 16—19; ii. 1—5; vii. 10—15; xi. 1—5; xii. 1—6; xlix. 1—7; li. 1—8; liii. 1—12; lviii. 1—9; lx. 1—6; lxii. 6—12; Jerem. xvii. 13—18; xxiii. 5—8 (wrongly given xxxiii.); Ezek. i. 10—13; xviii. 20—28; xxxvi. 23—28; Joel ii. 12—19; 23—27; iii. 17—21; Hos. xiv. 1—9 (wrongly given xiii.); Amos ix. 13—15; Zech. ii. 10—13; viii. 3—8; Mal. iii. 1—4. From the Apocrypha, Esther xiii. 8—18; Wisd. v. 1—5; Eccles. xv. 1—6; xxiv. 7—15; 17—22; xlv. 17; xlv. 4 (part); li. 9—12.

In his reference to these, Mr Anderson is singularly unhappy. He omits six of the chapters from which the passages are taken (he does not give

the verses), and of those which he gives, six are wrong, from a confusion of x and v. He suppresses all the passages from the Apocrypha and converts Esther xiii. (apocryphal) into Esther viii. (canonical). He argues from the publication of these passages, 'that there were other chapters in 'manuscript' (l. p. 570), wholly neglecting to notice that these lessons were a definite collection from the service book. It is not generally worth while to note mistakes, but this error deserves to be signalized, because it does not spring from inaccuracy, but apparently in some degree from want of candour, for Mr Anderson labours to shew that Tindale would not have translated the Apocrypha. [This is hardly just to Anderson. He undoubtedly made mistakes in his account of the passages from the Old Testament translated by Tindale, but he omitted the references to the Apocrypha, not because he laboured to shew that Tindale would not have translated it, but because, regarding it as it is still regarded in Scotland, he did not concern himself with the history of its translation.

Anne Boleyn's copy.

One of the few copies of this edition which have been preserved is of touching interest. Among the men who had suffered for aiding in the circulation of the earlier editions of the Testament was a merchant-adventurer of Antwerp, Mr Harman, who seems to have applied to Queen Anne Boleyn for redress. The Queen listened to the plea which was urged in his favour, and by her intervention he was restored to the freedom and privileges of which he had been deprived. Tindale could not fail to hear of her good offices, and he acknowledged them by a royal gift. He was at the time engaged in superintending the printing of his revised New Testament, and of this he caused one copy to be struck off on vellum and beautifully illuminated. No preface or dedication or name mars the simple integrity of this copy. Only on the gilded edges in faded red letters runs the simple title *Anna Regina Angliæ*<sup>1</sup>.

The interest of the Queen in the work of Tindale appears to have extended yet further<sup>2</sup>: an edition of his revised New Testament, the first volume of Holy Scripture printed in England, appeared in the year in which she was put to death (1536), and from the press of a printer with whom her party was connected<sup>3</sup>. Tindale, who suffered in

For this reason in giving an account of the Authorised Version he omits the Company appointed to revise the Apocrypha, and mentions them only in a footnote, as distinguished from those 'engaged upon the Sacred Text.' In the last Revision, only one of the Scotch members of the Companies took an active part in the revision of the Apocrypha. W. A. W.]

<sup>1</sup> The copy was bequeathed to the British Museum by the Rev. C. M. Cracherode in 1799, but I have been unable to learn its previous history. It may have been 'bound in blue 'morocco' when it was presented to Anne Boleyn, as Mr Anderson says (l. 413), though it is very unlikely: the present binding is obviously of the 18th century [and is stamped with the arms of Mr Cracherode].

The shield on the title-page is filled with the arms of France and England quarterly. The first quarter is defaced, and the outline of the wood-engraving below is mixed with the charge. The capitals [at the beginning of the several books] are exquisitely illuminated.

<sup>2</sup> The 'lady Anne' had at an earlier time had a perilous adventure from lending to one of her ladies a copy of Tindale's *Obedience of a Christian Man*. The narrative is quoted in Tindale's *Works*, i. p. 130 (Parker Soc. ed.) [from Strype, *Ecc. Mem.* i. 172].

<sup>3</sup> This was not T. Berthelet, as is commonly supposed, but T. Godfray. This fact has been ascertained beyond all doubt by Mr Bradshaw. The engraved border, on the evidence of which the work has been assigned to



the same year, may have been martyred before the book was finished, but at least he must have been cheered with the knowledge of its progress. He had worked for thirteen years in exile by foreign instruments, and now in his last moments he was allowed to rejoice in the thought that his labour had found its proper home in his own land. For this end he had constantly striven: for this he had been prepared to sacrifice everything else; and the end was gained only when he was called to die.

*Tindale's  
work  
crowned at  
London  
and at  
Lilloerde.*

It is impossible to follow in detail the circumstances of Tindale's betrayal and martyrdom, yet the story is well worth pondering over. Some of the life-like touches in Foxe's narrative bring out the singleness of the character of the man whom he worthily called 'for his notable 'pains and travail an apostle of England.' One work had absorbed all his energy, and intent on that he had no eye for other objects. The traitor by whose devices he was taken (May, 1535) seemed to him, in spite of warnings,

*His mar-  
tyrdom.*

Berthelet, was used by Godfray before it passed into Berthelet's possession; and there is no evidence that Berthelet used it as early as 1536.

[ 'It is doubtful,' says Mr Jenkinson (*Early English Printed Books in the University Library, Cambridge*, III. p. 1730), 'whether this represents 'Mr Bradshaw's final opinion.' It is certain that the border in question was used by Berthelet in 1530 in a book printed by him, 'Gravissimæ '...Italiæ et Galliæ Academicarum 'censuræ—de veritate illius proposi- 'tionis...quod ducere relictam fratris 'mortui...sit de iure divino.' Again, it is found in another book also printed by him, *Kotser codicis R. Waksfeldi*, which must have been issued between 1533 and 1536, because of the references in it to Queen Anne Boleyn. According to Leland (*De viris illustribus*) Berthelet also printed an edition of Chaucer. (See Tyrwhitt's *Chaucer*, App. to Pref.)

If this is Thynne's edition of 1532, 'Printed by Thomas Godfray,' which has the same border on the title-page, it may throw some light on the relation between Godfray and Berthelet. From the undated edition (? 1550) which is said to have been 'printed 'by' each of the four booksellers, Bonham, Kele, Petit, and Toye, it is clear that 'printed by' sometimes meant 'printed for,' and therefore Thynne's edition may have been printed by Berthelet for Godfray. However this may be, it is not improbable that Tindale's New Testament of 1536, which has the same border, was printed by Berthelet, and it is certain, from the evidence given above, that Mr Bradshaw was mistaken in supposing that Berthelet did not use the border so early as 1536.]

The edition ends with the significant words, 'God saue the kynge, and all 'his well wyllers.'

honest, handsomely learned and *very conformable*.<sup>1</sup> He even furnished him with money, 'for in the wily subtilties 'of this world he was simple and inexpert.' But in defence of himself Tindale needed no counsel ; even by an adversary he was called 'a learned, pious and good man': his keeper, and his keeper's daughter, and others of his keeper's household were won over by him to his belief. His last prayer when fastened to the stake (Oct. 1536) witnessed equally to his loyalty and his faith: '*Lord! open the King of England's eyes.*'

*His last  
New Testa-  
ment.*

Before his imprisonment Tindale revised his New Testament once again for the press. This last edition contains one innovation in the addition of headings to the chapters in the Gospels and Acts, but not in the Epistles ; and is without the marginal notes, which were added to the edition of 1534. But it is chiefly distinguished by the peculiarity of the orthography, which has received a romantic interpretation. Tindale, as we have seen, had affirmed that 'he who followeth the plough' should in a few years have a full knowledge of the Scripture, and from the occurrence of such words as *maester, faether, moether, stoone*, in this edition it was concluded by a biographer that in his last years he adapted his translation to 'the pronounciation of 'the peasantry.' The conjecture seemed plausible and it is scarcely surprising that it has been transformed by repetition into an acknowledged fact. It is however not borne out by an examination of the book itself. Whatever may be the explanation of the orthography it is evident from its inconsistency that it was not the result of any fixed design. Nay more, there is not the least reason to suppose that some of the forms are provincial, or that the forms as a whole would make the language plainer to rustics. The headings too, which have been also supposed to have been designed 'to help to the understanding of the subjects 'treated of,' just fail when on that theory they would be most needed<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Two copies of this edition are in the University Library at Cambridge. That which I have used is known. [The other is in the Library

But though this pleasant fancy of the literal fulfilment of an early promise must be discarded, Tindale achieved in every way a nobler fulfilment of it. Instead of lowering his translation to a vulgar dialect, he lifted up the common language to the grand simplicity of his own idiom. 'It pleased God,' as he wrote in his first Prologue, 'to put [the translation] in his mind,' and if we look at his life and his work, we cannot believe that he was left without the Spirit of God in the execution of it. His single honesty is beyond all suspicion. 'I call God to recorde,' so he writes to Fryth in the Tower, 1533, 'against y<sup>e</sup> day we shall appeare before our Lord Iesus, to geue a recknyng of our doings, that I neuer altered one sillable of Gods word agaynst my cōscience, nor would this day, if all that is in the earth, whether it be pleasure, honour or riches, might be geuen me'. Not one selfish thought mixed with his magnificent devotion. No treacherous intrigues ever shook his loyalty to his king: no intensity of distress ever obscured his faith in Christ. 'I assure you,' he said to a royal

*The fulfilment of his work.*

of Exeter College, Oxford, and there is a fragment in the British Museum.] The orthography in the Table of the four Evangelists and the Prologue to the Romans which follows (not displaced by the binder) offers no marked peculiarities. In sheet A we find *aengell, waeye, faether, maeke, waere, saeyde, moether, aroese, behoelde, toeke, harde* (heard), &c., &c. In B, *maester, mother, mother, father, sayd, or sayde* (consistently), *sayth, stoede*, &c. In C, *sayde, angels, moether, harde, maester, master, father*, &c. In D, *faether, moether, mother, sayde, hearde*, &c. In F on one side, *faether, moether, broether*, and on the other, *angels, sayde, daye, brother, told, hearde*, &c. In V and Z we have almost consistently *faeyth, saeyde, hoepe, almoest, praeyer*, &c. Yet again in b *prayer*, &c. In the headings of the Epistles we have *saynt* and *saeynt*. Some spellings certainly belong to a foreign compositor, *thongs* (tongues, 1 Cor.

xiii.) [but twice in the same page *tonges*]; *thangh* (taught). Some I cannot explain, *caled* (called), *holly* (holy), which forms are consistently used. Of possible explanations none seems more likely than that the copy was read to a Flemish compositor (at Brussels? or Malines?) and that the vowels simply give the Flemish equivalents of the English vowel sounds. See note at the end of the section, p. 54.

The text is carefully revised, as will be shewn afterwards, and the chapter headings are simply transferred from the table of the Gospels and Acts in the Testament of 1534. Mr F. Fry has since found substantially the same text in an edition dated 1534 (G. H.), i.e. probably 1535, January—March. [Mr Fry's copy, now in the Library of the Bible Society, has a title-page with the date 1535.]

<sup>1</sup> Tindale's *Works*, p. 456 (ed. 1573).

envoy<sup>1</sup>, 'if it would stand with the king's most gracious pleasure to grant only a bare text of the Scripture to be put forth among his people, like as is put forth among the subjects of the emperor in these parts [the Netherlands], and of other Christian princes, be it of the translation of what person soever shall please his majesty, I shall immediately make faithful promise never to write more, nor abide two days in these parts, after the same; but immediately repair into his realm, and there most humbly submit myself at the feet of his royal majesty, offering my body, to suffer what pain or torture, yea, what death his grace will, *so that this be obtained.*' His life had seemed friendless, but his one dearest companion (Fryth) may interpret the temper common to them both. 'Doubt not,' he writes from the Tower to his desolate congregation, 'but that god...shal so provide for you, that ye shall haue an hundred fathers for one, an hūdred mothers for one, an hundred houses for one, and that in this lyfe, *as I haue proued by experience*<sup>2</sup>.' We dilute the promise by our comments: these martyrs proved it in their lives.

*Tindale's  
last words  
on his  
translation.*

The worth of Tindale as a scholar must be estimated by his translation, which will be examined afterwards. Of the spirit in which he undertook the great work of his life something has been said already. To the end he retained unchanged, or only deepened and chastened his noble forgetfulness of self in the prospect of its accomplishment, with a jealous regard for the sincere rendering of the Scriptures. Before he published the revised edition of 1534 he had been sorely tried by the interference of Joye, which might, as he thought, bring discredit to the Gospel itself.

<sup>1</sup> Vaughan's dispatch (1531) quoted by Anderson, i. p. 278. Fryth's language (1533) is to the same effect: 'This hath bene offered you, is offered, and shall be offered; Graunt that the word of God, I meane y<sup>e</sup> text of Scripture, may go abroad in our English tounge, as other nations haue it in their tounes, and my

'brother William Tyndall, and I haue done, & will promise you to write no more. If you wil not graunt this condition, then will we be doing while we haue breath, and shew in few wordes that the Scripture doth in many; and so at the test saue some.'

Fryth's *Works*, p. 115 (ed. 1573).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* p. 82.

The passage with which he closes his disclaimer of Joye's edition reflects at once his vigour and its tenderness. There is in it something of the freedom and power of Luther, but it is charged with a simple humility which Luther rarely if ever shews.... 'My part,' Tindale writes, 'be not in Christ if mine heart be not to follow and live according as I teach, and also if mine heart weep not night and day for mine own sin and other men's indifferently, beseeching God to convert us all and to take his wrath from us and to be merciful as well to all other men, as to mine own soul, caring for the wealth of the realm I was born in, for the king and all that are thereof, as a tender-hearted mother would do for her only son.

'As concerning all I have translated or otherwise written. I beseech all men to read it for that purpose I wrote it: even to bring them to the knowledge of the Scripture. And as far as the Scripture approveth it, so far to allow it, and if in any place the word of God disallow it, there to refuse it, as I do before our Saviour Christ and His congregation. And where they find faults let them shew it me, if they be nigh, or write to me if they be far off: or write openly against it and improve it, and I promise them, if I shall perceive that their reasons conclude I will confess mine ignorance openly.

'Wherefore I beseech George Joye, yea and all other too, for to translate the Scripture for themselves, whether out of the Greek, Latin or Hebrew. Or (if they will needs)...let them take my translations and labours, and change and alter, and correct and corrupt at their pleasures, and call it their own translations and put to their own names and not to play bo-peep after George Joye's manner...But I neither can nor will suffer of any man, that he shall go take my translation and correct it without name, and make such changing as I myself durst not do, as I hope to have my part in Christ, though the whole world should be given me for my labour!'

<sup>1</sup> 'W. T. yet once more to the Christian Reader' in the N.T. of 1534. I cannot find this address in my copy of Tindale's *Works* published

by the Parker Society. Part of it is given in the *Life*, pp. lxii. ff.

The Grenville fragment of Tindale's first quarto Testament with glosses has been perfectly reproduced in photo-lithography by Mr E. Arber, London, 1871.

The first octavo has been printed: (1) by Mr Offor [1836], but this edition, though verbally accurate, is wholly untrustworthy in spelling; and (2) in facsimile by Mr F. Fry [1862] with

most scrupulous exactness.

The revised edition of 1534 (M. Emperour) is given in Bagster's *Hexapla*, carefully and well, as far as I have observed.

The final revision of 1535, 1534 G.H. has not yet been published as a whole or in a collation, though it is from this that Tindale's work has passed directly into our Authorised Version. [The edition of 1535 is probably an unauthorised reprint.]

#### NOTE to p. 51.

Mr F. Fry has made an ample collection of the spellings peculiar to or characteristic of the edition of 1535. By the help of this, which he most kindly communicated to me, I have drawn up the following table of the substitutions of vowel sounds. They seem to me to fall (as Mr W. A. Wright has suggested) under the general description which Bosworth has given of the peculiarities of the Flemish orthography: *Anglo-Saxon Dictionary*, p. cxi. The unequal distribution of the peculiarities to which attention has been called already (p. 51, note) is a most important fact in this connexion. [See Fry on Tindale's N.T., 1878, pp. 63-5.]

#### ae for a

abstaeyne, aengell, awaeke, cacke, caese, faether, graece, maester, raether, shaell, greaet

#### ae for ay

vaele (vayle 1534)

#### ae for ea

aete (cate), paerle (pearl), recaeve (receave 1534), swaerdes (sweardes 1534)

#### ae for e

belaeved (beveled 1534), decaeuable (deceivable 1534), dekaeye (dekeye 1534), naedeth (nedeth 1534)

#### oe for o

aboede, accoerde, almoest, anoether, aroese, avoeyde, boedy, boeke, broether, choese, coelde, hoepe, moether, roese

#### oe for ou

foere (four 1534)

#### oe for e

knoeled (kneled 1534)

#### oo for o

boones, coostes (costes), hoow, loo (lo), moore, moest, oone, oonly, oons (once), roope, thoorow, whoo, whoose

#### ye for y

abyede (abyde 1534)

#### ey for e

agreyment (agrement 1534)

#### ee for e

heere, preest (prest), spreede (sprede 1534), teell, theese

#### ea for a

eare (are)

#### ie for y (i)

bliend

#### ea for e

streates (stretes), fealde (felde 1534), hear (her), neade (nede 1534)

#### ae for ay

chaene (chayne 1534), counsael (counsaille 1534)

#### ue for u

crueses, ruele, ruelers

## § 2. COVERDALE.

Tindale's character is heroic. He could see clearly the work to which he was called and pursue it with a single unswerving faith in GOD and in the powers which GOD had given him. It was otherwise with Miles Coverdale, who was allowed to finish what Tindale left incomplete. The differences of the men are written no less on their features than on their lives. But our admiration for the solitary massive strength of the one must not make us insensible to the patient labours and tender sympathy of the other<sup>1</sup>. From the first Coverdale appears to have attached himself to the liberal members of the old party and to have looked to working out a reformation from within through them. As early as 1527 he was in intimate connexion with Crumwell and More<sup>2</sup>; and in all probability it was under their patronage that he was able to prepare for his translation of Holy Scripture. How long he thus laboured we cannot tell<sup>3</sup>. In 1529 he met Tindale at Hamburgh<sup>4</sup>, and must have continued abroad for a considerable part of the following years up to 1536. In the meantime a great change had passed over England since

2. COVER-  
DALE.

*His early  
connexion  
with More  
and Crum-  
well.*

Some sounds are expressed in different ways, especially 'o.' Thus we have *aloene* and *aloone*; *boeldely* and *booldly*; *boethe* and *booth*; *coete* and *coote*; *hoeme* and *hooome*; *locke* and *louke* (*loke* 1534); *noene* and *noone*; *stoene* and *stoone*; *thoese* and *thoosse*; *whoem* and *whoom*. So also we have *thcare* and *there*; *tought* and *thought* (*taught*).

Other exceptional forms are *tappe* (top), *touth* (to the 1534), *waere* and *woere* (where), *woeld* (would), *te* (the), *mouny* (money).

<sup>1</sup> The later Puritanism of Coverdale is consistent with this view of his character. He was a man born rather to receive than to create impressions.

<sup>2</sup> Anderson, i. p. 186.

<sup>3</sup> In an undated letter to Crumwell he says, evidently in reference to some

specific 'communication' from him, 'Now I begin to taste of Holy Scriptures...Nothing in the world I desire 'but books as concerning my learning: 'they once had, I do not doubt, but 'Almighty God shall perform that in 'me which he of his plentiful favour 'and grace hath begun.' Anderson fixes this in 1531. The letter however from style seems to be nearly contemporary with another addressed to Crumwell in 1527. [*State Papers*, i. 383.]

<sup>4</sup> Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, v. 120. I see nothing derogatory to Tindale or improbable in Foxe's explicit statement that at this time Coverdale helped him in translating the Pentateuch; though on such a point Foxe's unsupported statement is not sufficient evidence.

the 'Bill' of 1530<sup>1</sup>. At the close of 1534 a convocation under the presidency of Cranmer had agreed to petition the king that he would 'vouchsafe to decree that the Scriptures should be translated into the vulgar tongue, by some 'honest and learned men, to be nominated by the king, and 'to be delivered to the people according to their learning<sup>2</sup>.' Crumwell, who must have been well aware of the turn which opinion had taken, seems now to have urged Coverdale to commit his work to the press. At any rate by 1534 he was ready, 'as he was desired,' 'to *set forth*' (*i.e.* to publish) his translation<sup>3</sup>, and the work was finished in October, 1535.

*His Bible  
sent to the  
press.*

But up to the present time the place where it was printed is wholly undetermined, though most bibliographers agree that it was printed abroad. Various conjectures have been made, but when examined minutely they are found to be unsupported by any substantial evidence. The woodcuts and type are certainly not those used by Egenolph of Frankfort, to which however they bear a very close resemblance<sup>4</sup>. On the other hand, no book printed by Froshover of Zurich has yet been found with more than the two larger kinds of type used in Coverdale's Bible<sup>5</sup>. The question is further complicated by the fact that the title-page and

*Three title-  
pages.*

<sup>1</sup> See p. 43.

<sup>2</sup> Strype, *Cranmer*, p. 34 (ed. 1812). It is uncertain whether it was after this resolution (as seems most likely), or not till after the corresponding resolutions of 1536, that Cranmer endeavoured to engage the bishops in a translation or revision of the English Bible [New Testament], of which attempt Strype has preserved an amusing anecdote: *Cranmer*, p. 48.

Strype says that Cranmer took 'an 'old English translation which he 'divided into nine or ten parts...to 'be sent to the best learned bishops 'and others, to the intent they should 'make a perfect correction thereof.' It has been argued that the epithet 'old' can only refer to a copy of the

Wycliffite version—as if that were available for such a purpose; but in point of fact the epithet is not found in Foxe's MSS. [Harl. MS. 422, Plut. lxx. B fol. 87], to which Strype refers as the authority for his account.

<sup>3</sup> The date is added in the edition of 1550. The words do not imply that he commenced it then.

<sup>4</sup> Mr F. Fry on *Coverdale's Bible of 1535*, p. 32. On this point I have satisfied myself completely.

<sup>5</sup> Mr Fry, *loc. cit.* p. 28. It is right to add that I am convinced, on internal grounds, that Froshover was the printer, though at present no satisfactory direct evidence of the fact can be adduced. Froshover, it may be added, printed the edition of 1550.



preliminary matter were reprinted in a different (English) type<sup>1</sup>, and the five remaining title-pages represent three

Dr Ginsburg informs me that he has complete typographical proof that the Bible was printed by Frosch-over. [See the article on Coverdale by Mr Tedder in the *Dict. of Nat. Biography*.]

[In the Catalogue of the Caxton Exhibition in 1877 the late Henry Stevens of Vermont propounded (pp. 86—90) a theory that the Bible of 1535 was printed at Antwerp by Jacob von Meteren at his own cost, and that the translation was his work, Coverdale occupying the humbler position of corrector of the press. This theory would have been grotesque but for the fact that it was adopted by the authorities of the British Museum in their Catalogue, in which Antwerp is given as the place of printing and Van Meteren as the printer, without a hint that these were in any way doubtful. All this cobweb is spun out of the simple statement by Simeon Ruytinck, in his *Leven van Emanuel van Meteren* (E. van Meteren, *Nederlandische Historie*, 1614, fol. 672), that his father, Jacob van Meteren, had in his youth learnt the art of printing and had shewn especial zeal in defraying the expenses of translating and printing the English Bible in Antwerp, employing for the purpose a learned student, Miles Coverdale by name. This is probably what Ruytinck heard, not quite accurately, from Emanuel; but in a document in the possession of the Dutch Church, Austen Friars, Emanuel himself in 1610 deposes, 'That he was brought to England Anno 1550, in King Edward's the 6 dayes, by his Father, a furtherer of reformed religion, and he that caused the first Bible at his costes to be Englished by Mr Myles Coverdale in Andwarp, the w<sup>h</sup> his father, with

'Mr Edward Whytchurch, printed both in Paris and London.' (See Introduction to the Registers of the Dutch Reformed Church, by W. J. C. Moens, 1884.)

There is nothing in either of these statements to imply anything so absurd as that the first English Bible was translated by a Dutchman, and the only safe inference that can be drawn from them is that Jacob van Meteren found means which enabled Coverdale to carry out his work of translation at Antwerp. So far the two accounts agree. But according to Ruytinck the printing also was done at Antwerp, whereas Emanuel van Meteren places it at Paris and London. This fact, together with the introduction of the name of Edward Whitchurch, makes it probable that the English Bible with which Jacob van Meteren had to do was rather Matthew's of 1537 or the Great Bible of 1539 and not Coverdale's of 1535.

Whitchurch's initials are conspicuous in the Bible of 1537, and he was on intimate terms with Rogers, for it was to his house that Rogers appears to have come on his return to England in 1548. He was also associated with Grafton in printing the Great Bible.

In estimating the value of Emanuel van Meteren's evidence, it must be remembered that his knowledge could only have been derived from hearsay, for he was not born till 1535.

No trace of Jacob van Meteren has been found among the Antwerp printers.]

<sup>1</sup> Probably, as Mr Fry shews, by Nycolson: *l.c.* p. 20.

In the same way the title-page and preliminary matter of the edition of 1550 printed abroad were cancelled, and a new title-page, &c. printed in England substituted in their place.

distinct issues, two in 1535, and one in 1536. Two copies<sup>1</sup> have a title-page corresponding to the body of the book, dated 1535, and one<sup>2</sup> of them preserves a single page of the original preliminary matter. Another copy<sup>3</sup> has a title-page in English type, corresponding to the English preliminary matter, dated also 1535. The two other title-pages are printed in English type, but with the date 1536<sup>4</sup>. Thus there can be no reason to doubt that the book was issued both with the foreign and English title-pages, &c.<sup>5</sup>, though it may still be doubted whether the English title-page, &c. belong to 1536 or to 1535<sup>6</sup>.

*The differences of the title-pages.*

One important difference between the foreign and English title-pages must be noticed. In the former it is said that the book is 'faithfully and truly translated out of 'Douche [German] and Latyn in to Englishe': in the latter the sources of the version are left unnoticed, and it is said simply to be 'faithfully translated into English.' It is possible that the explanatory words taken in connexion with some further details in the original prologue may have been displeasing to the promoters of the edition<sup>7</sup>, and that a new and less explicit title-page, &c. was substituted for

<sup>1</sup> [One in the British Museum, imperfect, the other in the Library of the Earl of Leicester at Holkham.]

<sup>2</sup> [At Holkham.]

<sup>3</sup> [The Marquess of Northampton's.]

<sup>4</sup> See App. II.

<sup>5</sup> The fragment of the foreign printed Prologue offers only one important variation from the corresponding part of the English Prologue: Mr Fry, *l.c.* p. 18.

It is of course impossible to determine the cause of the suppression of the foreign title-page and Prologue. Coverdale may have explained too much in detail 'the Douche and 'Latyn' sources from which he borrowed to suit the wishes of his patrons or publishers. The change in the title-page suggests the conjecture, which is however otherwise unsupported.

<sup>6</sup> It is possible (as has been suggested to me) that when some copies of the English title-page had been struck off with the date 1535, corresponding to the imprint, this date was afterwards changed in the setting of the page to 1536 to suit the actual time of the English issue; so that the two title-pages belong really only to one issue. The only difference observable in the facsimiles of the two title-pages is the inversion of one of the ornaments on the side of *BIDLIA*.

<sup>7</sup> [The less subtle explanation of Stevens (*Bibles in the Caxton Exhibition*, p. 70) is probably the true one. The title is in a woodcut border, and when the two lines of the quotation from Joshua were added, space had to be provided for them by omitting some of the preceding words.]

the first. However this may have been, the statement itself, as will be seen afterwards, was literally true, and Coverdale describes clearly enough in the existing prologue the secondary character of his work<sup>1</sup>.

Coverdale indeed disclaims the originality which friends and detractors have alike assigned to him. And it is in this that the true beauty and truth of his nature are seen. He distinctly acknowledges that he could but occupy for a time the place of another; nay he even looks to this as the best fruit of his labours that he should call out a worthier successor to displace himself. 'Though it [Scripture],' he writes, 'be not worthely ministred vnto the [Christian reader] in this translacyon (by reason of my rudnes); 'Yet yf thou be feruēt in thy prayer, God shal not onely 'sende it the in a better shappe, *by the mynistracyon of 'other that beganne it afore* [Tindale], but shall also moue 'the hertes of them, which as yet medled not withall, to 'take it in hande, and to bestowe the gifte of theyr vnder-'stondynge thereon'...

*Coverdale's  
account of  
his work.*

Yet in the meantime he saw that there was something for him to do. It was a noble end if he could secure that Holy Scripture should be 'set forth' (as he was able to obtain) 'with the Kynges most gracious license.' And so plainly disclosing his motives he says... 'whan I cōsydered 'how greate pytie it was that we shulde wante it so longe, '& called to my remembraunce y<sup>e</sup> aduersite of them, which 'were not onely of rype knowlege, but wolde also with all 'theyr hertes haue perfourmed y<sup>e</sup> they beganne, yf they 'had not had impediment..... these and other reasonable 'causes consydered, I was the more bolde to take it in

*His motives.*

<sup>1</sup> The supposition that the publication of the work was delayed by the fall of Q. Anne Boleyn is quite baseless. The substitution of the name of Q. Jane without any other alteration in the edition of 1537 is like that of the name of Edward VI for Henry VIII in the edition of 1550. The appro-

priateness of epithets was not much considered by early editors. Mr Fry has shewn, *l.c.* pp. 10 ff., that all the dedications found in copies of the first edition with Q. Jane's name belong to the edition of 1537.

<sup>2</sup> Coverdale's *Prologe vnto the Christen Reader*.

'hande. And to helpe me herin, I haue had sondrye  
'translacions, not onely in latyn, but also of the Douche  
'[German] interpreters: whom (because of theyr synguler  
'gyftes & speciall diligence in the Bible) I haue ben the  
'more glad to folowe for the most parte, accordynge as I  
'was requyred. But to saye the trueth before God, it was  
'nether my laboure ner desyre, to haue this worke put in  
'my hande: neuertheles it greued me y<sup>e</sup> other nacyōs  
'shulde be more plenteously prouyded for with y<sup>e</sup> scripture  
'in theyr mother tongue, then we: therfore whan I was  
'instantly requyred, though I coulde not do so well as  
'I wolde, I thought it yet my dewtye to do my best, and  
'that with a good wyll<sup>1</sup>.'

*The good of  
many ver-  
sions.*

Some good indeed he did hope might permanently remain from his work. As the faithful and honest interpretation of one man it might serve as a kind of comment to another version.

'...So maye dyuerse translacyons,' he writes 'vnderstonde  
'one another, & that in the head articles & grounde of  
'oure most blessed faith, though they vse sondrye wordes.  
'wherfore me thynke we haue greate occasyon to geue  
'thanks vnto God, that he hath opened vnto his church the  
'gyfte of interpretacyon & of pryntying, and that there  
'are now at this tyme so many, which with soch diligēce  
'and faithfulness interpret the scripture to the honoure of  
'god and edifyenge of his people.....For the which cause  
'(acordynge as I was desyred)<sup>2</sup> I toke the more vpon me to  
'set forth this speciall translacyon, not as a checker, not as  
'a reprouer, or despyser of other mens translacyons (for  
'amonge many as yet I haue founde none without occasyon  
'of greate thanksgeuyng unto god) but lowly & faythfully  
'haue I folowed myne interpreters, & that vnder correccion.  
'And though I haue fayled eny where (as there is noman  
'but he mysseth in some thyng) loue shall constyrre all to  
'y<sup>e</sup> best without eny peruerse iudgment...Yf thou [reader]  
'hast knowlege therfore to iudge where eny faute is made,

<sup>1</sup> Coverdale's *Prologe*.

<sup>2</sup> In the edition of 1550 is added 'in 1534.'

'I doute not but thou wilt helpe to amende it, yf loue be  
'ioyned with thy knowlege. Howbeit wherin so euer I can  
'perceau by my selfe, or by the informacyon of other, that  
'I haue fayled (as it is no wonder) I shall now by the helpe  
'of God ouerloke it better & amende it<sup>1</sup>.'

The translation of Tindale went forth to the world without any dedication or author's name. All that was personal was sunk in the grandeur of the message opened to Englishmen. But it could not be so with Coverdale's. His object was to bring about the *open* circulation of the Scriptures, and that could only be by securing the king's favour. To this end the work was dedicated to Henry VIII in language which to us now is in many parts strangely painful, though it was not out of harmony with the taste and peculiar circumstances of the time<sup>2</sup>.

*His translation dedicated to Henry VIII.*

... 'I thought it my dutye,' he says, 'and to belonge  
'vnto my allegiaunce, whan I had translated this Bible, not  
'onely to dedicate this translacyon vnto youre hyghnesse,  
'but wholly to commytte it vnto the same: to the intent  
'that yf any thyng therin be translated amysse (for in  
'many thynges we sayle, euen whan we thynke to be sure)  
'it may stōde in youre graces handes, to correcte it, to  
'amende it, to improue it, yee & cleane to reiecte it, yf  
'youre godly wysdome shall thynke it necessary.' But  
even so the spirit of the humble and true scholar asserts  
itself. For he continues, 'And as I do with all humblenes  
'submitte myne vnderstondynge and my poore translacyon  
'vnto y<sup>e</sup> spirite of trueth in your grace, so make I this  
'protestacyon (hauyng God to recorde in my cōscience),  
'that I haue nether wrested nor altered so moch as one  
'worde for the mayntenaūce of any maner of secte: but  
'haue with a cleare conscience purely & faythfully translated  
'this out of fyue sundry interpreters, hauyng onely the  
'manifest trueth of the scripture before myne eyes<sup>3</sup>.'...

<sup>1</sup> *Prologe vnto the Christen Reader.*

<sup>2</sup> The Dedication of the Authorised Version is even more painful and less capable of excuse. It seems strange that this should hold its place in our

Bibles while the noble Preface is universally omitted.

<sup>3</sup> *An Epistle vnto the Kynges hyghnesse.*

*A Latin-  
English  
Testament.*

Still acting on the broad principle of 'becoming all 'things to all men,' Coverdale afterwards (1538) revised his New Testament, according to the Latin and published it with the Vulgate in parallel columns<sup>1</sup>. His great object was to interpret the Latin itself to some who used it ignorantly, and also to shew openly the substantial identity of Scripture in different languages. Many disparaged this translation or that... 'as though,' he says, 'the holy goost 'were not the authoure of his scripture aswell in the 'Hebrue, Greke, French, Dutche, and in Englysh, as in 'Latyn. The scripture & worde of God is truly to every 'Christē man of lyke worthynesse and authorite, in what 'language so euer the holy goost speaketh it. And ther- 'fore am I, and wyl be whyle I lyue (vnder youre moost 'gracious fauoure and correction)'—he is still addressing Henry VIII—'alwaye wyllinge and ready to do my best 'aswel in one translation, as in another<sup>2</sup>.' And thus in the

<sup>1</sup> Of this *Latin-English Testament* there are three editions. The first was printed by Nycolson 1538 and dedicated to Henry VIII. This was executed while Coverdale was in Paris and disowned by him on the ground that 'as it was disagreeable to my 'former translation in English, so 'was not the true copy of the Latin 'text observed' (*Remains*, p. 33). Accordingly he revised it, weeding out 'the faults that were in the Latin 'and English before' (*id.*), and printed a new edition in Paris in the same year, which was published by Grafton and Whitchurch, and dedicated to Lord Crumwell. Nycolson however put forth another impression of his edition under the name of John Hollybushe (1538).

It is probable that Coverdale simply left instructions with the printer as to how the work should be done, not foreseeing the difficulties which would arise, and that the printer engaged Hollybushe to superintend the work, which Coverdale when he saw it dis-

avowed. Coverdale's own Testament is an adaptation of his version to the Latin. Hollybushe's is a new version from the Latin on the basis of Coverdale's. Specimens are given in App. vi.

The titles of the two principal editions are the following:

The newe testament both Latine and Englyshe ech correspondent to the other after the vulgare text, comunely called S. Ieroms. Faythfully translated by Myles Couerdale Anno M.CCCC.XXXVIII....Printed in Southwarke by Iames Nicolson. Set forth wyth the Kynges moost gracious licence.

The new testamen both in Latin and English after the vulgare texte: which is red in the churche. Translated and corrected by Myles Couerdale: and prynted in Paris, by Fraunces Regnault. M.CCCC.XXXVIII. in Noembre...Cum gratia & privilegio regis.

<sup>2</sup> *Memorials of Myles Coverdale* (1838), p. 97.

particular case of translations from different texts he reaffirms his general principle of the utility of various translations, applied before to various renderings of the same text ... 'for thy part, most gentle reader, take in good worth 'that I here offer thee with a good will, and let this present 'translation be no prejudice to the other, that out of the 'Greek have been translated before, or shall be hereafter. 'For if thou open thine eyes and consider well the gift of 'the Holy Ghost therein, thou shalt see that one translation 'declareth, openeth, and illustrateth another, and that in 'many places one is a plain commentary unto another'¹.

It is very difficult to ascertain the exact relation in which the first edition of Coverdale's Bible stood to the civil authority. There can be no doubt that it was undertaken by the desire of Crumwell, and its appearance may have been hastened by the change of feeling which found expression in the resolutions of Convocation in 1534, though it could not have owed its origin to them. But when it was finished in October 1535 Crumwell appears to have been unable to obtain a definite license from the king, or it may be that he thought it more prudent to await the publication of the book. So much is certain that the first edition went forth without any distinct royal sanction. The book was not suppressed, and this was all². But Convocation was not satisfied; and in 1536 they again petitioned that a new translation might be undertaken. Nothing however was

*Coverdale's Bible not distinctly sanctioned by the king in 1535.*

¹ *Remains*, p. 36. (*Parker Soc.*)

² On the whole it seems best to refer Coverdale's account of the reference of 'his Bible' by the King to the Bishops to the Great Bible. See p. 176, n. 1. [But if Fulke's account (*Defence of the English Translations of the Bible*, p. 98, *Parker Soc.* ed.) is correct, Coverdale in his sermon at Paul's Cross spoke of having twice revised his translation since it was submitted to the King. These two revisions would be apparently the Great Bible of 1539 and the edition of April 1540. In this case the Bible

presented to the King must have been that of 1535. According to Coverdale, the Bishops to whom it was referred said there were many faults in it, but admitted there were no heresies. 'Then,' said the King, 'in God's 'name let it go abroad among our 'people,' and accordingly the 4<sup>o</sup> edition printed by Nycolson in 1537 bore on the title-page, 'Set forth with 'the Kinges moost gracious licence.' After this it is hardly likely that the Great Bible also would be referred to the Bishops.]

done; but the relation in which the king stood to the Papal See had already given greater importance to the public recognition of the supremacy of Scripture.

*A council  
held by  
Crumwell.*

So it happened that when a council was held in the next year under the presidency of Crumwell, as vicar-general, to determine certain articles of faith, the varieties of opinion about Scripture found vigorous expression. Alexander Ales has left a vivid account of the meeting which has been transcribed by Foxe. 'At the king's pleasure all the learned men, but especially the bishops, assembled, to whom this matter seemed chiefly to belong .....The bishops and prelates attending upon the coming of Crumwell, as he was come in, rose up and did obeisance unto him as to their vicar-general, and he again saluted every one in their degree, and sat down in the highest place at the table, according to his degree and office.....' Thereupon Crumwell opened the discussion by sketching in a short speech the king's purpose and commands. He will not, he said, 'admit any articles or doctrine not contained in the Scripture, but approved only by continuance of time and old custom, and by unwritten verities, as ye were wont to do.....His majesty will give you high thanks, if ye will set and conclude a godly and a perfect unity: whereunto this is the only way and mean, if ye will determine all things by the Scripture, as God commandeth you in Deuteronomy; which thing his majesty exhorteth and desireth you to do.' On this 'the bishops rose up altogether giving thanks unto the king's majesty .....for his most godly exhortation.....' There was less unanimity afterwards. The discussion turned upon the Sacraments. Cranmer wisely urged moderation and accuracy of definition. Ales, at the invitation of Crumwell, proceeded to investigate the meaning of the word. Stokesley, bishop of London, interrupted him as he was examining the opinions of the fathers, and was in turn checked by Fox of Hereford, who reminded both that 'they were commanded by the king that these controversies should be determined only by the rule and judgment of the



'Scripture.' Then specially addressing the bishops he continued.....'The lay people do now know the holy 'Scripture better than many of us; and the Germans have 'made the text of the Bible so plain and easy, by the 'Hebrew and Greek tongues, that now many things may 'be better understood without any glosses at all than by all 'the commentaries of the doctors. And, moreover, they 'have so opened these controversies by their writings, that 'women and children may wonder at the blindness and 'falsehood that have been hitherto... Truth is the daughter 'of time, and time is the mother of truth; and whatsoever 'is besieged of truth cannot long continue; and upon 'whose side truth doth stand, that ought not to be thought 'transitory, or that it will ever fall...' But Stokesley, hard pressed in the argument, replied to Ales with inconsiderate warmth.....'Ye are far deceived if ye think that there is 'none other word of God but that which every souter and 'cobbler doth read in their mother tongue. And if ye 'think that nothing pertaineth unto the Christian faith, 'but that only that is written in the Bible, then err ye 'plainly with the Lutherans'.....'Now when the right noble 'lord Crumwell, the archbishop, with the other bishops, who 'did defend the pure doctrine of the Gospel, heard this, 'they smiled a little one upon another, forasmuch as they 'saw him flee, even in the very beginning of the disputation, 'unto his old rusty sophistry and unwritten verities.....' 'Thus, through the industry of Crumwell, the colloquies 'were brought to this end, that albeit religion could not 'wholly be reformed, yet at that time there was some 'reformation had throughout all England!'

• In the meantime the first edition of Coverdale's Bible was exhausted. The fall and death of Queen Anne, which had seemed likely to be fatal to the cause of the reformers, had not stayed the desire for the vernacular Scriptures which sprang from popular and not from political impulses. The feeling of the clergy and the bishops was indeed

*The second  
edition of  
Coverdale's  
Bible  
authorised,  
1537.*

<sup>1</sup> Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, v. 378—384.

divided on the question, but even among them the king could find sufficient support to justify a decided step in directly authorising the publication of the English Bible<sup>1</sup>. Two editions of Coverdale's translation, in folio and quarto, 'overseen and corrected,' were published by Nycolson in Southwark in 1537, and for the first time the quarto has 'Set forth with the Kinges moost gracious licence.' The name of Queen Jane was substituted for that of Queen Anne in the dedication without further change, and at length the English Bible was not only tacitly overlooked but distinctly allowed to circulate freely. Coverdale, through Crumwell's influence, had established a precedent, and successors were found at once to avail themselves of it.

*Prayer before reading the Scriptures.*

The revised edition of Coverdale differs slightly in text and arrangement from that of 1535. One significant addition is worthy of notice, a prayer to be used before reading the Bible: 'Because that whan thou goest to studye in 'holy scripture thou shuldest do it with reuerence, therefore 'for thyn instruccyon and louynge admonicyon therto, the 'Reuerende father in God, Nicolas<sup>2</sup>, Bysshoppe of Salis- 'bury hath prescrybed this prayer folowinge, taken out of 'the same.

"O Lorde God almyghtye which longe ago saydest by  
"the mouth of Iames thyne Apostle: Yf ony of you lacke  
"wysdome, let hym aske it of God.....Heare my peticyon  
"for this thy promes sake.....Haue mercy vpon me, &  
"gracyously heare me for IESUS CHRIS[TES] sake our  
"LORDE, which lyueth and rayneth with thee, his father &  
"the holy goost, worlde with out ende. Amen."

'After the ende of ony Chapter (yf thou wylt) thou  
'mayest saye these verses folowyng.

<sup>1</sup> According to Foxe, Crumwell, as Vice-gerent, issued in 1536 an injunction that by Aug. 1 every church should be provided with 'a book of 'the whole Bible, both in Latin, and 'also in English...for every man that 'will, to look and read thereon...' (Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, v. 167.)

It is however certain that this injunction was not *published*. The original draught may have contained the provision, which is the more likely as it is not similar in form to the corresponding injunction of 1538.

<sup>2</sup> [Shaxton.]

"Leade me (O LORDE) in thy waye, & let me walke in thy trueth. Oh let myne heart delyte in fearynge thy name.

"Ordre my goynges after thy worde, y<sup>e</sup> no wyckednesse rayne in me.

"Kepe my steppes within thy pathes, lest my fete turne into ony contrarye waye."<sup>1</sup>

### § 3. MATTHEW (ROGERS).

Coverdale, we have seen, looked earnestly for the displacing of his own work by another. His prayers and the prayers of his readers were answered sooner than he could have hoped. Tindale, at the time of his martyrdom, had published of the Old Testament the Pentateuch and book of Jonah, with a few detached pieces, being 'Epistles from the Old Testament according to the use of Salisbury,' including Lessons from Ecclesiasticus and Wisdom<sup>2</sup>. But he had left in manuscript, according to universal belief, a version of the books from Joshua to 2 Chronicles inclusive, which came into the hands of his friend John Rogers. This work was not to be lost; so Rogers, by the help of an unknown fellow-labourer, Thomas Matthew, or simply under this assumed name<sup>3</sup>, put together a composite Bible made

*The composition of Matthew's Bible.*

<sup>1</sup> [This prayer is also found on the back of the title of a copy of the Bible of 1535 which is in the Cambridge University Library.] Coverdale's Bible of 1535 has been reprinted by Bagster, London, 1838; and, as far as I can judge, the reprint has been very well executed. [It was made from a copy then in the library of the Duke of Sussex, and now in my possession (W. A. W.)]

<sup>2</sup> This alone is sufficient to refute Anderson's supposition that Tindale, if he had lived, would not have been guilty of printing the Apocryphal with the Canonical Books. [See p. 47.]

<sup>3</sup> In the former edition I expressed myself strongly against the identifica-

tion of John Rogers and Thomas Matthew. The name Thomas Matthew stands at the end of the dedication and the initials I.R. at the end of the Exhortation to the study of Scripture. In the official sentence Rogers is described as 'Johannes Rogers alias Mathew, presbyter secularis' (Foxe, *Acts*, ed. 1563, p. 1029), and the earliest writers assume the identity of Rogers and Matthew. Compare Strype, *Mem.* III. i, p. 288.

It is of course quite possible that the identification simply expressed the known responsibility of Rogers for the Bible called Matthew's. Compare Chester's *Life of J. Rogers* (London, 1861), pp. 47 f., 55 f., 113. At the

up of Tindale's translation from Genesis to 2 Chronicles, and his revised New Testament of 1535 (or 1534 G. H.)<sup>1</sup>, with the remainder of the Old Testament including Jonah<sup>2</sup>, and the Apocrypha from Coverdale. The expense of the work was defrayed by two citizens of London, R. Grafton and E. Whitchurch, and it was printed abroad<sup>3</sup>. It was

same time it must be observed that the Christian name as well as the surname is changed, and the earliest evidence does not recognize this change.

<sup>1</sup> This will be shewn afterwards, c. ii. § 3.

<sup>2</sup> A copy of Tindale's translation of Jonah was found in 1861 by Lord A. Hervey, bound up in a volume of

tracts. [See p. 44.] It has been published with the Prologue and Coverdale's version by Mr F. Fry in facsimile (1863). As some writers still venture to say that Matthew gives Tindale's and not Coverdale's version, it may be worth while to indicate the various readings of one chapter (chap. ii.).

| TINDALE.   | COVERDALE (MATTHEW).   |
|--|--|
| 1 <i>bowels</i>  | 1 <i>belly</i>   |
| 2 <i>y<sup>e</sup> bowels of the fish</i><br><i>and + he sayde</i><br><i>tribulacion</i><br><i>answered</i>  | 2 <i>the fishes belly</i><br><i>om. he</i><br><i>trouble</i><br><i>herde</i>   |
| 3 <i>+ For thou hadest</i><br><i>and all thy</i>   | 3 <i>om. For</i><br><i>yee all thy</i>   |
| 4 <i>+ &amp; I thought</i>   | 4 <i>I thought</i>   |
| 5 <i>water</i><br><i>vn to</i><br><i>soule of me</i>   | 5 <i>waters</i><br><i>to</i><br><i>soule</i>   |
| 6 <i>+ And I wēt</i><br><i>vn to</i><br><i>+ on euery syde for e.</i><br><i>And yet thou</i><br><i>lorde</i><br><i>broughtest</i><br><i>thought on</i> | 6 <i>om. And</i><br><i>to</i><br><i>om. on euery syde</i><br><i>But thou</i><br><i>+ O Lorde</i><br><i>hast brought</i><br><i>thought vpon</i> |
| 7 <i>in</i>  | 7 <i>within</i>  |
| 8 <i>obserue</i><br><i>haue forsakē</i><br><i>him that was mercifull vn to them</i>  | 8 <i>holde of</i><br><i>wil forsake</i><br><i>his mercy</i>  |
| 9 <i>sacrifice + vn to the</i><br><i>that sauinge</i>  | 9 <i>+ do the sacrifice</i><br><i>for why? saluacion</i>   |

It is certain however that Coverdale's version was not independent of Tindale's, as indeed this collation itself would shew.

<sup>3</sup> The place of printing has not yet been determined. Grafton's account of the work is given in Strype's *Cranmer*, App. xx.

ready for publication in 1537, and furnished with a dedication to Henry, drawn up in terms exactly similar in tenor to those which have been already quoted; 'for vnto whom,' Matthew asks, 'or in to whose proteccyon shulde the defence 'of soche a worck be soner cōmytted (wherin are contayned 'the infallyble promeses of mercy...wyth the whole summe 'of Christyanitye) then vnto his maiestye, which not onely 'by name and tytle, but most euydently & openly, most 'Christenly & wyth most Godly pollicye, dothe profess 'the defence therof.' And as men's thoughts were now anxiously turned to the future—it was shortly before the birth of Edward VI—he concludes 'The euerliuyng Lord... 'blesse you at thys present wyth a sonne, by youre most 'gracyous wyfe Quene Iane, which may prosperously & 'fortunately raygne, and folowe the godly steppes of his 'father...'

Whether Cranmer was privy to the preparation of this edition or not is uncertain<sup>1</sup>, but it is evident that the authors of it had good reason to be assured that he would welcome its appearance. The first tidings of its arrival in England is contained in a letter which he addressed to Crumwell<sup>2</sup>. 'My especial good lord...', he writes, 'these 'shall be to signify unto the same, that you shall receive by 'the bringer thereof a bible in English, both of a new 'translation and of a new print, dedicated unto the king's 'majesty, as farther appeareth by a pistle unto his grace in 'the beginning of the book, which in mine opinion is very 'well done, and therefore I pray your lordship to read the 'same. And as for the translation, so far as I have read 'thereof, I like it better than any other translation heretofore made; yet not doubting but that there may and will 'be found some fault therein, as you know no man ever

*Cranmer's  
joy at re-  
ceiving it.*

*Aug. 4,  
1537.*

<sup>1</sup> An impression is an intangible argument, but to me Crannier's letter appears to be that of a man who was not taken by surprise by the new Bible. It is further to be remarked that Grafton (who joined in the publication) was acquainted with the

contents of Cranmer's letter to Crumwell of Aug. 13th, and wrote to Crumwell with a present of six Bibles on the same day that Cranmer wrote the second letter of thanks. Cranmer's *Works*, p. 346 n. (ed. Park. Soc.).

<sup>2</sup> Letter 194 (ed. Park. Soc.).

'did or can do so well, but it may be from time to time  
'amended. And forasmuch as the book is dedicated unto  
'the king's grace, and also great pains and labour taken in  
'setting forth of the same; I pray you, my Lord, that you  
'will exhibit the book unto the king's highness, and to  
'obtain of his grace, if you can, a licence that the same may  
'be sold and read of every person, without danger of any  
'act, proclamation, or ordinance, heretofore granted to the  
'contrary, until such time that we the bishops shall set  
'forth a better translation, which I think will not be till  
'a day after doomsday<sup>1</sup>. And if you continue to take such  
'pains for the setting forth of God's word, as you do,  
'although in the mean season you suffer some snubs, and  
'many slanders, lies, and reproaches for the same, yet one  
'day He will requite altogether...' He was not long in  
waiting for the news of Crumwell's success. In little  
more than a week he thanks him for that he 'hath not only  
'exhibited the bible...to the king's majesty, but also hath  
'obtained of his grace, that the same shall be allowed by  
'his authority to be bought and read within this realm...<sup>2</sup>';  
and he continues, 'you have shewed me more pleasure  
'herein, than if you had given me a thousand pound...<sup>3</sup>'  
Nor was he satisfied with this first acknowledgment. A  
fortnight afterwards he writes again: 'These shall be to  
'give you most hearty thanks that any heart can think,  
'and that in the name of them all which favoureth God's  
'word, for your diligence at this time in procuring the  
'king's highness to set forth the said God's word and his  
'gospel by his grace's authority. For the which act, not  
'only the king's majesty, but also you shall have a per-  
'petual laud and memory of all them that be now, or  
'hereafter shall be, God's faithful people and the favourers  
'of his word<sup>4</sup>.'

Aug. 13.

*Allowed by  
the king  
to be sold  
publicly.*

Aug. 28.

The work which Crumwell had achieved was certainly one which required great address. The Preface to the

<sup>1</sup> See p. 56, n. 2.

for Coverdale's Bible also: p. 66.

<sup>2</sup> It may have been at this time that Crumwell obtained the license

<sup>3</sup> Letter 197.

<sup>4</sup> Letter 198.

Bible, to which Cranmer specially called his attention, may have smoothed his way; but the king could not have been ignorant that the translation was in part the very work of Tindale, which he had by the advice of his council condemned more than once. The Prologue to the Romans had been condemned separately and was not to be easily overlooked, and the most superficial inspection would have shewn the boldness of the notes with which the text was copiously furnished<sup>1</sup>. It is impossible to tell what considerations availed with Henry. He may have been glad to act independently of the bishops. But however this may have been, by Cranmer's petition, by Crumwell's influence, and by Henry's authority, without any formal ecclesiastical decision, the book was given to the English people, which is the foundation of the text of our present Bible. From Matthew's Bible—itself a combination of the labours of Tindale and Coverdale—all later revisions have

*Difficulties  
overcome in  
obtaining  
the king's  
license for  
it.*

<sup>1</sup> The notes in Matthew are distributed not quite equally throughout the Old and New Testament. [Many of them are from Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534. See Appendix XI. for examples from each book.] The commentary on the Psalms is the most elaborate. On the Apocryphal books I have noticed only a few various readings (2 Esdr. iv.: Tob. xii.: Eccus. xxxiii.: 2 Macc. ii.), and two notes: one on 2 Macc. iv. 'Olympiades: These were kepte euery 'fiftye yeare (sic),' where 'fiftye' [= fift] is a misprint for Coverdale's 'fifth'; and the other of considerable interest on 2 Macc. xii. [expanded from Olivetan]. 'Judge upon this 'place whether the opinion hath been 'to pray for the dead, as to be baptised for them, 1 Cor. xv., which 'thing was only done to confirm the 'hope of the resurrection of the dead, 'not to deliver them from any pain. 'S. Paul did not allow the ceremony 'of Christening for the dead, no more 'doth any place of the canonical

'scripture allow the ceremony of 'offering for the dead. Furthermore: 'This whole book of the Maccabees, 'and especially this second, is not 'of sufficient authority to make an 'article of our faith, as it is before 'sufficiently proved by the authority 'of S. Jerome in the prologue of the 'books called Apocrypha.' [The Prayer of Manasses is taken literally from Olivetan.]

One or two other notes may be quoted: John vi. 33. 'The word of 'the Gospel which is Christ, is the 'true and lively bread of heaven that 'giveth life to the whole world.' [From Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534.] John v. 2. 'Slaughter house. 'The Greek hath *sheep house*, a place 'where they killed the beasts that 'were offered.' James ii. 24. 'Justified, that is, is declared just, is 'openly known to be righteous, like 'as by the fruits the good tree is 'known for good. Otherwise may 'not this sentence be interpreted...

been successively formed. In that the general character and mould of our whole version was definitely fixed. The labours of the next seventy-five years were devoted to improving it in detail.

*Scheme for  
reprinting  
it.*

Matthew's Bible must have been eagerly welcomed. In the same year in which it was imported a scheme was made for reprinting it in England in a smaller form by 'Douche men living within this realm,' 'for covetousness'.<sup>1</sup> Grafton, who had ventured a large sum upon the original edition, which consisted of fifteen hundred copies, begged Crumwell for protection, and suggested that he might command in the king's name 'that every curate have 'one of them...yea and that every Abbey should have 'six...yea,' he adds, 'I would none other but they of the 'Papistical sort should be compelled to have them.' It does not appear what answer Crumwell gave. His action at least was effectual; for there is no reason to think that the reprint was ever executed<sup>2</sup>. Grafton and Whitchurch were reimbursed for their expenditure; and in the next year they were ready to embark in a new enterprise, which was designed to supplant their first, and was undertaken under the direct patronage of Crumwell<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Grafton's *Letter to Crumwell*, Strype, *Cranmer*, App. XX. [Cotton MS. Cleop. E. 5, fol. 325.] Grafton speaks in undue disparagement of 'the 'former [Coverdale's] Bibles, which 'have neither good paper, letter, ink, 'nor correction.' [This may refer to the pirated reprints of Tindale's N.T.]

<sup>2</sup> Taverner's Bible does not answer fully to the description; otherwise it might be supposed that this 'smaller' edition was meant.

On the other hand Mr F. Fry informs me that 'Mr Lenox has the 'centre of a first title and last leaf of 'a Matthew which he considers to 'belong to the pirated edition,' and that he himself has 'two leaves which 'may be of it.' [I have no information with regard to the title and last leaf in Mr Lenox's Library, but the other

two leaves mentioned by Mr Fry, which are now in the Library of the Bible Society, are not what he supposed them to be. In the copy of Matthew's Bible in the Cambridge University Library they are inserted in their proper place in the Apocrypha, foll. 12, 13, and were apparently reprinted to make good a defective quire. They are distinguished by the use of the comma instead of the virgule, but the differences in the text are trifling, and shew that the leaves were not cancelled on account of any error. I have not at present found another copy in which the reprinted leaves occur in their place. W. A. W.]

<sup>3</sup> Matthew's Bible was reprinted in 1549 (Raynalde and Hyll), and again in 1551 for several publishers (Cotton,



#### § 4 THE GREAT BIBLE (CRUMWELL, CRANMER, TUNSTALL AND HEATH).

It is indeed evident that Crumwell's zeal for the circulation of the vernacular Scriptures could not be satisfied with the license which he had obtained for the Bibles of Coverdale and Matthew. The first was imperfect in its conception: the second was burdened with notes and additions which could not fail sooner or later to call out bitter antagonism. Under these circumstances he appears to have applied to Coverdale, who was in England in the early part of 1538, to undertake the charge of a new edition on the basis of Matthew's, but with a more complete critical collation of the Hebrew and Latin texts than had been hitherto attempted. Grafton and Whitchurch had earned by their former work the privilege of undertaking the conduct of this, but the resources of the English press were not adequate to carry it out as Crumwell wished. And so

*Crumwell provides for the preparation of the Great Bible.*

p. 27 n.). It was also revised by E. Becke, and his altered text was published in 1549 by Daye and Seres (both folio and 8vo). I have not however examined the texts of these editions at any length, but a cursory collation shews considerable differences in the reproductions both of Matthew and of Becke's revision, which extend alike to text and notes. An edition by Daye, 1551 (with 3 Macc.), gives the Old Testament text of Taverner, though it is called 'Matthew's.'

[The editions of 1549 printed by Raynalde and Hyll, and of 1551 by Hyll alone, for 'certayne honest men 'of the occupacyon,' are little more than reprints of Matthew's Bible of 1537, with the addition of Tindale's prologues to some of the books. The edition of 1549 has the prologues to the books of the Pentateuch, Jonah, and the Epistle to the Romans, but not those to the New Testament, the Gospels or the other Epistles, while they are all given in the edition by

Hyll in 1551.

Becke's edition of 1549 by Daye and Seres and that of 1551 by Daye alone contain all Tindale's prologues. The notes from Matthew are considerably altered in both, but in the 1549 edition the text is not substantially changed. In 1551 Becke with slight alterations adopted Taverner's text of the Old Testament except in Deut. xxxii.—Joshua xiii. and the Psalms. He added the third book of Maccabees, and gave a new translation of 3 Esdras, Tobit, and Judith (see Dore's *Old Bibles*, 2nd ed. pp. 144 &c.). Becke had nothing to do with the edition printed by Hyll in 1551, as stated in the account given of him in the *Dictionary of National Biography*.

In the book of Revelation in Becke's edition of 1549 the woodcuts are accompanied by descriptions in doggerel verse. In the edition of 1551 woodcuts and verse are omitted.]

June 23.

Sept. 12.

Dec. 13.


about Lent Coverdale proceeded with Grafton to Paris to superintend the printing there. A license was obtained from Francis for the execution of the work<sup>1</sup>, which was commenced on a splendid scale by Regnault. Coverdale pressed forward the enterprise with all haste, for even from the first they were 'daily threatened,' and looked 'ever 'to be spoken withall.' By September he could inform Crumwell that 'Youre Lordshippes worke of the Bible... 'goeth well forward, and within few monethes, will drawe 'to an ende, by the grace of Allmightie God.' Three months later when the text was almost finished the danger of interruption to the printing became imminent. Coverdale conveyed as much of the Bible as was ready to Crumwell by the help of Bishop Bonner, ambassador at Paris, that 'if these men proceed in their cruelty against us, and 'confiscate the rest yet this at the least may be safe<sup>2</sup>.' In four days more the expected inhibition came. An order from the Inquisitor-general for France forbade the further progress of the work and the removal of the printed sheets. Coverdale and Grafton made their escape, but not long after returned to Paris and conveyed presses, types and workmen to London, and even rescued a large quantity of the condemned sheets—'four great dry-vats' full—which had been sold to a tradesman as waste-paper, instead of being burnt. Thus that which had seemed to be for the hindrance of Crumwell's design really forwarded it permanently in a wonderful manner by introducing into England the materials and men best suited to carry it out. The Bible, henceforth known as the *Great Bible*<sup>3</sup>, was finished in April, but without the critical and explanatory com-

<sup>1</sup> The license granted by Francis is given by Strype, *Cranmer*, p. 756, App. XXX. [Cotton MS. Cleop. E. 5. p. 326]. After the permission to print and export is added the provision: 'Dummodo quod sic imprimetis et 'excudetis sincere et pure, quantum 'in vobis erit, citra ulla privatas aut 'illegitimas opiniones, impressum et 'excusum [excusum] fuerit....' This

clause was of course sufficiently wide to admit of the interference of the Inquisition.

<sup>2</sup> Coverdale's *Remains* (Parker Soc.), p. 497. [Harl. MS. 604, p. 98.]

<sup>3</sup> I have ventured to keep this name as a general title for the group of Bibles, including Crumwell's Bible (1539) and the six later issues with Cranmer's Preface (1540-1), though

mentary which Coverdale had designed<sup>1</sup>. While the revision was going forward he had set 'in a pryvate table the 'dyversitie of redinges of all textes [Hebrew, Chaldee, 'Greek, Latin], with such annotacions, in another table, as 'shall douteles delucidate and clear the same, as well with- 'out any singularyte of opinions, as all checkinges and 'reprofes<sup>2</sup>. And when it was drawing to a close, he writes regretfully: 'Pitie it were, y<sup>e</sup> the darck places of y<sup>e</sup> text '(vpon which I haue allwaye set a hande ) shulde so 'passe vndeclared. As for anye pryuate opynion or con- 'fencious words, as I wyll vtterly avoyde all soche, so wyll 'I offre y<sup>e</sup> annotacions first to my sayd lord of herdford '[Bonner], to y<sup>e</sup> intent y<sup>e</sup> he shall so examen y<sup>e</sup> same, afore

it must be carefully borne in mind that these seven issues do not give the same text, however like they may be externally. The text of 1539 is quite distinct from that of April, 1540, and this again from that of November, 1540, 1541, which is in the main the text of the later reprints. Compare Chap. III.

<sup>1</sup> A copy of this edition on vellum designed for Crumwell and described by Coverdale himself, is now in the Library of St John's College, Cambridge.

It is worthy of remark that this Bible has no dedication. The title-page—said to have been designed by Holbein—represents (at the top) the king giving the Bible (*Verbum Dei*) to Crumwell and Cranmer: they in turn (on the sides) distribute it among ecclesiastics and laymen: at the bottom a crowd is listening to a preacher. Labels with various texts &c. issue from the mouths of the chief figures. The composition includes many other details and will repay a careful examination. It is well described in the *Historical Account*, pp. 91, 92.

The reference of 'Coverdale's Bible' to the Bishops by the king, and their

confession that there were no heresies to be maintained thereby, appears to refer to this edition (Fulke, p. 98). [But see p. 63, note 2.]

In a preliminary explanation of signs some account is given of the delay in the publication of the notes: 'We haue also (as ye may se) added 'many handes both in the mergent 'of this volume and also in the text, 'vpon the which, we purposed to 'haue made in the ende of the Byble '(in a table by them selues) certen 'godly annotacions: but forsomoch 'as yet there hath not bene sofficient 'tyme minystred to the kynges moost 'honorable counsell, for the ouersight 'and correccyon of the sayde annota- 'cyons, we wyll therfore omitt them, 'tyll their more conueniēt leysour, 'doynge uow nomore but beseke the, 'most gentle reader, that when thou 'commest at soch a place where a 'hande doth stande...& thou canst 'not attayne to the meanyng and 'true knowledge of that sentence, 'then do not rashly presume to make 'any priuate interpretacyon therof: 'but submyt thy selfe to the iudge- 'ment of those that are godly learned 'in Christ Jesu.'

<sup>2</sup> *State Papers*, I. 576.

'they be put in prynte, yf it be y<sup>r</sup> lordshippes good pleasure, 'y<sup>r</sup> I shall do so.' But Coverdale's regret was ineffectual. The various marks which he designed remained in the text of several editions of the Great Bible, but nothing more than a general explanation of their import was ever given. The volume of 'annotations' was deferred till a more convenient occasion, which never came. But in the mean time a complete English text of the Scriptures was provided for public use, which, by an injunction framed beforehand, Crumwell, as the king's vice-gerent, required should be set up in some convenient place in every church throughout the kingdom before a specified day<sup>1</sup>. 'A 'domino factum est istud' is the worthy motto with which it concludes<sup>2</sup>.

Sept. 1538.

There is no evidence to shew that Cranmer had any

<sup>1</sup> There cannot be the least doubt that the 'Bible of the largest volume 'in English' was the edition being prepared in Paris. No one who has seen Coverdale's, Matthew's, and Crumwell's Bibles together would hesitate as to the application of the description: the Bible and the injunction corresponded and were both due to the same man. I cannot agree with Mr Anderson in supposing Matthew's Bible to have been intended: II. 34, in spite of Strype, *Cranmer*, I. 117. The date by which the Bible was to be procured was left blank. At the time when the injunctions were drawn up the interruption of the printing could not have been definitely foreseen. Similar proclamations were issued by the king in May, 1540, immediately after the publication of the second (Cranmer's) Great Bible; and again in May, 1541, after the publication of the fourth, which bore the names of Tunstall and Heath. Anderson, II. pp. 131, 142.

It may be added that Cranmer in his injunctions for the clergy of the diocese of Hereford (between May and November, 1538) requires that

every one 'shall have, by the first day 'of August next coming (1539?), as 'well a whole bible in Latin and 'English, or at the least a new 'testament of both the same languages, as the copies of the king's 'highness' injunctions.' These injunctions were probably issued after September, and the date fixed in 1539. Cranmer, *Works*, II. p. 81.

<sup>2</sup> One passage which occurs at the end of the Introduction is worthy of being quoted, and it seems characteristic of Coverdale:

'With what judgment the books of 'the Old Testament are to be read.

... 'The books of the Old Testament 'are much to be regarded because they 'be as it were a manner of foundation, whereunto the New Testament 'doth cleave and lean, out of the 'which certain arguments of the New 'Testament may be taken. For there 'is nothing shewed in the New Testament, the which was not shadowed 'before in the figures of Moses' Law, 'and forespoken in the revelations of 'the Prophets, some things even evidently expressed...'

share in the first preparation of the Great Bible, or even that he was acquainted with the undertaking. The selection of Coverdale for the execution of the work, and Coverdale's correspondence, distinctly mark it as Crumwell's sole enterprise. But Cranmer was not slow in furthering it. By the autumn of the same year arrangements were completed for the printing of a new edition in London with the help of the materials obtained from Paris; and the archbishop had drawn up a preface for it, which he had transmitted to Crumwell for the approbation of the king. By a strange coincidence Crumwell received from Henry on the very day on which Cranmer wrote to him to make a final decision about the price, &c.<sup>1</sup>, the absolute right of licensing the publication of Bibles in England for five years. Thus all difficulties were removed from the way, and the Bible with the Preface of the archbishop was finished in April, 1540. Two other editions followed in the same year (July: November, the title-page is dated 1541): and three more in 1541 (May: November: December). These six editions all have Cranmer's prologue, but the third and fifth bear the names of Tunstall and Heath upon the title-page, who are said to have 'overseen and perused' the translation 'at the commandment of the kinges highnes.' The cause of this nominal revision is obvious. Crumwell had been disgraced and executed in July. The work which he had taken so much to heart was naturally suspected; and thus the open sanction of two bishops, prominent among the party opposed to him, was required to confirm its credit. And so it was that at last by a strange irony 'my lord of London' authorised what was in a large part substantially

The Great Bible  
Crumwell's work.

Cranmer prepares a  
Preface for  
the second  
edition.

Nov. 14.

Subsequent  
editions.

July 28.

<sup>1</sup> 'If your lordship hath known the 'king's highness' pleasure concerning 'the preface of the bible which I sent 'to you to oversee, so that his grace 'doth allow the same, I pray you 'that the same may be delivered 'unto the said Whitchurche unto 'printing, trusting that it shall both 'encourage many slow readers and

'also stay the rash judgments of them 'that read therein.' Cranmer to Crumwell, Letter 264, Nov. 14th, 1539. From the long interval which elapsed before the completion of the Bible—five months—it appears likely that little was actually done before Cranmer was assured of the king's favour.

the very work of Tindale, which he had before condemned and burnt<sup>1</sup>.

*The proposed Notes given up.*

The variations in the texts of these editions of the Great Bible will be considered afterwards. But one important change was made in the original design of the book which requires to be noticed now. Coverdale, as we have seen, looked upon the notes as an important part of the work, and the reference to them was retained through three editions<sup>2</sup>. With the fall of Crumwell all hope of publishing a commentary disappeared, and the 'pointing hands' were removed. It is not difficult to understand the objections to Coverdale's design, and a narrative which Foxe has preserved will explain the influence which led to its suppression.

*Grafton examined as to the proposed Notes.*

'Not long after [the death of Crumwell], he writes, 'great complaint was made to the king of the translation 'of the Bible, and of the preface of the same; and then 'was the sale of the Bible commanded to be stayed, the 'bishops promising to amend and correct it, but never 'performing the same. Then Grafton was called, and first 'charged with the printing of Matthew's Bible, but he, 'being fearful of trouble, made excuses for himself in all 'things. Then was he examined of the great Bible, and 'what notes he was purposed to make: to the which he 'answered, that he knew none. For his purpose was, to 'have retained learned men to have made the notes; but 'when he perceived the king's majesty and his clergy 'not willing to have any, he proceeded no further. But

<sup>1</sup> The expense of these editions was defrayed, as seems certain, by 'Antony Marler a haberdasher' of London, who presented to Henry a magnificent copy on vellum [of the edition of April, 1540] with an autograph inscription, which is preserved in the British Museum. Mr Anderson quotes a minute of the Privy Council bearing on his privileges with regard to the sale, dated April, 1541 (II. p. 142), and a patent for printing the

Bible alone for four years: March, 1542 (II. p. 152).

<sup>2</sup> Of April, 1539: April, 1540: July, 1540. After this the ~~1540~~—the reference to *notes*—was omitted.

For the relation between the texts of the several issues of the Great Bible see Chap. III. § 4. I cannot tell by what surprising oversight Mr Anderson describes Crumwell's Bible as being *Matthew's* text.

'for all these excuses, Grafton was sent to the Fleet, and  
'there remained six weeks, and before he came out was  
'bound in three hundred pounds, that he should neither  
'sell, nor imprint nor cause to be imprinted any mo  
'Bibles until the king and the clergy should agree upon  
'a translation. And thus was the Bible from that time  
'stayed, during the reign of king Henry VIII.<sup>1</sup>

The publication of the Great Bible and the injunction for its free exhibition in the parish churches marked a memorable epoch. The king in 'a declaration appointed 'to be read by all curates upon the publishing of the 'Bible in English' justly dwelt upon the gravity of the measure. He commanded 'that in the reading and hearing thereof, first most humbly and reverently using and 'addressing yourselves unto it'—the curate is speaking to his congregation—'you shall have always in your remembrance and memories that all things contained in 'this book is the undoubted will, law, and commandment 'of Almighty God, the only and straight means to know 'the goodness and benefits of God towards us, and the 'true duty of every Christian man to serve him accordingly...And if at any time by reading any doubt shall 'come to any of you, touching the sense and meaning 'of any part thereof; that then, not giving too much to 'your own minds, fantasies and opinions, nor having 'thereof any open reasoning in your open taverns or 'alehouses, ye shall have recourse to such learned men as 'be or shall be authorised to preach and declare the same. 'So that avoiding all contentions and disputations in 'such alehouses and other places...you use this most high 'benefit quietly and charitably every of you, to the edifying of himself, his wife and family...<sup>2</sup>

*The importance of the publication of the Great Bible.*

Among others Bp Bonner 'set up Six Bibles in certain 'convenient places of St Paul's church,' after the king's proclamation in May, 1540<sup>3</sup>, with an admonition to readers

*Bishop Bonner sets up Bibles at St Paul's.* ✓

<sup>1</sup> Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, v. xxiii. [Cotton MS. Cleop. E. 5, p. 412. p. 327.]

<sup>2</sup> Strype's *Cranmer*, II. 735-6, App.

<sup>3</sup> See p. 76, n. 1.

to bring with them 'discretion, honest intent, charity, 'reverence and quiet behaviour. That there should no 'such number meet together there as to make a multitude. 'That no exposition be made thereupon but what is declared in the book itself. That it be not read with 'noise in time of divine service; or that any disputation 'or contention be used at it<sup>1</sup>. It is scarcely surprising that the novelty of the license granted to the people should have led them to neglect these instructions. Bonner was forced, as he pleads, by the great disorders created by the readers to issue a new admonition in which he threatened the removal of the Bibles. 'Diverse wilful 'and unlearned persons,' he writes, 'inconsiderately and 'indiscreetly...read the same especially and chiefly at the 'time of divine service...yea in the time of the sermon 'and declaration of the Word of God...Wherefore this is 'cftsoons of honest friendship to require and charitably 'to desire and pray every reader of this Book that either 'he will indeed observe and keep my former advertisement 'and friendly admonition adjoined hereunto...either else to 'take in good part and be content that the said Bibles 'for the said abuses be taken down, for assuredly, the fault 'and disorder herein not amended but increased, I intend, 'being thereunto enforced, upon right good considerations, 'and especially for the said abuses, to take down the said 'Bibles, which otherwise I would be right loth to do, considering I have been always and still will be by God's 'grace right glad that the Scripture and Word of God 'should be well known and also set forth accordingly<sup>2</sup>.'

*The Bibles  
are generally  
read.*

The popular zeal for reading the Scriptures was not always manifested thus inconsiderately. In a public document drawn up to justify the position of the English Church in 1539<sup>3</sup> great stress is laid upon the revolution

<sup>1</sup> Strype's *Cranmer*, I. 121. [The Admonition is printed in the Catalogue of the Library of the British and Foreign Bible Society, vol. I. p. 25.]

<sup>2</sup> Foxe, *Acts and Monuments*, v. App. 14.

<sup>3</sup> A Summary Declaration of the Faith, Uses and Observations in England (dated 1539). Collier, *Ecclesiastical History*, II. *Collection of Records*, 47.



in common habits which was thus effected. 'Englishmen  
'have now in hand in every Church and place, almost  
'every man the Holy Bible and New Testament in their  
'mother tongue instead of the old fabulous and fantastical  
'books of the *Table Round*, *Launcelot du Lac*, &c., and  
'such other, whose unpure filth and vain fabulosity the  
'light of God has abolished utterly.'

One narrative, which is derived from actual experience,  
will illustrate the feelings of the time. It was taken by  
Strype from a manuscript of Foxe.

'It was wonderful to see with what joy this book of  
'God was received not only among the learned sort and  
'those that were noted for lovers of the reformation, but  
'generally all England over among all the vulgar and  
'common people; and with what greediness God's word  
'was read and what resort to places where the reading  
'of it was. Every body that could bought the book or  
'busily read it or got others to read it to them if they  
'could not themselves, and divers more elderly people  
'learned to read on purpose. And even little boys flocked  
'among the rest to hear portions of the holy Scripture  
'read. One William Maldon happening in the company  
'of John Foxe, in the beginning of the reign of Q. Eliza-  
'beth, and Foxe being very inquisitive after those that  
'suffered for religion in the former reigns, asked him if he  
'knew any that were persecuted for the Gospel of Jesus  
'Christ that he might add it to his Book of Martyrs. He  
'told him he knew one that was whipped by his own  
'father in king Henry's reign for it. And when Foxe  
'was very inquisitive who he was and what was his name,  
'he confessed it was himself; and upon his desire he wrote  
'out all the circumstances. Namely that when the king  
'had allowed the Bible to be set forth to be read in all  
'Churches immediately several poor men in the town of  
'Chelmsford in Essex, where his father lived and he was  
'born, bought the New Testament and on Sundays sat  
'reading of it in the lower end of the Church: many  
'would flock about them to hear their reading: and he

*Maldon's  
narrative.*

‘among the rest, being then but fifteen years old, came  
‘every Sunday to hear the glad and sweet tidings of the  
‘Gospel. But his father observing it once angrily fetched  
‘him away and would have him say the Latin Matins with  
‘him, which grieved him much. And as he returned at  
‘other times to hear the Scripture read, his father still  
‘would fetch him away. This put him upon the thoughts  
‘of learning to read English that so he might read the  
‘New Testament himself; which when he had by diligence  
‘effected he and his father’s apprentice bought the New  
‘Testament, joining their stocks together, and to conceal  
‘it laid it under the bedstraw and read it at convenient  
‘times. One night his father being asleep he and his  
‘mother chanced to discourse concerning the crucifix, and  
‘kneeling down to it and knocking on the breast then  
‘used, and holding up the hands to it when it came by on  
‘procession. This he told his mother was plain idolatry...  
‘His mother enraged at him for this said, “Wilt thou not  
‘worship the cross which was about thee when thou wert  
‘christened and must be laid on thee when thou art dead?”  
‘In this heat the mother and son departed and went to  
‘their beds. The sum of this evening’s conference she  
‘presently repeats to her husband; which he impatient  
‘to hear and boiling in fury against his son for denying  
‘worship to be due to the cross, arose up forthwith and  
‘goes into his son’s chamber and, like a mad zealot, taking  
‘him by the hair of his head with both his hands pulled  
‘him out of the bed and whipped him unmercifully. And  
‘when the young man bore this beating, as he related,  
‘with a kind of joy, considering it was for Christ’s sake  
‘and shed not a tear, his father seeing that was more  
‘enraged, and ran down and fetched an halter and put it  
‘about his neck, saying he would hang him. At length  
‘with much entreaty of the mother and brother he left  
‘him almost dead’.

It would be impossible to paint in more vivid colours

<sup>1</sup> Strype’s *Cranmer*, I. 91, 92. [Harl. MS. 590, fol. 77.]

the result of the first open reading of the English Bible, and the revelation which it made of the thoughts of many hearts. Classes and households were divided. On the one side were the stern citizens of the old school to whom change seemed to be the beginning of license: on the other young men burning with eager zeal to carry to the uttermost the spiritual freedom of which they had caught sight. And between them were those to whom all they had been taught to reverence was still inestimably precious while yet they could not press to extremity those by whom the old tenets were assailed.

*A division  
among the  
people.*

### § 5. TAVERNER.

While Crumwell was hurrying forward his Bible in Paris, another edition was being printed in London. This also was based on Matthew, and seems to have been executed in considerable haste. The editor was a layman and a lawyer, R. Taverner, who had a great reputation as a Greek scholar. At an earlier time he was one of the students of 'Cardinal College,' Oxford, who had suffered persecution upon the first circulation of Tindale's New Testament [see p. 42]. He was acquainted with Crumwell, and by his influence the king appointed him to be one of his clerks of the signet. In the reign of Edward VI he had a special license to preach, and a quaint account<sup>1</sup> has been preserved of the studiously unclerical habit—with a velvet bonnet and damask gown, and gold chain and sword—in which he discharged the duty. It was his humour also, as we are told<sup>1</sup>, 'to quote the law in Greek.' These little touches are important, for they throw no small light upon the spirit in which he accomplished his revision. In one respect he stands above his predecessors. His Dedication to Henry is couched in language full at once of respect and manliness. He gives the king due credit for what he had done and speaks with modesty of

*Taverner's  
Bible a  
revision of  
Matthew's.*

<sup>1</sup> [In Wood's *Athenæ Oxonienses*.]

*Taverner's  
account of  
his work.*

his own labours :... 'This one thing I dare full well affirme,  
'that amonges all your maiesties deseruinges...your highnes  
'neuer did thing more acceptable vnto god, more profitable  
'to y<sup>e</sup> auaūcemēt of true christianitie, more displeasaūt to  
'the enemies of the same, & also to your graces enemies,  
'then when your maiestie lycenced and wylled the moost  
'sacred Byble conteynyng the vnspotted and lyuely worde  
'of God to be in the Englysh tong set forth to your  
'hyghnes subiectes...

'Wherefore the premisses wel cōsidered, forasmoch as  
'y<sup>e</sup> printers herof were very desirous to haue this most  
'sacred volume of the bible com forth as faultlesse &  
'emendatly, as the shortnes of tyme for the recognising  
'of y<sup>e</sup> same wold require, they desired me your most  
'hūble servāt for default of a better lerned, diligētly to  
'ouerloke & peruse the hole copy...Whiche thyng ac-  
'cording to my talent I haue gladly done.

'These therfore my simple lucubratiōs & labours, to  
'whō might I better dedicate, thē vnto your most ex-  
'cellēt & noble maiestie, y<sup>e</sup> only authour & grounde nexte  
'God of this so highe a benefite vnto your graces people,  
'I meane that the holy scripture is communicate vnto  
'the same.'

*Superseded  
by the Great  
Bible.*

Taverner's Bible (like Crumwell's) was published in 1539, in two editions, folio and quarto<sup>1</sup>. It is furnished with a marginal commentary based upon Matthew's, but shorter, and containing some original notes. In the same year in which his Bible was printed, Taverner likewise put forth two editions (quarto and octavo) of the New Testament through another printer but the same publisher; but the appearance of the Great Bible must have checked the sale of his works. The Bible and the New Testament were each reprinted once, and his Old Testament was adopted in a Bible of 1551 [see p. 73, note]. With these exceptions his revision appears to have fallen at once into complete neglect.

<sup>1</sup> [The existence of the quarto edition mentioned by Cotton is doubtful.]

## § 6. A TIME OF SUSPENSE.

After the publication of the Great Bible (1539—1541) the history of the English Version remains stationary for a long time. Nothing was done to amend it and severe restrictions were imposed upon its use. In 1542 a proposition was made in convocation in the king's name for a translation of the New Testament to be undertaken by the Bishops. The books were portioned out, but Gardiner brought the scheme to an end by pressing the retention of a large number of Latin terms which would practically have made a version such as the Rhemish one was afterwards<sup>1</sup>. As this condition was obviously inadmissible, the king, by Cranmer's influence, resolved to refer the translation to the two Universities. Convocation was no more consulted on the subject, and the Universities did nothing.

*The revision of the Bible suspended.*

*Feb. 17.*

In the next year Parliament proscribed all translations bearing the name of Tindale, and required that the notes in all other copies should be removed or obliterated. At the same time it was enacted that no women (except noble or gentle women), no artificers, apprentices, journeymen, serving-men, husbandmen, or labourers, should read to themselves or to others, publicly or privately, any part of the Bible under pain of imprisonment. Three years later (1546) the king repeated the prohibition against Tindale's books with many others and included Coverdale's New Testament in the same category. Thus the Great Bible alone remained unforbidden, and it was probably at this time that the great destruction of the earlier Bibles and Testaments took place. And even where the book has been preserved, the title-page has been in many cases destroyed that the true character of the volume might escape the observation of a hasty inquisitor.

*Restrictions on the use of the Bible.*

<sup>1</sup> The list of words is a very strange one. With words like *ecclesia*, *penitentia*, *pontifex*, *peccatum*, *hostia*, *pascha*, *impositio manuum*, *confessio*, which have a dogmatic significance, are others which are practically proper

names like *Christus*, *zizania*, *didrachma*, *tetrarcha*, and others again which have apparently no special force, as *simplex*, *dignus*, *ejicere*, *oriens*, *tyrannus*. [See Fuller's *Church History*, Cent. XVI, Book v. p. 238 (ed. 1655).]

*Bibles  
destroyed.*

For the proclamation was not allowed to remain idle. The party of the 'old learning' even outran the letter of the edict. This had enjoined 'the burning of certain 'translations of the New Testament,' but, 'they were so 'bold as to burn the whole Bible, because they were of 'those men's, Tindale's or Coverdale's, translation; and 'not the New Testament only.' Nay more, they were anxious to escape from the responsibility which they had incurred by sanctioning the Great Bible. Tunstall and Heath, who had been 'appointed to overlook the translation' at the time of Crumwell's execution, and had 'set their names thereunto, when they saw the world 'somewhat like to wring on the other side denied it; and 'said they never meddled therewith<sup>1</sup>.'

*Reaction on  
the accession  
of Edward  
VI.*

But in the midst of this reaction Henry died (Jan. 28, 1547). The accession of Edward restored the reforming party to power, and the young king himself is said to have shewn a singular devotion to the Bible. According to some the English Bible was first used at his coronation<sup>2</sup>. 'When three swords were brought,' so Strype writes<sup>3</sup>, 'signs of his being king of three kingdoms, he 'said, there was one yet wanting. And when the nobles 'about him asked him what that was, he answered, *The Bible*. "That book," added he, "is the Sword of the 'Spirit, and to be preferred before these swords..." And 'when the pious young king had said this, and some other 'like words, he commanded the Bible with the greatest 'reverence to be brought and carried before him.' However this may have been, the work of printing the English Scriptures was carried on during his reign with great activity. Thirty-five Testaments and thirteen<sup>4</sup> Bibles were published in England in the six years and a half for which he occupied the throne. The public use of them was made

<sup>1</sup> *A Supplication of the poor Commons*, printed in Strype's *Eccles. Memorials*, I. 608 ff.

<sup>2</sup> The fact is not mentioned in the order of the Coronation printed by Burnet, and in part by Strype, *Cran-*

*mer*, I. 202 ff.

<sup>3</sup> *Eccles. Mem.* II. 35, on the authority of *Bale de Viris Illustr.* [See Camden's *Remaines* (ed. 1614), p. 294.]

<sup>4</sup> [Fourteen in Anderson's list.]

the subject of special admonition and inquiry. Among the injunctions issued by the king (1547) on his accession was one requiring that all beneficed persons 'shall provide 'within three months next after this visitation, one book 'of the whole Bible of the largest volume in English; and 'within one twelve months next after the said visitation, 'the Paraphrasis of Erasmus also in English upon the 'Gospels, and the same set up in some convenient place 'within the...Church..., whereas their parishioners may 'most commodiously resort unto the same and read the 'same.' And again, 'that they shall discourage no man '(authorized and licensed thereto) from the reading any 'part of the Bible, either in Latin or in English, but shall 'rather comfort<sup>1</sup> and exhort every person to read the 'same, as the very lively word of God, and the special 'food of man's soul that all Christian persons are bound 'to embrace, believe and follow, if they look to be saved<sup>2</sup>.' In the next year Cranmer instituted inquiries into the fulfilment of these injunctions in his articles for the visitation of the diocese of Canterbury<sup>3</sup>, further asking 'whether '...priests being under the degree of a bachelor of divinity 'have of their own the New Testament both in Latin 'and English and the Paraphrase of Erasmus upon the 'same.'

But beyond this nothing of moment was actually achieved with regard to the English Version of the Scriptures. At this crisis the constitution of the English Church and the remoulding of the Service-books were of more urgent importance than the revision of the Bible; but Cranmer did not overlook this work. In 1549 Fagius and Bucer were appointed by his influence to professorships at Cambridge, and during their stay with him at Lambeth, before they entered on their work there, 'the 'archbishop himself directed of what subject matter their 'lectures should be. As it had been a great while his pious

*A revision  
of the Eng-  
lish Bible  
probably con-  
templated.*

<sup>1</sup> [So Cardwell, *Doc. Ann.* (ed. 1); 9. Comp. p. 25.  
'conform' ed. 2.]

<sup>3</sup> Cranmer's *Works*, II. p. 155.

<sup>2</sup> Cardwell's *Doc. Ann.* [ed. 2] 1. Compare pp. 161; 81.

'and most earnest desire that the Holy Bible should come  
'abroad in the greatest exactness and true agreement with  
'the original text, so he laid this work upon these two  
'learned men. First that they should give a clear plain  
'and succinct interpretation of the Scripture according to  
'the propriety of the language; and secondly illustrate  
'difficult and obscure places and reconcile those that  
'seemed repugnant to one another. And it was his will  
'and his advice that to this end and purpose their public  
'readings should tend...Fagius, because his talent lay in  
'the Hebrew learning, was to undertake the Old Testa-  
'ment; and Bucer the New...Fagius entered upon the  
'Evangelical prophet Esaias and Bucer upon the gospel  
'of the Evangelist John, and some chapters in each book  
'were dispatched by them. But it was not long but both  
'of them fell sick, which gave a very unhappy stop to  
'their studies'.<sup>1</sup> Nothing indeed is here said of an im-  
mediate revision of the authorised Bible, but the instruc-  
tions point to the direction in which the great archbishop's  
thoughts were turned.

*Sir J.  
Cheke's  
translation  
of St  
Matthew.*

Meanwhile a fragment of a version of the New Testa-  
ment—the Gospel of St Matthew and the beginning of  
St Mark—was completed by Sir John Cheke—at one time  
professor of Greek at Cambridge and tutor to Edward VI.  
He seems to have aimed at giving a thoroughly English  
rendering of the text, and in this endeavour he went to  
far greater lengths of quaintness than Taverner. Thus he  
coins new words to represent the old 'ecclesiastical' terms  
for which More and Gardiner contended most earnestly:  
*frosent* (apostle): *biword* (parable): *gainbirth* (regenera-  
tion): *uprising* or *gainrising* (resurrection): *tablers* (money-  
changers): *tollers* (publicans): *freshman* (proselyte): and  
uses strange participial forms: *gospeld* (xi. 5): *devild* (viii.  
28): *moond* (iv. 24); and even *crossed* for crucified. The  
fragment remained in manuscript till quite lately<sup>2</sup>, and it is  
not certain that it was designed for publication. As it will

<sup>1</sup> Strype's *Cranmer*, i. 281.

<sup>2</sup> Edited by the Rev. James Goodwin, Cambridge, 1843.



not be necessary to revert to it again, a specimen may be given to shew its general style :

‘At that time Jesus answered and said : I must needs, ‘O Father, acknowledge thanks unto Thee, O Lord of ‘heaven and earth, which hast hidden these things from ‘wise and witty men, and hast disclosed the same to babes; ‘yea and that, Father, for such was thy good pleasure ‘herein. All things be delivered me of my Father. And ‘no man knoweth the Son but the Father, and he to whom ‘the Son will disclose it. Come to me all that labour ‘and be burdened and I will ease you. Take my yoke on ‘you and learn of me, for I am mild and of a lowly heart. ‘And ye shall find quietness for yourselves. For my yoke ‘is profitable (χρηστός) and my burden light.’ (Matt. xi. 25—30.)

In the reign of Mary no English Bible was printed. Rogers and Cranmer were martyred : Coverdale with difficulty escaped to the Continent : the bones of Fagius and Bucer were burnt ; but no special measures appear to have been taken for the destruction of the English Scriptures, or for the restriction of their private use. The public use of them in churches was necessarily forbidden. Proclamations against certain books and authors were issued, but no translations of the Old or New Testament were (as before) mentioned by name. Copies of the Bible which had been set up in churches were burnt ; but they were not sought out or confiscated. Evidently a great change had come over the country since the time of Henry VIII. And in the mean time though the English press was inactive the exiles abroad were busy, and at the close of Mary’s reign a New Testament was printed at Geneva, which was the first step towards a work destined to influence very powerfully our Authorised Version. The origin of this must now be traced.

*The English Bible in Mary's reign.*

*June 1557.*

## § 7. THE GENEVAN BIBLE.

*The Gene-  
van Testa-  
ment of 1557.*

It is unnecessary to dwell upon the disastrous discussions at Frankfurt which divided the English exiles of Mary's reign. The task of continuing the revision of the Bible fell naturally to the non-conforming party who retired to Geneva, the active centre of the labours of Calvin and Beza. Among them was W. Whittingham, who married Calvin's [wife's] sister<sup>1</sup>; and it is to him in all probability that we owe the Genevan Testament<sup>2</sup>, which appeared in 1557 [in Roman type], with an Introductory Epistle by Calvin. The reviser's own address to the reader is anonymous, but it is definitely personal, and claims the work for a single man, and no one seems more likely than Whittingham to have undertaken it.

*The reviser's  
account of  
his work.*

'As touchīg the perusing of the text,' he writes, 'it was  
'diligently reuised by the moste approued Greke examples,  
'and conference of translations in other tonges, as the  
'learned may easely iudge, both by the faithful rendering of  
'the sentence, and also by the proprietie of the wordes, and  
'perspicuitie of the phrase. Forthermore that the Reader  
'might be by all meanes proffited, I haue deuided the text  
'into verses and sectiōs<sup>3</sup>, according to the best editions in  
'other languages....And because the Hebrewes and Greke  
'phrases, which are strange to rendre in other tongues, and  
'also short, shulde not be to harde, I haue sometyme inter-  
'preted them, without any whit diminishing the grace of

<sup>1</sup> [The inscription on Whittingham's tomb in Durham Cathedral described him as 'maritus sororis Johannis 'Calvini theologi.' But it is clear that his wife was not Calvin's sister, for in her will 'Loys Jaqueeman' is mentioned as her father. She must therefore in all probability have been his wife's sister. Calvin married a widow, Idelette de Buren, and her maiden name is not recorded. But the inscription which was contemporary admits of no other interpretation.]

<sup>2</sup> [Printed in 1841 in Bagster's

*Hexapla*, and again separately in 1842.]

<sup>3</sup> The division into verses was first given in Stephens' Gr. Lat. Test. of 1551. See Tregelles, *An Account of the Printed Text...* p. 33. The use of supplemental words is found in Münster's O.T. 1534, but the italics are said to have been borrowed by the reviser of 1557 from Beza's Testament of 1556. A different type was employed in the Great Bible to mark readings borrowed from the Vulgate, e.g. 1 John v. 7.

'the sense, as our lāgage doth vse them, and sometyme 'haue put to [added] that worde, which lacking made the 'sentence obscure, but haue set it in such letters as may 'easily be discerned from the cōmun text.'

The attractiveness of the book was enhanced by a marginal commentary, in which the author boasts that to his knowledge he has 'omitted nothing vnexpounded, 'wherby he that is anything exercised in the Scriptures of 'God, might iustely cōplayn of hardenes.' It was at least far more complete than any yet available for the English reader. So it was that the edition received a ready welcome and soon found its way to England. It was, however, only the beginning of a larger enterprise. Within a few months after it was finished, a thorough revision of the whole Bible was commenced, and was continued 'for 'the space of two yeres and more day and night.' The striking difference between the translation of the New Testament in this complete edition of the Bible (1560) and the separate New Testament (1557)<sup>1</sup>, is a signal proof of the amount of independent labour bestowed upon the work. The names of those who were engaged upon it are not given, but they were several and perhaps not the same during the whole time. The accession of Elizabeth broke up the society in part, but 'Whittingham with one or two 'more, being resolved to go through with the work, did 'tarry at Geneva an year and an half after Q. Elizabeth 'came to the Crown<sup>2</sup>.' These were probably Gilby and

*The revision  
of the Bible  
undertaken.*

*Nov. 1558.*

<sup>1</sup> See Chap. III. § 6. The acknowledged importance of this work of revision is further shewn by the fact that the text of the edition of 1557 was never reprinted. It was at once superseded by the more complete work undertaken very shortly after its appearance. Compare Mr F. Fry, *Journal of Sacred Literature*, July, 1864 [also printed separately]. The separate New Testament of 1560 [of which a copy is in the Library of Lambeth Palace] gives, as Mr Fry

has shewn, the text of the translation in the Bible and not that of the New Testament of 1557. [Before the Geneva Bible appeared in 1560 a separate edition of the Psalms from the Bible Version was issued in 1559. Of this only two copies are known to exist: one in the Library of the Earl of Ellesmere, Bridgewater House, and the other in my own possession. W. A. W.]

<sup>2</sup> Wood's *Athenæ Oxon.* s. v. Whittingham.

*April 1560.* Sampson<sup>1</sup>. Under their care the Bible was finished in 1560, and dedicated to Q. Elizabeth in bold and simple language without flattery or reserve.

'The eyes of all that feare God in all places beholde 'your countreyes,' thus they address the Queen, 'as an 'example to all that beleue, and the prayers of all the 'godly at all tymes are directed to God for the preserua- 'tiō of your maiestie. For considering Gods wonderful 'mercies toward you at all seasons, who hath pulled you 'out of the mouthe of the lyons, and how that from your 'youth you haue bene broght vp in the holy Scriptures, the 'hope of all men is so increased, that thei cā not but looke 'that God shulde bring to passe some wōderful worke by 'your grace to the vniversal comfort of his Church. There- 'fore euen aboue strēgth you must shewe your selfe strong 'and bolde in Gods matters... This Lord of lordes & King 'of kings who hath euer defended his, strengthē, cōfort and 'preserue your maiestie, that you may be able to builde vp 'the ruines of Gods house to his glorie, the discharge of 'your conscience, and to the comfort of all them that loue 'the comming of Christ Iesus our Lord?'

*Bodley's  
share in it.*

The cost of the work was defrayed by members of the congregation at Geneva, 'whose hearts God touched' to encourage the revisers 'not to spare any charges for the 'fortherrance of suche a benefite and fauour of God'; and one of those most actively engaged in this service was John Bodley, the father of the founder of the Bodleian Library, who received afterwards from Elizabeth a patent for the exclusive right to print the revision in England for seven years<sup>2</sup>. A folio edition was published by him in the next year<sup>4</sup>, but this was printed at Geneva, and he does not

*April 1561.*

<sup>1</sup> This is well established by Anderson, II. pp. 320 f.

<sup>2</sup> The aim of the book was indicated by the original title of the address to the reader, which was 'To our be- 'loved in the Lord the brethren of 'England, Scotland, Ireland, &c.' This superscription was altered in

1578 to 'To the diligent and Christian 'reader,' and afterwards to 'To the 'Christian reader.' (Comp. Ander- son, II. 356-7.)

<sup>3</sup> Anderson, II. 324.

<sup>4</sup> The first title is dated 1562, but the title of the New Testament is dated 1561, and the Preface April,

appear to have availed himself afterwards of the privilege, though the patent may have helped the sale of the work.

The form in which the Bible was published marked its popular destination. Its size—a moderate quarto—offers a marked contrast to the ponderous folios of Coverdale, Matthew and the Great Bible. With the same view the text was printed for the first time in Roman letter; and the division of the chapters into verses was introduced<sup>1</sup>. A marginal commentary also was added, pure and vigorous in style, and, if slightly tinged with Calvinistic doctrine, yet on the whole neither unjust nor illiberal<sup>2</sup>.

*Convenience  
of the edi-  
tion.*

It was not therefore surprising that from the time of its first appearance the Genevan Bible became the household Bible of the English-speaking nations; and it continued to be so for about three-quarters of a century. It was never sanctioned for public use in churches; but the convenience of its form and the simple clearness of its notes gained it a wide popularity with the mass of the people<sup>3</sup>.

*The popular  
Bible.*

1561. No printer's name is attached to the book. One other edition was printed at Geneva in 1569, by J. Crispin. [Some copies have the date 1570 on the title. It is this edition which has the Calendar taken from the French Bible printed by François Estienne in 1567.]

<sup>1</sup> [A kind of fatality seems to attend those who write about the history of the English Bible. In the article on Whittingham in the *Dictionary of National Biography* we are informed that in the Geneva Bible of 1560 'the old black letter was abandoned for *Italian* characters.' It is printed in Roman type. It is also said that 'the Apocrypha was for the first time omitted,' which is not the fact, 'as were the names and days of saints from the calendar prefixed.' There was no calendar prefixed. These errors are partially corrected in the volume of *Errata*, where we are told to substitute 'Roman' for 'Italian,' and for the words 'omitted...prefixed'

to read 'differentiated, as regards its 'authoritative value, from the rest of 'the Old Testament.' Here is a new error, for this differentiation is already to be found in Matthew's Bible of 1537 and in Coverdale's of 1535.]

<sup>2</sup> [The woodcuts in the books of Exodus, 1 Kings, and Ezekiel were evidently in the first instance prepared for a French Bible, and are to be found in that of 1560, printed at Geneva in folio by Antoine Rebul. The Arguments of the books of Job and the Psalms are taken almost literally from the French Bible of 1559 printed by Barbier and Courteau, while the Arguments to Isaiah and Jeremiah shew traces of the same origin. The translators evidently made considerable use of this version.]

<sup>3</sup> [In the *Historical Catalogue of Bibles* in the Library of the British and Foreign Bible Society, p. 61, it is estimated that between 1560 and 1644 at least 140 editions of the Genevan Bible or Testament appeared.]

And the intrinsic merits of the book justified its popularity; for it was not without cause that the revisers say when reviewing their work: 'we may with good conscience 'protest, that we haue in every point and worde, according 'to the measure of that knollage which it pleased almightie 'God to giue vs, faithfully rendred the text, and in all hard 'places moste syncerely expounded the same. For God is 'our witnes that we haue by all meanes indeuored to set 'forthe the puritie of the worde and right sense of the 'holy Gost for the edifying of the brethren in faith and 'charitie.'

*Archbp.  
Parker's  
judgment.*

Thus it came to pass that the revision found a ready welcome even from those who were not predisposed in its favour. Some time after the 'Bishops' Bible' was undertaken, Bodley applied to Cecil for an extension of his patent. The secretary consulted Parker before replying to the request. Parker's answer is conceived in a generous spirit. He and the bishop of London [Grindal] 'thought 'so well of the first impression [of the Bible] and the review 'of those who had since travailed therein, that they wished 'it would please him [Cecil] to be a means that twelve 'years' longer term might be by special privilege granted 'him [Bodley], in consideration of the charges by him 'and his associates in the first impression, and the review 'since sustained; and that though one other special Bible 'for the Churches were meant by them to be set forth, as 'convenient time and leisure hereafter should permit, yet 'should it nothing hinder but rather do much good to have 'diversity of translations and readings.....<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Strype's *Parker*, i. 412. One other revision of the New Testament must be classed with the Genevan versions, that by Lawrence Tomson, which was professedly based on Beza, and contained a new Commentary, translated in the main from his. This was published in 1576, and dedicated to F. Walsingham and F. Hastings; and became so popular that it was frequently substituted for the Genevan

revision in the editions of the Genevan Bible.

Tomson repeats the promise of the Genevan editor with regard to the Commentary with even greater emphasis: 'I dare avouch it, and whoso 'readeth it, shall so find it, that there 'is not one hard sentence, nor dark 'speech nor doubtful word, but is so 'opened, and hath such light given 'it, that children may go through

## § 8. THE BISHOPS' BIBLE.

The Genevan revision was, as has been seen, the work of exiles whose action was unfettered by considerations of national policy. A work was comparatively easy for them which was not possible in the English Church. The commencement of Queen Elizabeth's reign was beset by many of the same difficulties which had occupied the great reformers on the accession of Edward VI. In the face of these it was not likely that measures would be taken for the revision of the English Bible. It was enough at first to restore what had been already once established. The injunctions which were issued by the Queen (1559) were closely moulded on those which had been put forth by Edward VI, and contained the same charge for the provision of a copy 'of the whole Bible of the largest volume' to be set up in some convenient place in each church. No limitation however was now added to the general encouragement to read the Scriptures; but it was said significantly that all should 'read the same *with great humility and reverence*, as the very lively word of God!'

*Q. Elizabeth's Injunctions.*

The concessions thus made fell in with the general desire of the people. This was shewn in a characteristic manner during the progress of the Queen from the Tower to Westminster on her accession to the throne. Various symbolic greetings were devised to welcome her on the way; and one above all seems to have attracted popular interest. At the 'Little Conduit in Cheape' a pageant was prepared 'and it was told her Grace that there was placed 'Time.' "Time?" quoth she, "and Time hath brought me 'hither.'" And with Time also was 'Truth the daughter

*Popular feeling at her accession.*

'with it, and the simplest that are  
'may walk without any guide, without  
'wandering and going astray.'

<sup>1</sup> Cardwell, *Doc. Ann.* (ed. 2) 1. 214. In the Injunctions of 1547 it is said, 'They [the parsons, vicars, &c.] shall discourage no man, *authorized and licensed thereto*, from the reading

'any part of the Bible either in Latin  
'or in English...' In the Injunctions of 1559 the words in italics are omitted. Cardwell, *Doc. Ann.* (ed. 2) 1. pp. 9, 214. On the other hand, the words in italics in the text are not contained in the Injunctions of 1547.

'of Time,' who held a book in her hand upon the which was written 'Verbum veritatis,' the word of Truth—the Bible in English—'which she delivered to the Queen. But 'she, as soon as she had received the book kissed it, and 'with both her hands held up the same and so laid it upon 'her breast with great thanks to the city therefor'—'to the 'great comfort,' it is added afterwards, 'of the lookers-on!'

It is likely indeed that in this respect the zeal of the Queen was suspected to be cooler than that of many about her. 'On the morrow of her coronation,' so Bacon writes, 'it being the custom to release prisoners at the inauguration of a prince...one of her courtiers...besought her with 'a loud voice, That now this good time there might be four 'or five principal prisoners more released; those were the 'four Evangelists and the apostle St Paul, who had been 'long shut up in an unknown tongue, as it were in prison, 'so as they could not converse with the common people. 'The Queen answered very gravely, That it was best first 'to inquire of them whether they would be released or 'no<sup>2</sup>.'

*Archbp.  
Parker  
undertakes  
a revision  
of the Bible.*

Thus at first the Great Bible was allowed to retain its place as the authorised Bible for ecclesiastical use, but the wide circulation of the Genevan edition made its defects generally known, and Parker, who was naturally inclined to biblical studies, as soon as an opportunity offered, took measures for the review of the old translation. This was about 1563-4. The method which he followed has been described by Strype. 'The Archbishop,' he writes, 'took 'upon him the labour to contrive and set the whole work 'a going in a proper method, by sorting out the whole 'Bible into parcels..., and distributing those parcels to able 'bishops and other learned men, to peruse and collate each 'the book or books allotted them: sending withal his 'instructions for the method they should observe; and they 'to add some short marginal notes for the illustration or 'correction of the text. And all these portions of the

*His plan.*

<sup>1</sup> Nichols' *Progresses*, I. pp. 13 ff., 27.

<sup>2</sup> Bacon, *Collection of Apophthegms*, § 1 [from Rawley's *Resuscitatio*, 1660].



'Bible being finished and sent back to the archbishop, he  
'was to add the last hand to them and so to take care for  
'printing and publishing the whole<sup>1</sup>.'

Among those whose help he sought was Sandys, bishop  
of Worcester. Sandys strongly urged the necessity of the  
work. 'Your Grace,' he says, 'should much benefit the  
'Church in hastening forward the Bible which you have in  
'hand: those that we have be not only false printed but  
'also give great offence to many by reason of the depravity  
'in reading.' In another letter which accompanied his re-  
vision of the portion of Scripture assigned to him, he  
explains more at length the ground of his opinion.  
'According to your Grace's letter of instruction I have  
'perused the book you sent me and with good diligence;  
'having also in conference with some other considered of  
'the same in such sort, I trust, as your Grace will not  
'mislike of..... In mine opinion your Grace shall do well  
'to make the whole Bible to be diligently surveyed by  
'some well learned before it be put to print.....which  
'thing will require a time. *Sed sat cito si sat bene.* The  
'setters forth of this our common translation followed  
'Munster too much, who doubtless was a very negligent  
'man in his doings and often swerved very much from the  
'Hebrew.....<sup>2</sup>'

*The opinion  
of Bp.  
Sandys.*

Other fragments of correspondence shew some of the  
difficulties with which Parker had to contend. Guest,  
bishop of Rochester, in returning the book of Psalms which  
had been sent to him for correction, gives a singular view  
of the duties of a translator. 'I have not,' he says, 'altered  
'the translation but where it gave occasion of an error.  
'As at the first Psalm at the beginning I turn the præter-  
'perfect tense into the present tense, because the sense is  
'too harsh in the præterperfect tense. Where in the New  
'Testament one piece of a Psalm is reported I translate it  
'in the Psalms according to the translation thereof in the  
'New Testament, for the avoiding of the offence that may  
'rise to the people upon divers translations.....<sup>3</sup>'

*Bp. Guest.*

<sup>1</sup> Strype's *Parker*, I. 414.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* I. 415, 6.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* I. 416.

*Bp. Cox.*

Again, Cox, bishop of Ely, writing in May, 1566, says, 'I trust your Grace is well forward with the Bible by this time. I perceive the greatest burden will lie upon your neck, touching care and travail. I would wish that such usual words as we English people be acquainted with might still remain in their form and sound, so far forth as the Hebrew will well bear; ink-horn terms to be avoided. The translation of the verbs in the Psalms to be used uniformly in one tense.....'

*The work  
finished in  
1568.*

However, in spite of all difficulties, the work went forward, and the Bishops' Bible, as it was called, appeared in 1568 in a magnificent volume, printed by R. Jugge, 'cum privilegio regię majestatis.' No word of flattery disfigures the book. It is even without a dedication. But a portrait of the Queen occupies the centre of the engraved title-page, and others of Leicester and Burleigh occur before the book of Joshua and the Psalms. At the end is an elegant couplet on the device of the pelican feeding her young :

Matris ut hæc proprio stirps est satiata cruore,  
Pascis item proprio, Christe, cruore tuos.

*The spirit  
of the work.*

It was not by these signs only that Parker shewed his true sense of the character of the task which he had undertaken. The revisers, speaking through him in the Preface, express a noble consciousness of the immensity of their labour. 'There be yet,' they say, quoting the words of John Fisher, once bishop of Rochester, 'in the gospels very many darke places, whiche without all doubt to the posteritie shalbe made muche more open. For why should we dispayre herein, seing the gospell was deliuered to this intent, that it might be vtterly vnderstanded of vs, yea to the very inche. Wherefore, forasmuche as Christe sheweth no lesse loue to his Church now, then hitherto he hath done, the auctoritie wherof is as yet no whit diminished, and forasmuch as that holy spirite [is] the perpetuall keper and gardian of the same Church, whose gyftes and graces do flowe as continually and as

<sup>1</sup> Strype's *Parker*, I. 417. Comp. II. 212 ff.

'abundantly as from the beginning: who can doubt but  
'that such thinges as remayne yet vnknownen in the gossell,  
'shalbe hereafter made open to the latter wittes of our  
'posteritie, to their cleare vnderstanding?' They felt then  
that their labour was provisional, and that the Spirit had  
yet further lessons in His Word to teach to later ages.

It is not certainly known by whom the whole revision  
was actually made. Initials are placed at the end of some  
of the books, and this, Parker says, was done by his own  
wish that the several scholars might be 'more diligent, as  
'answerable for their doings.' But it seems evident from  
the manner in which the initials are distributed that they  
do not indicate all the contributors<sup>1</sup>. They do not stand  
at the end of groups of books which might naturally be  
supposed to have been given to one reviser. Once the  
same initials are repeated in consecutive books. Some  
names too are certainly passed over. Lawrence, for  
example<sup>2</sup>, had a considerable part in the revision of the  
New Testament, and his initials nowhere occur. Of the  
revisers who can be probably identified eight were bishops,  
and from them the revision derived its popular title<sup>3</sup>.

*The revisers  
not certainly  
known.*

<sup>1</sup> This is indeed implied in Parker's  
own language; see p. 100, n. 1.

<sup>2</sup> See Ch. III. § 7.

<sup>3</sup> The initials given are the following  
(for the identifications I am indebted  
mainly to the *Historical Account*).  
At the end of the Pentateuch W. E.  
= W. Exoniensis, William Alley, Bp.  
of Exeter:

of 2 Samuel R. M. = R. Menevensis,  
Richard Davies, Bp. of St David's:

of 2 Chronicles E. W. = E. Wigor-  
nensis, Edwyn Sandys, Bp. of Wor-  
cester:

of Job A. P. C. = Andrew Pearson,  
canon of Canterbury:

of the Psalms T. B. ? Thomas  
Becon: [It may be doubted whether  
the initials T. B. are those of Thomas  
Becon, who disclaims any special  
knowledge of Greek and may therefore  
be presumed not to have been much

of a Hebrew scholar. They may  
possibly indicate Thomas Bickley,  
one of Parker's chaplains, and after-  
wards Bp. of Chichester.]

of the Song of Solomon A. P. E.  
= Andrew Perne, canon of Ely:

of Lamentations R. W. = R. Win-  
tonensis, Robert Horne, Bp. of Win-  
chester:

of Daniel T. C. L. = T. Cov. &  
Lichf., Thomas Bentham, Bp. of  
Lichfield and Coventry:

of Malachi E. L. = E. Londinensis,  
Edmund Grindal, Bp. of London:

of Wisdom W. C. = William Bar-  
low, Bp. of Chichester, omitted in  
some copies:

of 2 Maccabees J. N. = J. Norvi-  
censis, John Parkhurst, Bp. of Nor-  
wich:

of the Acts R. E. = R. Eliensis,  
Richard Cox, Bp. of Ely:

*The Bishops' Bible sanctioned by Convocation for public use.*

When the edition was ready for publication Parker endeavoured to obtain through Cecil a recognition of it by the Queen. The revision did not, he pleaded, 'vary much 'from that translation which was commonly used by public 'order, except when the verity of the Hebrew and Greek 'moved alteration, or when the text was by some negligence mutilated from the original.' His design was to secure a uniform text for public use, and in some places the Genevan revision was now publicly read, which seemed to be an infringement of ecclesiastical discipline, and yet the Great Bible could not be honestly maintained'. There

of Romans R. E. (as before):

of 1 Corinthians G. G.=Gabriel Goodman, dean of Westminster.

In the copy of the edition of 1568 which I have used the letters A. P. C. do not occur after Proverbs. Mr F. Fry tells me that he has 'both 'leaves of this edition, one with A. P. 'C., and one without.' [These are now in the Library of the Bible Society.]

To the other books no initials are appended. [But in ed. 1568 we find M. C. under the initial letters of Genesis, Exodus, the Gospel of St Matthew, the Second Epistle to the Corinthians, the Epistle to the Galatians (in some copies), Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, 1 and 2 Thessalonians, 1 and 2 Timothy, Titus, Philemon, and the Hebrews, which may indicate that the revision of these books was superintended by the Archbishop himself. Similarly to the initial letters of 1 Peter v., 2 Peter iii., 1 John v., 3 John, Jude, and Rev. xxii. are attached the initials H. L., which may be those of Hugh Jones, Bishop of Llandaff, who was a friend of Parker's, and perhaps took up what had been assigned to the Bishop of Lincoln.]

<sup>1</sup> Parker's words are important as describing the care which was spent upon the edition, and the objects for

which it was designed: 'Because I 'would you knew all,' he writes to Cecil [5 Oct. 1568], 'I send you a 'note to signify who first travailed in 'the divers Books; though after them 'some other perusing was had; the 'letters of their names be partly 'affixed in the end of their Books; 'which I thought a policy to shew 'them, to make them more diligent, 'as answerable for their doings...The 'Printer hath honestly done his diligence; if your honour would obtain 'of the Queen's highness that this 'edition might be licensed, and only 'commended in public reading in 'Churches, to draw to one uniformity, 'it were no great cost to the most 'parishes, and a relief to him for his 'great charges sustained.' (*Biblioth. Sussex*. II. pp. 311 f.) He presses for the grant of the Queen's license 'as well for that in many churches 'they want their Books, and have 'long time looked for this; as for 'that in certain places, be publicly 'used some translations which have 'not been laboured in your realm, 'having interspersed divers prejudicial 'notes which might have been also 'well spared.' (*Id.* II. p. 313.) [The list of translators given in Parker's letter to Cecil (*Parker Correspondence*, pp. 334-6, ed. Parker Soc.) does not exactly correspond with that given in

is no evidence to shew whether the Queen returned any answer to his petition. The action of Convocation however was decided, and cannot have been in opposition to the royal will. It was ordered in the 'Constitutions and 'Canons Ecclesiastical' of 1571 that 'every archbishop and 'bishop should have at his house a copy of the holy Bible 'of the largest volume as lately printed at London.....and 'that it should be placed in the hall or the large dining 'room, that it might be useful to their servants or to 'strangers'. It was also enjoined that each Cathedral should have a copy<sup>2</sup>; and the same provision was extended 'as far as it could be conveniently done' to all churches<sup>3</sup>.

These injunctions however do not seem to have been rigorously carried out; and sixteen years afterward Arch-

the previous note. The Archbishop himself undertook Genesis, Exodus, the first two Gospels, and 2 Corinthians—Hebrews. Andrew Pierston (Cantuariæ) was responsible for Leviticus and Numbers as well as Job and Proverbs, and Deuteronomy was the only part of the Pentateuch entrusted to Alley, Bishop of Exeter. Joshua—2 Samuel were given to Davies, Bishop of St David's; Kings and Chronicles to Sandys, Bishop of Worcester; Ecclesiastes and Canticles to Andrew Perne (Cantabrigiæ), Dean of Ely; Isaiah, Jeremiah, and Lamentations to Horne, Bishop of Winchester; Ezekiel and Daniel to Bentham, Bishop of Lichfield and Coventry; the Minor Prophets to Grindal, Bishop of London; Esdras, Judith, Tobit, and Wisdom to Barlow, Bishop of Chichester; Ecclesiasticus, Susanna, Baruch, and Maccabees to Parkhurst, Bishop of Norwich; Luke and John to Scambler, Bishop of Peterborough; Acts and Romans to Cox, Bishop of Ely; 1 Corinthians to Goodman, Dean of Westminster; the Canonical Epistles and Apocrypha to

Bullingham, Bishop of Lincoln. No mention is made of the translator of the Psalms, and the books from Ezra to Esther are not assigned to any one. The Sum of the Scripture, the Tables of Christ's line, the Argument of the Scriptures, the first Preface to the Whole Bible, the Preface to the Psalter, and the Preface to the New Testament, were written by the Archbishop.]

<sup>1</sup> Quivis archiepiscopus et episcopus habebit domi suæ sacra Biblia in amplissimo volumine, uti nuperrime Londini excusa sunt, et plenam illam historiam quæ inscribitur 'Monumenta 'martyrum,' et alios quosdam similes libros ad religionem appositos. Locentur autem isti libri vel in aula vel in grandi cenaculo ut et ipsorum famulis et advenis usui esse possint (Cardwell, *Synodalia*, I. p. 115).

<sup>2</sup> Cardwell, *l.c.*

<sup>3</sup> Aeditui curabunt...ut sacra Biblia sint in singulis Ecclesiis in amplissimo volumine (si commode fieri possit) qualia nunc nuper Londini excusa sunt...(Cardwell, *Synodalia*, I. p. 123).

July 16th,  
1587.

bishop Whitgift took measures for their better observance. Writing to the Bishop of Lincoln, he says: 'whereas I am 'credibly informed that divers as well parish churches as 'chapels of ease, are not sufficiently furnished with Bibles, 'but some have either none at all, or such as be torn and 'defaced, and yet not of the translation authorized by the 'synods of bishops: these are therefore to require you 'strictly in your visitations or otherwise to see that all and 'every the said churches and chapels in your diocese be 'provided of one Bible or more, at your discretion, of the 'translation allowed as aforesaid.....And for the perform- 'ance thereof I have caused her highness' printer to imprint 'two volumes of the said translation of the Bible afore- 'said, a bigger and a less...both which are now extant and 'ready'.

*The Great  
Bible dis-  
placed by  
the Bishops'.*

There is no evidence to shew how far this new effort was successful in securing exclusively for the Bishops' Bible public use in churches. The revision did not at least gain any such hold upon the clergy as to lead even them to adopt it alone privately; and when Martin assailed the English versions (1582) he takes the Great Bible, or the Bishops' or the Genevan indifferently; and Fulke in his answer does not claim absolute precedence for any one of them. But while the Genevan Bible held its ground, there can be no doubt that the Great Bible was soon entirely displaced by the Bishops'; and no edition of it appears to have been printed after 1569.

### § 9. THE RHEIMS AND DOWAY VERSION.

*Vernacular  
Versions of  
the Bible  
made by  
Roman  
Catholics.*

The wide circulation and great influence of the reformed versions of the Bible made it impossible for the Roman Catholic scholars to withstand the demand for vernacular translations of Scripture sanctioned by authority in their churches. The work was undertaken not as in itself either necessary or generally desirable, but in special considera-

<sup>1</sup> Cardwell, *Documentary Annals* (ed. 2), II. 31 f.

tion of the circumstances of the time<sup>1</sup>. So it came to pass that 'since Luthers reuolt...diuers learned Catholikes, for 'the more speedy abolishing of a number of false and 'impious translations put forth by sundry sectes, and for 'the better preservation or reclaime of many good soules 'endangered thereby, haue published the Bible in the 'seuerall languages of almost all the principal provinces of 'the Latin Church' in the sixteenth century<sup>2</sup>. The design of an English Version formed part of the systematic plan for winning back England to the Papacy, which was shaped and guided by the energy and skill of [Cardinal] Allen. The centre of Allen's labours was the seminary which he first established at Douai (1568), and afterwards transferred temporarily to Rheims (1578). And it was in this seminary that the Rhemish Version, as it is commonly called, was made.

The history of the Rhemish Version has not yet been traced in contemporary records<sup>3</sup>; but the prefaces to the Old and New Testaments explain with perfect clearness the objects and method of the translators. They professed to find the cause of the troubles of England in the free handling of the deep mysteries of Scripture which led men to 'contemne or easily passe ouer all the moral partes.' 'If 'our new Ministers had had [that sense of the depth and 'profundity of wisdom...], this cogitation and care that these 'and all other wise men haue, and euer had, our countrie 'had neuer fallen to this miserable state in religion, & that 'vnder pretence, colour, and coūtenance of Gods word: 'neither should vertue and good life haue bene so pitifully , 'corrupted in time of such reading, toiling, tumbling and 'translating the booke of oure life and saluation...<sup>4</sup>. The text of these new translations, they plead, was full of alterations, transpositions, new pointings; the authorship and

*The English Version.*

*The object of the translators.*

<sup>1</sup> *Preface to the Rhemish Testament.*

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*

<sup>3</sup> Collections for the bibliographical history of the version have been made by Dr Cotton in his *Rhemes & Doway* ...Oxford, 1855. [The latest and most

valuable contribution to this subject is by Dr J. G. Carleton, *The part of Rheims in the making of the English Bible*, 1902.]

<sup>4</sup> *Preface to the Rhemish New Testament.*

*The New  
Testament.*

authority of whole books were questioned; old terms and forms were abandoned; the language was dealt with as freely as if it were the language 'of Liue, Virgil, or 'Terence.' 'We therefore,' they continue, 'hauing com-  
'passion to see our beloued countrie men, with extreme  
'danger of their soules, to vse onely such prophane transla-  
'tions, and erroneous mens mere phantasies, for the pure  
'and blessed word of truth, much also moucd therevnto by  
'the desires of many deuout persons: haue set forth, for  
'you (benigne readers) the new Testament to begin withal,  
'trusting that it may giue occasion to you, after diligent  
'perusing thereof, to lay away at lest such their impure  
'versions as hitherto you haue ben forced to occupie<sup>1</sup>.'

*The Anno-  
tations.*

A controversial commentary formed a necessary part of the undertaking. It was pleaded that 'though the text, 'truely translated, might sufficiently, in the sight of the  
'learned and al indifferent men...controule the aduersaries  
'corruptions...yet...somewhat to help the faithful reader in  
'the difficulties of diuers places, we [the editors] haue also  
'set forth reasonable large ANNOTATIONS, thereby to shew  
'...both the heretical corruptions and false deductions, &  
'also the Apostolike tradition, the expositions of the holy  
'fathers, the decrees of the Catholike Church and most  
'auncient Coũcels: which meanes whosocuer trusteth not,  
'for the sense of holy Scriptures, but had rather folow his  
'priuate judgmēt or the arrogāt spirit of these Sectaries, he  
'shal worthily through his owne wilfulnes be deceiued...<sup>2</sup>.'

*The trans-  
lators and  
annotators.*

The names of those who performed the work are nowhere given in connexion with it, but internal evidence leaves no doubt that the chief share in the translation was undertaken by Gregory Martin, sometime fellow of St John's College, Oxford, a scholar of distinguished attainments both in Hebrew and Greek. Upon renouncing Protestantism Martin had studied for some years at Douai and then after an interval of travel settled at Rheims as one of the readers of divinity in the English College there.

<sup>1</sup> *Preface to Rhemish N. T.*

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*



Other scholars were probably associated with Martin in the task of translation or in the composition of the notes. It is said that Dr Allen himself, Dr R. Bristow, formerly a fellow of Exeter College, and Dr J. Reynolds, formerly a fellow of New College, among others, assisted Martin in revising his translation. More particularly also the notes on the New Testament have been attributed to Dr Bristow, and those on the Old Testament to Dr Worthington. However this may be, Martin clearly identified himself with the work in a treatise which he published on the '*Manifold corruptions of the Holy Scriptures by the heretikes*' (Rhemes, 1582), very shortly after the appearance of the first instalment of the new version<sup>1</sup>. Yet it may be concluded from a comparison of the annotations with this treatise that Martin's work lay in the version and not in the commentary. His labours, as it seems, proved fatal to him. He died in the same year in which the books appeared to which he had devoted his life.

When the New Testament was published the whole version had been 'long since' finished though the publication was delayed 'for lacke of good meanes<sup>2</sup>.' This 'one 'general cause,' the 'poor estate [of the favourers of the 'version] in banishment<sup>3</sup>,' delayed the appearance of the Old Testament till 1609-10 (Douai). The complete work cannot have had an extensive circulation. It was reprinted in 1635 (Rouen), and then not again for one hundred and fifteen years, when it was revised by Dr R. Challoner (1749-50); and this revision has formed the basis of the later editions<sup>4</sup>.

The New Testament, as might have been expected, attracted more attention. It was reprinted at Antwerp

The Old  
Testament.

<sup>1</sup> The priority of the publication of the New Testament is shewn by a reference to it in the Preface to the *Discoverie of the manifold corruptions* ... (p. 63, Parker Soc. reprint). On the other hand the *Discoverie* was already composed when the Preface to the New Testament was written:

Margin to § 21.

<sup>2</sup> Preface to the *New Testament*.

<sup>3</sup> Preface to the *Old Testament*.

<sup>4</sup> Cotton, *l. c.* pp. 47 ff. The standard edition is said to be that 'revised and corrected' under the sanction of Dr Troy, 1791.

in 1600 and 1621, and again (at Rouen?) in 1633, though not afterwards for more than a hundred years<sup>1</sup>. But it obtained a still larger circulation by the help of its opponents. The annotations called for an answer. A powerful party in England urged Cartwright to prepare one<sup>2</sup>. Difficulties however were interposed in his way and his reply was not published in a complete form till 1618, fifteen years after his death. In the mean time Fulke, who answered Martin's book on the 'Corruptions of Holy Scripture,' answered his edition of the New Testament also (1589)<sup>3</sup>. He printed the Rhemish and Bishops' (revised 1572) version in parallel columns, and added to the Rhemish notes, which he gave at length, the refutation or qualifications which they seemed to require. This book became very popular, and the Rhemish Testament gained in this way a wide currency which it would not otherwise have enjoyed. But questions of scholarship or textual criticism are wholly subordinated in this examination to larger topics of controversy. At the same time the scriptural vocabulary was, as we shall see afterwards, insensibly increased, and even Bacon goes aside to praise 'the discretion and tenderness of the Rhemish translation,' which ever distinguished the Christian grace 'charity' (ἀγάπη) from 'love' (ἔρως)<sup>4</sup>.

*Fulke's  
answer to  
the Rhemish  
New  
Testament.*

<sup>1</sup> In the mean time two other Roman Catholic translations of the New Testament from the Vulgate were made, one by Dr Nary (1718, see Dr Cotton, *l.c.* pp. 37 ff.) ; and the other by Dr Witham (1730, see Dr Cotton, *l.c.* pp. 41 ff.).

<sup>2</sup> Strype, *Whitgift*, i. 482; *Annals*, III. i. 287 ff.

<sup>3</sup> Other editions of this book were

published in 1601, 1617, 1633. In the account of Fulke prefixed to the Parker reprint of his answer to Martin an edition is assigned also to the year 1580 by a surprising mistake.

<sup>4</sup> In his tract *Concerning the Liturgy*. [*Letters and Life*, ed. Spedding, III. 118.] This reference I owe to Mr Plumptre.

## § 10. THE AUTHORISED VERSION.

There were, as we have seen, during the latter part of Elizabeth's reign two rival English Bibles<sup>1</sup>, the Bishops', which was sanctioned by ecclesiastical authority for public use<sup>2</sup>, and the Genevan, which was the common Bible of the people and even of scholars. If we may judge from the editions published, the circulation of the latter was more than quadruple that of the former, and the convenient forms in which it appeared marked its popular destination. There are only seven editions of the Bishops' Bible in quarto; all the others (eleven) are in folio; and no small edition was printed after that prepared by authority in 1584. Of the Genevan Bible, on the other hand, there are between 1568 and 1611 sixteen editions in octavo, fifty-two in quarto, and eighteen in folio<sup>3</sup>.

*Two Bibles  
in use at  
the accession  
of James I.*

<sup>1</sup> It would be interesting to determine the texts followed by the Elizabethan divines. On this the editions of the Parker Society, as far as I have examined them, give no help. Mr Anderson gives a few examples, II. 338. [According to Anderson the Geneva Bible was quoted by Gervase Babington, Bishop of Worcester, in his *Annotations on the Five Books of Moses*, and by George Abbot, afterwards Archbishop of Canterbury, in his *Commentary on Jonah* (1600). To these may be added John King, afterwards Bishop of London, in his *Lectures on Jonas* (1594). In an article on the Authorisation of the English Bible in *Macmillan's Magazine* for October, 1881, the present Archbishop of Canterbury shews that the Geneva version was used by Bishop Andrews in sermons preached in 1618, 1622, 1623, and 1624; by Bishop Laud in 1621; by Bishop Carleton in 1624; by Bishop Hall in 1613 and 1624; and by Dean Williams in 1624. In Hall's *Meditations* the headings are from the

Authorised Version, but the quotations are almost always from the Geneva. The Archbishop examined more than fifty sermons preached between 1611 and 1630, and found that the texts of 27 were taken from the Geneva and only five from the Bishops' Bible. An independent examination which I made of the Sermons of Bishop Andrews, ranging from 1589 to 1624, proved that a very large majority of his texts were taken from the Genevan Bible, and that though he was one of the translators of the Authorised Version, he seldom preached from it. The text of his Funeral Sermon by Bishop Buckeridge in 1626 was taken from the Bishops' Bible.]

<sup>2</sup> Fulke describes it on the title-page of his Text of the New Testament, &c., 1589, as 'the Translation ... commonly used in the Church of England,' and at the beginning of the text 'the translation of the Church of England.'

<sup>3</sup> This calculation is only approximately true. Mr F. Fry informs me

*Proposals  
for a  
revision of  
the Bible.*

*Jan. 16,  
1604.*

This rivalry was in every way undesirable; and in the conference on ecclesiastical matters which was held at Hampton Court shortly after the accession of James I, the authorised version of the Bible was brought forward as one of the things 'amiss in the Church.' The conference had no official or constitutional character, and was summoned by the king's proclamation, who had not yet himself been recognized as king by Parliament. But though it proved ineffectual in all other points, we owe to it our present Bible. The question was brought forward by Dr Reynolds, President of Corpus Christi College, Oxford, who quoted several mistranslations from the authorised Bibles<sup>1</sup>. 'My Lord of London (Bancroft) well added: That if every man's humour should be followed there would be no end of translating. Whereupon 'his Highness wished that some special pains should be taken in that behalf for one uniform translation—pro- fessing that he could never yet see a Bible well translated 'in English; but the worst of all his Majesty thought the 'Geneva to be—and this to be done by the best learned 'in both the Universities; after them to be reviewed by 'the bishops and the chief learned of the Church; from 'them to be presented to the Privy Council; and lastly 'to be ratified by his royal authority; and so this whole 'Church to be bound unto it and none other. Marry

that he has altogether sixty-six editions in quarto, including those of later date, and that the whole number must be at least seventy. [See p. 93, note 3.]

<sup>1</sup> Gal. iv. 25; Pss. cv. 28, cvi. 30.

The account given in the Preface to the Authorised Version is somewhat different from, though reconcileable with, Barlow's. 'The very Historicall 'trueth is, that vpon the importunate 'petitions of the Puritanes, at his 'Maiesties comming to this Crowne. 'the Conference at Hampton Court 'hauing bene appointed for hearing 'their complaints: when by force of

'reason they were put from all other 'grounds, they had recourse at the 'last, to this shift, that they could 'not with good conscience subscribe 'to the Communion booke, since it 'maintained the Bible as it was there 'translated, which was as they said, 'a most corrupted translation. And 'although this was indged to be but 'a very poore and emptie shift; yet 'euen hereupon did his Maiestie be- 'ginne to bethinke himselfe of the 'good that might ensue by a new 'translation, and presently after gaue 'order for this Translation which is 'now presented vnto thee.'

'withal he gave this caveat, upon a word cast out by my  
'lord of London, that no marginal notes should be added,  
'having found in them which are annexed to the Geneva  
'translation, which he saw in a Bible given him by an  
'English lady, some notes very partial, untrue, seditious,  
'and savouring too much of dangerous and traitorous  
'conceits. As for example, Ex. i. 19; 2 Chron. xv. 16<sup>1</sup>.'

Nothing further was done at the conference, and the ecclesiastical authorities do not appear to have been anxious or even ready to engage in the proposed revision<sup>2</sup>. Bancroft had expressed what was probably a very general feeling; and in the Convocation which followed shortly afterwards (March—July, 1604) it was enjoined that every parish as 'yet unfurnished of the Bible of 'the largest volume<sup>3</sup>' should provide one within a convenient time, so that it seems unlikely that they even expected that it would be speedily carried out. But

*The king  
presses  
forward the  
scheme.*

<sup>1</sup> Barlow's *Sum and Substance of the Conference*... (printed in Cardwell's *Hist. of Conferences*), pp. 187-8.

Mr Anderson claims for Dr Reynolds the honour of having proposed originally that the translation should be 'without any marginal notes' (ii. 371). But the passage of Galloway which he quotes, so far from professing to give Reynolds' own scheme, gives 'the heads which his Majesty would 'have reformed at this time.' 'Sun-dry,' he continues, 'as they favoured, 'gave out copies of things here concluded: whereupon myself took occasion, as I was an ear and eye witness, to set them down, and 'presented them to his Majesty, who 'with his own hand mended some 'things, and eked other things which 'I had omitted. Which corrected 'copy with his own hand I have, and 'of it have sent you herein the just 'transumpt word by word...' The conclusion in question is: 'That a 'translation be made of the whole 'Bible, as consonant as can be to the 'original Hebrew and Greek; and

'this to be set out and printed without 'any marginal notes, and only to be 'used in all Churches of England in 'time of Divine Service' (Cardwell, *Hist. of Conferences*, pp. 213, 214).

<sup>2</sup> Still in the note which was made apparently by Bancroft himself of 'things as shall be reformed,' occurs 'One uniform translation of the Bible 'to be made, and only to be used in 'all the churches of England' (Cardwell, *Hist. of Conferences*, p. 142).

<sup>3</sup> Canon 80. From a comparison with Whitgift's injunctions (p. 102) the reference is probably to the Bishops' Bible. But Dr Reynolds' quotations from the Great Bibles 'allowed in 'the reign of Henry VIII and Edward VI,' as still publicly used, shew that these had not been done away with or forbidden.

It is worthy of notice that in Archbp. Bancroft's visitation articles of 1605 the 'Bible of the greatest 'volume' is not mentioned as in the corresponding articles of Cranmer and Whitgift (§ 48. Cardwell's *Doc. Ann.* ed. 2, ii. 110).

about the same time the king had matured his scheme. It is not known in what manner the scholars to be entrusted with the revision were selected. It appears however that some were submitted to the king who approved of the choice, and the list was complete by June 30th. The undertaking was no doubt really congenial to James' character, and Bancroft writing to Cambridge on that day to hasten on its execution adds, 'I am persuaded his 'royal mind rejoiceth more in the good hope which he 'hath for the happy success of that work, than of his 'peace concluded with Spain<sup>1</sup>.' Three weeks afterwards (July 22nd) the king wrote to Bancroft, who was acting as representative of the vacant see of Canterbury, announcing that he had 'appointed certain learned men, to the number 'of four and fifty, for the translating of the Bible,' and requiring him to take measures whereby he might be able to recompense the translators by church preferment. 'Furthermore,' he adds, 'we require you to move all our 'bishops to inform themselves of all such learned men 'within their several dioceses, as, having especial skill in 'the Hebrew and Greek tongues, have taken pains in their 'private studies of the Scriptures for the clearing of any 'obscurities either in the Hebrew or in the Greek, or 'touching any difficulties or mistakings in the former 'English translation, which we have now commanded to be 'thoroughly viewed and amended, and thereupon to write 'unto them, earnestly charging them and signifying our 'pleasure therein that they send such their observations 'either to Mr Lively, our Hebrew reader in Cambridge, 'or to Dr Harding, our Hebrew reader in Oxford, or to 'Dr Andrews, dean of Westminster, to be imparted to the 'rest of their several companies; that so our said intended 'translation may have the help and furtherance of all our 'principal learned men within this our kingdom<sup>2</sup>.'

*An immediate provision for the revisers proposed.*

Having provided in this manner for the future remuneration of the scholars whose services he had engaged,

<sup>1</sup> [Quoted by Anderson, II. 372, from Lewis.]

<sup>2</sup> Cardwell, *Doc. Annals* (ed. 2), II. 84.

the king was equally prudent in endeavouring to obtain the means of defraying their immediate expenses. These 'his majesty,' it is said, 'was very ready of his most princely disposition to have borne, but some of my lords, as things now go, did hold it inconvenient'; so 'he requested the bishops and chapters to contribute toward this work,' with the additional stimulus that 'his majesty would be acquainted with every man's liberality.' Bancroft, in communicating this notice to the different dignitaries to whom it applied, adds, 'I do not think that a thousand marks will finish the work,' so that the amount of the tax might not be left altogether in uncertainty<sup>1</sup>. But in spite of the royal request nothing seems to have been subscribed, and from the life of one of the translators it appears that they received nothing but free entertainment in the colleges till some of them met in London for the final revision of the work<sup>2</sup>.

It does not appear in what way the actual selection of the revisers was made, but it is most likely that names were suggested by the universities and approved by the king. There is also some discrepancy as to the number engaged upon the work. The king speaks of fifty-four, and only forty-seven names appear upon the list. It is possible that some were originally appointed who did not in the end take any part in the revision, or that a committee of bishops was chosen as an independent group of revisers; but no satisfactory solution of the difficulty has yet been proposed<sup>3</sup>. The delay, however, which took place in the commencement of the revision is sufficient to account for its existence; for though the preliminaries were settled before the end of 1604, the revision does not appear to have been seriously undertaken till 1607<sup>4</sup>. The

*The revisers  
chosen.*

<sup>1</sup> Cardwell, *l. c.* 87 ff.

<sup>2</sup> Walker's *Life of Boys*, quoted by Anderson, II. 381. [See p. 118.]

<sup>3</sup> Of the bishops, Bancroft, though not among the translators, is said to have 'altered the translation in fourteen places' to make it 'speak the prelatial language,' and to have been

'so potent' in pressing his corrections that there was no contradicting him (Dr Hill quoted by Mr Anderson, II. 378).

<sup>4</sup> Some of the revisers may indeed have begun their work at once. Thus Boys is said to have worked for four years before the final revision, which

death of Mr Lively in 1605 was no doubt a grave check to the progress of the scheme, and it is not hard to imagine other obstacles which may have hindered it.

*The revisers  
divided into  
six groups.*

When at length the whole plan was ready for execution, the translators were divided into six companies, of which two met respectively at Westminster, Cambridge, and Oxford, and the whole work was thus divided among them<sup>1</sup>.

|              |   |  |
|--------------|---|--|
| Westminster. | Dr L. Andrews, Dean of Westminster.             | } Genesis<br>to<br>2 Kings<br>inclusive. |
|              | Dr J. Overall, Dean of St Paul's.               |  |
|              | Dr A. de Saravia, Canon of Canterbury.          |  |
|              | Dr R. Clark, Fellow of Christ's Coll., Camb.    |  |
|              | Dr J. Layfield, Fellow of Trin. Coll., Camb.    |  |
|              | Dr R. Teigh, Archdeacon of Middlesex.           |  |
|              | Mr F. Burleigh, Pemb. Hall, Camb., D.D. 1607    |  |
|              | Mr Geoffrey King, Fellow of King's Coll., Camb. |  |
|              | Mr Thompson, Clare Hall, Camb. <sup>2</sup>     |  |
|              | Mr Bedwell.                                     |  |

took nine months (*Life*, quoted by Anderson, II. 381). But the translators fix about two years and three-quarters as the length of time spent on the revision. See p. 116.

<sup>1</sup> This list is taken chiefly from Dr Cardwell's reprint of Burnet's list (*Doc. Annals*, ed. 2, II. 140 ff.). It is only approximately correct, and does not suit exactly the date 1604, as Barlow was not then Dean of Chester, nor 1607, when Mr Lively was dead. [Barlow was installed Dean of Chester 12 June, 1602, and in May, 1603, was elected Bishop of Rochester. Lively died in the beginning of May, 1605. The list is therefore correct for 1604.] Two other names, Dr J. Aglionby and Dr L. Hutton, are given elsewhere, in place of Dr Eedes and Dr Ravens. See Cardwell, *l.c.* ed. 2, p. 144 n. [and Wood's *Hist. and Ant. of the Univ. of Oxford*, ed. Gutch, II. 283]. The spelling of the names, it scarcely need be added, varies considerably. [According to Clark's *Register of the*

*University of Oxford*, vol. II. part 1, p. 141 (quoted by Dr Lupton in his article on the English Versions in *Hastings' Dictionary of the Bible*), on '14 May 1605 Arthur Lakes, 'M.A. New College was allowed 'B.D. and D.D., deferring the exercises for both; because engaged on 'the translation of the New Testament 'at London.' In a letter from Bishop Bilson to Sir Thomas Lake (*Calendar of State Papers*, Domestic Series, 19 April, 1605), also quoted by Dr Lupton, George Ryves of New College (D.D. 1599) is mentioned as a translator. These names are not in the usual lists. I have not found that similar dispensations were granted at Cambridge, but 'about 1605 there 'was a decree of the Chapter of York 'to keep a residentiary's place for 'Andrew Byng, as he was then occupied in translating the Bible.' (*Notes and Queries*, 3rd Series, IV. 380.)]

<sup>2</sup> On Richard Thomson ('Dutch Thomson') see a collection of ma-



|              |   |   |
|--------------|---|---|
| Cambridge.   | Mr Lively, Fellow of Trin. Coll.                  | 1 Chron.<br>to<br>Eccles.<br>inclusive.           |
|              | Mr Richardson, afterwards Master of Trin. Coll.   |   |
|              | Mr Chatterton, Master of Emm. Coll.               |   |
|              | Mr Dillingham, Fellow of Christ's Coll.           |   |
|              | Mr Harrison, Vice-Master of Trin. Coll.           |   |
|              | Mr Andrews, afterwards Master of Jesus Coll.      |   |
|              | Mr Spalding, Fellow of St John's.                 |   |
| Oxford.      | Mr Byng, Fellow of St Peter's Coll.               | Isaiah<br>to<br>Malachi.                          |
|              | Dr Harding, Pres. of Magd. Coll.                  |   |
|              | Dr Reynolds, Pres. of Corpus Christi Coll.        |   |
|              | Dr Holland, afterwards Rector of Ex. Coll.        |   |
|              | Dr Kilbye, Rector of Lincoln Coll.                |   |
|              | Dr Miles Smith, Brasenose Coll.                   |   |
|              | Dr R. Brett, Fellow of Lincoln Coll.              |   |
| Cambridge.   | Mr Fairclough, Fellow of New Coll.                | The<br>Apocry-<br>pha.                            |
|              | Dr Duport, Master of Jesus Coll.                  |   |
|              | Dr Branthwait, Master of Caius Coll.              |   |
|              | Dr Radcliffe, Fellow of Trin. Coll.               |   |
|              | Dr Ward, afterwards Master of Sid. Coll.          |   |
|              | Mr Downes, Fellow of St John's Coll. <sup>1</sup> |   |
|              | Mr Boys, Fellow of St John's Coll. <sup>2</sup>   |   |
| Oxford.      | Mr Ward, Fellow of King's Coll.                   | The four<br>Gospels,<br>Acts,<br>Apoca-<br>lypse. |
|              | Dr T. Ravis, Dean of Ch. Ch.                      |   |
|              | Dr G. Abbot, Dean of Winchester.                  |   |
|              | [Dr R. Eedes, Dean of Worcester.]                 |   |
|              | Dr G. Thompson, Dean of Windsor.                  |   |
|              | Mr (Sir H.) Savile, Provost of Eton.              |   |
|              | Dr Perin, Fellow of St John's Coll.               |   |
| Westminster. | [Dr Ravens, Fellow of St John's Coll.]            | Romans<br>to<br>Jude<br>inclusive.                |
|              | Dr Harmer, Fellow of New Coll.                    |   |
|              | Dr W. Barlow, Dean of Chester <sup>3</sup> .      |   |
|              | Dr Hutchinson, Archdeacon of St Alban's.          |   |
|              | Dr John Spencer, Pres. of Corp. Chr. Coll. Ox.    |   |
|              | Dr Roger Fenton, Fellow of Pemb. Hall, Camb.      |   |
|              | Mr Michael Rabbett, Trin. Coll. Camb.             |   |
|              | Mr Sanderson, Balliol Coll. Oxford, D.D. 1605.    |   |
|              | Mr Dakins, Fellow of Trin. Coll. Cambridge.       |   |

terials for a memoir by the Rev.  
J. E. B. Mayor in *N. and Q.* 2 S.  
ix. 155 ff.; 237 ff.

<sup>1</sup> On Mr Andrew Downes see Mr  
Mayor's edition of *Baker's History*

of *St John's College*, pp. 598 f.

<sup>2</sup> On Mr John Bois see Mr Mayor,  
*l.c.*

<sup>3</sup> [An interesting document in the  
Lambeth Palace Library contains

*Linguistic  
attainments  
of the  
revisers.*

Of these scholars many (as Andrews, Overall, Savile, and Reynolds) have obtained an enduring reputation apart from this common work in which they were associated. Others, whose names are less familiar, were distinguished for special acquirements requisite for their task. Lively, Spalding, King, and Byng were successively professors of Hebrew at Cambridge, and Harding and Kilbye at Oxford. Harmer and Perrin were professors of Greek at Oxford, and Downes at Cambridge; Bedwell was the most distinguished Arabic scholar of the time. Saravia was an accomplished modern linguist. Thompson (Camb.), Chatterton, Smith, and Boys were equally distinguished for their knowledge of ancient languages. It is one sign of the large choice of Hebraists which was offered at the time that Boys, who was especially famous for oriental learning, was originally employed upon the Apocrypha.

*The instruc-  
tions given  
for the  
revision.*

No doubt can be entertained as to the ability and acquirements of the revisers. At the same time care was taken to check individual fancies. Their duty was accurately defined in a series of rules which were drawn up probably under the direction of Bancroft. These provide for an elaborate scheme of revision as well as furnish general directions for the execution of the work<sup>1</sup>.

1. 'The ordinary Bible read in the Church, commonly 'called the Bishops' Bible, to be followed, and as little 'altered as the truth of the original will permit.

2. 'The names of the prophets and the holy writers, 'with the other names of the text to be retained as

some notes on the translators of the Authorised Version which are printed in Appendix XII. All that can be ascertained about them from internal evidence is that they were written by a member of Emmanuel to a member of Trinity, perhaps Thomas Hill, Master of the College, who came from Emmanuel. The writer took his degree in 1621, and so was a younger contemporary of the translators, and the document appears to have been written not long before

1650. It is in some places difficult to decipher.]

<sup>1</sup> The text of the rules varies in different books. I have followed Burnet, *Hist. of Reformation*, II. App. p. 368, No. 10 (ed. 1681), who quotes '*ex MS. D. Borlase*.'

An account of the rules given by the English Delegates to the Synod of Dort reduces the final number of the rules to seven. Anderson, II. 377. [See Appendix XIII.]

'nigh as may be, accordingly as they were vulgarly  
'used.

3. 'The old ecclesiastical words to be kept, viz. the  
'word *Church* not to be translated *Congregation*, &c.

4. 'When a word hath divers significations, that to be  
'kept which hath been most commonly used by the most  
'of the ancient fathers, being agreeable to the propriety  
'of the place and the analogy of the faith.

5. 'The division of the chapters to be altered either  
'not at all or as little as may be, if necessity so require.

6. 'No marginal notes at all to be affixed, but only  
'for the explanation of the Hebrew or Greek words which  
'cannot, without some circumlocution, so briefly and fitly  
'be expressed in the text.

7. 'Such quotations of places to be marginally set  
'down as shall serve for the fit reference of one Scripture  
'to another.

8. 'Every particular man of each company to take  
'the same chapter or chapters; and having translated or  
'amended them severally by himself where he thinketh  
'good, all to meet together, confer what they have done,  
'and agree for their parts what shall stand.

9. 'As any one company hath dispatched any one  
'book in this manner, they shall send it to the rest to be  
'considered of seriously and judiciously, for his majesty  
'is very careful in this point.

10. 'If any company, upon the review of the book  
'so sent, doubt or differ upon any place, to send them  
'word thereof, note the place, and withal send the reasons;  
'to which if they consent not, the difference to be com-  
'pounded at the general meeting, which is to be of the  
'chief persons of each company at the end of the work.

11. 'When any place of special obscurity is doubted of,  
'letters to be directed by authority to send to any learned  
'man in the land for his judgment of such a place.

12. 'Letters to be sent from every bishop to the rest  
'of his clergy, admonishing them of this translation in  
'hand, and to move and charge as many as being skilful

'in the tongues and having taken pains in that kind, to  
'send his particular observations to the company either  
'at Westminster, Cambridge, or Oxford.

13. 'The directors in each company to be the Deans  
'of Westminster and Chester for that place; and the king's  
'professors in the Hebrew or Greek in either university.

14. 'These translations to be used when they agree  
'better with the text than the Bishops' Bible : viz. Tindale's,  
'Matthew's, Coverdale's, Whitchurch's, Geneva.

15. 'Besides the said directors before mentioned, three  
'or four of the most ancient and grave divines in either  
'of the universities, not employed in translating, to be  
'assigned by the Vice-Chancellor upon conference with  
'[the] rest of the Heads to be overseers of the translations,  
'as well Hebrew as Greek, for the better observation of  
'the fourth rule above specified<sup>1</sup>.'

*The revisers'  
account of  
their work.*

It is impossible to tell how far all these provisions were adhered to. Almost all that is certainly known of the proceedings of the revisers is contained in the noble preface which the printers have removed from modern editions of the Bible. In this Dr Miles Smith, afterwards Bishop of Gloucester, writing in the name of his fellow-labourers, gives some account of the time which was spent upon the revision, and of the manner and spirit in which it was executed. 'Neither did we,' he says, 'run over the worke with that posting haste that the *Septuagint* did, if that be true which is reported of them, that they finished it in 72. days..... The worke hath not been hudled vp in 72. dayes, but hath cost the workemen, as light as it seemeth, the paines of twise seuen times seuentie two dayes and more' (about two years and nine months). 'We are so farre off,' he writes again, 'from condemning any of their labours that traueiled before vs in this kinde, either in this land or beyond sea, either in King *Henries* time or King *Edwards* (if there were

<sup>1</sup> This last rule appears to have been added afterwards, when the practical difficulty of Rule 4 began to be felt.

*Historical Account*, p. 153 [Bagster's *Hexapla*, ed. 1841].

'any translation, or correction of a translation in his time)  
 'or Qucene *Elizabeths* of euer-renowned memorie, that  
 'we acknowledge them to haue beene raised vp of God,  
 'for the building and furnishing of his Church, and that  
 'they deserue to be had of vs and of posterity in euer-  
 'lasting remembrance'..... Still, 'let vs rather blesse  
 'God from the ground of our heart, for working this  
 'religious care in him [the King], to haue the translations  
 'of the Bible maturely considered of and examined. For  
 'by this meanes it commeth to passe, that whatsoever is  
 'sound already (and all is sound for substance, in one or  
 'other of our editions, and the worst of ours farre better  
 'then their [the Romanists'] autentike vulgar) the same  
 'will shine as gold more brightly, being rubbed and  
 'polished; also, if any thing be halting, or superfluous,  
 'or not so agreeable to the originall, the same may bee  
 'corrected, and the trueth set in place...' And thus,  
 summing up all briefly, he says, 'Truly, (good Christian  
 'Reader,) we neuer thought from the beginning, that we  
 'should neede to make a new Translation, nor yet to make  
 'of a bad one a good one.....but to make a good one  
 'better, or out of many good ones, one principall good  
 'one, not iustly to be excepted against: that hath bene  
 'our indeauour, that our marke. To that purpose there  
 'were many chosen, that were greater in other mens eyes  
 'then in their owne, and that sought the truth rather then  
 'their own praise..... Neither did wee thinke much to con-  
 'sult the Translators or Commentators, *Chaldee, Hebrews,*  
 '*Syrian, Greeke, or Latine,* no nor the *Spanish, French,*  
 '*Italian, or Dutch* [German]<sup>1</sup>; neither did we disdaine to

<sup>1</sup> Selden, in his *Table Talk*, has  
 given a similar account of the pro-  
 ceeding of the translators, which he  
 may have received from someone  
 who was engaged in the work: 'The  
 'English Translation of the Bible is  
 'the best Translation in the World  
 'and renders the Sense of the Origi-  
 'nal best, taking in for the English  
 'Translation the Bishops' Bible as

'well as King James's. The Trans-  
 'lation in King James' time took an  
 'excellent way. That part of the  
 'Bible was given to him who was  
 'most excellent in such a tongue (as  
 'the Apocrypha to Andrew Downes)  
 'and then they met together, and  
 'one read the Translation, the rest  
 'holding in their hands some Bible,  
 'either of the learned Tongues, or

'reuisse that which we had done, and to bring back to the  
'anuill that which we had hammered: but hauing and  
'vsing as great helpes as were needfull, and fearing no  
'reproch for slownesse, nor coueting praise for expedi-  
'tion, wee haue at the length, through the good hand  
'of the Lord vpon vs, brought the worke to that passe  
'that you see.'

*The revision  
prepared  
for the press.*

When the revision was completed at the different centres, 'two members were chosen from each company'<sup>1</sup> to superintend the final preparation of the work for the press in London; and 'Three copies of the whole Bible 'were sent there, one from Cambridge, a second from 'Oxford, and a third from Westminster'.<sup>2</sup> It is not likely that this committee did more than arrange the materials which were already collected; but whatever their work was, it was completed in nine months, and the whole labour of the revision was thus brought to a successful end<sup>3</sup>.

'French, Spanish, Italian, &c.: if  
'they found any fault they spoke,  
'if not he read on' (*Table Talk*, p. 20,  
ed. 1868).

<sup>1</sup> As the revisers were 'six in all,' it is evident that by 'company' we must understand 'centre': i.e. Oxford, Cambridge and Westminster.

<sup>2</sup> Walker's *Life of Boys* [in Peck's *Desiderata Curiosa*], quoted by Anderson, II. 381.

<sup>3</sup> It is remarkable that none of the many copies of the Bishops' Bible used for the revision have yet been discovered. There is an interesting volume in the Bodleian Library (Bishops' Bible, Barker, 1602), which has been commonly but certainly wrongly supposed to be one of the copies prepared for the press. The text is corrected throughout some books to the Royal Version; and in some cases letters are attached (g, j, t) which appear to indicate the sources from which the corrections were derived. Mr J. Wordsworth, Fellow of

Brasenose [now Bishop of Salisbury], has kindly given me the following summary of the extent of the corrections:

Gen. i.—xxv. with g, j, t, and perhaps another letter.

Gen. xxvi. to Joshua inclusive with g (j again from Deut. xxxii. to end).

Judges—Is. iv. corrected without added letters; and so also

Jer. i.—iv.

Ezech. i.—iv.

Dan. i.—iv.

The Minor Prophets.

St Matthew, St Mark, St Luke.

St John xvii. to end.

There are also two notes on Eph. iv. 8, 2 Thess. ii. 15.

From collations which I owe to the great kindness of the Rev. H. O. Coxe, the Bodleian Librarian, it is certain that 'g' marks corrections obtained from the Genevan Version. The materials which I have are not as yet sufficient to identify 't' and 'j.' [They are probably Tremellius and Junius.]

The revised version appeared at length from the press of R. Barker, in 1611. The book is said to be 'Newly 'Translated out of the Originall Tongues; And with the 'former Translations diligently compared and reuised, by 'his Maiesties speciall Commandement.' A further notice adds that it is 'Appointed to be read in Churches.' From what has been said, it will appear with what limitations the first statement must be interpreted. The second is more difficult of explanation; for no evidence has yet been produced to shew that the version was ever publicly sanctioned by Convocation or by Parliament, or by the Privy Council, or by the king. It gained its currency partly, it may have been, by the weight of the king's name, partly by the personal authority of the prelates and scholars who had been engaged upon it, but still more by its own intrinsic superiority over its rivals. Copies of the 'whole Bible of the largest volume and 'latest edition' are required to be in churches by the Visitation Articles of Laud 1622 (St David's), 1628 (London). In the Scotch Canons of 1636 it is said still more distinctly that 'the Bible shall be of the translation 'of King James' (Cap. 16, § 1). Similar provisions are, I believe, contained in the Visitation Articles of London 1612, and Norwich 1619; but these I have been unable to see.

*The Royal Bible published.*

The printing of the Bishops' Bible was at once stayed when the new version was definitely undertaken. No edition is given in the lists later than 1606<sup>1</sup>, though the New Testament from it was reprinted as late as 1618 or

*Slow progress of the Version towards universal acceptance.*

The history of the book is unknown; but the occurrence of the reference-letters is at least a certain proof that it was not designed for the press. In all probability it contains simply a scholar's collation of the Royal and Bishops' texts, with an attempt to trace the origin of the corrections.

The corrections throughout the O.T. are apparently in the same

hand: those in the N.T. are in a different hand and 'considerably more 'modern.'

<sup>1</sup> [An edition of 1606 is mentioned in the Catalogue of the Duke of Sussex's Library, but this is probably an error and the Geneva Bible of that year was intended. A copy of this was sold when the Duke's Library was dispersed.]

1619<sup>1</sup>. So far ecclesiastical influence naturally reached. But it was otherwise with the Genevan Version, which was chiefly confined to private use. This competed with the King's Bible for many years, and it was not till about the middle of the century that it was finally displaced. And thus, at the very time when the monarchy and the Church were, as it seemed, finally overthrown, the English people by their silent and unanimous acceptance of the new Bible gave a spontaneous testimony to the principles of order and catholicity of which both were an embodiment.

*A new  
revision  
proposed.*

Some steps indeed were taken for a new version during the time of the Commonwealth. The Long Parliament shortly before it was dissolved (April, 1653) made an order that 'a Bill should be brought in for a new translation 'of the Bible out of the original tongues,' but nothing more was done at that time<sup>2</sup>. Three years afterwards the scheme was revived, and Whitelocke has preserved an interesting account of the proceedings which followed.

*Jan. 16,  
1656 (i.e.  
1657).*

'At the grand committee [of the House] for Religion, 'ordered That it be referred to a sub-committee to send 'for and advise with Dr [Brian] Walton, Mr Hughes, 'Mr [Edmund] Castle, Mr [Samuel] Clark, Mr Poulk<sup>3</sup>, 'Dr [Ralph] Cudworth, and such others as they shall think 'fit, and to consider of the Translations and impressions 'of the Bible, and to offer their opinions thereon to this 'Committee; and that it be especially commended to 'the Lord Commissioner Whitelocke to take care of this 'business.

*Feb. 6.*

'This committee often met at Whitelocke's house, and 'had the most learned men in the Oriental tongues to 'consult with in this great business, and divers excellent 'and learned observations of some mistakes in the Trans- 'lations of the Bible in English; which yet was agreed

<sup>1</sup> [See Dore's *Old Bibles*, 2nd ed., pp. 278—79. In Fulke's *Defence of the English Translations* it was re-printed as late as 1633.]

<sup>2</sup> Lewis, *History of Translations*, 354.

<sup>3</sup> Mr J. E. B. Mayor informs me that this can be nothing but an error for Mr [Matthew] Poole.



'to be the best of any Translation in the world ; great  
'pains was taken in it, but it became fruitless by the  
'Parliament's Dissolution<sup>1</sup>.'

With this notice the external history of the English Version appropriately ends<sup>2</sup>. From the middle of the seventeenth century, the King's Bible has been the acknowledged Bible of the English-speaking nations throughout the world simply because it is the best. A revision which embodied the ripe fruits of nearly a century of labour, and appealed to the religious instinct of a great Christian people, gained by its own internal character a vital authority which could never have been secured by any edict of sovereign rulers<sup>3</sup>.

*The Royal Bible un-  
animously  
received  
from the  
middle of  
the 17th  
century.*

<sup>1</sup> Whitelocke, *Memorials* (ed. 1682), p. 645.

<sup>2</sup> Since the first edition of this book appeared the work of revision has been resumed [1872]. See App. IX.

<sup>3</sup> The labours of Hugh Broughton on the English Bible ought not to be passed over without notice. This great Hebraist violently attacked the Bishops' Bible, and sketched a plan for a new version which his own arrogance was sufficient to make im-

practicable. He afterwards published translations of Daniel, Ecclesiastes, Lamentations, and Job, and offered his help towards the execution of the royal version. His overbearing temper, as it appears, caused him to be excluded from the work ; but his printed renderings were not without influence upon the revisers : e.g. Dan. iii. 5. Lewis, *Hist. of Translations*, 297 ff.



## CHAPTER III.

### THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE.

Oh, if we draw a circle premature  
Heedless of far gain,  
Greedy for quick returns of profit, sure  
Bad is our bargain!  
Was it not great? did not he throw on God,  
(He loves the burthen)—  
God's task to make the heavenly period  
Perfect the earthen.....  
That low man seeks a little thing to do,  
Sees it and does it:  
This high man, with a great thing to pursue,  
Dies ere he knows it.....  
That has the world here—should he need the next,  
Let the world mind him!  
This throws himself on God, and unperplexed  
Seeking shall find Him.....  
Lofty designs must close in like effects:  
Loftily lying,  
Leave him—still loftier than the world suspects,  
Living and dying.

BROWNING, *Dramatis Personæ, A Grammarian's Funeral.*



## CHAPTER III.

### THE INTERNAL HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH BIBLE.

SUCH in a general outline was the external history of the English Bible. We have still to inquire how it was made? with what helps? on what principles? by what laws it was modified from time to time? and how far our authorised version bears in itself the traces of its gradual formation? To some of these questions only tentative or imperfect answers can be rendered at present; yet it is something to clear the way to a fuller investigation; and when once the novelty and complication of the problems become evident, it cannot fail but that a combination of labour will achieve their complete solution. Hitherto nothing has been done systematically towards the work. A few vague surmises and hasty generalizations have gained unchallenged currency and stopped thorough search; yet when viewed simply in its literary aspect, the history of the growth of the authorised text involves a more comprehensive and subtle criticism, and is therefore filled with a deeper interest, than any similar history. Each revision stands in a definite relation to a particular position of the English Church, and may be expected to reflect its image in some degree. Moreover we possess the work at each stage of its structure and not only in its final completeness. Each part can be examined as it was first planned and executed, and not only as it was finally incorporated into a more complex whole. We can even determine the materials out of which it was raised, and the various resources of which its authors could avail themselves at each point of their task.

*Revival of  
the study of  
Greek and  
Hebrew.*

For us the result stands now amidst the accumulated treasures of later researches. But if we would appreciate it rightly in itself we must once again surround it by the conditions under which it was obtained.

*Problems  
involved in  
the internal  
history of  
the English  
Bible.*

The close of the 15th century sealed a revolution in Europe. The ecclesiastical language of the West had given place to or at least admitted into fellowship the sacred languages of the East. It was in vain that the more ignorant of the clergy denounced Greek and Hebrew as the fatal sources of heathenism and Judaism; it was vain that they could be popularly represented as emblems of apostate peoples of GOD while the Latin symbolized the faithful: the noblest and most far-seeing scholars, lay or cleric, recognized in the new learning a handmaid of religion, and took measures for its honourable admission into the circle of liberal education. In his University at Alcala the great Cardinal Ximenes made provision for the teaching of Hebrew and Greek with Latin, and consecrated the study in his noble Polyglott. At Louvain a foundation for the like purpose was added to the University about 1516 by Busleiden. Wolsey appears to have contemplated a similar course in his College at Oxford, where he founded in 1519 a chair of Greek<sup>1</sup>. When complaints were made, Henry, acting no doubt under his inspiration, enjoined that 'the study of the Scriptures in the original languages 'should not only be permitted for the future, but received 'as a branch of the academical institution<sup>2</sup>. The work of Wolsey was left unfinished, but it is not without interest to find among his canons two, John Fryth and Richard Taverner, who became afterwards distinguished for their labours in the translation of Scripture, and at least seven others who were sufferers by the first persecution which followed after the introduction of Tindale's New Testament<sup>3</sup>. Thus everywhere men were being disciplined for rendering the original text of the Bible into the

*Alcala.*

*Louvain.*

*Oxford.*

<sup>1</sup> Bp. Fox had founded one two years earlier, in 1517.

<sup>2</sup> Anderson, I. 26.

<sup>3</sup> Compare the lists given by Anderson, I. pp. 86, 95.

living languages of Europe, and at the end of the first quarter of the 16th century sufficient materials were gathered for the accomplishment of their office.

The appliances for the independent study of the Greek of the New Testament and the Septuagint Version of the Old were fairly adequate. Grammars were in wide circulation, of which the earliest was that of Lascaris (Milan, 1476), and the most enduring that of Clenardus (Louvain, 1530). In the interval between the appearance of these, numerous others were published in Italy, France, and Germany<sup>1</sup>. The first lexicon of Craston (1480) was republished in a more convenient form by Aldus (1497) and supplemented by the important collections of Guarino (Phavorinus) in his *Etymologicum Magnum*. But these and all other earlier lexicons were eclipsed by the so-called *Commentaries* of Budæus (Paris, 1529), a true *Thesaurus* of Greek, which still remains a vast monument and storehouse of learning. The very names of many of the great German scholars shew the passion with which the study was pursued. Melanchthon (*Schwarzerd*), Œcolampadius (*Hausschein*), Capnio (*Reuchlin*), Erasmus (*Gerhard*), Cerasinus (*Horn*), are memorable instances to prove the power of Greek to furnish home names to the Teutonic nations. And though England can boast of no original Greek works till a later time, yet Croke, a scholar of Grocyn, first introduced a thorough knowledge of the language into northern Germany, where, it is said, he was received 'like a heavenly messenger<sup>2</sup>.'

*Helps to the study of Greek.*

The pursuit of Hebrew was not less flourishing in the North. In Italy Greek had been welcomed at first as a new spring of culture. Beyond the Alps Greek and Hebrew were looked upon as the keys to Divine Truth. So it was that while Greek languished in Italy and Hebrew scarcely gained a firm footing among the mass of students; in Germany both were followed up with an

*Helps to the study of Hebrew.*

<sup>1</sup> One at *Wittenberg* in 1511. [Panzer, *Ann. Typ.* IX. 68, 17.]

<sup>2</sup> Hallam, *Introd. to Literature*, I. 268 n.

ardent zeal which for good alike and for evil is yet fruitful in great issues. An Italian of the early part of the 16th century instinctively marked the spiritual difference of the North and South when he observed that in Germany Hebrew was prized in the same manner as Latin in Italy. Thus the early translators of the Old Testament found materials already fitted for their use. The first Hebrew grammar was composed by Pellican (1503). This was followed by that of Reuchlin, with a dictionary, in 1506. Another by S. Münster appeared in 1525, who published also a Chaldee grammar in 1527. Pagninus, the translator of the Bible, added a new dictionary in 1529. The great Complutensian Polyglott (published 1520, finished 1517) contained a Latin translation of the Targum of Onkelos and a complete Lexicon to the Hebrew and Chaldee texts, with a Hebrew grammar.

*Publications  
of the Latin,  
Hebrew,  
and Greek  
texts of the  
Scriptures.*

In the mean time, while all the chief classical authors had been published, the original texts and some of the ancient versions of Holy Scripture had also become accessible. The Latin Vulgate is supposed to have been the first book printed (c. 1455), and this first edition was followed by a multitude of others, in some of which, and notably in the Latin text of the Complutensian Polyglott, old manuscripts were used.

The Hebrew of the Old Testament was first published completely at Soncino in 1488. Many other editions followed, which were crowned by the great Rabbinical Bibles of Bomberg in 1517 and 1525: these were furnished with the Targums and the commentaries of the greatest early Jewish scholars. Complete Latin translations from the Hebrew were made by Sanctes Pagninus (1527), and by Sebast. Münster (1534-5). Considerable portions were rendered afresh in Latin by Zwingli and Œcolampadius; and single books by many writers before 1535. The Septuagint was contained in the Complutensian Polyglott, and in a distinct text in the edition of Aldus 1518. The Greek Testament appeared for the first time many years after the Latin and Hebrew texts, edited by Erasmus



with a new Latin translation in 1516<sup>1</sup>. A second edition followed in 1519: a third, which may be considered his standard edition, in 1522; and others in 1527, and 1535. An edition from the press of Aldus with some variations appeared together with the Septuagint in 1518. The Complutensian Polyglott printed in 1514, in which there is an independent text of the New Testament, was not published till 1520. Other editions followed soon after which have little or no independent value.

It remains only to characterize generally the critical value of these editions. The Hebrew text of the Old Testament edited by [Jacob] Ben Chayim (1525) is substantially good. Indeed as Hebrew Manuscripts all belong to a comparatively late recension the extent of real variation between them is limited. The Latin texts accessible in the first half of the 16th century were indifferent. The Greek texts of the New Testament, and this is most important, were without exception based on scanty and late manuscripts, without the help of the oriental versions and the precious relics of the Old Latin. As a necessary consequence they are far from correct, and if the variations are essentially unimportant as a whole, yet the errors in the text of our English Testament inherited from them are considerably more important than the existing errors of translation.

Such were the materials which the first great Reformers found to help them in their work of rendering the original Scriptures into their own languages. Before the English labourers entered the field it was already occupied. Numerous students in Germany had translated separate books when Luther commenced the work which he was enabled to carry to a successful end. Luther's New Testament appeared in 1522 as the fruit of his seclusion in the Wartburg, and, like Tindale's, anonymously. The Pentateuch followed in 1523. The Historical books and the Hagiographa in 1524. The Prophets at various intervals

*The first  
independent  
German  
Versions.*

*Luther.*

<sup>1</sup> In the same year appeared his edition of St Jerome, the most important of the Fathers for a translator of the Bible.

Zurich  
Bible.

Worms  
Bible.

French  
translations.

(Jonah in 1526) afterwards; and the whole work in 1534. The second revised edition did not appear till 1541. But in the meanwhile a band of scholars at Zurich, including Zwingli, Pellican, and Leo Juda, had taken Luther's work as the basis of a new translation up to the end of the Hagiographa, and completed it by an original translation of the Prophets and the Apocrypha. This was published in fragments from 1524—1529, and first completely in two forms in the latter year. It was republished in 1530, and with a new translation of the Hagiographa in 1531, and often afterwards<sup>1</sup>. Another German Bible with an original translation of the Prophets appeared at Worms in 1529<sup>2</sup>. The French translation of Lefèvre (Faber Stapulensis) was made (1523—1534) from the Vulgate, and was not an independent work: that of Olivetan (Neuchâtel, 1535) is said to have been based in the Old Testament on Sanctes Pagninus, and in the New on Lefèvre<sup>3</sup>.

The works of the first German translators, or at least of Luther, must then be added to those previously enumerated as accessible to Tindale<sup>4</sup> during the execution

<sup>1</sup> The editions which I have used are those of 1530 and 1534. I have not been able to consult the small edition of 1529 with glosses [they are but few]; nor have I collated the two editions or determined how far the translation in the earlier books differs as a whole from Luther's. The difference in isolated passages is very considerable.

<sup>2</sup> This edition I have not used. [It is substantially a reprint of the 16mo. Zurich Bible of 1527-9 (see Panzer, *Entwurf einer vollständigen Geschichte der deutschen Bibelübersetzungen D. Martin Luthers*, 2te Ausg., p. 254, &c.).]

<sup>3</sup> I have not examined Lefèvre's translation; and am ignorant also of the real character of Brucioli's Italian version (1530—1532), which is said to have been made from the original. [The marginal notes in the 1534

edition of Lefèvre were largely used in Matthew's Bible of 1537. In the Old Testament Brucioli is dependent greatly on Pagninus.]

<sup>4</sup> The Wycliffite Versions do not seem to have exercised any influence on the later English Versions, unless an exception be made in the case of the Latin-English Testament of Coverdale mentioned above. The coincidences of rendering between this and Purvey are frequently remarkable, but as both literally reproduce the Vulgate I have been unable to find (so far as I have examined them) any certain proof of the dependence of one on the other.

As far as Tindale is concerned—and his work was the undoubted basis of the later revisions—his own words are sufficient: 'I had,' he says in the New Testament (1525), 'no man to counterfet [imitate], nether was

of his Version of the New Testament. Luther's name was indeed at the time identified with the idea of vernacular versions of Scripture, and it is not surprising that More affirmed that Tindale's work was a translation of Luther's, an assertion in which he has been followed by writers who have less excuse<sup>1</sup>. What Tindale's version really was we have now to inquire.

### § 1. TINDALE.

All external evidence goes to prove Tindale's originality as a translator<sup>2</sup>. He had, as we have seen, formed his purpose of translating the New Testament before he could have heard of Luther's<sup>3</sup>, and in the year in which that appeared (1522) went up to London with a translation from Isocrates as a proof of his knowledge of Greek. His knowledge of Hebrew and Greek is also incidentally attested by the evidence of Spalatinus<sup>4</sup>, of his opponent Joye<sup>5</sup>, and yet more clearly by the steady confidence with which he deals with points of Hebrew and Greek philology when they casually arise. Thus after defending his rendering of *presbyteros* (elder), *charis* (favour), *agape* (love), &c. against Sir T. More he says (1530): 'These things to be even so Mr More knoweth well enough: for

*Tindale  
acquainted  
with Greek  
and Hebrew.*

'holpe with englysshe of eny that  
'had interpreted the same, or soche  
'lyke thige i the scripture before-  
'tyme.' (*Epistle to the Reader*, 1. p.  
390, Parker Soc. ed.) See p. 140  
and App. VIII.

<sup>1</sup> Hallam's account is so amazing  
from the complication of blunders  
which it involves that it deserves to  
be quoted as a curiosity. 'From this  
'translation [Luther's], and from the  
'Latin Vulgate, the English one of  
'Tyndale and Coverdale, published  
'in 1535, or 1536, is avowedly taken.  
'...That of 1537, commonly called

'Matthews's Bible, from the name of  
'the printer, though in substance the  
'same as Tyndale's, was superintend-  
'ed by Rogers...' (*Introd. to Lit.* 1.  
373.) It is impossible that he could  
have examined any one of the books  
of which he thus summarily disposes.

<sup>2</sup> For the part which Joye had in  
the work of preparing the translation  
see *Preface to the Parable of the Wicked  
Mammon*.

<sup>3</sup> See above, p. 26.

<sup>4</sup> See above, p. 35 n.

<sup>5</sup> Anderson, 1. 397.

'he understandeth the Greek, and he knew them long 'ere I'.<sup>1</sup> Again in an earlier work he writes (1528): 'The Greeke tounge agreeth more with the English then wyth the Latin. And the properties of the Hebrue tounge agreeth a thousand tymes more wyth the Englishe, then wyth the Latyn'.<sup>2</sup>

*Tindale's  
Version  
compared  
with Vul-  
gate and  
Luther.*

But the translation of the New Testament itself is the complete proof of its own independence. It is impossible to read through a single chapter without gaining the assurance that Tindale rendered the Greek text directly, while he still consulted the Vulgate, the Latin translation of Erasmus, and the German of Luther. Thus taking a chapter at random we find in Eph. iv. the following certain traces of the peculiarities of the Greek which are lost in the Vulgate and the translations made from it.

2 in...*longe sufferynge, forbearige* one another...cum patientia supportantes...with *pacience supportinge* ech other...(Wycliffe, Rheims).

4 *even* as...sicut...as (Wycliffe, Rheims).

8 *and* hath geven...dedit...he gaf...(Wycliffe, Rheims).

17 as *wother* gentyls...sicut et...as hethene men (Wycliffe), as *also* the Gentiles (Rheims).

27 *backbyter...diabolo...the deuyl* (Wycliffe, Rheims).

29 *filthy* cōmunicacion.....sermo *malus*.....*yuel* word (Wycliffe): *naughtie* speache (Rheims).

— butt thatt whych is good to edefye with all, *when nede ys*...sed si quis bonus ad ædificationem *fidei*... but if ony is good to the edificacioun *of feith* (Wycliffe); but if there be any good to the edifying *of the faith* (Rheims).

And so again Tindale's rendering of vv. 5, 12, 14, 22 might come from the Greek but hardly from the Latin. On the other hand it is evident that he had the Vulgate before him, and that he owed to it the rendering 'blind-

<sup>1</sup> *Answer to Sir T. More*, III. p. 23 (ed. Park. Soc.).

<sup>2</sup> *Obedience of a Christian Man* (Pref. to Reader, p. 102, ed. 1573).

Compare *Answer to More*, p. 75. *Prologue to St Matthew*, 1. p. 468 (Park. Soc.).

'ness of their hearts' (cæcitatē), which has wrongly retained its place in the Authorised Version.

From Luther the same chapter differs in the entire complexion of the rendering and unequivocally in the interpretation of the following passages:

5 *Let ther be but one lorde...Ein Herr...*

13 *tyll we everychone (in the vnitie of fayth...) growe vppe vnto a parfayte man...bis dass wir alle hinan kommen zu einerlei glauben...und ein vollkommener Mann werden...*

21 *as the trueth is in Iesu...wie in Iesu ein rechtschaffenes Wesen ist.*

24 *in ryghtewesnes, and true holynes...in rechtschaffener Gerechtigkeit und Heiligkeit...*

A continuous passage will place the substantial independence of Tindale in a still clearer light<sup>1</sup>.

*The Vulgate, Luther, Tindale.*

| VULGATE.   | TINDALE (1525).   | LUTHER (Dec. 1522).   |                        |
|--|---|---|------------------------|
| 13 Nunc autem in Christo Iesu vos qui aliquando eratis longe, facti estis prope in sanguine Christi. | 13 <i>But nowe in Christ Iesu, ye whych a whyle ago were farre off, are made neye by the bloude off Christ.</i>                         | 13 Nu aber yhr die yhr ynn Christo seyt, vnd weylant ferne gewesen, seyt nu nahe worden durch das blut Christi.               | <i>Eph. ii. 13—22.</i> |
| 14 Ipse enim est pax nostra, qui fecit utraque unum, et medium parietem maceræ solvens,              | 14 <i>For he is oure peace, whych hath made off both wone ad hath broken doune the wall i the myddes, that was a stoppe bitwene vs,</i> | 14 Denn er ist vnser fride, der aus beyden eyns hat gemacht, und hat abbrochen die mittelwand, die der zawn war zwischen vns, |                        |
| 15 inimicitias in carne sua,   | 15 and hath also put away thorowe <i>his flesshe</i> , the cause  | 15 nemlich die feyndschaftt, damit, das er hat durch seyn   |                        |

<sup>1</sup> The Italics in Tindale mark what is preserved in the Authorised Version. The only difference which I have observed between the editions

of 1525 and 1534 is the omission in the latter of the words *in the midst* in v. 14.

| VULGATE.   | TINDALE (1525).  | LUTHER (Dec.<br>1522).   |
|--|--|--|
| legem mandatorum<br>decretis evacuans,   | of hatred (thatt is<br>to saye, <i>the lawe of<br/>cōmaundement con-<br/>tayned in the lawe<br/>writtē</i> )   | fleysch auffgehaben<br>das gesetz der gepot,<br>so fern sie schrift-<br>lich verfasst waren,   |
| 16 ut duos condat<br>in semetipso in<br>unum novum homi-<br>nem, faciens pacem,<br>et reconciliet ambos,<br>in uno corpore Deo<br>per crucem, inter-<br>ficiens inimicitias in<br>semetipso. | 16 <i>for to make of<br/>twayne wone newe<br/>mā in hym silfe, so<br/>makynge peace: and<br/>to reconcile bothe<br/>unto god in one body<br/>throwe his crosse, ād<br/>slewe hattred ther-<br/>by.</i> | 16 auff dass er aus<br>zweyen eyennenen<br>menschen schaffte,<br>ynn yhm fride zu-<br>machen, vnd das er<br>beyde versuncke mit<br>Got yn eynem<br>leybe, durch das<br>creutz, vnd hat die<br>feyndschafft todtet<br>durch sich selbs, |
| 17 Et veniens e-<br>vangelizavit pacem<br>vobis, qui longe fu-<br>istis, et pacem iis<br>qui prope;  | 17 <i>and cam and<br/>preached peace to you<br/>which were afarre<br/>of, and to them that<br/>were neye.</i>  | 17 vnd ist komen,<br>hat verkundiget ym<br>Evangelio, den fri-<br>den euch, die yhr<br>ferne waret, vnd<br>denen, die nahe<br>waren.   |
| 18 Quoniam per<br>ipsum habemus ac-<br>cessum ambo in uno<br>Spiritu ad Patrem.  | 18 <i>For thorowe<br/>hym we bothe have<br/>an open waye in, in<br/>one sprete unto the<br/>father.</i>  | 18 Denn durch yhn<br>haben wyr den zu-<br>gang all beyde ynn<br>einem geyst, zum<br>vater.   |
| 19 Ergo jam non<br>estis hospites et ad-<br>venæ, sed estis cives<br>sanctorum et<br>domestici Dei,  | 19 <i>Nowe therefore ye<br/>are no moare stran-<br/>gers ād foreners: but<br/>citesyns with the<br/>sayntes, and of the<br/>housholde of god:</i>  | 19 So seyt yhr nu<br>nicht mehr geste<br>vnd frembdlings, son-<br>dern burger mit den<br>heiligen, vñ Gottis<br>haussgenossen,   |
| 20 Superædificati<br>super fundamentum<br>Apostolorum et Pro-  | 20 <i>and are bilt<br/>apō the foundation of<br/>the apostles ād pro-</i>  | 20 erbawet auff den<br>grund der Apostel<br>vnd der propheten,   |

| VULGATE.  | TINDALE (1525).  | LUTHER (Dec.<br>1522).  |
|---|--|---|
| phetarum, ipso summo angulari lapide Christo Jesu;                          | <i>phetes, Iesus Christ beynge the heed corner stone,</i>                                | da Iesus Christus der ecksteyn ist,   |
| 21 In quo omnis ædificatio constructa crescit in templum sanctum in Domino, | 21 <i>i whom every bilynge coupled togedder, groweth unto a holy tēple in the lorde,</i> | 21 auff wilchen, wilcherley baw yñ eynandergefugt wirt, der wechst, zu eynem heyligen tempel ynn dem herrn, |
| 22 in quo et vos coædificamini in habitaculum Dei in Spiritu.               | 22 <i>i whō ye also are bilt togedder, and made an habitacion for god i the sprete.</i>  | 22 auff wilchen auch yhr mit erbawet werdet, zu eyner behausung Gottis im geyst.                            |

There is, however, one other authority who had greater influence upon Tindale than the Vulgate or Luther. The Greek text of the New Testament published by Erasmus, which Tindale necessarily used, was accompanied by an original Latin version in which Erasmus faithfully rendered the text he had printed. This translation is very frequently followed by Tindale. Thus in the phrases already quoted from Eph. iv.<sup>1</sup> three at least seem to be due to Erasmus, 27 *backbiter, calumniatori* (Erasm.); 29 *filthy* communication, sermo *spurcus* (Erasm.); *id.* when *need is*, quoties *opus est* (Erasm.). But on the other hand, any chapter will shew important differences between Erasmus and Tindale, not always indeed in Tindale's favour, but sufficient at least to prove that he exercised a free judgment both in the general character and in the details of his version. A collation of Col. ii. offers the following considerable variations:

*The relation of  
Tindale to  
Erasmus.*

| ERASMUS (1516).                                | TINDALE (1534).  |
|--|--|
| 1 <i>Nam volo quantum certamen faciem meam</i> | I wolde (so Luther)<br>what <i>fyghtinge</i> ( <i>kampff</i> L.)<br>my <i>parson</i> ( <i>person</i> L.) |

<sup>1</sup> See p. 132.

## ERASMUS (1516).

- 2 cum fuerint compacti  
in omnes divitias *certain*  
*persuasionis intelligentia*
- et patris*
- 6, 7 ita in eo ambulate radicati  
et superstructi
- 11 *dum* exuistis  
*corpus peccatorum* carnis
- in circumcisione Christi  
(mit L.)
- 12 per fidem operationis Dei
- 13 per delicta et per præpu-  
tium (ynn den sunden vnd  
ynn der...L.)
- 14 quod erat contrarium nobis  
*per decreta* (welche durch  
satzung entstund L. 1534)
- 16 *vos judicet*
- aut* novilunii (so L.)
- 17 quæ sunt umbra (so L.)  
— corpus autem Christi
- 18 ne quis *vobis palmam inter-*  
*vertat, volens in humilitate,*  
*et superstitione angelorum*

## TINDALE (1534).

- and* knet togedder (so L.)  
in all ryches *of full vnderstand-*  
*ynge* (zu allem reychthum des  
volligen verstands L. 1522)  
the father (L. 1522, 1534)  
so walke, roted and bylt in him  
(so wandelt...und seyt gewurt-  
zelt L.)  
*by* puttinge (*durch* Abl. L.)  
the *sinfull boddy* of the flesshe  
(des sundlichen leybes ym  
fleysch L.)  
thorow the circumcision *that*  
*is in* Christ  
thorowe fayth, *that is wrought*  
*by* the operacion of god (durch  
den glawben den Got wircket  
L.)  
*in synne* thorow the vncircum-  
cision (*in sin and in the...*  
1525)  
that was agaynst vs, *contayned*  
*in the lawe written* (*made in...*  
1525) [durch schriftlich satz-  
ung crweyset L. 1522]  
*trouble youre consciences* (euch  
gewissen machen L.)  
*as* the holydaye of the newe  
mone  
which are *nothings but* shad-  
dowes but the body is *in*  
Christ (so L.)  
Let no man *make you shote at*  
*a wronge* (*marke*), *which after*  
*his awne ymaginacion walketh*  
*in the humblenes and holynes of*  
*angels* (*Last euch niemand das*  
*zill verrucken...*L.) (om. *and*  
*holiness* 1525 [but added in  
Errata])



## ERASMUS (1516).

23 *in superstitione ac humilitate  
animi et lesione corporis sui,  
non in honore quopiam, ad  
explectionem carnis*

## TINDALE (1534).

*in chosen holynes and humblenes,  
and in that they spare not the  
body, and do the flesshe no wor-  
shype vnto his nede (so L.<sup>1</sup>)*

A careful examination of the quarto fragment furnishes a most complete and unequivocal proof of Tindale's independence as a translator. We shall see afterwards<sup>2</sup> that he availed himself fully of Luther's notes for his own glosses, but he deals with the text as one who passed a scholar's judgment upon every fragment of the work, unbiassed by any predecessor. As nearly as I can calculate he differs from Luther in about two hundred places in the chapters contained in the fragment, Matt. i.—xxii. 12. Some examples will shew the extent and character of the differences:

*Renderings  
in the  
quarto  
fragment.*

## TINDALE (1525).

ii. 7 the tyme of the starre  
that appered [unlike Eras-  
mus]

## LUTHER (Dec. 1522).

wenn der stern erschynen were

<sup>1</sup> This last verse offers one of the most remarkable coincidences between Luther and Tindale which I have noted. Luther's version is: durch selbsterwählte Geistlichkeit und Demuth und dadurch dass sie des Leibes nicht verschonen, und dem Fleisch nicht seine Ehre thun zu seiner Nothdurft. [The version of Luther which is here given is that which is found in modern editions and is substantially the same as that in the edition of 1534. In place of the clause 'dem Fleisch nicht seine Ehre thun' all the editions down to 1525 which I have consulted have, with slight differences of spelling, 'an das fleysch seyne kost wenden.' As Tindale's rendering 'do the flesh no worship' is in his edition of 1525, it does not appear that he took it from Luther.] The version in the Witten-

berg Latin Bible [printed in Luther's *Sämtliche Schriften*, ed. Walch, vol. XIV.] is quite different [in superstitione et humilitate, et non parcendo corpori, nec honorem ei habendo, quantum carni satis est]. In a number of passages taken almost at random where Tindale differs considerably from Luther I have noted that he agrees with Erasmus in Lu. xi. 36, 40; xix. 43. John ii. 9; x. 12. Acts iii. 16. 2 Cor. xi. 8. Gal. v. 18. Eph. v. 16; and differs from Erasmus in Luke xix. 42. John xi. 2. Acts iii. 20. Rom. ix. 11, 28. Gal. v. 5. Col. iii. 9. Other differences exist between the texts of 1525, 1534 in [Col. ii.] vv. 10, 13, 14, 16, 18, 20. In five places the latter text approaches Luther more nearly than the earlier: in one the converse holds.

<sup>2</sup> See p. 146, n. 2.

## TINDALE (1525).

## LUTHER (Dec. 1522).

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| vii. 29 he taught them as one<br>havyng power [like E.]  | er prediget gewaltiglich  |
| xii. 18 my sonne [like E.]   | mein knecht   |
| xiii. 13 for though they se, they<br>se nott: and hearynge they<br>heare not: nether vnder-<br>sonde | denn mit sehenden augen sehen<br>sie nicht, vnd mit horenden<br>orē horen sie nicht, deñ sie ver-<br>stehē es nicht |
| — 38 the evyll mans chyldren<br>are the tares  | das vnkraut sind die kinder der<br>bosheyt  |
| — 57 there is no prophet<br>with out honoure save...   | Eyn Prophet gillt nyrgend weni-<br>ger denn...  |
| xvi. 7 sayinge: we have brought<br>[like E.]   | sie...sprachen, das wirts seyn,<br>das wyr...   |
| xix. 17 there is none good but<br>wō, and that is god [like<br>E.]                                   | Niemand ist gut, denn nur der<br>eynige Gott [the Strasburg edi-<br>tion of 1524 reads: denn nur<br>der ewig got]   |
| xxi. 20 Howe soone is the fygge<br>tree wyddred awaye [E<br>quomodo continuo aruit<br>1516]          | Wie ist der feigen bawm so bald<br>verdurret?   |

On the other hand there are passages (perhaps ten in all) where Luther's judgment has evidently swayed Tindale. Of these the most remarkable are:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| ii. 18 On the hilles                         | Auf dem gebirge                             |
| vi. 25 from the ten cetes                    | von [L. 1522: aus 1534] den<br>zehen stedtē |
| xi. 25 I prayse Thee                         | ich preysse Dich                            |
| xv. 9 which is nothyng but<br>mens preceptes | die nichts denn menschen gepot<br>sind      |
| — 13 all plantes                             | alle pflantzen                              |
| xxi. 15 Hosianna                             | Hosianna                                    |

It does not seem necessary to bring forward any further evidence of the originality of Tindale's first labours on the New Testament<sup>1</sup>. The samples given are fair

<sup>1</sup> It is greatly to be regretted that Mr F. Fry did not add to his facsimile of the small Testament of 1525

a collation of the Grenville quarto fragment. The conclusion which Mr Anderson draws from the spelling as

specimens of the whole work. And in his later labours Tindale continued to follow to the end the sure path on which he had deliberately entered. The revised edition of 1534 expressly claims upon the title-page to be 'diligently corrected and compared with the original 'Greek.' In the address 'to the Reader,' Tindale explains his work more in detail. 'Here thou hast (moost

*Tindale's  
edition of  
1534 re-  
vised by the  
Greek.*

to the priority of the quarto (1. 70) is hasty and unsatisfactory. The spelling in both editions is very inaccurate. In the Sermon on the Mount I have noted among other variations the following which are more or less characteristic. The differences in text are very slight, and in no one

case (except in the misprint vi. 24) does the quarto edition give a reading which has been preserved in the edition of 1534. So far therefore the quarto text seems to have been cursorily revised before it was reprinted at Worms. But a complete collation of the text is desirable.

## 1525. COLOGNE.

Matt. v. 1 wen  
—mouth  
3 thers  
4 mourne  
11, 45 evle vii 11  
13 but an yf  
15 all those  
17 other the  
26 vmoost forthynge  
29 in to  
39, 42 turne vii. 6  
40 clooke  
44 cursse  
45 for vi. 2, 7 &c.  
—oniuste  
vi. 5 Verely  
7 thinke  
13 Lede  
19 moththes  
20 to gyddre  
—ner yet moththes corrupte  
21 hertt (so ypocrytt, &c.)  
23 boddy  
24 lene to the (so 1534)  
—that other  
26 nether  
—thē (them)  
27 thought  
vii. 2 with that same  
6 pierles

## 1525. WORMS.

when  
mought  
theirs  
morne  
yvell, vii. 11 evyll  
but and if  
all them (all 1534)  
or the (so 1534)  
vtmost farthige  
in tho  
tourne  
cloocke  
coursse  
ffor  
iniuste  
Vereley  
thincke  
Leede  
mothes  
to gedder  
nor mothes corupe (*om.* yet 1534)  
hertes  
body  
lene the  
the other (so 1534)  
neder  
then  
tought  
with the same (so 1534)  
pearles

'deare reader) the new Testamēt or covenaut made wyth  
 'vs of God in Christes bloude. Which I have looked over  
 'agayne (now at the last) with all dylygence, and com-  
 'pared it vnto the Greke, and have wedded oute of it many  
 'fautes, which lacke of helpe at the begynninge and over-  
 'syght, dyd sowe therin. If ought seme chaunged [charged  
 '1536] all to gether agreynge with the Greke, let the fynder  
 'of y<sup>e</sup> faute consider the Hebrue Phrase or maner of  
 'speche lefte in the Greke wordes. Whose preterperfectence  
 'and presenttence is ofte both one, and the futuretence  
 'is the optative mode also, and the futuretence is ofte the  
 'imperatyve mode in the actyve voyce, and in the passyve  
 'ever. Lykewyse person for person, nombre for nombre,  
 'and an interrogacion for a cōdicionall, and soch lyke is  
 'with the Hebrues a comen vsage. I have also in manye  
 'places set lyght in the mergent, to vnderstonde the text  
 'by. If anye man fynde fautes ether with the trāslacion  
 'or ought besyde (which is easier for manye to do, then  
 'so well to have translated it them selves of their awne  
 'pregnant wyttes, at the begynnyng withoute forensample)  
 'to the same it shalbe lawfull to trāslate it them selves and  
 'to put what they lust therto. If I shall perceave ether  
 'by my selfe or by the informacion of other, that ought  
 'be escaped me, or myght be more playnlye translated,  
 'I will shortlye after cause it to be mended. Howbeit in  
 'manye places, me thynketh it better to put a declaracyon  
 'in the margent, then to runne to farre from the text.  
 'And in manye places, where the text semeth at the fyrst  
 'choppe harde to be understonde, yet y<sup>e</sup> circūstāces  
 'before and after, and oftē readinge together, maketh it  
 'playn ynough...'

*Comparison  
 of the  
 editions of  
 1525, 1534.*

A comparison of the texts of the first and second editions fully bears out the description which Tindale here gives of his work. To take one example only: of the thirty-one changes which I have noticed in the later version of 1 John, about a third are closer approximations to the Greek: rather more are variations in connecting particles or the like, designed to bring out the argument

of the original more clearly; three new readings are adopted; and in one passage it appears that Luther's rendering has been substituted for an awkward paraphrase. Yet it must be remarked that even in this revision the changes are far more frequently at variance with Luther's renderings than in accordance with them<sup>1</sup>.

The importance of the New Testament of 1534, which is altogether Tindale's noblest monument, gives a peculiar interest to the short glosses with which it is furnished. Though these do not throw much light upon the translation itself, yet they give such a lively image of the character of Tindale that a few specimens of them cannot be out of place even in a history of the text<sup>2</sup>. Generally they are pregnant with pithy comments on the passage with which they deal, designed to guide the reader to its spirit, and Bengel himself is not more terse or pointed. Such for example are the following<sup>3</sup>:

'Whē ought is sayde or done, that shuld moue to  
'pryde: he dassheth thē in the tethe with his deathe &  
'passion.

*The Glosses  
of the  
edition of  
1534.*

*Matt. xvi.  
21.*

'A couenaunt to them that loue the worde of God to  
'wynne other with worde ād dede: and another to them  
'that loue it not, that it shalbe their destruccion.

*Mark iv.  
24.*

'Adams disobedyēce dampned vs all yer we oureselues  
'wrought euell. And Christes obedience saueth us all, yer  
'we oure selues worke anye good. [Luther.]

*Rom. v. 15.*

'God choseth of his awne goodnes and mercye: calleth  
'thorow y<sup>e</sup> gospell: iustifieth thorow faith and glorifieth  
'thorow good workes.

*Rom. viii.  
28.*

'If a mā haue the gyfte, chastite is good, the more

*1 Cor. vii.  
26.*

<sup>1</sup> These variations are given in detail in App. III.

<sup>2</sup> It is difficult to say why these marginal glosses and those on the Pentateuch were not included in the collected edition of Tindale's works. Nothing that he has written is more characteristic.

<sup>3</sup> I have made no attempt to con-

ceal what appear to me to be errors in Tindale's teaching. The passages quoted fairly reflect his whole style. Those who take account of the circumstances under which he had to work will not pass a severe judgment on unguarded or one-sided statements.

'quyetlye to serue God. For y<sup>e</sup> maryed haue ofte moch  
'trouble: but if the mynde of the chaste be cumbred with  
'other worldly busynes, what helpeth it? & if the maryed  
'be the moare quyet mynded therby, what hurteth it  
'Nether of it selfe is better then the other, or pleaseth god  
'more thē the other Nether is outwarde circumcision or  
'outwarde baptye worth a pynne of them selues, saue  
'that they put vs in remēbraunce to kepe the couenaunt  
'made bctwene vs & God.

*Galat. iii.*  
26.

'Fayth maketh vs sōnes and of the nature of christ,  
'ād bindeth eche to haue other in the same reuerēce that  
'he hath Christ.

*Eph. iii. 17.*

'Where true faith in Christ is, ther is loue to y<sup>e</sup> ney-  
'boure And faith and loue maketh vs vnderstonde all  
'thinges. Fayth vnderstondeth y<sup>e</sup> secretes of god & the  
'mercie that is geuen her in Christ And loue knoweth  
'hir dutie to hir neyboure, ād can interprete all lawes &  
'ordinaūces & knoweth how farre forth they are to be  
'kept & whē to be dispensed with.

*1 Pet. i. 17.*

'By oure workes shall we be iudged: for as the in-  
'uisible fayth is, soche are the workes by which the fayth  
'is sene.

*1 Pet. ii. 5.*

'We be y<sup>e</sup> churche: & the obedyence of y<sup>e</sup> harte is  
'y<sup>e</sup> spirituall sacrifice. Bodilye sacrifice must be offered  
'to our neyboures, for yf thou offerest it to god thou  
'makest a bodylie ydole of him.

*James ii.*  
17.

'Now yf anye that is not mercyfull beleueth to haue  
'mercy of god he deceaueth him selfe: because he hath  
'no Goddes worde for him For godes promise partayneth  
'to y<sup>e</sup> mercifull onely: & true faith therfore is knowen  
'by hir dedes.

*Rev. vii. 1.*

'Angell is a greke worde & signifieth a messenger.  
'And all the angelles are called messengers, because they  
'are sent so ofte from god to mā on message: euen so  
'prophetes, preachers and the prelates of the churche are  
'called angelles: that is to saye messengers, because their  
'offyce is to bringe the message of god vnto the people  
'The good angelles here in this booke are the true

'bysshopes and preachers, and the euell angelles are the  
'heretyckes and false preachers which euer falsifye gods  
'worde, with which the churche of Christ shalbe thus  
'miserablye played vnto the ende of the worlde, as is  
'paynted in these fygures.'

In other places Tindale calls attention emphatically to the substance of a text, often by a single word, and again by a brief note, as:

'God is not knowē as a father, but thorow christ.

*Matt. xi.*  
27.

'God dwelleth not in temples or churches made with

*Acts vii.*  
48.

'hādes.

'Prayer & fastynge go to gether.

*Acts xiv.*  
23.

'Searche the scriptures for by thē may ye trye all

*Acts xvii.*  
11.

'doctrine.

'To haue pleasure in another mannes synne is greater

*Rom. i. 32.*

'wyckednes then to synne thy selfe.

'Eternall lyfe is the seruinge of Christ.

*Rom. vi.*  
23.

'He is strōge that cā beare another mannes weakenes.'

*Rom. xv. 2.*

Sometimes, though rarely, the gloss is simply explanatory:

'Love is y<sup>e</sup> signe y<sup>t</sup> the synnes are forgiven her.

*Luke vii.*  
47.

'This John is the same Marcke, that wryte the gospel

*Acts xii. 12.*

'of Marcke.

'These syluerlinges which we now and then call pence

*Acts xix. 19.*

'the Iues call sicles, ād are worth a .x.pēce sterlynge.

'Th[at] is thou shalt kyndle him & make him to

*Rom. xii.*  
20.

loue.

'Bysshopes and elders is all one & an officer chosen to

*Tit. i. 7.*

'gouerne the congregacion in doctryne ād liuinge.'

In a very few cases the gloss takes a polemical character, but still without bitterness:

'Go not frō house to house as freers do.

*Luke ix. 4.*

'To speake with tonges or with the spirite, is to

*1 Cor. xiv.*  
16.

'speake that other vnderstonde not, as prestes saye their

'seruyce.

'A good lesson for monkes & ydle freers.' [Comp.

*1 Thess. iv.*  
11.

Luther.]

In one passage only I have noticed a mystical inter-

pretation which is foreign to the general complexion of Tindale's notes<sup>1</sup>:

*John ix. 4.*

'Nyght: when the true knowledge of Christ, how he 'onlie iustifieth, is lost: then can nomā worke a good 'worke in the sight of god, how gloriouse soeuer his 'workes apere.'

*The edition  
of 1535  
again re-  
vised from  
the Greek.*

In his Preface to the edition of 1534, Tindale had expressed his readiness to revise his work and adopt any changes in it which might be shewn to be improvements. The edition of 1535 [or G. H. 1535, 1534] is a proof of his sincerity<sup>2</sup>. The text of this exhibits a true revision and differs from that of 1534, though considerably less than the text of 1534 from that of 1525<sup>3</sup>. Sometimes

<sup>1</sup> It is right to add that I have not examined whether the glosses are suggested by any earlier commentaries.

<sup>2</sup> Is it this edition to which Joye refers in his *Apology* (p. 4)? Tindale agreed, as he writes, 'that we shulde 'with one accorde in his next testament then in printing in the stede 'of this vncharitable pistle [added 'to the edition of 1534]...salute the 'reders with one comon salutacion 'to testifie our concorde.' The *Apology* is dated Feb. 27, 1535. It may be added that some of Joye's criticisms in his *Apology* on Tindale's renderings are of interest. Thus he objects to his translation in Mark xii. 26, where he plays 'boo pepe withe the 'tencis as he englissheth *resuscitantur* [the word given by Erasmus 'for the *resurgant* of the Vulgate] 'shal ryse agen, and not are *reuiued* 'or *resuscited*...' (p. 13) ... 'or they ar 'all redy alyue (he saith not that 'they shalbe alyue or shall ryse agayne 'as [Tindale] in hys diligent last correction turneth the present tence 'into the future: and the verbe passiue 'into a neuter to stablisshe his error 'thus corrupting the text' (p. 15). Again he objects to the rendering in

Rom. i. 4 *synce the tyme that Iesus Christ oure Lorde rose agayne from deeth*, where he says that Tindale has mistaken 'what *Ex eo* [the rendering 'of Erasmus again] there sygnifyeth' (p. 94). In 1 Cor. xiv. 14 he maintains that *spiritus* 'signifyeth...the 'breathe, and voice of our tongue...' and not spirit (p. 95). Throughout he appeals only to the Latin. [In 1904 the British Museum acquired a copy of Joye's New Testament, hitherto unknown, the colophon of which is dated 9 January, 1535. It is described by Mr A. W. Pollard in *The Library* for Jan. 1905.]

<sup>3</sup> In 1 John I have noted sixteen variations from the text of 1534 as against thirty-two in that of 1534 from the original text. From the great inaccuracy of the edition 'finished' 1535 it is often difficult to decide what are printers' errors and what intentional changes. The changes in the Gospels and Acts are (if I may trust a very limited collation) fewer than those in the Epistles. The variations in 1 John are given at length in App. III.

In the different Epistles the number of variations is considerable. In the Epistle to the Ephesians, neg-



the changes are made to secure a closer accordance with the Greek<sup>1</sup>: sometimes to gain a more vigorous or a more idiomatic rendering<sup>2</sup>: sometimes to preserve a just uniformity: sometimes to introduce a new interpretation<sup>3</sup>. The very minuteness of the changes is a singular testimony to the diligence with which Tindale still laboured at his appointed work<sup>4</sup>. Nothing seemed trifling to him, we may believe, if only he could better seize or convey to others the meaning of one fragment of Scripture<sup>5</sup>.

Tindale's first Testament was without notes: so too was his last. The short Prologues to the four Evangelists are printed separately before each Gospel. The contents of the tables for the Gospels and the Acts are prefixed in detail before each chapter. The marginal references of the edition of 1534 are generally preserved. But with these exceptions the simple text of the New Testament is given without any addition except the list of books on the reverse of the [second] title-page, and the Epistles from the Old Testament at the end<sup>6</sup>. Thus Tindale

*This edition  
again with-  
out notes.*

lecting undoubted misprints, I have noted only the following: i. 1 Jesu (Jesus); 20 *the dead* (*death*); ii. 1 you haeth he quickened (bath qu. you); iii. 11 purposed *to* (p. *in*); iv. 11 and some teachers (om.); iv. 16 of *himselfe* (*it silfe*); vi. 20 messenger (*a m.*). Compare p. 178, n. 1.

<sup>1</sup> Matt. vi. 34 the daye (for *for* the daye). Mark xvi. 19 *sate him* doune (for *is set* doune). 1 Cor. xv. 10 add *yet*. Eph. iv. 11 add *and* some teachers.

<sup>2</sup> Mark xvi. 11 *though* they herde —*yet* they beleued it not (for *when* they herde—they beleued it not). Rom. xii. 13 *be readi* to harboure (for *diligently* to harboure). 2 Cor. vi. 18 be *my* sonnes (for be *unto me* sonnes).

<sup>3</sup> Eph. iv. 16 the edyfyinge of *himselfe* (for the edyfyinge of *it silfe*).

<sup>4</sup> One change is of considerable interest in connexion with the early associations of Tindale. In the edition of 1534 (and so in that of 1536)

the Epistle for St Catharine's day is that given in the Hereford Missal with which Tindale would be familiar in Gloucestershire. In the edition of 1535 the Epistle is given correctly from the Sarum Missal. [See p. 157, note 1.]

<sup>5</sup> See note at the end of the Section.

<sup>6</sup> A duplicate of the tables for the Gospels and Acts printed with another list of books on a page of a different size (36 not 38 lines) stands at the beginning of the volume. This is followed by the prologue to the Romans printed again in a different sized page (37 lines). But there is nothing to shew that these were originally intended to form part of the same book. They are severally contained in separate sheets with distinct signatures. The watermarks of the paper, as far as I can make out, are distinct, and the type in which the

ended as he had begun. His last Testament was a final appeal to the King and to the English people. If the text could gain currency it was enough, as he had repeatedly declared<sup>1</sup>.

*The influence of Luther on Tindale's own writings.*

Tindale, as we have seen, both in his first translation and in his two subsequent revisions of the New Testament, dealt directly and principally with the Greek text. If he used the Vulgate or Erasmus or Luther it was with the judgment of a scholar. His complete independence in this respect is the more remarkable from the profound influence which Luther exerted upon his writings generally. The extent to which Tindale silently incorporated free or even verbal translations of passages from Luther's works in his own has escaped the notice of his editors. To define it accurately would be a work of very great labour, but the result, as exhibiting the points of contact and divergence in the opinions of the two great reformers, would be a most instructive passage in the doctrinal history of the time. Tindale's 'Prologue' to his quarto Testament, his first known writing, almost at the beginning introduces a large fragment from Luther's Preface to the New Testament. There is indeed a ring in the opening words which might have led any one familiar with Luther's style to suspect their real source. 'Euāgeliö (that we cal 'the gospel) is a greke worde, & signyfyth good, mery, 'glad and ioyfull tydinge, that maketh a mannes hert glad, 'and maketh hym synge, daunce and leepe for ioye. As 'when Davyd had kylled Golyath the geaūt, cam glad 'tydinge vnto the iewes, that their fearfull and cruell enemy 'was slayne, and they delyvered oute of all daunger; for 'gladnes were of, they songe, daunsed, and wer ioyfull<sup>2</sup>.'

Prologue to the New Testament.

Prologue is printed does not appear to me to range with that used in the body of the book, though extremely like it. Moreover, and this is most worthy of notice, the orthography of the two preliminary pieces presents none of the marked peculiarities by which the translation itself is gene-

rally characterized. Even 'called' and 'Holy' are spelt according to common usage. [See p. 50, note.]

<sup>1</sup> See above, p. 53.

<sup>2</sup> Luther: Euangelion ist eyn griechisch wort vnd heyst auff deutsch gute botschafft, gute meher, gutte new zeyttung, gut geschrey, davon man

The famous Prologue to the Romans (1526) is, as is well known, for the most part a paraphrase or a translation of Luther's Preface. Like the Preface to the New Testament this writing of Luther's also had been translated into Latin (1523), and Tindale's version seems at one time to follow the German and at another time the Latin text. Some phrases, as every Christian man must 'exercise himself therein [the Epistle to the Romans] as *'with the daily bread of the soul'*, and 'God judgeth after *'the ground of the heart.....therefore his law requireth the ground of the heart* and love from the bottom thereof, and 'is not content with the outward work only, but *rebuketh those works* most of all *which spring not of love from the ground and low bottom of the heart...'*' shew clearly that Tindale could not have been unacquainted with the German; and on the other hand the general complexion of the Prologue is more like the Latin translation than the

Prologue  
to the  
Romans.

singet, saget und frolich ist, Gleich als do David den grossen Goliath vberwand, kam eyn gut geschrey, vnd trostlich new zeytüg vnter das Iudisch volck, das yhrer grewlicher feynd erschlagen, und sie erloset, zu freud vnd frid gestellet weren, *daun sie sunzen vñ sprungen vnd frolich waren.* The Latin translation of the passage in the Wittenberg Bible [see p. 137, note 1] may be added: Est enim Euangelium Græca uox significans *bonum seu latum nuncium*, et tale quidem quod summa omnium gratulatione accipitur atque prædicatur, *Vnde noluptas et læticia in hominum animis excitatur.* Nam quem admodum cum David magnum illum Gyganteum Goliath uicerat, lætum nuncium ad populum Iudaicum perferebatur, crudelissimo ipsorum hoste occiso, a quo cum essent liberati nullo non genere læticiæ atque gaudij perfundebantur, Sic et Euangelium siue Nouum Testamentum &c. The passages italicised mark apparently special coincidences with Tindale's rendering.

The translation of Luther extends from 'the Old Testament is a book—'shall never more die.' (pp. 8—10, ed. Parker Soc.).

The glosses exhibit the same powerful influence of Luther. Of the ninety-one glosses (as I count them) which appear in the quarto fragment forty-eight are taken in whole or in part from Luther's notes, and the remaining forty-three are original.

<sup>1</sup> p. 484 (ed. P. S.). Das sie eyn Christen mensch...damit vmbgehe, als mit teglichen brod der seelen. The Latin has nothing which exactly corresponds.

<sup>2</sup> p. 485 (ed. P. S.). Got richtet nach des herten grund, darumb foddert auch sein gesetz des Herzen grund, vnd lessit yhm an wercken nicht benugen, sondern straft viel mehr die werck on herzens grund gethan...The Latin runs: Deus uero cum sit Cardiognostes, iudicat secundum internos motus cordis, Proinde et lex Dei requirit cor et affectus, neque impletur externis operibus, nisi hilari corde et toto affectu fiant.

German original, and many parts are unequivocally derived from it. Thus the clauses 'thou understandest not...how *'that it [the law] cannot be fulfilled and satisfied but with 'an unfeigned love and affection, much less can it be fulfilled 'with outward deeds and works only'...*; and again, 'if the 'Law were fleshly and but man's doctrine, it might be 'fulfilled...with outward deeds'; and, once more, 'Such 'a new heart and *lusty courage* unto the law-ward canst 'thou never come by *of thine own strength* and enforce- 'ment, *but by the operation and working of the Spirit*'; have nothing which directly corresponds with them in the German. Similar instances might be multiplied indefinitely, but the conclusion even from these seems to be inevitable that Tindale used the Latin by preference while he was able also to avail himself of the German.

*Exposition  
of the Ser-  
mon on the  
Mount.*

The coincidences between Tindale's Exposition of the Sermon on the Mount and that of Luther, though fewer, are even more worthy of notice. Luther's Expository Sermons were delivered in 1530, and printed in 1532, but they were not translated into Latin till 1533. On the other hand Tindale's Exposition was printed in 1532. He must then have used the German edition of Luther, or perhaps even notes taken by some friend or by himself. The coincidences which are comparatively rare are still verbal and at the same time tacit. Two examples will be sufficient to indicate their character.

*Matt. v. 4.*

Gerechtigkeit mus an diesem ort nicht heissen, die Christliche heubt gerechtigkeit, dadurch die person frum und angensem wird fur Gott. Denn ich habe vor gesagt, das diese

<sup>1</sup> p. 486. ...quomodo non nisi affectu [lex] impleatur, ipsemet non satis tenes. Tantum autem abest, ut lex externis operibus impleatur aut iustificet, ut etiam... For this there is nothing in the German.

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* Si lex esset carnalis aut moralis doctrina tantum... Wenn das

Righteousnes in this place is not taken for the principalle righteousnes of a christen mā, thorow which the parson is good and accepted before God. For these .viii. poyntes are but

gesetz leylich were....

<sup>3</sup> p. 487. Talem vero novum et ardentem ac hilarem cordis affectum non ex tuis ullis viribus aut meritis, sed sola operatione et afflatu spiritus consequere. For this the German has simply Eyn solchs hertz gibt niemant, den Gots geyst....

acht stuck nichts anders sind,  
Denn eine lere von den fruchten  
vnd guten wercken eines  
Christen, vor welchen der  
glaube zuuor mus da sein, als  
der bawm und heubstuck...  
daraus solche stuck alle wachsen  
vnd folgen müssen. Darumb  
verstehe hie die eusserlich Ge-  
rechtigkeit fur der welt, so wir  
vnter vns gegen ander hallten...

Wie er ir Almosen vnd beten  
gestrafft hat, so straffet er auch  
hie ir fasten...wie sie des  
Almosen...misbraucht haben...  
also haben sie auch des fastens  
misbrauchet vnd verkeret, nicht  
fur iren leib im zwang und  
zucht zu hallten...sondern von  
den leuten gesehen zu werden...  
das man sich wundern  
vnd sagen müsste, O das sind  
treffliche heiligen, die da...  
gehen inn grawen rücken, den  
kopff hengen, sawr vnd bleich  
sehen &c. wenn die nicht gen  
himmel komen, wo wollen wir  
andern bleiben?

doctryne

of the frutes and workes of a  
christen mā

before which the faythe must  
be there:...ād as a tre out of  
which all soche frutes ād workes  
must sprynge.

Wherfore vndrestande here  
the outwarde righteousnes be-  
fore the worlde and true and  
faythfull dealyng eche with  
other...

As above of almose and  
prayer: euen so here Christ  
rebuketh the false entent and  
ypocresye of fastynge. That  
they sought prayse of that worke  
that was ordeyned for to tame  
the fleshe, and vsed soche  
fassiōs, that all the world myght  
knowe that they fasted, to  
prayse them and to saye:

*Matt. vi.  
18.*

O what holye men are these;  
how pale and pytifull looke they  
euen lyke deethe, hangynge  
downe their heedes...If these  
come not to heauen, what shall  
become of vs poore wretches  
of the worlde?

But it is in the shorter Prologues to the several books  
of the New Testament first published in 1534 that the  
character of the dependence of Tindale on Luther is best  
seen. Luther has no special Prologues to the Gospels;  
but Tindale at the close of his Prologue to St Matthew,  
which is an extensive essay, reproduces in a modified  
form Luther's famous judgment on the relative worth of  
the apostolic books in his Preface to the New Testament:

*Short Pro-  
logues to the  
Books of the  
New Testa-  
ment.*

'...Paul's Epistles with the Gospel of John and his first Epistle, and the first Epistle of St Peter, are most pure Gospel and most plainly and richly describe the glory of the grace of Christ<sup>1</sup>.' Tindale on the other hand has no Preface to the Acts or to the Apocalypse; while Luther has to both. With these exceptions all Tindale's Prologues correspond generally in character and form with Luther's, and every one besides that to 1 Corinthians is framed out of or with reference to them. And further, as these short Prologues were not included in the Wittenberg Bible, nor, as far as it appears, separately translated, it follows that Tindale must have become thoroughly familiar with German during his long residence at Marburg, if he was not so before.

*The Prologues in detail.*

As the Prologues are interesting on every account it will be worth while to draw out a little more in detail the coincidences and differences thus generally described. The Prologues to 2 Corinthians, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, 1, 2 Thessalonians, 1, 2 Timothy, Titus, Philemon, 1, 2 Peter, 1, 2, 3 John, are almost entirely taken from Luther, but in nearly all cases in a compressed form. That to the Galatians incorporates a large piece of Luther's, but is fuller. Those to St James and St Jude are independent in treatment and conclusion, but distinctly traceable to Luther's. That to the Hebrews is a sustained argument against Luther.

*Differences between Luther and Tindale.*

The changes are in all cases worthy of notice. One of the omissions at least is strikingly significant. In the Preface to Philemon Luther has a startling allegorical application of the circumstances to the history of the

<sup>1</sup> p. 477 (ed. P. S.). With this Luther's original judgment may be compared: Summa, Sanct Johannis Evangelii vnd seyne erste Epistel, Sanct Paulus Epistel, sonderlich die zu den Romern, Galatern, Ephesern, vnd Sanct Peters erste Epistel, das sind die bucher, die dyr Christum zeygen vnnnd alles leren, das dyr zu

wissen nott vnnnd selig ist, ob du schon keyn ander buch noch lere nummer sehest noch horist. Darumb ist Sanct Jacob Epistel eyn rechte stroern Epistel gegen sie, den sie doch keyn Euägelisch art an yhr hat. The wisdom with which Tindale avoids the bold negativism of Luther is most worthy of notice.

Redemption. 'Even as Christ has dealt for us with GOD, 'so St Paul deals for Onesimus with Philemon. For 'Christ emptied Himself of His right and overcame the 'Father with love and meekness, so that He must lay 'aside His wrath and right, and receive us to favour, 'for Christ's sake, who thus earnestly intercedes for us 'and takes us to Him so tenderly. For we are all His 'Onesimuses if we only believe it.' Of this characteristic passage there is no trace in Tindale. In other places Tindale omits the temporal applications with which Luther delighted to animate his teaching<sup>1</sup> and tempers the peremptoriness of his exposition by a fuller reference to the text itself. Two examples will be sufficient to make his general method clear.

Am andern leret er wie fur  
dem Jungsten tag, das Romisch  
reych zuuor mus vntergehen,

vnd der Endchrist sich fur Got  
auffwerfen ynn der Christen-  
heyt, vnd mit falschen leren  
vnd zeychen die vnglewbige  
welt verfuren,

bis das Christus kome vnd  
vestore yhn durch seyne her-  
liche zukunfft, vnd mit eyner  
geystlichen predigt zuuor todte.

Am dritten thut er ettliche  
ermanung, vnd sonderlich, das  
sie die mussigen, die sich nicht  
mit eygener hand erneren,  
straffen, vnd wo sie nicht sich  
bessern, meyden sollen, *wilchs*

In the seconde he sheweth *Prolog. to  
2 Thes.  
(1522).*  
that the last daye shuld not  
come, *tyll there were fyrst a de-  
partinge (as some men thynke)*  
*from vnder the obedyence of the*  
*Emperour of Rome*, and that  
Antichrist shuld set vp him selfe  
in the same place, as GOD: and  
deceauue the vnthankfull worlde  
with false doctrine, and with  
false & lyenge myracles *wrought*  
*by the workinge of Satan*,  
vntil Christ shuld come & slee  
him with his glorious commynge  
and spirituall preachinge of the  
worde of God.

In the thyrde he geueth them  
exhortacion & warneth thē to  
rebuke the ydle that wolde not  
laboure with their handes, and  
auoyde their cōpanie yf they  
wolde not mende<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Luther's Preface to 1 Corinthians is full of special applications to the time, and this fact probably accounts

for Tindale's independence.

<sup>2</sup> Prologue to 2 Thessalonians.

*gar hart widder den ytzigen  
geystlichen stand lauff.*

*Prolog. to  
2 Pet. (1534).*

Summa, das erst Capitel zeigt, wie die Christenheit stehen solt zur zeit des reinen Evangelii. Das ander Capitel zeigt wie sie zur zeit des Bapsts vnd menschen lere stehen würde. Das dritte, *wie hernach die leute beide Evangelion vnd alle lere verachten, vnd nichts glauben werden. Und das gehet jtz in vollem schwang, bis Christus kome.*

*Tindale's  
judgment  
on the dis-  
puted  
Books.  
Hebrews.*

Tindale's independence is however best seen in his treatment of the disputed books which Luther placed in a second rank. His Prologue to the Hebrews is a careful examination of the arguments which Luther urged against its apostolic authority, and while he leaves its authorship uncertain and will not 'think it to be an article of any 'man's faith,' yet he decides 'that this epistle ought no 'more to be refused for a holy godly and catholic than 'the other authentic scriptures.' He even uses Luther's image but to a different end:

Ob er (the author) wol nicht den grund legt des glawbens, wie er selbs zeuget...so bawet er doch feyn drauff, golt, sylber, edelsteyne... Derhalben vns nicht hyndern sol, *ob villeicht etwas holtz stro, oder hew, mit vnter gemenget werde*, sondern solche feyne lere mit allen ehren auffnemen, On das man sie den Apostolischen Episteln nicht aller dinge gleychen mag.

Finallie The fyrst Chapter sheweth how it shuld goo in the tyme of the pure & true Gospell. The seconde, how it shuld goo in the tyme of the pope and mennes doctrine. The thyrde, *how at the last men shuld beleue nothinge ner feare God at all*<sup>1</sup>.

now therfore...though this epistle...laye not the grounde of the fayth of Christ, yet it buyldeth conynglye theron pure golde, syluer, & precieuse stones...

And seinge the epistle agreeth to all the rest of the scripture, yf it be indifferētlye loked on, how shuld it not be of auctoryte and taken for holye scripture?

<sup>1</sup> Prologue to 2 Peter.



The Epistles of St James and St Jude are dealt with in the same manner and with the same result. Of the former, Tindale writes: 'Though this Epistle were refused 'in the old time and denied of many to be the Epistle 'of a very Apostle, and though also it lay not the foundation of the faith of Christ...yet because it setteth up 'no man's doctrine...and hath also nothing that is not 'agreeable to the rest of the Scriptures, if it be looked 'indifferently on, methinketh it ought of right to be 'taken for Holy Scripture<sup>1</sup>.' Of the latter: 'As for the 'Epistle of Judas though men have and yet do doubt of 'the author, and though it seem also to be drawn out 'of the second epistle of S. Peter, and thereto allegeth 'Scripture that is nowhere found; yet seeing the matter 'is so godly and agreeing to other places of Holy Scripture, I see not but that it ought to have the authority of 'Holy Scripture<sup>2</sup>.' [*Doctr. Treat.*, Park. Soc. pp. 525, 531.]

*St James.  
St Jude.*

The standard which Tindale sets up may be a precarious one, but yet it differs widely from the bold subjectivity of Luther, which practically leaves no basis for the Canon but the judgment of the individual reader.

No one who has followed thus far Tindale's mode of dealing with the New Testament can doubt that in the Old Testament he would look first to the Hebrew text, 'which,' he writes, 'is most of need to be known<sup>3</sup>'; and a crucial test at once offers itself. An Appendix to his New Testament of 1534 contains, as we have seen [p. 47],

*Tindale's  
independ-  
ence in the  
Old Testa-  
ment.*

<sup>1</sup> Luther writes thus: Die Epistel Sanct Iacobi, wie wol sie von den alten verworffen ist, lobe ich vñ halt sie doch fur gut, darumb das sie gar keyn menschen lere setzt vñ Gotts gesetz hart treybt. Aber dz ich meyn meynüg drauff stelle, doch on ydermanns nachteyl, acht ich sie fur keyns Apostel schrift...Darumb wil ich yhn nicht haben ynn meyner Bibel ynn der zal der rechten hewbt-bucher, wil aber damit niemant weren, das er yhn setz und hebe, wie es yhn gelustet, denn viel guter spruch

sonst drynnen sind.

<sup>2</sup> Luther: Die Epistel aber Sanct Iudas kan niemant leugnen, das eyn ausstzog odder abschrift ist aus S. Peters ander Epistel...Vnd furet auch spruch vnd geschicht die yñ der schrift nyrgend stehen...Darumb ob ich sie wol preysze, ists doch eyn vnnotige Epistel vnter die hewbt-bucher zu rechnen, die des glawbens grund legen sollen.

<sup>3</sup> *Answer to More*, p. 75 (ed. Parker Soc.).

'The Epistles taken out of the Old Testament...after the 'use of Salisbury.' Among these are passages from books which he had not published at that time, even if he had translated them, and from others which he certainly never translated. In the service-books they were of course given in Latin, and it would be most obvious, therefore, to turn them from the Vulgate text. If however in this case Tindale took the Hebrew as his basis, and not the Latin, and still less Luther, we may be sure that he followed the like course in his continuous translations. And so it is: though he keeps the explanatory words which in some cases introduce or round off the lesson, yet the lesson itself is rendered from the original Hebrew. Two examples will be sufficient to make it plain that it is so. In a very simple passage, 1 Kings xvii. 17 ff., the following variations occur where Tindale strives to keep close to the Hebrew against the Vulgate:

|                                    |   |
|------------------------------------|---|
| 18 my <i>synne</i>                 | <i>iniquitates meæ...</i>                                     |
| 19 <i>he...</i>                    | <i>Elias...</i>   |
| an hie <i>chamber...</i>           | <i>cenaculum</i>  |
| 21 <i>he measured</i> the child... | <i>expandit se atque mensus est</i><br><i>super puerum...</i> |

A single verse from Isaiah offers, as might be expected, a more conclusive proof of the independence of Tindale:

|                   |   |   |
|-------------------|---|---|
| <i>Is. li. 5.</i> | My ryghteousnes is nye, and<br>my salvacyon shall go oute,<br>and myne armes shall iudge<br>nacions, and ylondes shall loke<br>for me & shall tarye after myne<br>arme. | <i>Prope est justus meus, egres-<br/>sus est salvator meus, et brachia<br/>mea populos judicabunt: me<br/>insulæ expectabunt, et bra-<br/>chium meum sustinebunt.</i> |
|-------------------|---|---|

The variations from Luther are nearly as numerous, and still there are indications that Tindale was acquainted with Luther's translation as he was with the Vulgate.

One continuous passage may be added as a better sample of Tindale's work, taken from his published Pentateuch. The relation in which it stands to the Vulgate and Luther is, as will be seen, the same as before<sup>1</sup>:

*Num. xvi.  
28 ff.*

<sup>1</sup> The italics in Tindale mark what is preserved still in A.V.

## VULGATE.

28 Et ait Moyses:  
In hoc scietis, quod  
Dominus miserit me  
ut facerem universa  
quæ cernitis, et non  
ex proprio ea corde  
protulerim:

29 Si consueta hominum morte interierint, et visitaverit eos plaga, qua et ceteri visitari solent, non misit me Dominus:

30 Sin autem novam rem fecerit Dominus, ut aperiens terra os suum deglutiat eos et omnia quæ ad illos pertinent, descenderintque viventes in infernum, scietis quod blasphemaverint Dominum.

31 Confestim igitur ut cessavit loqui, dirupta est terra sub pedibus eorum:

32 Et aperiens os suum, devoravit illos cum tabernaculis suis et universa

## TINDALE.

28 *And Moses sayed: Hereby ye shall knowe that the Lorde hath sent me to doo all these workes, and that I haue not done them of myne awne mynde:*

29 *Yf these men dye the comon deth of all men, or yf they be visyted after the visitacion of all men, then the Lorde hath not sent me.*

30 *But and yf the Lorde make a new thinge, and the erth open hir mouthe and swallowe them, and all that pertayne vnto them, so that they goo doune quycke into hell: then ye shall vnderstode, that these me haue rayled apon the Lorde.*

31 *And as soone as he had made an ende of speakynge all these wordes, the grounde cloue asunder that was vnder them,*

32 *And ye erth opened hir mouthe and swalowed them and their housses and*

## LUTHER.

28 Vnd Mose sprach, dabey solt yhr mercken, das mich der Herr gesand hat, das ich alle dise werck thett, vnd nicht von meinem hertzen.

29 Werden sie sterben, wie alle menschen sterben, oder heymgesucht, wie alle menschen heimgesucht werdē, so hat mich der Herr nicht gesand.

30 Wirt aber der Herr etwas news schaffen, das die erde yhren mund auffthut, vñ verschlinget sie mit allem das sie haben, das sie lebendig hyn vntern ynn die helle faren, so werdet yhr erkennen, dass dise leut den Herrn gelestert haben.

31 Vnd als er dise wort hatte alle ausgeredt, zu reysse die erde vnter yhren,

32 vnd thet yhren mund auff vnd verschlang sie, mit yhren heusern mit

| VULGATE.  | TINDALE.   | LUTHER.  |
|---|--|--|
| substantia eorum ;  | <i>all the mē that were with Corah and all their goodes.</i>   | allen menschen die bey Korah waren vnd mit aller yhrer habe.   |
| 33 Descenderuntque vivi in infernum operti humo, et perierunt de medio multitudinis.                                  | 33 And <i>they and all that pertayned vnto them, went doune alyue vnto hell, and the erthe closed apon them, and they peryshed from amonge the congregacion.</i> | 33 Vñ furen hyn vntern lebendig ynn die helle, mit allem das sie hatten, Vñ die erde decket sie zu, vnd kamen vmb aus der gemeyne. |
| 34 At vero omnis Israel, qui stabat per gyrum, fugit ad clamorem pereuntium, dicens: Ne forte et nos terra deglutiat. | 34 And <i>all Israel that were aboute them, fledde at the crye of them. For they sayed: The erthe myghte happelye swalowe vs also.</i>                           | 34 Vnd gantz Israel, das umb sie her war, floh fur ihrem geschrey, denn sie sprachen, das vns die erde nicht auch verschlinge.     |
| 35 Sed et ignis egressus a Domino interfecit ducentos quinquaginta viros, qui offerebant incensum.                    | 35 And <i>there came oute a fyre from the Lorde and consumed the two hundred and fystye men that offred cens.</i>  | 35 Datzu fur das feur aus von dem Herrn, vnd frass die zwey hundert vnd funfftzig menner, die das reuchwerk offerten.              |

*Revision of  
Old Testament.*

In his version of the New Testament we have seen that Tindale willingly faced the labour of minute correction. The texts of 1525, 1534, and 1535 are specifically distinct, and each later edition offers a careful revision of that which preceded it. Though the evidence is less extensive in the case of the Old Testament, it is evident that he expended no less pains upon this. The texts of 'the Epistles from the Old Testament' appended to the New Testaments of 1534 and 1535 differ in small details from the published Pentateuch of 1531 (1530)<sup>1</sup>; and, what is

<sup>1</sup> I regret that I have been unable to collate the text of the Pentateuch of 1531 (see p. 169) with that of the 'corrected' Pentateuch of 1534. The

still more interesting, from one another<sup>1</sup>. Thus in these, as in the New Testaments themselves, there is a double revision; and there is nothing to shew that Tindale bestowed less care upon the lessons from the Apocrypha than on those from the Canonical books<sup>2</sup>.

This patience of laborious emendation completes the picture of the great translator. In the conception and style of his renderings he had nothing to modify or amend. Throughout all his revisions he preserved intact the characteristics of his first work. Before he began he had prepared himself for a task of which he could apprehend the full difficulty. He had rightly measured the momentous issues of a vernacular version of the Holy Scriptures, and determined once for all the principles on which it must be made. His later efforts were directed simply to the nearer attainment of his ideal. To gain this end he availed himself of the best help which lay within his reach, but he used it as a master and not as

*Tindale's  
influence in  
our English  
Bible.*

Bristol Museum has only one edition, and not two, as stated in Anderson's list. Compare pp. 169, 208 notes.

PENT. 1531.

*this dreame which I haue dreamed  
makyng sheues  
loo  
yours—to  
because of—of  
saynge  
I haue had one dreame more*

In Gen. xxxvii. 6—9, the following variations occur between the 'Epistle' and the first Pentateuch:

NEW TEST. 1534.

*a dreame that I dreamed  
makyng of sheues  
se,  
yours sheues—unto  
for—for  
and he sayd  
I dreamed yet another dreame*

[In *Notes and Queries* for the 10th and 24th of February, 1883, Mr Fry printed a collation of the 1531 and 1534 editions of Tindale's *Genesis*. See also Dr Mombert's edition of Tindale's Pentateuch (1885), Prolegomena, pp. ciii.—cviii.]

<sup>1</sup> For example, in Is. liii. 6, went astraye (1534): went *all of vs* astraye (1535): 8, *whē* he is taken (1534): *though* he be taken (1535): 12, of *ye* ryche (1534): of the *mightie* (1535).

The last Epistle (for St Catharine's day) is wrongly given in 1534, Eccus. li. 9—12. The right lesson is substi-

tuted in 1535, Eccus. li. 1—8. [See p. 145, n. 4.]

Two most surprising misprints of 1534 are also corrected in 1535: Gen. xxxvii. 20, *a sand* pitte (some pitte, 1535). Is. liii. 2, came vp as a *sparow* (as a *spraye*, 1535).

<sup>2</sup> For example, in Eccus. xxiv. 17—22 the following corrections occur: 18, of *greatnes* and of holye hope (1534): of *knowledge* of holly hoepe (1535): 20, than honye or honye combe (1534): then honye, *and myne inheritaunce passeth honye* or honye combe (1535).

a disciple. In this work alone he felt that substantial independence was essential to success. In exposition or exhortation he might borrow freely the language or the thought which seemed suited to his purpose, but in rendering the sacred text he remained throughout faithful to the instincts of a scholar. From first to last his style and his interpretation are his own, and in the originality of Tindale is included in a large measure the originality of our English Version. For not only did Tindale contribute to it directly the substantial basis of half of the Old Testament (in all probability) and of the whole of the New, but he established a standard of Biblical translation which others followed. It is even of less moment that by far the greater part of his translation remains intact in our present Bibles<sup>1</sup>, than that his spirit animates the whole. He toiled faithfully himself, and where he failed he left to those who should come after the secret of success. The achievement was not for one but for many; but he fixed the type according to which the later labourers worked. His influence decided that our Bible should be popular and not literary, speaking in a simple dialect, and that so by its simplicity it should be endowed with permanence. He felt by a happy instinct the potential affinity between Hebrew and English idioms, and enriched our language and thought for ever with the characteristics of the Semitic mind<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> To take two examples: about nine-tenths of the authorised version of the first Epistle of St John, and five-sixths of the Epistle to the Ephesians (which is extremely difficult) are retained from Tindale.

<sup>2</sup> The order of the Books in Tindale's N.T. is worth recording:—

The four Gospels

Acts

Thirteen Epistles of St Paul  
(Romans—Philemon)

1, 2 Peter

1, 2, 3 John

Hebrews

James

Jude

Revelation.

This order exactly coincides with that in Luther's translation, and the books are numbered i.—xxiii. up to 3 John, while the remaining four are not numbered. So they stand also in Luther.

*Note to p. 145.*

In the following Table I have given the editions of 1535 and 1534 in a considerable number of books. The

readings adopted in Matthew, 1537, are marked M.

In making the Table I have had the advantage of using a collation made by Mr F. Fry, who most

generously placed it at my disposal. Where I have trusted entirely to his accuracy I feel satisfied that I have not gone wrong.

## ST MATTHEW.

| 1534.                    | 1535.         |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| iii. 12 garner M.        | graenge       |
| ix. 31 name M.           | fame          |
| x. 5 sent                | dyd...send M. |
| xiii. 30 gather M.       | beare ye      |
| — 55 the c. M.           | a c.          |
| xiv. 18 hyther to me     | hyther M.     |
| xv. 3 commaundment M.    | commaundmēts  |
| xxi. 23 elders of the M. | rulers of the |
| xxiv. 19 wo be           | Wo shalbe M.  |
| — 51 There               | And there M.  |

## ST MARK.

| 1534.                               | 1535.                      |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| i. 31 forsoke hir by and by: and M. | forsoke hyr and by, and by |
| — 39 throughout M.                  | throught                   |
| — 42 was clensed                    | he was clēsed (M. omits*)  |
| ii. 23 of come M.                   | of the come                |
| — 27 Saboth day M.                  | sabboth                    |
| vi. 5 coulde there M.               | wolde there                |
| — 35 nowe farre spent M.            | to farre spent             |
| vii. 32 to laye                     | to put M.                  |
| xii. 40 vnder coloure               | vnder a coloure M.         |
| xiii. 17 woo is                     | Woo shall be M.            |
| — 30 all these thinges              | these thinges M.           |
| xvi. 11 when they herde             | though they herde M.       |
| — — they beleued                    | yet they beleued M.        |
| — 19 is set                         | sate him M.                |

## ST LUKE.

| 1534.                    | 1535.               |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| i. 5 kynge of            | the kynge of M.     |
| — 42 wemen               | the wemen M.        |
| — 75 that are            | as are M.           |
| ii. 7 within in          | within M.           |
| v. 10 shalt catche M.    | shal taeke          |
| vii. 19 that shall c.    | that sholde c. M.   |
| ix. 7 done of            | done by M.          |
| — 8 of other, that       | of some, that M.    |
| xvii. 1 to the disciples | to his disciples M. |

[\* Mark i. 42. M. omits 'and he was clensed. And he charged him.' This omission is also in Taverner.]

## ST JOHN.

|        | 1534.                                      | 1535.                      |
|--------|--|----------------------------|
| v.     | 7 sicke                                    | sicke man M.               |
| —      | 38 therto his wordes M.                    | thearfor his wordes        |
| —      | 47 But now                                 | But seinge M.              |
| —      | — how shall                                | how shuld M.               |
| vi.    | 23 other shippes M.                        | a nother shippe            |
| —      | 60 Many of                                 | Manny therfore of M.       |
| vii.   | 4 knowen                                   | knownen openlye M.         |
| —      | 6 youre tyme                               | but youre tyme M.          |
| —      | 7 Me it                                    | But me it M.               |
| viii.  | 3 and the pharises                         | and Pharises M.            |
| —      | 16 though I M.                             | and yf I                   |
| —      | — yet is my M.                             | my                         |
| —      | 26 But he that                             | Ye and he that M.          |
| —      | 27 They                                    | How beit they M.           |
| —      | 44 ye will folowe                          | ye will do M.              |
| ix.    | 11 I went and                              | And I went & M.            |
| x.     | 12 catcheth M.                             | taeeth (for taeketh)       |
| —      | 16 that ther maye be M.                    | that they may be           |
| —      | 38 though ye beleve                        | then though ye beleuee M.  |
| xi.    | 6 after he hearde                          | Then after he had harde M. |
| —      | — then aboode                              | yet aboode M.              |
| xii.   | 34 hearde of                               | harde out of M.            |
| xv.    | 20 his lorde                               | the Lorde M.               |
| xviii. | 27 denyed it                               | denyed M.                  |
| xix.   | 24 parted                                  | departed M.                |
| —      | 29 of vineger by M.                        | of veneger                 |
| —      | — And they filled a sponge with<br>veneger | omitted                    |

## ACTS.

|        | 1534.                                       | 1535.   |
|--------|---|---|
| vii.   | 46 desyred that he myght fynde              | wolde sayne haue maede M.                             |
| viii.  | 3 entrynge                                  | and entred M.   |
| —      | 4 They that                                 | How beit they that M.                                 |
| xiv.   | 23 after they had prayde...they<br>comended | and prayde...and comended M.                          |
| xviii. | 18 had a vowe M.                            | had maede a vowe                                      |
| xxiv.  | 11 yet .xii. dayes                          | yet but .xii. dayes M.                                |
| —      | 15 resurreccion from deeth                  | resurreccion of the dead. Cf. 1 Cor.<br>xv. 12, 13 M. |

## ROMANS.

|      | 1534.              | 1535.            |
|------|--------------------|------------------|
| i.   | 5 obedience        | the obedience M. |
| —    | 16 Iewe—gentyle M. | Iewes—Gentyles   |
| ii.  | 1 the same         | that same M.     |
| —    | 8 yet folowe       | and folowe M.    |
| vii. | 8 For              | For verely M.    |
| xii. | 13 and diligently  | and be readi M.  |



|       | 1534.                      | 1535.                 |
|-------|----------------------------|-----------------------|
| xiii. | 9 these commaundementes M. | the cōmaundementes be |
| xv.   | 5 Christ                   | Christ Jesu M.        |
| xvi.  | 5 all the company          | the congregaciō M.    |
| —     | — in thy                   | in their M.           |
| —     | 12 laboured                | laboured moche M.     |

## 1 COR.

|          | 1534.                   | 1535.                       |
|----------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ii.      | 8 the worlde            | this worlde M.              |
| vii.     | 37 his virgin M.        | his virginite               |
| xv.      | 12 rose from deeth      | roese from the dead M.      |
| — 12, 21 | resurreccion from deeth | resurreccion of the dead M. |
| —        | 13 agayne from deeth    | agaeyne of the dead M.      |
| —        | 20 from deeth           | from the dead M.            |

In connexion with this edition Mr F. Fry has made a very remarkable discovery. He has found substantially the same text in an edition dated 1534 with the letters G. H. in the border of the second title, no one of the four copies which he has examined having the first title. Out of 113 readings marked as characteristic of the edition 'finished 1535' he found 102 in this edition of 1534, while it agreed only in the 11 remaining places with Marten Emperour's edition of 1534. [In Fry's *Bibliographical Description of the Editions of the New Testament, Tindale's Version*, 1878, p. 2, the number of passages in which the edition of 1535 agrees with that printed by Marten Emperour, 1534, and the G. H. edition of 1535, 4, is said to be 164, while it agrees with the edition of 1534 alone in only three passages. These figures do not cor-

respond to Fry's original estimate.]

It seems to follow certainly from this fact that the revision was printed in the spring of 1535, *i.e.* before March 25. Thus 'finished 1535' would be reconcileable with the existence of an edition dated 1534 in the other reckoning.

At present it must remain doubtful whether the edition of 1534 (G. H.) or that 'finished 1535' was the original. Happily this uncertainty does not affect the text which they present in common, which is the true standard of Tindale's completed work.

I learn from Mr Demaus that there is a mutilated copy of the edition of 1535 in the British Museum, and that he has ascertained with tolerable certainty that it was printed by Vorstermann of Antwerp: Demaus, *Life of Tindale*, p. 500.

## § 2. COVERDALE.

The contrast between Tindale and Coverdale has been already pointed out; and in spite of all that has been written to the contrary it is impossible to grant to Coverdale's Bible a place among independent translations. In fact Coverdale distinctly disavows the claim for himself.

*Coverdale's Bible a secondary translation.*

I have, he writes to the king in his dedication, 'with a 'cleare conscience purely & faythfully translated this out 'of fyue sundry interpreters, hauyng onely the manyfest 'trueth of the scripture before myne eyes...<sup>1</sup>' 'To helpe 'me herin,' he informs the Christian reader, 'I haue had 'sondrye translacions, not onely in latyn, but also of the 'Douche [German] interpreters: whom (because of theyr 'synguler gyftes & speciall diligence in the Bible) I haue 'ben the more glad to folowe for the most parte, ac- 'cordyng as I was requyred<sup>2</sup>.' 'Lowly & faythfully,' he adds, 'haue I folowed myne interpreters, & that vnder 'correccion<sup>3</sup>.' And so it was that the title-page of his Bible which was printed with it described it as 'faithfully 'and truly translated out of Douche and Latyn<sup>4</sup>.'

*Its sources.*

Nothing, it might be supposed, could be more explicit or intelligible or consistent with Coverdale's aims: but his critics have been importunately eager to exalt his scholarship at the cost of his honesty. If the title-page, said one who had not seen it, runs so, 'it contains a very 'great misrepresentation<sup>5</sup>.' To another the notice appears to be a piece of advertising tact. Expediency, a third supposes, led Coverdale to underrate his labours. And yet it may be readily shewn that the words are simply and literally true. Coverdale certainly had some knowledge

<sup>1</sup> *Remains*, p. 11 (Parker Soc. ed.).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* p. 12.

<sup>3</sup> *Id.* p. 14.

<sup>4</sup> See pp. 58, 59.

<sup>5</sup> Whittaker, *Historical Inquiry*, p. 59 n. In support of this bold statement Dr Whittaker quotes four passages from Coverdale (pp. 52 ff.), and compares them with all the versions which, as he affirms, he could have consulted. As Coverdale differs from these, he is pronounced to have translated 'from the Hebrew and from 'nothing else' (p. 50). Unhappily Dr Whittaker was not acquainted with the German-Swiss Version—a sufficiently famous book—from which

they are all rendered: Ex. xxxiv. 30; Num. x. 31; Is. lvii. 5; Dan. iii. 25. Since this was written I find that Dr Ginsburg has already pointed out the falsity of Dr Whittaker's argument: Kitto's *Cyclopædia*, s.v. Coverdale. To him therefore belongs the credit of having first clearly proved the dependence of Coverdale on the Zurich Bible. It was indeed from the reference to Dr Ginsburg in the *Dictionary of the Bible* that I was led to examine in detail the Zurich Versions. Henceforth it may be hoped we shall hear no more of Dr Whittaker's mistake.

of Hebrew<sup>1</sup> by which he was guided at times in selecting his rendering; but in the main his version is based on the Swiss-German version of Zwingli and Leo Juda (Zurich, 1524-9, 1530, 1531, 1532, 1534), and on the Latin of Pagninus. He made use also of Luther and the Vulgate. His fifth version may have been the Worms German Bible of 1529, or the Latin Bible of Rudelius with marginal renderings from the Hebrew (1527, 1529)<sup>2</sup>, or (as is most likely), for he does not specify that his '*five interpreters*' are all Latin or German, the published English translations of Tindale to which he elsewhere refers.

The examination of a few chapters will place the primary dependence of Coverdale in the Old Testament on the Zurich Bible beyond all doubt. Thus in the four short chapters of Malachi there are about five-and-twenty places where he follows the German against the Hebrew and Vulgate. Three sample instances may be quoted. In i. 4 it is said, 'they shall be called *The border of wickedness*,' in the Hebrew and Latin as in the Authorised Version, but in Coverdale '*A cursed londe*,' a literal translation of the German. Again in i. 13, 'it is *weariness* to me,' a single word, but in Coverdale and the German we read 'It is but *laboure and trauayle*.' Once again in iii. 8, 'Will 'a man *rob* God?' is represented in Coverdale and the German by 'Shulde a man *use falsede and disceate* with 'God?' And such coincidences occur not in one book only but throughout the Old Testament<sup>3</sup>. But at the same time on rare occasions Coverdale prefers to follow some one of the other translations which he consulted. Thus in two passages, ii. 3; 14, 15, of which the latter is a very remarkable one, he adopts the renderings of Pagninus and Luther in preference to those of the Zurich Bible.

Coverdale's  
translation  
of Malachi.

It is not therefore surprising that notwithstanding his acknowledged partiality for the German translators, Cover-

General  
character of  
his Bible.

<sup>1</sup> Compare p. 75.

[<sup>2</sup> *Biblia Sacra cum prafatione J. Rudelii*, Coloniz, Quentel, 1527. It is unlikely that this was one of the Latin versions consulted by Coverdale,

for the text is the Vulgate, and the marginal renderings, which are few, do not appear to have influenced him.]

<sup>3</sup> Other examples are given more at length in § 4, and App. vii.

dale availed himself freely of the work of Tindale, as far as it was published, the Pentateuch, Jonah<sup>1</sup>, and the New Testament<sup>2</sup>. His Pentateuch may, indeed, unless a partial examination has misled me, be fairly described as the Zurich translation rendered into English by the help of Tindale, with constant reference to Luther, Pagninus, and the Vulgate. In the remaining books of the Old Testament the influence of the Zurich Bible greatly preponderates<sup>3</sup>. In the Apocrypha, Coverdale moves with comparative freedom, and his translation has far more originality.

*His New Testament a revision of Tindale's.*

The New Testament is a very favourable specimen of his labour. Its basis is Tindale's first edition, but this he very carefully revised by the help of the second edition<sup>4</sup> and yet more by the German. Thus on a rough calculation of changes, not simply of form or rhythm, more than three-fourths of the emendations introduced by Coverdale into Tindale's version of 1 John are derived from Luther, but the whole number of changes, and they are nearly all verbal, is, if I have counted rightly, only a hundred and twenty-three.

*Coverdale's merits as a translator.*

Thus the claims of Coverdale, as far as his Bible is concerned, must be reduced to the modest limits which

<sup>1</sup> A verse from Jonah (iv. 6) may be quoted to shew the extent of the resemblance. The variations of Tindale are noted in italics and given below: 'and the Lorde *God*\* pre-pared† a wyld vnye which sprange 'vp ouer Ionas, that he might haue 'shadowe *about*‡ his heade, to delyuer 'him out of his payne. And Ionas 'was exceadinge glad of the wyld 'vnye.'

\* *om.* Tindale. † *add* as it were. Tindale. ‡ *ouer*, Tindale.

One singular phrase in ii. 3 common to Coverdale and Tindale may be noted, 'all thy wawes and *rowles* of 'water went ouer me.'

<sup>2</sup> Like Rogers he neglected the fragmentary 'Epistles.' See p. 176.

<sup>3</sup> His marginal renderings throw great light on the authorities which he consulted. These are traced to their sources in App. iv.

<sup>4</sup> In 1 John he appears to follow the first and second editions where they differ in about an equal number of places. But it is evident that the first edition was his foundation, for he follows it in one clear mistake of reading iii. 11, that *ye* should love, and in one error of grammar, iv. 20, *kateth*, both of which were corrected by Tindale on revision, and would not have been reintroduced.

The changes are such as would easily have been made while the book was passing through the press.

he fixed himself. But though he is not original yet he was endowed with an instinct of discrimination which is scarcely less precious than originality, and a delicacy of ear which is no mean qualification for a popular translator. It would be an interesting work to note the subtle changes of order and turns of expression which we owe to him<sup>1</sup>. In the epistle from which most of our illustrations have been taken 'the pride of *life*' and 'the world *passeth* away,' are immeasurable improvements on Tindale's 'the pride of *goods*,' and 'the world *vanisheth* away'; and the rendering 'shutteth up his *heart*' (due to Luther) is as much more vigorous than Tindale's 'shutteth up his *compassion*' as it is more touching than the strange combination of the Authorised Version 'shutteth up his *bowels* of compassion.'

Coverdale has a tendency to diffuseness, which in some places (as *Ecclus.* xlv.) leads him to long paraphrases of his text. The fault is one from which the Zurich Bible also suffers, and he may have fallen into it from imitating the style of his model too closely even when he abandoned its words. But his phrasing is nearly always rich and melodious. The general character of his version as compared with that of Tindale may be very fairly represented by that of the Prayer Book Version of the Psalms as compared with the Authorised Version in the Bible. In both cases Coverdale's work is smooth rather than literal. He resolves relatives and participles and inserts conjunctions, if in that way he may make the rendering easier<sup>2</sup>.

Just as Coverdale valued highly the existence of many translations<sup>3</sup>, so he claimed for himself the right to extend this characteristic of diversity to his own work. He thought that he could thus attain comprehensiveness by variety, and secure in some measure for one translation the advantages which he found in many. 'Where as the 'most famous interpreters of all geue sondrye iudgmentes

*His account  
of his work.*

<sup>1</sup> See Note at the end of the Section, p. 167.

<sup>2</sup> See p. 208.

<sup>3</sup> See p. 60.

'of the texte (so farre as it is done by y<sup>e</sup> sprete of  
'knowlege in the holy goost) me thynke noman shulde  
'be offended there at, for they referre theyr doinges in  
'mekenes to the sprete of trueth in the congregacyon of  
'god...Be not thou offended therfore (good Reader) though  
'one call a scribe, that another calleth a lawyer: or elders,  
'that another calleth father & mother: or repentaunce,  
'that another calleth pennaunce or amendment...And this  
'maner haue I vsed in my translacyon, callyng it in some  
'place pennaunce, that in another place I call repentaunce,  
'and that not onely because the interpreters haue done  
'so before me, but'—and this introduces a second  
characteristic reason—'that the aduersaries of the trueth  
'maye se, how that we abhorre not this word pēnaunce,  
'(as they vntruly reporte of vs)...<sup>1</sup>

*The work  
which he  
did for the  
English  
Bible.*

There may be some weakness in this, and Coverdale suffered for it; yet it may not be lightly condemned. In crises of great trial it is harder to sympathize with many views than with one. There is a singularity which is the element of progress; but there is a catholicity which is the condition of permanence; and this Coverdale felt. 'As y<sup>e</sup> holy goost then is one, workynge in y<sup>e</sup> and me  
'as he wyl, so let vs not swarue from y<sup>e</sup> vnite, but be  
'one in him. And for my parte I ensure the I am in-  
'different to call it aswell w<sup>t</sup> the one terme as with y<sup>e</sup>  
'other, so longe as I know that it is no preiudice nor  
'iniury to the meanyng of the holy goost...<sup>2</sup>' He may have carried his respect for some so-called 'Ecclesiastical' words to an excessive length, but even in this respect his merit was substantial. It was well that Tindale should for a time break the spell which was attached to words like *charity, confess, church, grace, priest*, and recall men to their literal meaning in *love, [ac]knowledge, congregation, favour, elder*; but it was no less well that the old words,

<sup>1</sup> [A Prologe. Myles Coverdale vnto the Christen Reader (prefixed to the Bible of 1535).] *Remains*, pp. 19, 20.

<sup>2</sup> [Preface to the Reader, in the Latin-English New Testament, Nicolson, 1538.] *Remains*, p. 29. (*Park. Soc.*)

and with them the historical teaching of many centuries, should not be wholly lost from our Bibles. That they were not lost was due to the labours of Coverdale; but his influence was felt not so much directly through his own first Bible, as through Matthew's Bible, in which a large portion of it was incorporated, and still more through the Great Bible, in which he revised more than once his own work and that of Tindale with which it had been joined<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> The classification of the books in Coverdale's Bible (1535) is the following:—

- (1) [The Pentateuch.]
- (2) The seconde parte of the olde Testament.

Josua—1 Esdr. 2 Esdr. Hester.

Job—Salomons Balettes (with no special heading).

- (3) All the Prophetes in English.

Esay, Jeremy, Baruch, Ezechiel—Malachy.

- (4) 'Apocrypha. The bokes and treatises which amonge the fathers of olde are uot rekened to be of like authorite with the other bokes of the byble, nether are they foude in the Canon of the Hebrue.

'3 Esdras, 4 Esdras...1 Mach. 2 Mach.

'Vnto these also belongeth Baruc, whom we haue set amōge the prophetes next vnto Jeremy, because he was his scribe, and in his tyme.'

- (5) The new testament.

iv. Gospels. Acts.

The Epistles of S. Paul.

Romans—Philemon.

1. 2 S. Peter.

1. 2. 3 S. John.

Hebrews.

S. James.

S. Jude.

The Revelation of S. John.

In Nycolson's new edition of the Bible (1537) the books are arranged differently:

- (1) The first part: Genesis—Ruth.

(2) The second part: 1 Samuel—Esther.

(3) The third part: Job—Salomons balletes.

(4) The Prophets: Esaias, Jeremias, Threni, Ezechiel—Malachias.

(5) The Apocrypha: 3 Esdr. 4 Esdr. ...Baruch...1 Mac. 2 Mac.

The books in the N.T. follow the same order as before.

The edition of 1550 follows the order of that of 1537.

The edition of 1537 is described as being 'newly overseen and corrected'; but as far as I have been able to compare the texts the differences which are not accidental are few and unimportant. In 1 John I have noted only the following:—

- i. 1 of *the lyfe* (of life 1535).  
7 is *lyght* (is *in* lighte).
- ii. 14 *the wycked* (*that* wicked).  
28 be ashamed (be *made* ashamed).
- iii. 18 My children (My *litt*le children).
- iv. 3 *the sprete* (*that* sprete).
- v. 10 because...of his sonne. Omitted in 1535.  
11 *the recorde* (*that* recorde).

Note to p. 165.

The following samples taken from a single Gospel (St Matthew) will

illustrate the felicity of Coverdale's minute changes.

## COVERDALE, 1535.

## TINDALE, 1534.

|        |   |  |
|--------|---|--|
| i.     | 25 fyrst borne sonne A.V.                                 | fyrst sonne  |
| ii.    | 2 the new borne kynge                                     | he y <sup>t</sup> is borne kynge                             |
| iii.   | 4 a lethrē gerdell A.V.                                   | a gerdell of a skynne  |
| —      | 11 to repentaunce A.V. (unto)                             | in tokē of repentaūce  |
| —      | 14 I haue nede to be A.V.                                 | I ought to be  |
| iv.    | 8 Agayne, the deuyl toke hym<br>vp A.V. (taketh)          | The deuyl toke hym vp agayne                                 |
| —      | 14 y <sup>t</sup> the thinge might be fulfilled A.V. (it) | to fulfill that  |
| v.     | 36 one heer whyte A.V.                                    | one white heer   |
| —      | 39 the other also   | the other  |
| vi.    | 10 Thy kyngdome A.V.                                      | Let thy kyngdome   |
| —      | 12 dettes A.V.  | treaspases   |
| —      | — detters   | trespacers   |
| —      | 32 do the heithen seke                                    | seke the gentyls   |
| —      | 34 Euery daye hath ynough of<br>his owne trauayll         | for the daye present hath ever ynough<br>of his awne trouble |
| vii.   | 21 Lorde Lorde A.V.                                       | Master, Master   |
| —      | — the will of my father A.V.                              | my fathers will  |
| viii.  | 9 subject to y <sup>e</sup> auctorite of another          | vndre power  |
| x.     | 41 a righteous mans rewarde<br>A.V.                       | the rewarde of a righteous man                               |
| xi.    | 12 the violent A.V.                                       | they that go to it with violence                             |
| xii.   | 4 the shew breds  | y <sup>e</sup> halowed loves                                 |
| —      | 12 to do good   | to do a good dede  |
| —      | 45 goeth he A.V.  | he goeth   |
| xiii.  | 11 Vnto you it is geuen                                   | it is geuē vnto you A.V.                                     |
| —      | 13 &c. parables   | similitudes  |
| —      | 30 tyll the haruest A.V. (until)                          | tyll harvest come  |
| —      | 31 put he forth A.V.                                      | he put forthe  |
| —      | 58 because of their vnbeleue<br>A.V.                      | for there vnbelefes sake                                     |
| xiv.   | 24 for the winde was cōtrary<br>A.V.                      | for it was a cōtrary wynde                                   |
| —      | 28 yf it be thou A.V.                                     | if thou be he  |
| xv.    | 23 crieth after us A.V.                                   | foloweth vs cryinge  |
| xvi.   | 3 It wil be foule wedder to<br>daye A.V.                  | to daye shalbe foule wedder                                  |
| —      | — for the szkye is reed, &<br>gloometh                    | & y <sup>t</sup> because the skye is cloudy &<br>reed        |
| —      | 7 we haue takē  | because we have brought                                      |
| —      | 20 charged he A.V.  | he charged   |
| —      | 23 y <sup>e</sup> thinges that be of God,<br>but of men   | godly thing <sup>er</sup> , but worldly thing <sup>er</sup>  |
| xvii.  | 5 ouershadowed A.V.                                       | shadowed   |
| xviii. | 10 do alwaye  | alwayes  |
| —      | 26 haue paciēce w <sup>th</sup> me A.V.                   | geve me respyte  |
| —      | 33 shuldest not thou then A.V.<br>(also)                  | was it not mete also y <sup>t</sup> thou shuldest            |



## COVERDALE, 1535.

## TINDALE, 1534.

|          |  |  |
|----------|--|--|
| xix. 20  | All these haue I kepte<br>fro my youth vp A.V.<br>(things) | I have observed all these thingis from<br>my youth |
| xx. 10   | But whan the first came,<br>they supposed A.V.             | Then came y <sup>e</sup> fyrst, supposyng          |
| xxi. 28  | But what thinke ye? A.V.                                   | What saye ye to this?                              |
| — 42     | is become the heade stone in                               | is set in y <sup>e</sup> principall parte of       |
| xxii. 32 | the God of Abraham A.V.                                    | Abrahams God                                       |
| xxiii. 9 | one is youre father A.V.                                   | there is but one youre father                      |
| — 15     | to make one Proselyte A.V.                                 | to bringe one in to youre belefe                   |
| xxiv. 28 | there wyl the Aegles be<br>gathered together A.V.          | evē thither will the egles resorte                 |
| — 44     | that ye thynke not A.V. (as)                               | ye thinke he wolde not                             |
| — 45     | in due season A.V.   | in season cōvenient                                |
| xxv. 21  | entre thou in to the ioye of<br>thy lorde A.V.             | entre in into thy masters ioye                     |
| xxvi. 64 | From this tyme forth                                       | hereafter A.V.                                     |
| xxvii. 6 | the Gods chest   | the treasury A.V.                                  |
| — 62     | the daye of preparynge                                     | good frydaye                                       |

## § 3. MATTHEW.

The Bible which bears Matthew's name consists of three distinct elements. The Pentateuch and the New Testament are reprinted from Tindale's published translations with very slight variations<sup>1</sup>. The books of the Old Testament from Ezra to Malachi, and the Apocrypha, are reprinted in like manner from Coverdale. The remaining books of the Old Testament from Joshua to 2 Chronicles are a new translation. Nothing in the book itself indicates the sources from which it was derived, and the direct external evidence is vague and inconclusive. If it proves

*Matthew's  
Bible com-  
posite.*

<sup>1</sup> I have not collated any considerable passages of the Pentateuch with Matthew, though it would be interesting to compare a complete book in the Pentateuchs of 1531 and 1534 with Matthew (1537). [In Mombert's edition of Tindale's Pentateuch (1885) a collation of Tindale and Matthew is given, Proleg. pp. cxi—cxix.] The text of Matthew's New Testament is examined below, p. 178.

In Mr Offor's MS. Collections for a history of the English Bible (*Brit. Mus.* 26,670-3) there is a collation of Tindale's Pentateuchs of 1530 (1531) and 1534 with one another, and also with Matthew and Coverdale. Matthew appears to follow the earlier edition almost without exception: Coverdale generally the later. I have not however verified the collations.

*Strype's  
account of  
it.*

anything it proves too much. Thus Strype, following Bale, relates that Rogers 'translated the Bible [in this 'edition] into English from Genesis to the end of the 'Revelations, making use of the Hebrew, Greek, Latin, 'German and English (that is Tyndale's) copies.' He also it is said 'added prefaces and notes out of Luther, and 'dedicated the whole book to king Henry, under the name 'of Thomas Matthews (*sic*) by an epistle prefixed, minding 'to conceal his own name<sup>1</sup>. No description could well be more inaccurate. More than a third of the book is certainly Coverdale's. The Preface to the Apocrypha is translated from that in the French Bible of Olivetan<sup>2</sup>. The Prologue to the Romans is Tindale's. The dedication

<sup>1</sup> Strype, *Cranmer*, i. 117. With singular inconsistency Strype elsewhere (p. 84) gives Foxe's account (quoted below), which is different from this in many essential particulars.

<sup>2</sup> This insertion is very remarkable. I have not been able to detect any other mark of the influence of the French translation on Matthew.

[Of the preliminary matter 'The Summe and Content &c.' is taken from Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534, as are the woodcuts in the book of Revelation and the figure of S. Paul in the Epistle to the Romans, &c. The engraved title-pages to the Old and New Testaments, the full-page engraving before Genesis, and the woodcut before Isaiah, are from the Lübeck Bible of 1533-4. The headings of chapters in Matthew's Bible, as well as the marginal notes and references, are largely taken from Lefèvre. See Appendix xi. The address 'To the Chrysten Readers,' the 'Table of pryncypall matters,' and 'The names of all the booke' are from Olivetan. In the books of the Old Testament, from Ezra onwards, Matthew's Bible substantially follows Coverdale, the editor making slight changes in rendering, in which he

follows Olivetan, and in the transliteration of proper names. See, for instance, Ezra iii. 4, 12, iv. 12, x. 17; Neh. ii. 20; Job vi. 4, 6, 13, 14, xix. 22, xx. 16; Prov. ix. 2, &c. Passages omitted in Coverdale are added in Matthew from Olivetan. See Neh. vii. 6; Esther ii. 9. The changes in the later books are fewer, but the Prayer of Manasseh, as well as the Preface to the Apocrypha, are translated literally from Olivetan. Many of the marginal notes in Matthew are also from Olivetan, particularly those which refer to the Versions. See Judg. ix. 5, 6, 14, 16, xv. 8; 1 Sam. xii. 6, xxvi. 25; 2 Sam. xxi. 16, xxiii. 32, 33; 1 K. x. 11, &c.; Job i. 21, 22. In Job I have traced eighteen of the marginal notes to Ecolampadius, *In Jobum Exegemata*, 1532.

By an Act of Parliament, 34 Henry VIII., 1542-4, anyone who possessed a Bible or New Testament, with marginal notes or preambles, was obliged, under a penalty of 40s., to 'cutte or blotte the same,' so as to make them illegible. I have an imperfect copy of Matthew's Bible which has been so treated, and there is another in the Library of the Bible Society. W. A. W.]

is signed by Thomas Matthew. It is evident that no dependence can be placed on the details of such evidence. The narrative of Foxe is not more satisfactory: 'In the translation of this Bible the greatest doer was indeed W. Tyndale, who with the help of Miles Coverdale had translated all the books thereof except only the Apocrypha, and certain notes in the margin which were added after. But because the said W. Tyndale in the meantime was apprehended before this Bible was fully perfected, it was thought good...to father it by a strange name of Thomas Matthew. John Rogers at the same time being corrector to the print, who had then translated the residue of the Apocrypha and added also certain notes thereto in the margin: and thereof came it to be called "Thomas Matthew's Bible<sup>1</sup>." It is unnecessary to dwell upon the errors in this account. Foxe has evidently wrought out into a story the simple fact that Tindale, Coverdale, and Rogers were all engaged upon the work.

*Foxe's  
account.*

But although these original statements are thus loose, and I have been unable to find any more trustworthy, it can scarcely be doubted that Rogers did superintend Matthew's Bible, and used in it the materials which Tindale had prepared, and that these constitute the new translation (Joshua—2 Chronicles). If he had purposed to complete the translation himself it is not likely that he would have paused at the end of 2 Chronicles. On the other hand, Tindale's engagements might have allowed him to complete thus much more of his work in the interval between the publication of his Pentateuch and his death. The version of Jonah was an exceptional work, and furnishes no ground for supposing that he did not intend to proceed regularly through the Old Testament. Perhaps, too, it was from the exceptional character of this translation, which was as it were a text for the Prologue, that Rogers was led to adopt Coverdale's version of Jonah as well as of the other Prophets, though he could not have been

*Rogers  
edited it.*

*The version  
of Jonah  
from  
Coverdale.*

<sup>1</sup> *Acts and Monuments*, v. 410.

ignorant of Tindale's work; and the fact that Coverdale had used Tindale's rendering diligently left no overpowering reason for abandoning him<sup>1</sup>.

*Matthew's text compared with the 'Epistles from the Old Testament.'*

We are not however left wholly to conjecture in determining the authorship of the original portion of Matthew's Bible. The 'Epistles of the Old Testament' added to Tindale's New Testament of 1534, contain several passages from the Historical Books as well as from the Pentateuch; and generally it may be said that these fragments bear about the same relation to the translation in Matthew as those from the Pentateuch do to Tindale's published text. There are from time to time considerable variations between them, but still it is evident that the renderings are not independent. It is of course possible that Rogers may have consulted the fragments in the execution of his work, but, as will appear directly, this supposition is practically inadmissible, because the corresponding sections from the Prophets and the Apocrypha are completely neglected.

[<sup>1</sup> In the year 1883 Dr Westcott received a communication from Mr Justice Bradley, a Judge of the Supreme Court of the United States, calling his attention to a passage in Hall's *Chronicle* (1548), which has a direct bearing on Tindale's share in the translation of the Historical Books of the Old Testament, as incorporated by Rogers in Matthew's Bible. The passage occurs on fol. 227a under 'The xxvij yere of Kyng Henry the .viij.' and is as follows:

'This yere in the moneth of September Wyllyam Tyndale otherwyse called Hichyns was by the crueltie of the clergie of Louayn condemn'd and burned in a toun besyde Bruxelles in Braband called Vylford. This man translated the New testament into Englishe and fyrst put it in Prynt, and likewise he translated the .v. bookes of Moses, Iosua, Iudicum, Ruth, the bookes of the Kynges and the bookes of Paralipomenon, Nehemias or the fyrst of

'Esdras, the Prophet Ionas, & nomore of y<sup>e</sup> holy scripture.'

Now bearing in mind that Richard Grafton not only printed and published Hall's *Chronicle*, but continued it from 1532 after Hall's death, and that in conjunction with Edward Whitchurch he had published Matthew's Bible in 1537, when he must have been in communication with Rogers; and moreover that Rogers returned to England in 1548, the year in which the *Chronicle* appeared, it is not unreasonable to conclude, with Mr Justice Bradley, that the paragraph in question contained information derived from Rogers, even if it were not written by Rogers himself. Tindale's completed work on the Historical Books probably ended with 2 Chronicles, the rest being left unfinished, so that Rogers preferred to give Coverdale's Version of Ezra and Nehemiah with slight changes instead of attempting to supplement an imperfect work.]

Two examples will illustrate the extent of the coincidence and variations between the versions, and serve to shew how much dependence can be placed on this indication of the identity of their authorship. *Examples.*

## TINDALE, 1534.

17 'In those dayes it chaunced  
'that the sonne of the wyfe of  
'the house was sycke, & the  
'sycknes was so great that  
'there remayned no breth in  
'him. 18 Then she sayde to  
'*Helias*, what have I to do  
'with the, thou mā of god?  
'Dydest thou come to me, that  
'my synne shuld be kepte in  
'mynde & to sle my sonne? 19 And  
'he sayde vnto hir, geve me thy  
'sonne, & he tooke him oute of  
'hir lappe and caried him vp  
'into an hie chamber, where  
'he him selfe dwelt, & layde him  
'on the bed. 20 And he called  
'vnto the Lorde & sayde: O  
'Lorde my god, hast thou dealt so  
'cruelly with the wydowe with  
'whome I dwell, as to kyll hir  
'sonne? 21 And he measured  
'the chylde .iii. tymes, & called  
'vnto the Lorde & sayde: Lorde  
'my God, let this chylde's soule  
'come agayne into him. 22 And  
'the Lorde herkened vnto the  
'voyce of *Helias*, & this chylde's  
'soule came agayne vnto him, and  
'he revived.'

## MATTHEW (TINDALE).

17 'And after these thynges, it  
'happened that the sonne of the  
'wyfe of the house fell sicke.  
'And his sicknesse was so sore,  
'that there was no breath left in  
'him. 18 Then saide she vnto  
'*Eliah* what haue I to do with  
'the, O thou man of God? art  
'thou come vnto me, that my  
'synne shulde be thought on &  
'my sonne slayne? 19 And *Eliah*  
'sayd vnto her: geue me thy  
'sonne. And he toke him out  
'of her lappe and caryed him  
'vp into a lofte wher he lay,  
'& layde him vpon his awne  
'beed, 20 and called vnto the  
'Lorde and sayde: O Lord my  
'God, hast thou bene so euell  
'vnto the wedowe wyth whome  
'I sojourne, that thou hast slayne  
'her sonne? 21 And he stretched  
'hym selfe vpo the lad thre  
'tymes, and called vnto the  
'Lorde and sayde: O Lorde my  
'God, let the laddes soule come  
'into hym agayne. 22 And the  
'Lorde heard the voyce of  
'*Eliah*, and the soule of the lad  
'came into hym agayne, and he  
'reuiued.'

1 Kings  
xvii. 17—  
22.

To these versions that of Coverdale<sup>1</sup> may be added for comparison. The differences from both the others are

<sup>1</sup> The text of 1537 agrees with that of 1535.

marked: '*And after these actes* the sonne of the wife of  
'y<sup>e</sup> house was sicke: and his sicknes was so *exceedinge*  
'sore, that there remayned no breth in him. *And* she  
'sayde vnto Elias: What haue I to do with the, thou man  
'of God? Art thou come in vnto me, y<sup>t</sup> my sin shulde  
'be kepte in *remembraunce* & *that* my sonne *shulde be*  
'*slayne*? He sayde vnto her: Geue me thy sonne. And  
'he toke him *frō* hir lappe, & caried him vp in to y<sup>r</sup>  
'*chamber* where he him selfe dwelt, and layed him vpō  
'his bed, & called *upon* the Lorde, and saide: O Lorde  
'my God, hast thou *dealt so euell* with the wedow with  
'whom I dwell, y<sup>t</sup> thou *woldest slaye* hir sonne? And he  
'stretched *out* him selfe *over* the childe thre tymes, &  
'called *upon* the Lorde, and saide: O Lord my God, let  
'*the soule of this childe* come agayne in to him. And the  
'Lorde herde the voyce of Elias. And *the soule of the*  
'*childe* came agayne *vnto* him, & he reuyued.'

The second example is similar in character:

TINDALE, 1534

1 Kings  
xix. 5-7.

5 'And as he laye and slepte  
'vnder a genaper tree: beholde,  
'an angell touched him, and  
'sayde *thus*: vp and eate. 6  
'And he loked *vp*: and *beholde*  
'there was at his heed a *cake*  
'*baken on the coles* and a cruse  
'of water. And he ate and  
'dranke, and layde him doune  
'agayne. 7 And the angell of  
'the Lorde came agayne the  
'seconde tyme and touched  
'him, and sayde: vp and eate:  
'for thou hast a great waye to  
'goo.'

MATTHEW (TINDALE).

5 'And as he laye and slepte  
'vnder *the* Ginaper tree: be-  
'holde, *there came* an Angell &  
'touched hym, & sayde *vnto*  
'*hym*: vp and eate. 6 And he  
'looked *about* *hym*: and *se*,  
'there was a *loffe of broyled*  
'*breade* and a cruse of water at  
'his heed. And he ate and  
'dracke and layde hym doune  
'agayne *to slepe*. 7 And the  
'Angell of the Lorde came  
'agayne the seconde tyme and  
'touched hym, & sayde: vp &  
'eate, for thou hast a *longe*  
'*Journeye* to go.'

These versions may again be compared with Coverdale's: 'And *he layed him doune* & slepte vnder the  
'Iuniper tre. *And* beholde, y<sup>r</sup> angell touched him, &

'sayde vnto him, *Stonde* vp, and eate. And he loked 'aboute him, & beholde at his heade there was a *bred* 'baken on the coles, & a cruse *with* water. And *whan he* 'had eaten and dronkē, he layed him downe agayne to 'slepe. And y<sup>e</sup> angell of the Lorde came agayne the 'seconde tyme, & touched him, & sayde: *Stonde* vp, and 'eate, for thou hast a greate waye to go<sup>1</sup>.'

It must be remembered in considering these fragments that they are taken from simple narratives, where there is comparatively little scope for striking variations<sup>2</sup>. But even so, as far as they go, they fall in with the traditional belief that the new translation in Matthew's Bible is really Tindale's and not a new work of Rogers<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> The editions of 1535 and 1537 again agree.

<sup>2</sup> In a few verses of Genesis (xxxvii. 6-9) seven variations occur. See p. 157, n. The passage Ex. xxiv. 12-18, on the other hand, shews only one variation. Ex. xx. 12-24 and Num. xx. 2-13 are very similar in both, but with variations.

<sup>3</sup> I am unable to speak of the style of the two groups of books—the Pentateuch and Joshua—2 Chron. A careful comparison of the versions in this respect could not fail to be fruitful; but to be of any value it must be minute. I can find nothing but vague generalities in the authors to whom I have referred. [Dr Moulton, in his *History of the English Bible* (pp. 128-9), pointed out three characteristic renderings which are found in Tindale's Pentateuch and also in the Historical Books (Joshua—2 Chron.) in Matthew's Bible. The Hebrew *elōn* (A.V. plain) is represented by 'okegrove' in Tindale's Genesis, and by 'oak' in Judges and 1 Samuel in Matthew. *Toph* is uniformly rendered 'timbrel' by Tindale in the Pentateuch, and in the Historical Books in Matthew, while Coverdale, except in Ex. xv. 20, has 'tabret.' The expres-

sion 'shut up and left' (A.V.), which occurs in Deut. xxxii. 36, is rendered by Tindale (after Luther) 'presoned' and 'forsaken,' and in the four passages in which it is found in the Historical Books in Matthew (1 Kings xiv. 10, xxi. 21; 2 Kings ix. 8, xiv. 26) it is represented by 'in preson or forsaken,' 'presoned or forsaken,' 'the presoned or that is forsaken,' and 'the presoned and the forsaken.' Dr Eadie (*The English Bible*, i. 321) calls attention to the uniform rendering 'ephod' in Tindale's Pentateuch and in the Historical Books in Matthew, while Coverdale has 'overbody cote.' Tindale and Matthew have 'Libanon,' while Coverdale has 'Libanus.' 'Tribulation' is found as the rendering of the same word in Tindale, Deut. iv. 30, and in Matthew, 2 Sam. xxii. 7 and 2 Chron. xv. 4, while in Coverdale it is different. Coverdale always has 'the ark of the covenant,' while Tindale in the Pentateuch has 'ark of the testament' and once 'ark of the appointment,' and both these renderings are found in the Historical Books in Matthew.

In addition to these instances of correspondence between the renderings of Tindale in the Pentateuch

*Tindale's  
fragment-  
ary trans-  
lations  
neglected.*

But while Rogers thus incorporated into his Bible, as we believe, all the complete translations of Tindale, except Jonah, he took no account of the fragments which Tindale had appended to the revised edition of his New Testament as 'Epistles taken out of the Old Testament after the use of Salisbury.' This collection includes (if I have counted rightly) twenty-three lessons from the Prophets and six from the Apocrypha, besides others from the Pentateuch and Hagiographa. In those which I have examined Matthew's Bible coincides verbally with Coverdale, and Tindale's version is wholly different from both. Two examples will be sufficient to shew the extent of the variation, and they are the more worthy of consideration as the relation of Rogers to the two earlier translations has been commonly misrepresented. There is nothing which proves that he allowed himself more liberty in dealing with Coverdale's work than in dealing with Tindale's.

## TINDALE

*Is. li. 5.*

'My ryghteousnes is nye,  
'and my salvacyon shall go  
'oute, and myne armes shall  
'iudge nacions, and ylondes  
'shall loke for me & shall tarye  
'after myne arme.'

*Eccius. xv.  
5.*

'She shall exalt him amonge  
and those in the Historical Books in Matthew's Bible, I have noted the expressions 'pluck up your hearts' in Deut. xxxi. 6; Josh. x. 25, and 1 Chr. xxii. 13; 'franchised city,' Num. xxxv. 25, 'franchised cities,' Josh. xxi. 13 (Coverdale always has 'fre'); 'observe 'dismal days,' Lev. xix. 26; 2 Kings xxi. 6; 2 Chron. xxxiii. 6 (A.V. 'observe times'). For what in the A.V. is Ashdoth-Pisgah (Deut. iii. 17; Josh. xii. 3, xiii. 20), and once 'springs of Pisgah' (Deut. iv. 49),

## MATTHEW (COVERDALE).

'It is hard by, y<sup>e</sup> my health  
'& my ryghtuousnesse shall  
'goo forth, and the people shal  
'be ordred with myne arme.  
'The Ilandes (that is the Gen-  
'tyles) shall hope in me, & put  
'their trust in myne arme.'

'She shal brynge hym to ho-

Tindale has in Deuteronomy 'sprynges 'off Pisgah,' or 'springes of Pisga,' and Rogers in Joshua 'sprynges (or springes) of Phasgah,' this curious transliteration, which he also substituted in Deuteronomy, being taken from Olivetan. The Hebrew word, which when used in a friendly sense is rendered 'to meet,' is in Tindale (Gen. xiv. 17, xviii. 2, xix. 1, xlii. 29, &c.) and in Matthew (Josh. ix. 11; Judg. iv. 18, 22, &c.) 'against.'



## TINDALE.

## MATTHEW (COVERDALE).

'his neyboures: and shall opē  
'his mouthe evē in y<sup>e</sup> thyckest  
'of the congregaciō.'

'noure amonge his neyghboures,  
'& in the myddest of the con-  
'gregacyō shall she open his  
'mouth'.

It is then evident that Rogers did not undertake an elaborate revision of the texts of Tindale and Coverdale which he adopted. Still there are some changes in the version which are unquestionably intentional (*e.g.* Prov. i. 1<sup>2</sup>, Is. i. 1), and numerous various readings in the margin (*e.g.* Ps. xlvii. f.)<sup>3</sup>. The numbering of the Psalms is accommodated to the Hebrew division. The interpolated verses in Ps. xiv., which Coverdale had specially marked as 'wanting in the Hebrew,' are omitted. The 'Hallelujah' in the last Psalms is nobly rendered 'Praise *the Ever-lasting*'. The characters in 'Salomons Ballet' (Canticles) are distinguished by rubricated headings<sup>4</sup>. But the distinguishing feature of the edition is the marginal commentary on which the chief labour of the editor was bestowed. This however belongs rather to the history of doctrine than to the history of the English Bible<sup>5</sup>. And when this is set aside the textual peculiarities of the edition are unimportant. In itself Matthew's Bible has had no original and independent influence upon the authorised text. Its great work was to present the earlier texts in a combined form which might furnish the common basis of later revisions. But in this respect it is most unjust to call it Tindale's Bible. If regard be had to the books taken from each it is in its primitive form hardly less Coverdale's than Tindale's, though (if we except the Psalms) much more of Tindale's than

*The text of  
Matthew's  
Bible only  
provisional.*

<sup>1</sup> [Both these are from the Zürich Version of 1530.]

<sup>2</sup> ['Instruction' is from Olivetan.]

<sup>3</sup> [From Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534.]

<sup>4</sup> [From Olivetan.]

<sup>5</sup> [As in Lefèvre (1534).]

<sup>6</sup> It would be an interesting and easy task to trace out the sources of the commentary. Pellican was obviously used. Some specimens of the notes are given in App. v. See also p. 71, n. 1.

of Coverdale's work has been preserved unchanged in common use.

*The New Testament of Matthew taken from Tindale's revision of 1535.*

There is still one point in the history of Matthew's Bible which is of considerable interest. The text of the New Testament differs considerably in details from Tindale's revised edition of 1534. This fact has lent colour to the belief that Rogers revised the text of the Bible throughout, for it has been assumed that Tindale did not again revise his own work. The assumption and conclusion were equally wrong. It has been seen already that the remarkable New Testament of 1535 was again, as the title-page affirms, 'diligently corrected and compared with the Greek,' and this last revision, and not that of 1534, was adopted by Rogers. The differences which exist between Matthew and this last Testament of Tindale are very slight and can be explained in most cases by the supposition of accidental errors: their agreement on the other hand extends to the adoption of some certain mistakes. A complete collation remains yet to be made, but on an examination of a large number of passages I have found scarcely any characteristic readings of the edition of 1535 which do not also appear in Matthew's Bible of 1537<sup>1</sup>. From internal evidence it

<sup>1</sup> The following collation of Tindale's Testaments of 1534, 1535, and Matthew of 1537 in Mark xvi. and the Epistles to the Romans and Galatians will justify in all respects the statements made in the text. The error in Mark xvi. 17 is very remarkable. The readings in ( ) are those of the Testament of 1534.

Mark xvi. 11 *though* (when 1534) they heard...and (and he 1534) had appeared...*yet* (om.) they believed it not. So Matthew (1537).

— 17 these *things* (these signs). So Matthew.

— 19 *sate him* down (*is set* down). So Matthew.

Rom. i. 5 unto + *the* obedience. So Matthew.

16 the Jews...the Gentiles... Not Matthew.

— ii. 1 in *that* (the) same. So Matthew.

8 *and* (yet) follow. So Matthew.

9 Jews...Gentiles... Not Matthew.

— iv. 10 in + *the* time of circumcision. So Matthew.

— vii. 8 for + *verily* without the law. So Matthew.

— viii. 3 inasmuch + *as* it was weak. So Matthew.

15 *not* (no) received. So Matthew.

30 them also he c. (them he also c.). So Matthew.

— ix. 16 *running* (cunning). So Matthew.

xii. 13 *be ready* to harbour (*diligently* to h.). So Matthew.

seems likely that both these texts were taken from the same corrected copy of Tindale. Such a hypothesis would account equally for the discrepancies between them, since the New Testament at least is most carelessly printed, and for their agreement in errors which can only have been derived from the original copy<sup>1</sup>.

#### § 4. THE GREAT BIBLE.

Matthew's Bible was essentially a transitional work. It had hardly passed into circulation when a careful revision of it was undertaken. This, as all evidence external and internal goes to prove, was entrusted to Coverdale. It was thoroughly characteristic of the man that he should be ready to devote himself to the perfecting of another's

*The Great Bible a revision of Matthew's by Coverdale.*

Rom. xiii. 9 *the* commandments *be* (these c.). Not Matthew.

13 as were it in (*the* 1534) day. Not Matthew.

— xiv. 15 with (*thy* 1534) meat. Not Matthew.

— xv. 5 Christ + *Yesu*. So Matthew.

— xvi. 5 *the congregation* that is in *their* house (all the company that is in *thy* house). So Matthew.

18 *preaching* (preachings). Not Matthew.

19 innocent *as* concerning (innocents concerning). So Matthew.

Gal. ii. 1 thereafter (after that). So Matthew.

2 *between ourselves* with them (apart with them). So Matthew.

16 *can be* (shall be). So Matthew.

— iii. 4 *then ye* (there ye). Matthew omits.

9 + *the faithful A*. Not Matthew.

16 as one (as *in* one)... Not Matthew.

Prof. Moulton informs me that there are eight differences between the editions of Matthew of 1537 and 1551 in these passages. [If the edition

of 1551 is that printed by Daye, the number of differences is eleven: Mark xvi. 11 (two), 19; Rom. i. 5, vii. 8, 30, xii. 13, xvi. 5; Gal. ii. 1, 2, iii. 4. The edition printed by Hyll in the same year differs from Matthew in five passages: Mark xvi. 11 (two); Rom. xii. 13, xvi. 19; Gal. iii. 4.]

Compare also App. III. and note, p. 158.

<sup>1</sup> The Books of the Bible are arranged in the following order:

The books of the Old Testament.

Genesis—The Ballet of ballets.

The Prophets: Isaiah—Malachi.

The Apocrypha: 3 Esdr. 4 Esdr.... Baruch... 1 Mach. 2 Mach.

The New Testament.

The four Gospels. The Acts.

The Epistles,

¶ Romans—Philemon.

¶ 1, 2 S. Peter.

¶ 1, 2, 3 S. John.

¶ To the Hebrews.

¶ S. James.



¶ Judas.

¶ The Revelation.

The order of the books in Taverner (1539) is the same.

*Coverdale's  
plan.  
June 23rd,  
1538.*

*August 9th.*

labours; and he has left us an account of his method of procedure. 'We folowe,' he writes, 'not only a standynge 'text of the Hebrue, with the interpretacion of the 'Caldee, and the Greke<sup>1</sup>, but we set, also, in a pryvate 'table, the dyversite of redinges of all textes...<sup>2</sup>.' And again when the work had made some progress he enters into greater details: 'As touchynge the maner and order, 'that we kepe in the same worke, pleaseth your good 'Lordship to be advertised, that the merke  in the text, 'signifieth, that upon the same (in the later ende of the 'booke) there is some notable annotacion; which we have 'written without any pryvate opinion, onlye after the best 'interpreters of the Hebrues, for the more clearnesse of 'the texte. This mark  $\frac{1}{2}$  betokeneth, that upon the same 'texte there is diversitie of redynge, amonge the Hebrues, 'Caldees, and Grekes, and Latenystes; as in a table, at 'the ende of the booke, shalbe declared. This marke  sheweth that the sentence, written in small letters is not 'in the Hebrue, or Caldee, but in the Latyn, and seldome 'in the Greke; and that we, neverthesse, wolde not have 'it extinct, but higlye accept yt, for the more explanacion 'of the text. This token †, in the Olde Testament geueth 'to understand, that the same texte, which foloweth it, is 'also alledged of Christ, or of some Apostle, in the Newe 'Testament. This (amonge other oure necessarie laboures) 'is the waye that we take, in this worke...<sup>3</sup>.'

*Minster's  
edition his  
chief help in  
the Old Tes-  
tament.*

It is obvious that a man who thus describes his plan is not the mere press-corrector of another's revision, but himself the editor of the entire work<sup>4</sup>. If there were any

<sup>1</sup> These would be accessible in the Complutensian Polyglott. A copy of this with the autograph of Cranmer [? Cranmer's secretary] is now in the British Museum. Coverdale may have used these very volumes. [The Complutensian Polyglott has only the Chaldee of the Pentateuch.]

<sup>2</sup> [State Papers, i. 576.]

<sup>3</sup> [State Papers, i. 578-9.]

<sup>4</sup> It is a very important confirma-

tion of this view that Fulke speaks of the Bible of 1562 'most used in the 'Church Service in King Edward's 'time' as 'Doctor Coverdale's trans- 'lation' (*Defence of Eng. Trans.* p. 68). This was an edition of the Great Bible. This passage also explains the anecdote which he gives of the criticism and revision of 'Coverdale's Bible.' See p. 192, n. 2.

doubt remaining it would be removed by the character of the revision. About the time when Coverdale's own Version was passing through the press a new Latin Version of the Old Testament with the Hebrew text and a commentary chiefly from Hebrew sources was published by Sebastian Münster (1534-5). It does not appear that at that time Coverdale was able to avail himself of it. The Zurich Version was sufficient. But a very slight comparison of Münster with the Zurich Bible could not fail to bring out the superior clearness of the former. Even a poor Hebrew scholar must feel its general faithfulness. Thus Coverdale found an obvious method to follow. He revised the text of Matthew, which was laid down as the basis, by the help of Münster. The result was the Great Bible.

One difficult passage given in full will be sufficient to shew the certainty of this explanation of the origin of the text of the Great Bible, and for the interest of the comparison the Zurich original of Coverdale's translation is added<sup>1</sup>.

MATTHEW (TINDALE). *'Thou a windowe looked  
'Sisaras mother and howled thorowe a lattesse, why abydeth  
'his charet so löge, y' it cometh not, why tarye the wheles  
'of his waggans?'*

*Judges v.  
28-30.*

*'The wysest of her ladyes answered her: yee & she  
'answered her aone word: her selfe haplye they haue foude,  
'& deuyde the spoyle: A mayde, ye two mayd for a pece:  
'a spoyle of dyuerse coloures for Sisara, a spoyle of dyuerse  
'coloures wyth brodered workes, dyuerse coloured browdered  
'worck for y<sup>e</sup> necke for a praye.'*

## COVERDALE.

## ZURICH VERSION.

*His mother looked out at the  
wyndowe, & cried piteously  
thorow the trallace: Why tar-  
rieth his charet out so löge,*

Seyn mutter sach zum fen-  
ster ausz, vnnd schrey mit  
klag durchs gätter: Warüb  
bleibt sein wagen so lang aus-

<sup>1</sup> The italicised words are differently rendered in the several versions, and furnish the best means of comparison

with the Greek and Latin. I have kept the spelling of the German of 1530.

## COVERDALE.

that *he cōmeth not? Wherefore do the wheles of his charret make so longe tarienge?* The wysest *amōge* his ladies answered, & *sayde vnto her: Shulde they not finde & deuide the spoyle, vnto euery man a fayre mayde or two for a pray, & partye coloured garmētes of nedle worke to Sissera for a spoyle, partye coloured garmentes of nedle worke aboute the necke for a pray?*

GREAT BIBLE (1539, 1540,  
1541).

*The mother of Sisera* looked out at a wyndowe, & cryed thorow the lattesse: Why is his charret so long a cōmyng? Why tarye the wheles of his cartes?

*All the wyse ladies* answered her, yee *ād her awne wordes answered hir selfe. Surely* they haue founde, they deuyde y<sup>e</sup> spoyles: *Euery mā hath a dāsell or two: Sissera hath a praye of diuerse coloured garmētes, eue a praye of raymēt dyed w' sōdrye coloures, & y' are made of nedle worke: rayment of diuerse coloures ād of nedle worke, which is mete for him y' is chefe in distributyng of y' spoyles.*

*Collation of  
Ps. li.*

The collation of a longer passage gives an exactly similar result. The Fifty-first Psalm has no especial difficulty, but Coverdale (Matthew) and the Great Bible

## ZURICH VERSION.

sen, das er nit kompt? Warum verziehend die reder seins wagens?

Die weysect vnder seinen frauwen antwurtet, vnnd sprach zu jr: Sollend sy nit finden vnd auszeilen den raub, eym yeglichen mann eyn schöne mätzen oder zwo zur auszbeüt, vnd Sissera bundte gestickte kleyder zur auszbeüt, gestickte bundte kleyder vmb den halsz zur auszbeüt?

## MÜNSTER.

Per fenestram prospexit, et vociferata est mater Sisera, per cancellos inquam: quare moratur currus ejus venire? ut quid morantur vestigia quadrigarum ejus? Sapientes quæque dominæ respondebant illi, quin et ipsa sibi ipsi reddebat verba. Certe invenerunt, dividunt spolia: est puella vel duæ puellæ cui libet viro: habet Sisera prædam vestium coloratarum prædam inquam vestium vario tinctarum colore et quæ acupictæ sunt: vestem discoloram et acupictam, quæ priori competit in spoliolum distributione.

differ in the following places. Every change it will be seen can be traced to Münster, except one which is marked as coming from the Latin Vulgate<sup>1</sup>.

- 1 thy goodnes Coverdale.  
thy \* (*greate*) goodnes Great Bible.  
— *and acordnge vnto thy greate...* C.  
— according vnto the *multitude* of... G. B.  
— *secundum multitudinem...* Münster.
- 2 Wash me *well* C.  
Wash me *thorowly* G. B.  
*plurimum* M.
- 4 Agaynst the only, agaynst the... C.  
Agaynst the onely G. B.: M.  
— euell C.  
*this euell* G. B.  
*malum hoc* M.  
— in thy *saynges* C.  
in thy *sayinge* G. B.  
in *sermone tuo* M.
- shuldest *ouer come* C.  
[myghtest be] *cleare* G. B.  
[*sis*] *purus* M.
- 6 thou *hast a pleasure in the* treuth, and *hast shewed me*  
*secrete wyszdom* C.  
thou *requirest treuth in the inward partes*, and *shalt*  
*make me to understode* *wisdome secretly*. G. B.  
*veritatem exis in interioribus, et in occulto sapientiam*  
*me scire facies* M.
- 7 O *reconcile me with...* C.  
[O *purge me with...* (Matt.)]  
*Thou shalt pource me with...* G. B.  
*Expiabis me* M.
- *wash thou me* C.  
*thou shalt wash me* G. B.  
*lavabis me* M.
- 8 *Oh let me heare...* C.

<sup>1</sup> The initials are used for the different Bibles after the first quotation.

- Thou shalt make me heare...* G. B.  
*Facies me audire...* M.
- 13 *that synners maye be conuerted...* C.  
*and synners shall be cōuerted...* G. B.  
*et peccatores ad te convertentur...* M.
- 14 *that my tonge maye prayse...* C.  
*and my tonge shall syng of...* G. B.  
*et cantabit lingua mea...* M.
- 15 *Open* C.  
*Thou shalt opē...* G. B.  
*aperies* M.
- *that my mouth maye...* C.  
*my mouth shall...* G. B.  
*os meum annuntiabit...* M.
- 16 *yf thou haddest pleasure in...* *I would...* C.  
*thou desyrest no...* *els wolde I...* G. B.  
*non desideras...* *alioquin darem...* M.
- 18 *that the walles of Ierusalem maye be buylded.* C.  
*buylde thou the walles of Ierusalem.* G. B.  
*œdifica muros J.* M.
- 19 *For then shalt...* C.  
*Then shalt...* G. B.  
*Tunc acceptabis...* M.
- *laye bullockes...* C.  
*offre yonge bullockes.* G. B.  
*offerent iuencos.* M.

A complete collation of two other Psalms (xix., xlii.) gives an equally complete coincidence of all the changes introduced into the Great Bible with Münster's renderings. It will be enough to quote one or two of the more remarkable:

*Examples  
from Pis.  
xix. xlii.*

- xix. 6 *there maye no mā hyde himself frō the heate therof.* C.  
*there is nothinge hyd from the heate therof.* G. B.  
*nil est quod absconditur a calore ejus.* M.
- 7 *The lawe of the Lorde is a perfecte lawe, it quickeneth the soule. The testimony...is true, & geueth wisdome even vnto babes.* C.



The law of the Lord is a *undefyled* law *conuerting* the soule. The testimony...is *sure*, and geueth wisdome vnto *the symple*. G. B.

Lex domini *immaculata*, *conuertens* animam: testimonium domini *firmum*, sapienter erudiens *simplicem*. M.

xlii. 4 for I wolde fayne go hence with...& passe ouer with them vnto... C.

for I went with...& brought thē forth vnto... G. B.

quippe qui transibam...deducens eos usque ad... M.

8 therfore I remēbre the londe of Iordane C.

therfore *will* I remembre the *cōcernyng* the land of Iordane G. B.

idcirco *recordabor* tui *de* terra Iordanis... M.<sup>1</sup>

15 I wil yet thanke him *for the helpe of his countenance*, and *because*... C.

I will yet thanke him *which is the helpe of my countenance*, and my... G. B.

confitebor ei, *qui est salus vultus mei et deus meus*.

In all the passages which have been hitherto quoted the text of the three typical editions of the Great Bible—Crumwell's, April 1539, Cranmer's, April 1540, Tunstall's and Heath's, Nov. 1540—is with one exception (or at most two) exactly identical<sup>2</sup>. But this is not the case in all the parts of the Bible.

In the Prophets the revision was less complete in the first (Crumwell's) edition, and Coverdale appears to have gone again carefully through this part of his work at

*The Prophets revised again before 1540.*

<sup>1</sup> Here the preposition *de* of Münster has been wrongly rendered.

<sup>2</sup> The variations which I have observed are Ps. xlii. 12 *add* as with a sword (Nov. 1540; May 1541 omits) from Münster; and Ps. xix. 10 than *y<sup>e</sup> hony cōbe* and *y<sup>e</sup> hony* (Nov. 1540; as before, May 1541 follows 1539),

probably a printer's blunder.

In all the references to the Great Bibles I have availed myself of Mr F. Fry's exhaustive identification of every sheet of the different editions in his *Description of the Great Bible of 1539 &c.* London, 1865.

least before the publication of the second (Cranmer's) edition. It is possible that the unsettled prospect of affairs in Paris may have induced him to hurry the printing of the book; or, which is not less likely, the greater difficulty of the Prophets may have hindered him from dealing satisfactorily with them on the first collation. However this may be, the text of Cranmer's Bible presents a second revision of the original Coverdale (Matthew), and that again made by a more thorough use of Münster. A single chapter of Isaiah will shew the relation of the two revisions to one another, to the original rendering (Coverdale) and to Münster. The German (Zurich) quotations determine the source of the first translation<sup>1</sup>.

#### COVERDALE (MATTHEW).

Is. liii.

1 But who *geueth credence vnto oure preachyng?* Or to whō is the arme of the Lorde knowne? 2 *He shall growe* before the Lorde like as a braūch, & as a rote in a drye ground, *he shall haue* nether bewtye nor fauoure. Whē *we loke* vpon him, there shalbe no fayrnesse: we shall haue no lust vnto him. 3 *He shalbe the most symple, and despysed of all, which yet hath* good experience of sorowes and infirmities. *We shall reken him so symple & so vile,* that we *shall hyde* oure faces frō him. 4 How be it (*of a treuth*) he only *taketh awaye* our infirmite, and *beareth oure payne*: Yet we *shall iudge* him, as though he were plaged & cast downe *of God*: 5 where as he (not-withstādyng) *shall be* wounded for oure offences, & smytten for oure wickednes. For the *payne of oure punishment shalbe layde* vpon him, and with his strypes *shall we be* healed. 6 As for vs, we *go all* astraye (lyke shepe), euery one *turneth* hys awne waye. But thorowe him, the Lorde

<sup>1</sup> I have added also for comparison the renderings of Pagninus, that it may be clear that the translation is from Münster and not independently from the Hebrew.

The italics mark the words which were altered. The second English rendering is that of the Great Bible of 1539.

*pardoneth* all oure synnes. 7 He *shalbe payned & troubled, & shal not open* his mouth. He shalbe led as a shepe to be slayne, yet shall he be as styll as a lābe before the shearer, and not open his mouth. 8 He *shall be had awaye*, his cause not herde, & without eny iudgmēt: Whose generacyon yet *no mā maye* nombre, *when he shalbe cut* of from the grounde of the lyuyng: Whych punishmēt *shall* go vpon him, for the transgression of *my people*. 9 His graue *shalbe* geuen him with the condempned, & *his crucyfyenge with the theues*, Where as he dyd neuer violence ner vnryght, nether hath there bene any disceatfulnesse in his mouth. 10 Yet hath it pleased y<sup>e</sup> Lorde *to smyte him* with infirmyte, that when he had made hys soule an offering for synne, he might se *lōgelastyng sede*. And thys deuyce of the Lorde shall prospere in his hande. 11 With trauayle & laboure of his soule, shall he obtayne *great ryches*. My ryghtuous scruaunt shall wyth *his wysdome* iustifye & delyuer the multitude, for he shall beare awaye their synnes. 12 Therfore wyll I geue him the multitude for his parte, & he shall deuyde the strōge spoyle because he *shal geue* ouer his soule to death, & *shalbe* rekened amonge y<sup>e</sup> trāsgressours, which neuertheles *shall take* awaye the synnes of the multitude, and *make* intercessyon for the mysdoers.

1 *geueth credence*

glaubt Zurich.

*hath geue credēce* 1539.

*credidit* Münster (Pagninus).

*oure preachyng* 1539. *vnserem predigen* Z. *the thyng we*

(y<sup>e</sup> we Nov.) *haue hearde* Apr. Nov. 1540. May

1541. *auditui nostro* M.

2 *He shall growe*

er wirdt...wachsen Z.

*For he dyd growe*

*Ascendit enim* M. (et ascendit P.)

— *he shall haue*

er wirt...haben Z.

he *hath*

non est ei M. (P).

2 we loke 1539 we shall loke Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541  
videbimus M.

3 He shalbe the most...yet hath...

er wirt der aller schächtest vnd verachtest, der doch die  
schmerzen vnnd kranckheytenn wol kennet Z.

He is despysed & abhorred of men, he is soch a man as  
hath...

*Despectus est, et deuitatus ab hominibus* M. (despectus  
est et abjectus inter viros P.)

— as hath good experience of sorowes and infyrmities  
1539 (Z. see before).

as is full of sorowe & as hath good experience of  
infirmities. Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

*homo est doloribus (plenus) et qui expertus est infirmi-  
tatem* M.

— We shall...& so vile...shall hyde

wir werdend jn...vnnd verworffenn rechnen, das wir...  
verbergen werdend Z.

We haue rekened hym so vyle, that we hyd...

frö hym 1539 von jm Z.

from him, † ye he was despised & therefore we regarded  
him not Apr. Nov. 1540. May 1541.

(et quisque erat) quasi abscondens faciem ab eo: *fuit  
enim contemptus, ideo non reputauimus cum* M.  
(despectus et non rep. eum P.)

4 omit of a truth.

— taketh awaye

hinnimpt Z.

*hath taken on hym*

*ipse portauit* M. (ipse tulit P.)

— infirmite: infirmities May 1541.

— and beareth oure payne

vnnd vnserere schmerzen tregt Z.

and borne oure paynes

*et dolores nostros hos ipse sustinuit* M. (et dolores  
nostros portauit P.)

— shall iudge

- so rechnend wir Z.  
*dyd iudge*  
*reputauimus* M. (P.).
- 4 of God 1539 als ob er uon Gott geschlagen *vund*  
*genideret sey* Z.  
 of God: *and punished* Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.  
*percussum a Deo atque afflictum* M. (p. a Deo et  
*humiliatum* P.)
- 5 *shall be wounded*  
 verwundt...wirt Z.  
 was wouēd  
*vulneratus est* M. (P.)
- payne of oure punyshment 1539 die busz vnserer  
 straaf Z.  
*chastysement of oure peace* Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.  
*castigatio pacis nostræ* M. (*castigatio pro pace nostra* P.)
- *shalbe layde*  
 wirt jm auffgelegt Z.  
 was layde  
*fuit...super...* M. (P.)
- *shall we be healed*  
 werdend wir gesund Z.  
*are we healed (we are healed* Nov. 1540)  
*medicatum est nobis* M. (*sanitas fuit nobis* P.)
- 6 we go all  
 wir alle *irrend* Z.  
 we haue gone all  
*omnes nos...errauimus* M.
- *turneth*  
 kert Z.  
*hath turned*  
*respeximus* M. (*conuersi sumus* P.)
- *pardoneth*  
 begnadet Z.  
*hath pardoned* (M. see below).
- But thorow hym, the Lorde hath pardoned all oure  
 synnes 1539.  
 aber der Herr begnadet mit jm unser aller sünd Z.

But the Lorde hath heaped together vpon him the iniquitie  
of vs all Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

et dominus fecit concurrere in eo omnium nostrum iniqui-  
tates M. (dominus pervenire fecit ad eum pœnam  
omnium nostrum P.)

7 He *shalbe payned...not open*

er wirt geengstiget vnd verkümmeret und wirdt...nit  
auffthun Z.

He *suffred violence and was euell intreated, & dyd not yet*  
*opē...*

*Vim est passus et inique tractatus et tamen non ape-*  
*ruit...* M. (oppressus est et afflictus est et non  
*aperiet* P.)

8 He *shall be had awaye*

er wirt vnuerhörter sach vnd on recht abgethon, des  
geschlächts doch niemandt erzellen mag Z.

He *was had awaye*  
*sublatus est* M.

— *had awaye* 1539 (see above)

*had awaye from preson* Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

*de carcere et de iudicio sublatus est* M. (de *clau-*  
*sura...* P.)

— *no mā maye nombre*

Z. see above.

*who maye nombre?*

*quis enarrabit?* M. (P.)

— *when he shalbe cut...*

so er gleich... auszgehauwen wirt Z.

*he was cut...*

*succisus est* M. *abscissus est* P.

— *shall go*

gon wirt. Z.

*dyd go* (M. see below)

— *my people* 1539 *meines volcks* Z.

*my people, †whych in deade had deserued that punysh-*  
*ment* Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

*populi mei quibus plaga (debeatur)* M. (Propter præ-  
varicationem populi mei plaga fuit ei P.)

- 9 *shalbe* geuen  
 wirt...gegeben Z.  
*was* geuē  
 dedit M. (P.)
- *his crucyfycnge with the theues*  
 sein creützigung mit den rauberenn Z.  
*wyth the ryche mā at his deeth*  
*apud divitem in mortibus eius* M. (cum divite inter  
 mortuos suos P.)
- 10 y<sup>e</sup> Lorde to smyte 1539 so hat der Herr jnn wollen  
 mit der schwache vmbringenn Z.  
*the Lorde thus to bruste (burste Nov.) hym wyth plages,*  
*and to smyte* Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541  
*Domino eum sic conterere et infirmitatem inferre* M.  
 (Dominus voluit conterere eum, ægrotare fecit. P.)
- *lōgelastyng* (a lōge lastinge Cov. 1535)  
 eiñ langwirigen somen Z.  
 lōnge lastyng  
 quod longos viveret dies M. (prolongabit dies P.)
- 11 *obtayne great ryches* (optayne 1539) wirt er grosse  
 hab überkommen Z.  
*optayne frute, and he shall be satisfyed* Apr. Nov.  
 1540, May 1541.  
*videbit (fructum) et saturabitur* M. (videbit, et satura-  
 bitur. P.)
- *his wysdome*  
 mit seiner kunst Z.  
 wysdome  
 My ryghtuous...multitude (ryghteous 1539) Mein  
 grechter knecht wirt mit seiner kunst die menge  
 gerecht machen vnd erlösen. Z.
- *by the knowledge of hym whych is my ryghteous seruaunt he*  
*shall iustifye the multitude* Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.  
*cognitione sui qui justus servus meus est justificabit*  
*multos.* M. (in scientia sua justificabit justus servus  
 meus multos P.)
- 12 the strōge spoyle 1539 den starcken raub Z.  
 the spoyle *wyth the strongest* Apr. Nov. 1540, May 1541.

*cum robustissimis* dividet spolia M.

12 *shal geue*

vergiessen...wirt Z.

*geueth*

effudit M. (P.)

— *shalbe* rekened

gezellet wirt Z.

*is* rekened

*numeratus est* M. (P.)

— *shall take* away...*make*

hinnemmen...wirt Z.

*hath takē* away...*made*

tulit M. (P.)

From these collations the general character of the versions of the Old Testament in the first two editions of the Great Bible will be sufficiently clear, though a fuller examination would probably bring out some details of the method of revision into more distinct prominence. The variations from the first edition (Crumwell's 1539) in the second (Cranmer's, April 1540) are far greater in the Hagiographa and the Prophets—the part of Matthew's Bible which was Coverdale's own work—than those in the earlier books: and the variations of the text of 1539 from that of Matthew (1537) are more important throughout than the changes introduced afterwards<sup>1</sup>. In other words the edition of April 1540 exhibits a text formed on the same principles as that of the edition of 1539, but after a fuller and more thorough revision<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> By some incredible inadvertence Mr Anderson describes Crumwell's Bible as having Matthew's text. The edition of April 1539 and the London reprint of April 1540 (Petyt and Redman) are both carefully revised texts, as has been shewn already. The latter presents some variations from Crumwell's Bible, but they appear to be due rather to the printers than to any special revision: e.g. Ps. li. 14: O God, O God of my

health: *sing thy* righteousness. 15 shew forth.

<sup>2</sup> This revision, as well as the partial one to be mentioned afterwards, was due to Coverdale, as appears from his Sermon quoted by Fulke (p. 98). 'M. Coverdale defended his translation, confessing that he did now 'himself espy some faults, which, if he 'might review it once over again, as 'he had done *twice* before, he doubted 'not but to amend.' This statement



After April 1540 the text of the Great Bible does not appear to have been systematically revised throughout, but still it is a remarkable and unobserved fact that in parts the edition of Nov. 1540 goes back from the text of April 1540 to that of 1539, so that the edition of April 1540 exhibits the greatest approximation to Münster. It is impossible to tell without a wide collation on what principle this reaction was carried out: a few examples will exhibit its reality<sup>1</sup>.

*Revision of  
edition of  
Nov. 1540.*

APRIL 1539; NOV. 1540;

MAY, NOV. 1541.

APRIL, JULY 1540.

- Is. i. 2, *brought vp* children.  
— 4, a *frowarde generacion*,  
*unnaturall chyldren*.  
— 7, as it were with enemyes  
in a batayle.  
— 8, lyke a *beseged* cytie.  
— 11, sacryfices vnto me.  
  
— 12, Whē ye apeare before  
me.  
— — who requyreth you to  
treade.  
— 13, Offre me no mo obla-  
cions.  
— — your Sabbathes and  
solempne dayes.

- promoted* children.  
a seed of *ungracious people cor-  
ruptinge ther wayes*.  
as they were *subuerted y<sup>e</sup> were  
alienate frō y<sup>e</sup> Lorde*.  
lyke a *wasted* cytie.  
sacrifices vnto me *saith the  
Lorde (the om. April)*.  
when ye *come* to apeare before  
me.  
who requireth *this* of you to  
treade.  
*Therefore* offre me no mo obla-  
cions.  
your Sabbathes & *gatherige to-  
gyther* at y<sup>e</sup> solēpne dayes.

*Is. i.*

can only apply to Crumwell's and Cranmer's Bibles. The changes in the *one* revision of Coverdale's original Bible are not of sufficient importance to be thus described. Another passage of Fulke is itself decisive: 'the Bible 'of 1562,' he writes, 'I take to be 'that which was of Dr Coverdale's 'translation, most used in the church 'service in king Edward's time' (p. 68). This edition is a reprint of the Great Bible.

The rendering in Is. lvii. 5, 'ye 'take your pleasure under the oaks, 'under all green trees, and ye offer

'children in the valleys and dens of 'stone,' quoted in the *Hist. Account*, p. 103, to shew the existence of an independent revision in Tunstall's and Heath's edition of 1541, is found in Cranmer's (April 1540), and is of course based on Münster: 'calefacitis 'vos apud quercus sub omni ligno 'frondoso et immolatis pueros...'

<sup>1</sup> At first I was inclined to think that mixed sheets had been used for printer's copy in the later editions, but this hypothesis will not cover all the facts of the case.

APRIL 1539; NOV. 1540;

MAY, NOV. 1541.

APRIL, JULY 1540.

Is. i. 14, *Youre fastinges are also in vayne. I hate your newe holy dayes and fastyinges, euen fro my very hert. They make me weery, I cannot abyde them.*

*I hate your newe mone dayes & solēpne feastes, euē fro my very hert. I can not awaye w<sup>t</sup> suche vanitie & holdinge in of the people. They lye vpon me as a burthen, and I am wery of beringe thē<sup>1</sup>.*

Neh. vi. 2, *come, y<sup>t</sup> we maye.*

that we maye.

In other parts of the Old Testament this phenomenon is not observed, and the different editions are grouped together without any certain law. Thus, for example, the following readings occur :—

Prov. xii. 13, *of parell.*of *all* peril.

April 1540.

Nov. 1540.

May, Nov. 1541.

Jer. iv. 7, *he maye.**I may.*

1539.

Nov. 1540.

April 1540.

May 1541.

Nov. 1541.

1553.

— 13, *downe.**up.*

1539

July, Nov. 1540.

April 1540.

Nov. 1541.

May 1541, 1553.

— 28, *purposed* ād taken vpon me.

and taken vpon me.

April 1540.

July, Nov. 1540.

May 1541, 1553.

Nov. 1541.

*The New Testament. Revised chiefly by the Latin.*

The revision of the New Testament was, like Coverdale's original revision of Tindale, more independent; and based upon a careful use of the Vulgate and of Erasmus'

<sup>1</sup> In the first three chapters of Isaiah I have noted twenty other passages in which the same groups respectively agree in supporting different readings; and only five in which

the November editions differ from 1539. In other parts of the book, as has been seen, the edition of Nov. 1540 follows closely that of April 1540. See pp. 187 ff.

Latin Version. An analysis of the variations in the First Epistle of St John may furnish a type of its general character. As nearly as I can reckon there are seventy-one differences between Tindale's text (1534) and that of the Great Bible<sup>1</sup>: of these forty-three come directly from Coverdale's earlier revision (and in a great measure indirectly from the Latin): seventeen from the Vulgate where Coverdale before had not followed it: the remaining eleven variations are from other sources. Some of the new readings from the Vulgate are important, as for example the additions in i. 4, 'that *ye may rejoice and that* your joy 'may be full.' ii. 23, '*he that knowledgeth the Son hath the Father also.*' iii. 1, 'that we should be called *and be indeed* 'the sons of God.' v. 9, 'this is the witness of God *that is* 'greater.' All these additions (like v. 7) are marked distinctly as *Latin* readings<sup>2</sup>: of the renderings adopted from Coverdale one is very important and holds its place in our present version. '*Hereby* we know that *he* abideth in us, '*even by* the Spirit which he *hath* given us,' for which Tindale reads: '*thereby* we know that *there* abideth in us 'of the Spirit which he *gave* us.' One strange blunder also is corrected; 'that old commandment which ye *heard*' (as it was in the earlier texts) is replaced by the true reading: 'that old commandment which ye have *had*' (ii. 7). No one of the new renderings is of any moment (ii. 8, 18, 19, 20, 22, &c.).

*Use of the  
Vulgate.*

As an illustration of the influence of Erasmus we may recur to the collation of his differences from Tindale in Col. ii.<sup>3</sup> In the following readings, nearly half of those noted, the text of the Great Bible is altered from that of Tindale (Matthew) to conformity with Erasmus (1519):

*Use of the  
version of  
Erasmus.*

<sup>1</sup> The differences between the Great Bible and Matthew are about twelve [?four] fewer (see p. 178, n. 1), but I have not a complete table of them.

<sup>2</sup> One false rendering introduced into this version from the Latin has most unfortunately retained its place in our present Bible; 'there shall be

'one *fold* and one shepherd' (John x. 16), for 'one *flock*' of the earlier translators. The old Latin rightly distinguished between *grex* and *ovile*, but the distinction was lost in the later texts. [Corrected in the Revised Version.]

<sup>3</sup> See pp. 135 f.

'1 *for* I would: *how great care*: 2 *when they are knit together*: 6 walk...*so that ye be rooted and built in him*: '11 *forasmuch as ye have put off*: 13 *through sin and through*...16 *or of the new moon*: 17 *which are shadows*: '23 *by superstition and humbleness and by hurting of the body*...' Some of these renderings might have been derived independently from the Greek or from the Vulgate; others could not, as we must believe, have occurred to two original interpreters; and when they are taken as a whole there can be no doubt as to their immediate source<sup>1</sup>.

*The New Testament also revised in 1540.*

*Greater use of Erasmus.*

The New Testament in the Great Bible of 1539 was subject to a revision before the edition of 1540 no less than the Old, and the revision was conducted on similar principles. What Münster was for the Old Testament Erasmus was in a great measure for the New. How powerful his influence was in the original recension has been just seen, and the review shews additional traces of the sway which his judgment exercised over Coverdale. One or two examples may be quoted<sup>2</sup>:

APRIL 1539.

Rom. v. 15, which was geuen  
by one man...

— i. 25, which is blessed for  
euer.

APRIL, NOV. 1540.

whych was of one man (quæ  
fuit unius hominis, *Er.*)

which is to be prayed for euer  
(qui est laudandus in secula,  
*Er.*)

<sup>1</sup> One or two other passages may be added in which the Great Bible certainly follows Erasmus:

Luke xix. 42...even in this thy day,  
*thou wouldest take heed* (Eras. 1519,  
*curares*).

1 Pet. i. 14...lusts by which ye were  
led when as yet ye were ignorant of  
Christ (Eras. *quibus dum adhuc*  
*ignoraretis Christum agitantini*).

Col. i. 10...that in all things ye  
may please (Eras. *ut per omnia*  
*placeatis*).

Col. iii. 9...seeing that ye have put  
off (Eras. *posteaquam exuistis*). The

Latin New Testament of Erasmus was printed with the English of Matthew in 1538. The English Testament of 1540, said to be from the Latin of Erasmus, I have not seen. [A copy is in the Lambeth Library, and another in the Bodleian. There is no date, but the Calendar begins with 1540.]

<sup>2</sup> Nearly all the examples given are taken from the list of variations in Mr Fry's treatise on the Great Bibles. By using these for the analysis all suspicion of partial selection is removed.

## APRIL 1539.

Phil. i. 23, is moch better.

Rev. xvi. 9, repêted not.

— xxii. 6, the Lorde God of  
Saynctes and Prophetes.

## APRIL, NOV. 1540.

is moche & far better (multo  
longeque melius est, *Er.*)repêted not of theyr euill dedis  
(neque egerunt scelerum  
pœnitentiam, *Er.* 1527).the Lorde God of y<sup>e</sup> holy  
Prophetes (Dominus Deus  
sanctorum prophetarum *Er.*)

No change perhaps is more remarkable than that in  
the difficult and famous passage of St James<sup>1</sup>:

## APRIL 1539.

James i. 13. For God cannot  
tempte vnto euyll, because  
he tempteth no man.

## APRIL, NOV. 1540.

for as God can not be tempted  
with euill, so nether he hym-  
selfe tempt the [tempteth] eny  
man. (Nam Deus ut malis  
tentari non potest, ita nec  
ipse quemquam tentat. *Er.*)

In other cases the revision follows the Vulgate (with *Of the Vulgate.*  
Erasmus) where the original text had deserted it, as for  
example :

## APRIL 1539.

Rom. iv. 25, for to iustifie vs.

Gal. i. 10, Do I now speake  
vnto men or vnto God?

Other go I about to please...

Eph. ii. 12, and had no hope,  
& were with out...

## APRIL, NOV. 1540.

for oure iustificacyon.

Do I now perswade men, or  
God? Other do I seke to  
please...hauynge no hope, and beynge  
with out...

Sometimes the turn given to the rendering appears to  
be original, as

Rom. i. 6, that are called of...

Phil. i. 10, as hurte no mannes  
conscience.

the electe of...

as offende no mā.

But next to Erasmus the Complutensian edition con-  
tributed most largely to the changes in the revision. Thus *Of the Com-  
plutensian  
Polyglott.*

<sup>1</sup> See Fulke, *Defence of the English Translations*, pp. 559 f. (ed. P. S.).

in the Revelation the following new readings are taken from this source:

| APRIL 1539.  | APRIL, NOV. 1540.   |
|--|---|
| x. 6, omit (1).  | ( <i>And the erth and the thynges that therein are.</i> )                           |
| xi. 15, for euer more (2).                               | for euer more ( <i>Amen</i> ).  |
| xii. 4, the starres (3).                                 | the starres ( <i>of heauen</i> ).   |
| — 9, also (4).   | also ( <i>with hym</i> ).   |
| — 10, For <i>he</i> is (5).                              | For ( <i>the accuser of our brethren</i> )<br>is                                    |
| xv. 2, <i>and of hys marke</i> (6).                      | omit.   |
| xviii. 12, iron (7).                                     | iron ( <i>and marble</i> )  |
| — 23, omit (8).  | ( <i>and candell lyght shalbe no more burnynge (burninge no more Nov.) in the</i> ) |
| xxi. 16, measured the cytie w <sup>t</sup> the rede (9). | measured y <sup>e</sup> cytie with the ( <i>golden</i> ) rede.                      |
| xxii. 9, the sayings of this book (10).                  | the sayinges of ( <i>the prophceye of</i> ) thys boke <sup>1</sup> .                |

*The Psalter of the Prayer Book taken from the Great Bible.*

In one respect the Great Bible has an important and lasting interest for us: the Psalter which is incorporated in the Prayer Book is taken from it. In the first Prayer Book of Edward VI reference is made 'to the Great English Bible' for the numbers of the Psalms as appointed to be read in the daily services which were necessarily taken from it, and from that time the Psalter used in churches has continued unchanged. No attempt seems to have been made to substitute the Psalter of the Bishops' Bible for that of the Great Bible; and when, upon the last revision of the Prayer Book (1662), it was directed that the other lessons from Scripture should be taken from the

<sup>1</sup> This list includes only a few very obvious differences, and makes no pretensions to completeness even in the chapters quoted. It is remarkable that all the readings are marked as Latin readings [being printed in smaller type], though 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8 are in the Greek text.

Mr Offer has collected all the 'in-

terpolations' (Latin readings) found in the Great Bibles in his MS. collections for the history of the Bible (Brit. Mus. Add. 26,670, pp. 209 ff.).

For a fuller comparison of renderings of the New Testament in the different editions of the Great Bible, see Note A at the end of the Section.

royal Version, a special exception was made in favour of the Psalter. The choirs and congregations had grown familiar with it, and it was felt to be 'smoother and more 'easy to sing'.

A very slight comparison of the Psalter in the Prayer Book with that in the Authorised Version of the Bible will shew from what this acknowledged smoothness springs. Apart from the partial correction of errors in translation, the later version will be seen to be distinguished from the earlier by a scrupulous fidelity to the Hebrew text. Coverdale, like Luther and the Zurich translators on whose model his style was formed, allowed himself considerable freedom in dealing with the shape of the original sentences. At one time a word is repeated to bring out the balance of two clauses: at another time the number is changed: at another time a fuller phrase is supplied for the simple copula, now a word is resolved, and again a particle or an adverb or a pronoun or even an epithet is introduced for the sake of definiteness: there is in every part an endeavour to transfuse the spirit as well as the letter into the English rendering. The execution of the version undoubtedly falls far below the conception of it: the Authorised Version is almost in every case more correct: but still in idea and tone Coverdale's is as a whole superior, and furnishes a noble type for any future revision.

*Characteristics of this Psalter.*

One or two examples will illustrate these general remarks. The materials for extending the comparison are accessible to all, and nothing throws more light on the actual history of our Bible<sup>2</sup>.

*Comparison of the two Psalters.*

<sup>1</sup> The exception was not made without an effort. The bishops concede 'that the Psalms be collated with the 'former translation mentioned in 'rubr. [? Great Bible], and printed 'according to it' (Cardwell, *Hist. of Conf.* 362). The question was again raised in 1689, and it was left to the Convocation to decide whether the Authorised Version should be inserted

in the Prayer Book or the revision 'made by the Bishop of St Asaph 'and Dr Kidder' (*id.* 432).

<sup>2</sup> I have not ascertained from what text of the Great Bible the Psalter was taken. It contains the latest changes which I have noticed. See pp. 183 ff. For a collation of passages from the Prayer-Book Psalter with the editions of the Great Bible,

## PRAYER BOOK.

- 1 The heavens declare the glory of God: and the firmament sheweth his handy-work.
- 2 *One day telleth another: and one night certifieth another.*
- 3 There is *neither* speech nor language: *but* their *voices are* heard *among them.*
- 4 Their *sound* is gone out<sup>1</sup> *into all lands:* and their words *into the ends* of the world.
- 5 In them hath he set a tabernacle for the sun: which *cometh forth* as a bridegroom out of his chamber, and rejoiceth as a *giant* to run *his course.*
- 6 *It goeth forth* from the *utmost part* of the heaven, and *runneth about* unto the *end* of it *again:* and there is nothing hid from the heat thereof.
- 7 The law of the Lord is *an undefiled law*, converting the soul: the testimony of the Lord is sure, *and giveth wisdom unto the simple.*
- 8 The statutes of the Lord are

see Note B at the end of the Section.

One general change in the Prayer-Book Psalter is very greatly to be regretted, and was probably only an oversight. The insertions from the Vulgate (*e.g.* Ps. xiv. 5—7, &c.), which

## AUTHORISED VERSION.

The heavens declare the glory of God: and the firmament sheweth his handywork.

*Day unto day uttereth speech, and night unto night sheweth knowledge.*

There is *no* speech nor language, *where* their *voice is* not heard.

Their *line* is gone out *through all the earth,* and their words *to the end* of the world.

In them hath he set a tabernacle for the sun; which *is* as a bridegroom *coming* out of his chamber, and rejoiceth as a *strong man* to run *a race.*

*His going forth is* from the *end* of the heaven, and *his circuit* unto the *ends* of it: and there is nothing hid from the heat thereof.

The law of the Lord is *perfect*, converting the soul:

the testimony of the Lord is sure, *making wise* the simple:

The statutes of the Lord are

were distinguished from the other parts of the translation in the Great Bible, stand unmarked in the Prayer-Book. Would it not be legitimate to print the Prayer-Book Psalter with all these insertions in Italics?

<sup>1</sup> Om. *out* Nov. 1540.



- right, *and rejoice* the heart :  
the commandment of the  
Lord is pure, *and giveth light*  
*unto* the eyes.
- 9 The fear of the Lord is  
clean, *and endureth* for ever :  
the judgments of the Lord  
are true, and righteous alto-  
gether.
- 10 More to be desired are they  
than gold, yea than much  
fine gold :  
sweeter also than honey and  
the honey-comb<sup>1</sup>.
- 11 Moreover by them is thy  
servant *taught* :  
and in keeping of them there  
is great reward.
- 12 Who can *tell how oft he*  
*offendeth* ?  
O cleanse thou me from *my*<sup>2</sup>  
secret faults.
- 13 Keep thy servant also from  
presumptuous sins, *lest they*  
*get the* dominion over me :  
so shall I be *undefiled* and  
innocent from the great of-  
*fence*.
- 14 Let the words of my mouth  
and the meditation of my  
heart :  
be *alway*<sup>2</sup> acceptable in thy  
sight,
- 15 O Lord, my strength and  
my redeemer.
- 1 Why do the heathen *so furi-*  
*ously* rage *together* ? and *why*
- right, *rejoicing* the heart :  
the commandment of the  
Lord is pure, *enlightening* the  
eyes.
- The fear of the Lord is clean,  
*enduring* for ever :  
the judgments of the Lord  
are true and righteous alto-  
gether.
- More to be desired are they  
than gold, yea, than much  
fine gold ;  
sweeter also than honey and  
the honey-comb.
- Moreover by them is thy ser-  
vant *warned* :  
and in keeping of them there  
is great reward.
- Who can *understand his errors* ?  
cleanse thou me from secret  
faults.
- Keep *back* thy servant also from  
presumptuous sins ; *let them*  
*not have* dominion over me :  
*Then* shall I be *upright*, and  
*I shall be* innocent from the  
great *transgression*.
- Let the words of my mouth,  
and the meditation of my  
heart,  
be acceptable in thy sight,
- O Lord, my strength and my  
redeemer.
- Why do the heathen rage, and *Ps. ii.*  
the people imagine a vain

<sup>1</sup> The honey-comb and the honey.  
Nov. 1540, 1541.

<sup>2</sup> [In brackets in the Annexed Book,

which was attached to the Act of  
Uniformity.]

do the people imagine a vain thing?

10 Be wise now therefore, O ye kings:

be *learned*, ye *that are* judges of the earth.

11 Serve the Lord *in* fear: and rejoice *unto him*<sup>1</sup> with *reverence*.

12 Kiss the son, lest he be angry, and *so* ye perish from the *right*<sup>1</sup> way:

*if* his wrath *be* kindled, (*yea*, but a little) blessed are all they that put their trust in him.

*Ps. Lxxviii.*

16 He clave the *hard* rocks in the wilderness:  
and gave them drink *thereof*,  
as *it had been* out of the great *depth*.

17 He brought *waters* out of the *stony* rock:  
*so that it gushed out* like the rivers.

18 *Yet for all this* they sinned more against him: *and provoked* the most *Highest* in the wilderness.

<sup>1</sup> [In brackets in the Annexed Book as from the Latin.]

<sup>2</sup> The Books are arranged in the following order in Crumwell's Bible (April 1539):

The Pentateuch.

The second part of the Bible:  
Josua... Esther, Job.

The third part of the Bible: The  
Psalter... Cantica Canticorum.

The Prophets: Esaye... Malachy.

The volume of the books called  
Hagiographia: 3 Esdr. 4 Esdr.  
... Baruch... 1 Mach. 2 Mach.

thing?

Be wise now therefore, O ye kings:

be *instructed*, ye judges of the earth.

Serve the Lord *with* fear, and rejoice with *trembling*.

Kiss the son, lest he be angry, and ye perish from the way,

*when* his wrath *is* kindled but a little. Blessed are all they that put their trust in him.

He clave the rocks in the wilderness,  
and gave them drink as out of the great *depths*.

He brought *streams also* out of the rock,  
*and caused waters to run down* like rivers.

*And* they sinned *yet* more against him,  
*by provoking* the most *High* in the wilderness<sup>2</sup>.

The New Testament:

The four Gospels. Acts.

The Epistles of Saint Paul:

Romans..... Philemon, Hebrews.

Epistle of St James.

1, 2 St Peter.

1, 2, 3 St John.

St Jude.

The Revelation.

In the list (but not in the text) Jude is placed before 1 John.

The order is the same in Cranmer's Bible (April 1540), and in Tunstall's

## Note A.

The following comparison of readings in representative editions of the Great Bible has been based upon collations most liberally placed in my hands by Mr F. Fry. [They have all been checked and corrected.] The table will illustrate the extent of

intentional and accidental variation. The notation is as follows:

|            |                 |
|------------|-----------------|
| 1539       | C               |
| 1540 April | Cr <sub>1</sub> |
| 1540 Nov.  | TH <sub>1</sub> |
| 1541 Dec.  | Cr <sub>4</sub> |

## ST MATTHEW.

|        |    |  |                                   |                           |   |
|--------|----|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| iii.   | 4  | <i>garment of</i>                                  | C                                 | <i>raiment of</i>         | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| v.     | 31 | <i>of the divorcement</i>                          | C Cr <sub>1</sub>                 | <i>of divorcement</i>     | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| vi.    | 29 | <i>like unto one</i>                               | C                                 | <i>like one</i>           | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| —      | 34 | <i>for to morrow day</i>                           | C                                 | <i>for the morrow day</i> | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| vii.   | 16 | <i>by their fruits</i>                             | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>by their works</i>     | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| ix.    | 21 | <i>turned him about</i>                            | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>turned him</i>         | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| —      | 28 | <i>they say</i> (xv. 33;<br>xix. 10)               | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>they said</i>          | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| x.     | 14 | <i>of the house</i>                                | C                                 | <i>of that house</i>      | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xii.   | 5  | <i>in the temple</i>                               | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>of the temple</i>      | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| —      | 23 | <i>that son</i>                                    | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>the son</i>            | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xiii.  | 26 | <i>then appeared</i>                               | C                                 | <i>there appeared</i>     | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| —      | 31 | <i>of all seeds</i>                                | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>of all the seeds</i>   | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xiv.   | 12 | <i>buried it &amp; went</i>                        | C                                 | <i>buried it: went</i>    | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xv.    | 3  | <i>do ye also trans-</i><br><i>gress</i> (xvi. 18) | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>do ye transgress</i>   | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| —      | 17 | <i>in at the</i>                                   | C Cr <sub>1</sub>                 | <i>into the</i>           | TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub>                 |
| xvi.   | 14 | <i>John Baptist</i>                                | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>John the Baptist</i>   | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xxi.   | 42 | <i>in your eyes</i>                                | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>in our eyes</i>        | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xxii.  | 42 | <i>they saye</i>                                   | C Cr <sub>1</sub>                 | <i>they sayde</i>         | TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub>                 |
| —      | 46 | <i>that day forth</i>                              | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>that time forth</i>    | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xxiv.  | 32 | <i>his branch</i>                                  | C                                 | <i>his branches</i>       | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xxvi.  | 11 | <i>have the poor</i>                               | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>have poor</i>          | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xxvii. | 19 | <i>in sleep</i>                                    | C                                 | <i>in my sleep</i>        | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |

## ACTS.

|       |    |  |                                   |  |   |
|-------|----|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| i.    | 19 | <i>blood field</i>                     | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>bloody field</i>                        | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| ii.   | 18 | <i>they shall pro-</i><br><i>phesy</i> | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>they shall all pro-</i><br><i>phesy</i> | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| iv.   | 30 | <i>so that thou</i>                    | C Cr <sub>1</sub>                 | <i>so shalt thou</i>                       | TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub>                 |
| v.    | 2  | <i>laid it down at</i>                 | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>laid it at</i>                          | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xii.  | 23 | <i>but immediately</i>                 | C                                 | <i>and immediately</i>                     | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xiii. | 33 | <i>in the first psalm</i>              | C Cr <sub>1</sub>                 | <i>in the second psalm</i>                 | TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub>                 |
| xiv.  | 15 | <i>that ye should</i>                  | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>that ye shall</i>                       | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xv.   | 31 | <i>rejoiced of the</i>                 | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>rejoiced at the</i>                     | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xvi.  | 10 | <i>called us for to</i>                | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | <i>called us to</i>                        | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |

and Heath's (Nov. 1540): but in Tunstall and Heath the Preface to the Apocrypha is left out, and the

reverse of the title-page to that division of the book is consequently blank.

|   |                                   |                             |   |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| xx. 9 into a <i>deep</i> sleep                | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | into a <i>dead</i> sleep    | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xxvi. 18 may <i>turn</i>                      | C                                 | may be <i>turned</i>        | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xxvii. 2 <i>being</i> with                    | C                                 | <i>tarrying</i> still with  | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xxviii. 2 the <i>people</i> of the<br>country | C                                 | the <i>strangers</i>        | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 4 this man <i>must</i><br>needs be          | C                                 | <i>no doubt</i> this man is | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |

## ROMANS.

|  |   |  |   |
|--|---|--|---|
| i. 6 <i>that are called</i>                | C | <i>the elect</i>                                 | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 7 <i>called</i> saints                   | C | saints <i>by election</i>                        | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 25 <i>turned</i> his truth unto          | C | <i>changed</i> his truth for                     | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — — is <i>blessed</i>                      | C | is <i>to be praised</i>                          | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 30 <i>doers</i> of wrong                 | C | <i>disdainful</i>                                | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| iv. 25 <i>rose</i> again for to justify us | C | <i>was raised</i> again for<br>our justification | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| vi. 14 <i>let not</i> sin have             | C | <i>for sin shall not have</i>                    | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 20 ye were <i>not under</i>              | C | ye were <i>void of</i>                           | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xiv. 1 receive unto you                    | C | receive  | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xv. 6 Lord Jesus                           | C | Lord Jesus <i>Christ</i>                         | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xvi. 2 in the <i>Lord</i>                  | C | in <i>Christ</i>                                 | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 22 chamberlain                           | C | treasurer  | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |

## 1 CORINTHIANS.

|                              |   |                                     |   |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| iii. 18 wise among you       | C | wise <i>to himself</i><br>among you | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| v. 13 God <i>shall judge</i> | C | God <i>judgeth</i>                  | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| ix. 5 a sister to wife       | C | a woman a sister                    | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |

## 2 CORINTHIANS.

|  |                                   |  |   |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| i. 11 of many occasions                | C                                 | of many persons  | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — — the grace given                    | C                                 | the gift given   | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| iii. 15 their hearts                   | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | their eyes   | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| vi. 3 in our office                    | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | in your office   | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| vii. 15 is more abundant               | C TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | is found abundant                                      | Cr <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| ix. 6 soweth plenteously<br>shall reap | C                                 | soweth (in giving)<br>largely and freely<br>shall reap | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| x. 2 same confidence                   | C                                 | same boldness  | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xi. 2 to make you a<br>chaste          | C                                 | that ye should make<br>yourselves a chaste             | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xii. 10 in need                        | C                                 | in necessities   | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 20 when I come                       | C Cr <sub>4</sub>                 | if I come  | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub>                 |
| — — and discord                        | C                                 | and seditions  | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xiii. 5 Prove yourselves               | C                                 | examine yourselves                                     | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — — examine your                       | C                                 | prove your   | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |

## GALATIANS.

|                                 |   |                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|
| i. 10 speak unto men or<br>unto | C | persuade men or | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — — go I about to               | C | do I seek to    | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |

|   |                                   |                        |   |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| ii. 5 as concerning to be brought into subjection | C                                 | by way of subjection   | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 19 unto God                                     | C                                 | unto Christ            | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 21 is dead                                      | C                                 | died                   | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| iii. 3 so unwise                                  | C                                 | such fools             | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 16 in the seeds                                 | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | in thy seeds           | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| — 24 might be made righteous by                   | C                                 | should be justified by | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| iv. 11 bestowed on you                            | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | bestowed in you        | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| vi. 8 soweth in his flesh                         | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | soweth in the flesh    | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |

## EPHESIANS.

|   |   |                                   |   |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| ii. 12 and had...and were                 | C | having...and being                | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| iii. 21 all generations from time to time | C | all ages world without end        | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| iv. 5 let there be but one Lord           | C | one Lord                          | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| v. 10 accept that which is pleasing unto  | C | searching what is acceptable unto | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 13 are rebuked of the light             | C | are brought forth by the light    | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 16 avoiding occasion                    | C | winning occasion                  | Cr <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| — 33 wife fear                            | C | redeeming the time                | TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub>                 |
| vi. 4 the nurture                         | C | wife reverence                    | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 5 be obedient unto                      | C | the doctrine                      | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 24 unfeignedly                          | C | obey                              | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
|   |   | sincerely                         | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |

## PHILIPPIANS.

|  |                   |   |   |
|--|-------------------|---|---|
| i. 10 as hurt no man's conscience          | C                 | as offend no man                              | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 29 given of Christ                       | C Cr <sub>4</sub> | given for Christ                              | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub>                 |
| ii. 5 was also in Christ                   | C Cr <sub>1</sub> | was in Christ                                 | TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub>                 |
| iii. 19 whose belly is their God           | C                 | whose God is their belly                      | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| iv. 12 I can both be low and I can be high | C                 | I know how to be low and I know how to exceed | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |

## 2 TIMOTHY.

|                      |   |                           |   |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------|---|
| iv. 5 do the work of | C | do the work thoroughly of | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
|----------------------|---|---------------------------|---|

## HEBREWS.

|                             |                                   |                     |   |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|
| iv. 10 ceased also from his | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | ceased from all his | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| v. 8 by those things        | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | by these things     | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| x. 22 hearts from an evil   | C                                 | hearts and the evil | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| xi. 16 God is not           | C                                 | God himself is not  | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — 40 had provided a         | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | had promised a      | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |
| xii. 9 of spiritual gifts   | C                                 | of spirits          | Cr <sub>1</sub> TH <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> |
| — — lyue                    | C Cr <sub>1</sub> Cr <sub>4</sub> | lyfe                | TH <sub>1</sub>                                 |

## JAMES.

- i. 13 God cannot tempt unto evil, because he tempteth no man C as God cannot be tempted with evil so neither he himself tempteth (tempt the Cr<sub>1</sub>) any man Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>1</sub> Cr<sub>4</sub>
- v. 17 a man mortal C a man under infirmities Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>1</sub> Cr<sub>4</sub>

## 2 PETER.

- ii. 14 with covetousness C with robbery Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>1</sub> Cr<sub>4</sub>

## 1 JOHN.

- iv. 10 to make agreement C to be the agreement Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>1</sub> Cr<sub>4</sub>

## JUDE.

- 12 feeding themselves C living lawless and after their own pleasure. Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>1</sub> Cr<sub>4</sub>

## Note B.


The following variations taken from ten Psalms collated in Crumwell (C), the Great Bible of April 1540 (Cr<sub>1</sub>), of November 1541 (TH<sub>2</sub>) and the Sealed Prayer Book of 1662, will illustrate the relation of the Prayer Book Psalter to the earlier copies. The Prayer Book never preserves the 'italics' of the Bibles. For the collations on which this table is founded I am again indebted to the kindness of Mr F. Fry.

- Ps. i. 1 *stood* and *sat* (1662) for *stand* and *sit* in all the representative editions of the Great Bible, and the early Psalters.
- viii. 3 *works* TH<sub>2</sub> : *work* C Cr<sub>1</sub>.
- 6 *of* the works TH<sub>2</sub> : *in* the works C Cr<sub>1</sub>.
- xxvii. 1 of whom Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub> : *for* of whom C.
- 5 *hide* me, and Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub> : *keep* me, and C.
- 7 *an* oblation *with great gladness* Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub> : *the* oblation of *thanksgiving* C.
- xxviii. 1 if thou make as though : if thou make *thee* as though C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>. [So Psalter bound with 4to P.B. of 1552 [? 1553] in Univ. Libr. Cambridge, N<sup>o</sup> 674.]
- — *hearest* not [so Psalter 1552] : *hardest* not C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>.
- 9 is *my* strength [so Psalter 1552] : is *their* strength C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>.
- xxxiv. 16 from the earth [so Bible 1553] : from *off* the earth C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub> [so Psalter 1552].
- xlvi. 2 *into* the midst [so Cov. and Psalter 1552] : *in* the midst C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>.
- 4 *tabernacle* [so Psalter 1552] : *tabernacles* C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>.
- 8 *destruction* [so Psalter 1552] : *destructions* C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>.
- 11 *refuge* : *defence* C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>. So Psalter 1552.
- lvii. 9 awake *up* my [so Psalter 1552] : awake *O* my C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>.
- lxv. 5 in *thy* righteousness [so Psalter 1552] : in righteousness C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>.

- Ps. xci. 1 most *high* [so Psalter 1552] : most *highest* C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub>.  
 — 6 in darkness : in *the* darkness C Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub> [so Psalter 1552].  
 cxl. 6 *I said* unto the Lord Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub> (so Psalter 1552) : *But my saying is* unto the Lord C.  
 — 8 O Lord, *let not his mischievous imagination prosper* (so Psalter 1552), lest they be too proud Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub> (so Psalter 1552) : O Lord *let him not have his purpose*, lest they be too proud C.  
 — 11 *evil shall hunt the* wicked person *to overthrow him* Cr<sub>1</sub> TH<sub>2</sub> : *a malicious and* wicked person *shall be hunted away and destroyed* C.

In no one of these examples is a rendering taken from Crumwell's Bible (C). In one case (Ps. i. 1) an archaism seems to have been removed in 1662. In ten places (xxviii. 1 (bis), 9; xlv. 2, 4, 8; lviii. 9; lxx. 5; xci. 1, 6) changes have been introduced (apparently) without any authority. In xlv. 11 a rendering has been adopted from the Genevan Bible [or from ver. 7]. In the American Prayer Book Psalter two other changes made (apparently) from the Genevan version have fallen under my notice: v. 6 *lies* for *leaving*; lvi. 8 *wanderings* (for *flittings*).

One of the most remarkable variations in the Psalter was due to a blunder and has been (unauthoritatively) corrected. In Ps. lxxviii. 4 the

Great Bible of April 1540 reads *in his name ya* and with a  in the margin to indicate a proposed note upon the sacred name. But in Nov. 1541 the curious misreading *in his name yea* and is found, and this corruption passed into the later editions of the Great Bible (e.g. 1553), from which the first Psalters were taken. The error was continued throughout the 17th century even in the Prayer-Book of 1662. I do not know when the true reading was first restored<sup>1</sup>. The earliest Prayer Book in which I have noticed it is one printed at Oxford in 1703, while the error is found in an Oxford edition of 1698. In London editions the blunder was continued several years later (1709).

### § 5. TAVERNER.

The work of Taverner is very different from that of any of the revisers noticed before, and stamped with a very distinct individuality. Its character might be anticipated from the description of the man himself which has been already quoted<sup>2</sup>. Throughout he appears to aim at vigorous and idiomatic language, and his New Testament at least deserves more attention than has yet been paid to it. Probably he undertook this part of the work, for which his scholarship fitted him, first, and only afterwards extended his labours to the Old Testament, for which he had no special aptitude. As far as I have observed he

*Taverner's revision of the Old Testament superficial.*

[<sup>1</sup> It is in the Scotch Prayer Book of 1637.]

<sup>2</sup> See p. 83.

used no help but the Vulgate in the Old Testament, and this only partially<sup>1</sup>. But scarcely a page perhaps will fail to shew changes which are made for the sake of clearness and force. Thus '*the child* of death' becomes '*worthye* of *deathe*' (2 Sam. xii. 5): 'of mine own *mind*' is altered to 'of myne owne *hed*' (Num. xvi. 28): 'but *and* if' is made simply 'but if': 'like *as* a branch' simply 'like a branch,' and so on. But in a passage like Is. liii. 1—5, where Coverdale is greatly at fault, he introduces no real change in the text before him<sup>2</sup>.

*His revision  
of the New  
Testament  
more im-  
portant.*

In the New Testament Taverner aims equally at compression and vividness, but he was familiar with the original, and therefore could deal more happily with the translation of Tindale, which still, like Coverdale, he followed very closely. A few verses will shew the method which he followed. Thus in the beginning of St John's Gospel for '*the same*' he reads '*this*' (i. 2, 7), for to '*bear witness*' simply '*witness*' (7, 15); for Tindale's '*verity*' he writes '*truth*' (14); for Tindale's 'confessed and denied' 'not, and *said plainly*' he repeats the first word as in the Greek 'confessed and denied not and *confessed*' (20). Sometimes in his anxiety to keep to the Greek text he becomes even obscure or inaccurate, as '*all were* made 'by it' (3), 'to be made the sonnes of God, *by leuyng* on 'his name' (12), '*in to* his owne (11), 'he was *fyrst er I* 'was' (15). But he introduced substantial improvements into the translation by his regard for the article: 'that was '*the true lyght* (*a tr. l. Tind.*) which...'*commying in to...*' (9): 'Arte thou *the* Prophet?' (21, 25): 'I am *a* voyce of 'one crynge...' (23). Two consecutive verses of the First Epistle of St John furnish good examples of his endeavour to find English equivalents for the terms before him. All the other versions adopt the Latin '*advocate*' in 1 John ii. 1, for which Taverner substitutes the Saxon '*spokesman*.'

<sup>1</sup> A good example occurs Josh. xxiv. 27, 'leest y<sup>t</sup> after this tyme ye 'wyll denye and lye vnto your God.'

<sup>2</sup> In Mr Ofor's MS. collections for a history of the English Bible (Brit.

Mus. 26,670-3) there is a collation of Tindale's Pentateuch (1537, 1549) with Taverner (1539, 1551), Vol. II. pp. 153-158.



Tindale, followed by Coverdale, the Great Bible, &c. strives after an adequate rendering of *ἱλασμός* (1 John ii. 2), in the awkward periphrasis 'he *it is that obtaineth* *grace* for our sins': Taverner boldly coins a word which if insufficient is yet worthy of notice: 'he is a *mercystocke* for 'our synnes'.

<sup>1</sup> The following characteristic changes introduced by Taverner have been selected from a collation of

fourteen chapters of St Matthew, most kindly placed at my disposal by Professor Moulton.

## TINDALE 1534.

- xiii. 35 similitud<sup>r</sup>  
 — 36 to housse  
 — 41 thing<sup>r</sup> that offende  
 — — iniquite  
 — 43 iuste  
 — 45 good  
 — 53 finisshed  
 — 58 for there vnbelefes sake  
 xiv. 5 counted  
 — 31 thou of lytell faith  
 — 36 vesture only  
 xv. 2 transgresse  
 — 6 made y<sup>t</sup>...  
     is with out effecte  
 — 13 plantes  
 — 18 procede out of  
 — 22 the sonne  
 — — pytiously  
 — 26 whelpes  
 — 33 as shuld suffise  
 — 37 the brokē meate  
 xvi. 3 fassion  
 — 23 godly thing<sup>r</sup>  
 — — worldly thing<sup>r</sup>  
 — 24 forsake  
 xviii. 1 y<sup>e</sup> greatest  
 — 4 greatest  
 — 7 Wo be vnto  
 — — because of offences  
 — 9 offende  
 — 12, 13 nynty and nyne  
 — 14 perishe  
 — — be stablissed  
 xix. 9 fornicacion  
 — — breaketh wedlocke  
 — 28 seconde generacion  
 w.

## TAVERNER 1539.

- parables (from Ps. lxxviii. 2)  
 home  
 griefes  
 wickednes  
 ryghteous  
 fayre  
 ended  
 bicause of their vnbelefe  
 helde  
 litle faythful  
 garmente  
 breake  
 defeated...  
 plantynge  
 come forth of  
 thou sonne  
 soore  
 dogges  
 to fyll  
 the fragmētes  
 countenance  
 thynges of God  
 thinges of men  
 deny  
 greater  
 greater man  
 wo worth  
 for offendynges  
 let  
 the .iiij. score and .xix.  
 be lost  
 euery word  
 stande  
 aduoutry  
 commyteth aduoutry  
 newe byrth

## TINDALE 1534.

- xix. 28 .xii.  
 xx. 25 lordes  
 — 34 immediatly  
 xxi. 17 had his abydinge  
 xxii. 2 a certayne kynge  
 — 5 they made light of it  
 — — ferme place  
 — 6 vngodly  
 — 12 was evē spechlesse  
 — 19 tribute money  
 — 34 y<sup>t</sup> he had put the Saduces  
     to silence  
 — 39 and ther is another  
 xxiii. 2 seate  
 — 3 observe  
 — 4 heave at  
 — 6 synagoges  
 — 14 greater  
 — 16 he offendeth  
 — 22 seate  
 — 25 brybery  
 — 33 dāpnaciō  
 xxiv. 12 and because iniquite shall  
     have the vpper hande, the  
     love of many shall abate  
 — 24 y<sup>e</sup> verie electe  
 — 34 generacion  
 — 35 perisshe  
 — — abyde  
 — 43 good man of the housse  
 — 51 will devyde him  
 — — rewarde  
 xxv. 35 herbourlesse  
 — 46 eternall  
 xxvi. 2 crucified  
 — 4 heelde a counsell  
 — 5 holy  
 — 8 had indignacion  
 — 13 memoriall  
 — 17 paschall lambe  
 — 24 shalbe betrayed  
 — 28 testament  
 — — that shalbe shedde  
 — — for the remission  
 — 30 sayde grace  
 — 41 willynge  
 — 42 fulfilled  
 — 45 Take hede  
 — 52 sheathe  
 — 54 for so must it be

## TAVERNER 1539.

- the twelue  
 rulers  
 forthwith  
 lodged  
 a man beyng a kynge  
 they regarded not  
 house in the cōtreys  
 foully  
 had neuer a worde to saye  
 coyne of the trybute money  
 he hadde stopped the Sadduces  
     mouthes  
 and the seconde  
 chayre  
 kepe  
 moue  
 assembles  
 the greater  
 is bounde (18)  
 trone  
 rauyne  
 iudgement  
 and because of the aboundaunce  
     of wyckednes, the charitie of  
     many shall waxe colde  
 euen the chosen persons  
 age  
 passe  
 not passe  
 housholder  
 shall hewe him  
 part  
 a straunger (vv. 38, 43)  
 cuerlastyng  
 nayled to the crosse  
 toke counsell  
 feastfull  
 disdayned  
 remembraunce  
 passouer  
 is betrayed (vv. 45, 46)  
 couenaunt  
 shedde  
 to the forgyuenesse  
 gyuen prayses  
 prompte  
 done  
 behold  
 place  
 that so it oughte to be

It would be tempting to dwell longer on this version, but it appears to have exercised no influence whatever on the later revisions. It remains simply as a monument of one man's critical power, and in the very sharp personality of its characteristics is alien from the general history of the English Bible<sup>1</sup>.

## TINDALE 1534.

- xxvi. 61 felowe  
— 63 peace  
— — charge  
— 64 skye  
— 66 worthy to dye  
— 68 tell  
xxvii. 4 innocent  
— 24 & that ye shall se  
— 51 toppe...bottome  
— 58 begged  
— 62 foloweth good frydaye  
  
— 65 Take watche men  
xxviii. 1 The Sabboth daye at even  
which dauneth the mor-  
owe after the Sabboth  
— 2 the angell  
— 4 be came as deed men

## TAVERNER 1539.

- man  
tongue  
coniure  
heauen  
gyltie of deathe  
Prophecye vnto  
gyltles  
auryse you  
hygheste...loweste  
craued  
folowed the daye of preparing  
the Sabboth  
Ye haue a watche  
In the euenynge of the Sabboth  
dayes, which dawneth vnto one  
of the Sabbothes  
an aungell  
were as deed

Of these corrections it will be noticed that a large number exhibit an endeavour after more idiomatic or vigorous renderings: *e.g.* xiii. 36, 41; xiv. 31; xv. 6, 33; xvi. 23; xviii. 7, 12; xxi. 17; xxii. 34; xxiv. 43; xxv. 35; xxvi. 3; xxvii. 24; or a taste

for more homely or simple or native words: *e.g.* xiii. 41, 43, 53; xv. 2, 18, 22; xix. 28; xxvii. 4. Some renderings shew a delicate feeling for the original: *e.g.* xv. 13, 22; xviii. 16; xxii. 2; xxvi. 24, 66.

<sup>1</sup> The Books are arranged in the following manner:

The Books of the Old Testament  
Genesis...The Ballet of balletes  
The Prophets  
Isaiah...Malachi  
The Apocrypha  
3 Esdras—2 Maccabees  
The New Testament  
Four Gospels

Acts  
The Epistles  
13 of St Paul  
St Peter 1, 2  
St John 1, 2, 3  
Hebrews  
St James  
Jude  
The Revelation.

## § 6. THE GENEVAN BIBLE.

*The character of the Genevan revision.*

The foundations of the English Bible were laid by exiles in a strange country; and exiles contributed the most important revision which it underwent before the final settlement of the received text. Under the influence of Calvin, Geneva had become the seat of a society of devoted Biblical students, and the results of their labours were made available for the review of the English version by the Marian persecution. The more conservative party among the refugees might have scrupled to use them without reserve, but no such feeling could hold back the seceders from Frankfurt. For the first time the task of emendation was undertaken by men who were ready to press it to the uttermost. They spoke of their position as providential, and in looking back upon the later results of their Bible we can thankfully acknowledge that it was so. They enjoyed, as they say in their preface, many advantages over earlier labourers whose renderings 'required greatly 'to be perused and reformed.' 'Not,' they add, 'that we 'vendicat any thing to our selues aboue the least of our 'brethren, (for God knoweth with what feare and trembling 'we haue bene now [April 1560], for the space of two 'yeres and more day and night occupied hercin) but 'being earnestly desired.....and seing the great oportunitie and occasions, which God presented vnto vs in 'this Church, by reason of so many godly and learned 'men: and suche diuersities of translations in diuers 'tongues, we vndertoke this great and wonderful worke,... 'which now God according to his diuine prouidence and 'mercie hath directed to a moste prosperous end.'

*New Latin Versions.*

Some important versions indeed had been published in addition to those which have been noticed already as accessible to the first translators. Leo Juda, who had contributed greatly to the German Bible of Zurich, laboured for many years at a new Latin Version of the Old Testament. This was left unfinished at his death (1542), but the work was completed by T. Bibliander and C. Pellican.

*Leo Juda.*

P. Cholin added a translation of the Apocrypha: R. Gualther revised Erasmus' Latin New Testament; and the whole Bible, thus finished, was printed in 1544. The version is vigorous, aiming rather at an intelligible sense, than at a literal rendering of the words of the original. Castalio (Chateillon) carried this freedom to a far greater length, and in his singularly elegant version (1551) endeavoured to make the Hebrew writers speak in purely classical Latin. In spite of Beza's vehement assaults Castalio exercised some effect on later Protestant versions; but the New Testament of his great adversary (1556) exercised a far more powerful influence than either of these complete Bibles. Beza made some use of the various readings of Greek Manuscripts which had been collected in a convenient form by Stephens in his Greek Testament of 1550 (ed. regia); but as yet, in spite of the great advances which had been made in scholarship, the true principles of Greek criticism were wholly unknown, and the text which served as the basis of translation was as faulty as before.

These Latin versions, especially Beza's New Testament, contributed important help to the English revisers; but it was of still greater moment that they were associated at Geneva with a group of scholars who were already engaged in the work of correcting the French Version of Olivetan. As early as 1545 Calvin cursorily revised this Bible, chiefly, as it is said, in points of style and expression. In 1551 he went over the work again more thoroughly; and again in 1558. The edition of 1551 contained a new version of the Psalter by Louis Budé and of the Apocrypha by Beza. But these successive revisions were confessedly provisional, and it was not till 1588 that the version appeared which, bearing the name of the venerable company of pastors at Geneva, remained for a long time the standard Bible of the French protestants<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> For these details I am indebted to Le Long, as I have been unable to obtain access to the editions of 1545

and 1551. [See Pétavel, *La Bible en France*, p. 171. A full account of Olivetan's version will be found in a

*General  
character of  
the Genevan  
Version of  
the Old  
Testament.*

Thus the English exiles found themselves surrounded by those who were engaged in a task similar to their own<sup>1</sup>. They started indeed with a far better foundation than the French revisers, and their labours shew no impatient desire for change. In the historical books they preserved in the main the old rendering, altering here and there an antiquated word or a long periphrasis<sup>2</sup>. In the Hagiographa, the Prophets, and the poetic books of the Apocrypha, the changes were necessarily far more numerous. An analysis of the new readings in a few representative passages will place the general character of the revision in a clear light<sup>3</sup>.

- 1 *Kings iii.* (GREAT BIBLE.) 5 *And in Gibeon the Lord appeared to Salomon in a dreame by night. And God sayd: aske what thou wilt that I maye geue it the.*
- 6 And Salomon sayd: thou hast shewed vnto thy seruauent Dauid my father great mercy, when he walked before the in trueth, *in ryghteousnesse*, and in *playnesse* of heart wyth the. And thou hast kepte for hym thys greate mercy, *that thou hast geuen hym a sonne to syt on his seat: as it is come to passe* this daye.
- 7 And now, O Lord my God, *it is thou that* hast made thy seruauent kyng in steade of Dauid my father. And I am but *younge*, and *wot* not howe to go out and in.
- 8 And thy seruauent is in the myddest of thy people, whyche thou hast chosen. *And verelye the people are so manye that they* cannot be tolde nor nombred for multitude.
- 9 Geue therfore vnto thy seruauent an vnderstandynge hert, to iudge *the* people, that I maye decerne betwene good

series of articles by Reuss in the *Revue de Théologie*, 3me série, voll. III and IV, Strasburg, 1865-6.]

<sup>1</sup> A revised Italian version of the Bible appeared also [probably] at Geneva in 1562.

<sup>2</sup> A small sign will shew the scholar's instinct, and this is found in the spelling and *accentuation* of the Hebrew names which is characteristic of the edition of 1560, as *Iaakób*, *Izhák*,

*Rebekáh*, *Joshúa*, *Zebulón*, *Abimélech*, &c. Mr Aldis Wright called my attention to this significant peculiarity.

<sup>3</sup> The text of the Great Bible is taken from the edition of 1550, which the revisers were most likely to use. The words altered in the Genevan version are italicized: those substituted for them are given afterwards.

and bad. For who is able to iudge thys, thy *so myghty a* people?

10 And thys pleased the Lorde well, that Salomon had desyred thys thyng.

5 *And*: om. So Pagninus, French 1556. *visusque* Münster. *autem* Leo Juda. (1)

— *thou...it* (so M.): *I shal giue* Postula quod dem tibi J. (2)

6 *in* (M. J.): & *in* P. Fr. (3)

— *playnnesse: vprightnes* rectitudine P. M. J. d'vn cœur droit enuers toy Fr. (4)

— *that thou* (ut M. J.): *and* P. (5)

— *seat: throne* super thronum P. (6)

— *it...passe: appeareth* (in ital.) il appert Fr. (secundum diem hanc P. ut est dies hæc M. ut hæc dies [de-clarat] J.) (7)

7 *it...that: thou* tu m'as fait regner Fr. (Similarly P. M. J.) (8)

— *younge: a yong childe* puer parvus P. M. J. un petit iouenceau Fr. (9)

— *wot: knowe* (10)

8 *And verelye...they: euen a great people which...* populi multi qui... P. et quidem populus est multus qui M. J. qui est vn grand peuple qui... Fr. (11)

9 *the: thy* ton peuple Fr. (So 1539, P. M. J.) (12)

— *so myghty a: mighty.* (13)

Of these thirteen changes one seems to come from the French (7), two are different readings adopted from Pagninus (1, 3), seven are renderings closer to the Hebrew, chiefly from Pagninus (2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12), and three are simply linguistic changes (6, 10, 13).

In a passage from Job there is on the other hand considerable originality.

(GREAT BIBLE). 23 O that my wordes were nowe written: *Job xix.*

O that they were *put* in a boke:

24 *wolde* God *they were* grauen with an yron penne in leade, or in stone *to continue.*

- 25 For I am sure, that my redemer lyueth, and *that I shall ryse out of the earth in the latter daye* :
- 26 *that I shall be clothed agayn with this skynne*, and se God in my flesh.
- 27 *Yea, I my selfe shal behold him, not with other, but with these same eyes.*
- 28 *My reines* are consumed within me, *did not ye saye*: why doth he *suffre persecuciō*? *Is there found an occasion in me*?
- 23 *put: writen euen* describerentur P. exarentur J. (1)
- 24 *Would...were* (utinam P.): *And* (in italics) stiloque J. (2)
- *to continue: for euer* ut sint in perpetuum P. ut in perpetuum sint M. quo perpetuo durent J. (3)
- 25 *that I...daye: and he shal stand the last on the earth* (novissimus resurget in pulvere M. alternative rendering). (4)
- 26 *that...and se: And thogh after my skin wormes destroy this bodie, yet shal I se* (et post pellem meam contritam vermes contriverunt hanc carnem et de carne mea videbo deum P. Et postquam corroserint (vermes) corpus istud videbo deum de carne mea M.: otherwise J.) (5)
- 27 *Yea,...eyes: Whome I my self shal se, and mine eies shal beholde and nonother* for me (quem ego visurus sum mihi, et oculi mei videbunt et non alienus P. Similarly M. and J.) (6)
- 28 *My reines: thogh my reines* (none) (7)
- *did...saye: But ye said* (none) (8)
- *why doth...persecution: Why is he persecuted?* (ob quid patitur persecutionem M.) (9)
- *Is there...in me?: And there was a depe matter in me* (none)<sup>1</sup> (10)

Throughout these verses the French rendering is widely different; and of the ten changes introduced into the text

<sup>1</sup> The margin of the French Bible of 1559 has *Cause bien fondée*.



of the Great Bible three of considerable importance are apparently original (7, 8, 10). Of the remainder one perhaps comes from the version of Leo Juda (2), four from Pagninus (1, 3, 5, 6), one from Münster (4), and one is linguistic (9).

The revision of the Prophets is similar in kind to that of the historical books though the changes are far more numerous:

- (GREAT BIBLE.) 2 The people that *walke* in darckenes *is ix.*  
haue sene a greate lyght. *As for them that dwell* in the  
lande of the Shadowe of death, vpon them hath the  
lyght shyned.
- 3 Thou hast multiplyed the *people*, & not increased theyr  
joye. They *reioyse* before the, *even as men make mery* in  
harueste, and as men *that have gotten the victory*, when  
they *deale the spoyle*.
- 4 *For thou hast broken the yocke of the peoples burthen:*  
*the staff of hys shoulder and the rod of his oppressoure*, as  
in the *dayes* of Madian.
- 5 *And trulie every batayll that the warryour accomplissheth*  
*is done with confused noyse*, & *defylynge ther garmentes*  
*with bloude*: But *this batayle* shall be with burnynge &  
*consumynge of fyre*.
- 6 For vnto vs a childe is borne & vnto vs a sonne is geuen.  
*Vpon hys shoulder doth the kyngdome lye*, and *he is called*  
*with hys awne name*, wonderfull: *The geuer of counsell*,  
the myghtie God, the euerlasting father, the prince of  
peace,
- 7 *he shall make no ende to encrease the kyngdome & peace*, &  
*shall syt vpon the seate of Dauid & in his kyngdome*, to  
*set vp the same*, & to stablish it with *equytie* and *rygh-*  
*teousnesse* from hence forth *for euermore*.

2 *walke* (M. J.): *walked* P. (1)

— *As...dwell*: *thei that dwelled* habitantibus P. J. (2)

3 *people*: *natiō gentem* P. M. J. (3)

— *reioyse*: *haue reioyced* lætati sunt P. M. J. (4)

- 3 *even...mery: according to the ioye* secundum lætitiā  
P. M. (5)  
— *that...victory: reioyce* quemadmodum (sicut M.)  
exultant P. M. J. (6)  
— *deale the: diuide a* (7)
- 4 *For thou...the: For the* (8)  
— *the peoples: their* ejus P. M. J. (9)  
— *the (P.): & the* P. M. J. (10)  
— *hys: their* (bis) (11)  
— *oppressoure: oppressour hast thou broken* (8)  
— *dayes* (temporibus J.): *day* P. M. (12)  
— [*Madian: Midian* P. M. (13)]
- 5 *And trulie: Surely* (equidem J.) (14)  
— *that...accomplissheth* (quod fit per prælantem M.): *of the warriour* (profligantis J.) (15)  
— *is...confused noyse* (fit strepitu tumultuoso J.): *is with noise* So P. M. (16)  
— *defylynge ther: with tumbling of* volutatione vest. M. J. (17)  
— *with: in* So M. J. (18)  
— *this batayle* (hoc vero bellum M.): *this* (ital.) (19)  
— *consumynge: deuouring* M. J. (20)
- 6 *Vpon...lye: & the gouernement is vpo his shulder* fuit (factus est M.) principatus super humerum ejus P. M. otherwise J. (21)  
— *he is...name: he shal call his name* (none) (22)  
— *The geuer of counsell: Coüseller* consiliarius P. M. J. Conseillier Fr. (23)
- 7 *he shall...peace: The increase of his gouernement and peace shal haue none end* (Multiplicatio principatus et pax (erunt) absque fine M.) (24)  
— *& shall: he shal* So J. (25)  
— *seate: throne* So P. M. J. (26)  
— *in: vpon* So P. M. J. (27)  
— *set...same: order it* ut disponat M. (28)  
— *equytie: iudgement* iudicio P. M. J. jugement Fr. (29)  
— *ryghteousnesse: with iustice* iustitia P. M. J. justice Fr. (30)

7 *for euermore: euen for euer et usque in seculum*  
P. M. (31)

Of these thirty-one alterations by far the largest part is due to the desire of greater literality: no less than fifteen can be traced to Pagninus (1—6, 9, 10, 12, 13, 16, 21, 23, 27, 31), five to Münster (17, 18, 20, 24, 28), three perhaps to Leo Juda (14, 15, 25), two are original (19, 22), and six changes are linguistic (7, 8, 11, 25, 28, 29).

In the Apocryphal books the influence of the French translation, which was due as we have seen to Beza, is unmistakeable. One example may suffice:

| GREAT BIBLE,<br>1550.  | GENEVA, 1560.  | FRENCH BIBLE<br>(Lyons), 1556 <sup>1</sup> .   |
|--|--|--|
| 15 God hath<br>graunted me to<br><i>talke wisely, and<br/>cōueniently to hādle<br/>the thinges that he<br/>hathe graciouslye lent<br/>me. For it is he,<br/>that leadeth vnto<br/>wisdome, and teach-<br/>eth to vse wisdom a<br/>right.</i> | God hathe grant-<br>ed me to <i>speake ac-<br/>cording to my minde,<br/>and to iudge worthely<br/>of the things, that are<br/>giuen me: for he is<br/>the leader vnto wis-<br/>dome, and the direc-<br/>ter of the wise.</i> | Et Dieu m'ha <i>Wisd. vii.</i><br>donné <i>de parler à<br/>ma volonté, &amp; de<br/>presumer choses di-<br/>gnes de celles qui me<br/>sont donnees: car ces-<br/>tuy est le conducteur<br/>de sapience, &amp; le<br/>correcteur des sages.</i> |
| 16 In his hande<br>are bothe we and<br>oure wordes: yea,<br>all <i>our</i> wisdome,<br><i>oure understandinge</i><br>and knowledge of<br><i>all</i> oure workes.   | <i>For</i> in his hand<br>are bothe we and<br>our wordes, <i>and</i> all<br>wisdome, <i>&amp; the</i><br>knowledge of <i>the</i><br>workes.  | <i>Car</i> nous sommes<br>en la main d'icelui,<br>nous & noz paroles,<br>& aussi toute sapi-<br>ence <i>&amp; discipline des<br/>œuvres</i> de science.  |
| 17 For he hathe<br>gyuen me the true<br><i>science</i> of <i>these</i><br>thinges: so that I<br>knowe howe the<br>worlde was made,   | For he hathe giuē<br>me the true <i>know-<br/>ledge</i> of <i>the</i> things<br><i>that are</i> , so that I<br>knowe how the<br>worlde was made,   | <i>Car</i> cestuy m'ha<br>donné la vraye <i>sci-<br/>ence</i> des choses <i>qui<br/>sont</i> : à fin que ie<br>sache la disposition<br>de toute la terre, &  |

<sup>1</sup> I have endeavoured to preserve the original spelling.

| GREAT BIBLE,<br>1550.  | GENEVA, 1560.   | FRENCH BIBLE<br>(Lyons), 1556.   |
|--|---|--|
| and the powers of<br>the elementes :   | and the powers of<br>the elements,  | les vertus des ele-<br>mens,   |
| 18 the beginninge,<br>endinge, and midd-<br>est of the times :<br><i>how the times altre,<br/>howe one goeth after<br/>an other, and how<br/>they are fulfilled,</i>   | The beginning<br><i>and the end</i> , & the<br>middles of the times :<br>how the times alter,<br><i>and the change of the<br/>seasons,</i>  | le commence-<br>ment, <i>la</i> consumma-<br>tion & <i>le</i> milieu des<br>temps, changemens<br>des mutations, & <i>les<br/>diuisions des temps,</i><br>les decours des an-<br>nees, <i>les dispositions</i><br>des estoilles,  |
| 19 the course of<br>the yere: the <i>ordi-<br/>naunces</i> of the<br>starres :   | The course of the<br>yere, the <i>situacion</i> of<br>the starres,  |  |
| 20 the nature <i>and<br/>kyndes of beastes</i> :<br>the furiousnesse of<br>beastes: the power<br>of the windes: the<br>ymaginacyons of<br>men: the diuersities<br>of <i>yonge</i> plantes: the<br>vertues of rootes, | The nature of <i>liu-<br/>ing things</i> , and the<br>furiousnes of beasts,<br>the power of y <sup>e</sup><br>windes, and the ima-<br>ginacions of men,<br>the diuersities of<br>plants, <i>and</i> the ver-<br>tues of rootes. | les natures <i>des<br/>animaux</i> , & les cor-<br>roux des bestes, la<br>force des vêts, &<br>les cogitations des<br>hommes, les differ-<br>ences des plantes, &<br>les vertus des ra-<br>cines: & ay apprins<br>toutes choses <i>secret-<br/>tes &amp; manifestes.</i><br>Car <i>l'ouurier de<br/>toutes choses m'ha<br/>enseigné par sapi-<br/>ence</i> <sup>1</sup> .... |
| 21 and al <i>such</i><br>thinges <i>as are secrete<br/>and not looked for</i> ,<br>haue I learned: For<br><i>the workemaster of<br/>all thinges hath taught<br/>me wisdome...</i>                                    | And all things<br><i>bothe secret and know-<br/>en</i> do I knowe: for<br><i>wisdome the worker<br/>of all things, hathe<br/>taught me it...</i>  |  |
| 27 And <i>for so<br/>muche as she is</i> one,<br>she <i>may</i> do all<br>thinges, and <i>beinge<br/>stedfast</i> her selfe she<br>renueth all: and<br><i>amonge the people</i>                                      | And <i>being</i> one,<br>she <i>can</i> do all things,<br>and <i>remaining in</i> her<br>self, renueth all, and<br><i>according to the ages</i><br><i>she entreth</i> into the<br>holie soules, <i>and</i>                      | Et <i>combien qu'elle<br/>soit</i> seule, elle <i>peult</i><br>toutes choses, & <i>es-<br/>tant</i> en soy <i>perma-<br/>nente</i> , elle renouuelle<br>toutes choses, & <i>par<br/>les nations descendant</i>   |

<sup>1</sup> The revision of 1588 has as a marginal rendering 'car la Sapience' 'qui est l'ouurier de toutes choses' 'm'a enseigné.'

GREAT BIBLE,  
1550.

*connugeth she her  
selfe into the holy  
soules. She maketh  
Gods frendes and  
prophetes :*

28 *for God loueth  
noman but him in  
whom wisdom dwel-  
eth.*

29 *For she is more  
beautyfull then the  
Sunne & giueth more  
light then the starres,  
and the daye is not  
to be compared vnto  
her :*

30 *for vpon the  
day commeth night.  
But wickednesse  
cannot ouercome  
wisdome, and fool-  
ishnesse maye not be  
with her.*

GENEVA, 1560.

*maketh them the  
friends of God and  
Prophetes.*

*For God loueth  
none, if he dwell not  
with wisdom.*

*For she is more  
beautiful then the  
sunne, and is aboue  
all the order of the  
starres, and the  
light is not to be  
compared unto her.*

*For night cometh  
vpo it, but wicked-  
nes can not ouer-  
come wisdom.*

FRENCH BIBLE  
(Lyons), 1556.

*es saintes ames, elle  
ordonne les amis de  
Dieu & les pro-  
phetes.*

*Car Dieu n'ayme  
personne fors que ce-  
lui, qui habite avec  
sapience.*

*Car icelle est plus  
belle que le Soleil,  
& par dessus toute  
la disposition des es-  
toilles, elle compa-  
ree à la lumiere est  
trouuee la premiere :*

*car à ceste succede  
la nuict, mais ma-  
lice ne vaincra point  
sapience.*

Conversely the same books shew that the English version influenced the later French revision :

LYONS, 1556.

19 *I'estoye aussi  
vn enfant ingenieux,  
& auoye d'auenture  
trouué vne bonne  
ame.*

20 *Mais estant vn  
peu meilleur, ie vins  
à vn corps sans  
souillure.*

21 *Et quand ie*

GENEVA, 1560.

*For I was a wit-  
tie childe, and was  
of a good spirit.*

*Yea, rather being  
good, I came to an  
vndefiled bodie.*

*Neuertheles, when*

GENEVA, 1588.

*Or estoy-ie aussi  
vn enfant ingenieux  
& m' estoit escheute  
vne bonne ame :*

*Ou plutost, estant  
bon, i'estoye venu en  
vn corps sans souil-  
lure.*

*Quand donc i'eue*

*The English  
Version  
influenced  
the later  
French one  
of 1588.*

*Wisd. viii.*

| LYONS, 1556.  | GENEVA, 1560.  | GENEVA, 1588.   |
|---|--|---|
| <p>cognu <i>que autrement ne pouuoie estre continent</i>, si Dieu ne le donnoit, &amp; que celà mesmes estoit <i>souueraine sapience</i> de sauoir de qui estoit ce don: ie m'en allay <i>au</i> Seigneur, &amp; le priay, &amp; <i>lui dis</i> de tout mon cœur...</p> | <p>I perceiued <i>that I colde not enioye her</i>, except God gaue <i>her</i> (and that was a <i>pointe of wisdom</i>e also, to knowe whose gifte it was) I went <i>unto</i> the Lord, and besoght him, <i>and with my whole heart I said</i>...</p> | <p>cognu <i>que ie n'e pourroy iouir</i>, si Dieu ne me <i>la</i> donnoit, &amp; que cela mesme estoit <i>prudence</i>, de sauoir de qui estoit ce don, ie m' en allai <i>supplier le</i> Seigneur, &amp; le priai, <i>disant</i> de tout mon cœur...</p> |

*Summary of the characteristics of the revision of the Old Testament.*

The examples which have been given exhibit very fairly the method of revision which was adopted by the Genevan translators in the Old Testament. In all parts they took the Great Bible as their basis and corrected its text, without ever substituting for it a new translation. Even where the changes are greatest the original foundation can still be traced, and the new work fairly harmonizes with the old. One chief aim of the revisers seems to have been to make the translation as nearly verbal as possible, and consequently in a great number of passages they replace the renderings of the Zurich scholars (Coverdale) or Münster by those of Pagninus. At the same time there is abundant evidence to shew that they were perfectly competent to deal independently with points of Hebrew scholarship; and minute changes in expression shew that they were not indifferent to style.

*The revision of the New Testament based mainly on Beza.*

The history of the Genevan New Testament is simpler than that of the Old. It is little more than the record of the application of Beza's translation<sup>1</sup> and commentary to Tindale's Testament in three successive stages, first in the separate New Testament of 1557 (Gt)<sup>2</sup>, next in the Bible of 1560 (G), and lastly in the New Testament of

<sup>1</sup> [In quoting Beza's translation I have only referred to the editions of 1556 and 1559 by which alone the

Genevan version of 1560 could be influenced. W. A. W.]

<sup>2</sup> See p. 223, n. 4.

L. Tomson in 1576<sup>1</sup> (T). The revisers undoubtedly exercised an independent judgment in following his renderings. They did not adopt all the alterations which he suggested; and at times they introduced original phrases; but by far the greater part of the changes which were made in the text of Tindale were simply due to Beza<sup>2</sup>.

An analysis of the changes in one short Epistle will render this plain. Thus, according to as accurate a calculation as I can make, more than two-thirds of the new renderings in 1 John introduced into the revision of 1560 are derived from Beza, and two-thirds of these then for the first time. The rest are due mainly to the revisers themselves<sup>3</sup>, and of these only two are found in the revision of 1557. Tomson adds barely five or six closer approximations to Beza, of which one is important (v. 4 'hath overcome'); and once he definitely goes against him (iv. 9 'Herein was that love of God made manifest *'amongst us'*').

*The changes  
in 1 John.*

The general conclusion thus indicated will be made still clearer by an examination of two short continuous passages. The differences between the first New Testament and the New Testament in the Bible (1560) will thus appear, and it will be seen that the revision in the latter extended to points of language as well as to points of interpretation<sup>4</sup>:

<sup>1</sup> Tomson's New Testament presents the fullest form of Beza's influence. One peculiarity is characteristic of Tomson alone. In his anxiety to express the emphatic force of the Greek article he constantly renders it by 'that' or 'this,' and in many cases the effect is almost grotesque. One example will suffice: 'He that hath *that* Son hath *that* life: and he that hath not *that* Son of God hath not *that* life' (1 John v. 12).

<sup>2</sup> The basis of the Genevan Testament was certainly Tindale's (the last text, *i.e.* Matthew) and not the Great

Bible. See for instance Gal. i. 10, 14, 15, 19, 21: ii. 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, &c. The corresponding coincidences of the Genevan Testament with the Great Bible against Tindale are very few: Gal. i. 9, 12: ii. 4.

<sup>3</sup> The most striking are: ii. 18, 19, 20, 29: iv. 5: v. 6.

<sup>4</sup> It is very greatly to be regretted that the New Testament of 1557 and not the New Testament of the Bible has been reprinted in Bagster's Hexapla as the Genevan version. The confusion which has resulted from this error of judgment has led to end-

Eph. ii.

(TINDALE, 1534.) 12 *Remēber I saye, y<sup>e</sup> ye were at that tyme w<sup>t</sup> oute Christ, & were reputed aliantes from the cōmen welth of Israel, & were straūgers<sup>1</sup> frō the testamente of promes, & had no hope, & were with out god in this worlde.*

13 But now in Christ *Iesu*, ye which *a whyle agoo<sup>2</sup>* were farre of, are made *nye* by y<sup>e</sup> bloude of Christ.

14 For he is oure peace, whych hath made of both one, and hath *broken doune the wall y<sup>e</sup> was a stoppe bi-twene vs,*

15 *and hath also put awaye thorow his flesshe, the cause of hatred (that is to saye, the lawe<sup>3</sup> of commaundementes contayned in the lawe written) for to make of twayne one newe mā in him silfe, so makynge peace :*

16 and *to recōcile both vnto god in one body thorow his crosse, and slewe hatred therby :*

17 and came and preached peace to you which were a farre of, and to them that were *nye*.

18 For thorow him we both have an *open waye in, in one sprete vnto the father.*

12 *Remēber...at Gt: That ye were, I say, G. T.<sup>4</sup> (vos inquam...fuisse B.) (1)*

— *reputed Gt: om. G. T. (so B.) (2)*

— *testamentes: couenants Gt. G. T. (pactis B. 1556, 1559) (3)*

— *this Gt: the G. T. (in mundo B.) (4)*

13 *Iesu: Iesus Gt. G. T. (5)*

— *a whyle agoo: once Gt. G. T. (olim B.) (6)*

less mistakes in discussions on the Authorized Version. The Testament of 1557 has had no independent influence on the A.V. as far as I can see. Compare Mr F. Fry on *The English New Testament of the Geneva Version* in the *Journal of Sacred Literature*, July, 1864.

<sup>1</sup> The Great Bible reads: being aliantes from...and straungers...

<sup>2</sup> somtyme (G. B.).

<sup>3</sup> euen the lawe (G. B.).

<sup>4</sup> The Testament of 1557 is marked by Gt and quoted from Bagster's Hexapla: G represents the first edition of the Bible 1560: T, Tomson's Testament quoted from the Bible of 1576. The rendering of Beza is marked B. G gives the following words in italics: Eph. ii. 2 *I say, were* 1<sup>o</sup>; 15 *that is, which standeth, so*; 16 *his*. Rev. ii. 9 *I knowe, are* 2<sup>o</sup>.



- 13 *nye* Gt: *nere* G. T. (7)  
 — *the bloude I say of Christe* Gt. (inquam B. 1556, 1559)  
   G. omits *I say*. (8)  
 14 *broken...s: broken the stoppe of the particion wall* Gt.  
   G. T. (intergerini parietis septum B.) (9)  
 15 *and...awaye: In abrogating* Gt. G. T. (inimicitiiis...  
   abrogatis B. 1556, 1559) (10)  
 — *cause of* om. Gt. G. T. (so B.) (11)  
 — *to saye* Gt: om. G. T. (so B.) (12)  
 — *contayned...written: which standeth in ceremonies (or-*  
   *dinances* G. T.) Gt. G. T. (quæ in ritibus posita  
   est B.) (13)  
 16 *to: that he myght* Gt. G. T. (ut conderet...et ut recon-  
   ciliaret B.) (14)  
 — *thorow: by* Gt. G. T. (per B.) (15)  
 — *slewe: slaye* Gt. G. T. (16)  
 17 *and to them that were nye (and nye Gt): & to them*  
   *that were nere* G. T. (17)  
 18 *open waye in, in (by Gt) one sprete vnto the father:*  
   *entrance* (so Great Bible) *vnto the Father by one*  
   *Spirit* G. T. (aditum per unum Spiritum ad Patrem  
   B.) (18)

Thus it will be seen that the Testament (Gt 1557) differs from the Bible (1560) in nine places, half of the whole number (1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 12, 13, 17, 18), and of these variations two are of considerable importance (2, 17). In one case the Bible deserts Beza where the Testament followed him (13), one change is simply linguistic (7), but in the other seven cases the Bible is supported by Beza. Of the remaining nine changes common to the Testament and Bible five are in accordance with Beza (3, 9, 11, 14, 15), one is perhaps independent of him (6), and the remaining three are changes of expression (5, 10, 16). In this passage Tomson agrees with the Bible.

(TINDALE, 1534.) 8 And unto the angell of the *con-* *Rev. ii.*  
*gregacion* of *Smyrna* wryte: These thynges sayth he

that is fyrste, and *the* laste, which was deed and is alive.

- 9 I knowe thy workes and tribulacion and poverté, but thou art ryche: And I knowe the blaspemy of them whiche *call them selves* Iewes, and are not: but are the *congregaciō* of sathan.
- 10 Feare none of thoo thynges which thou shalt soffre. Beholde, *the devyll* shall caste *of* you into preson, *to tempte you*, and ye shall have tribulacion .x. dayes. *Be* faythfull vnto the deeth and I will geve thee *a* crown of lyfe.
- 11 Let him that hath *ears* heare, what the sprete sayth to the *congregacions*: He that overcōmeth shall not be hurte of the seconde deeth.

8 *congregacion of Smyrna: Church of the Smyrnians* Gt. G. T. (Smyrnæorum B. 1559) (Smyrnæ Ecclesiæ B. 1556) (1)

— *the*: om. Gt. G. T. (2)

9 *call them selves* Gt.: *say they are* G. T. (se dicunt... esse B.) (3)

— *congregacion*: *Synagogue* Gt. G. T. (synagoga B.) (4)

10 *the devyll: it shall come to passe, that the d.* Gt. G. T. (futurum est ut...B.) (5)

— *of: some of* (so Great Bible) Gt. G. T. (6)

— *to...you: that ye may be tryed* Gt. G. T. (ut exploremini B. 1559 ut tentemini B. 1556) (7)

— *Be* Gt.: *be thou* G. T. (8)

— *a: the* Gt. G. T. (9)

11 *ears: an eare* Gt. G. T. (aurem B.) (10)

— *congregacions: Churches* Gt. G. T. (ecclesiis B.) (11)

In this passage again Tomson's text agrees with that of the Bible<sup>1</sup>. The Testament differs from it twice (3, 8), and in both cases the Bible agrees with Beza. The remaining nine changes are all, as far as the Latin can express them, in accordance with Beza, and one is evidently due to him (5).

<sup>1</sup> An important example of his disagreement is given below, p. 228, n. 1.

It is of more importance to place in a clear light the real origin of the changes in the English Genevan New Testament, because very many of them have passed from that into our own Bible, and it has been forgotten to whom the renderings are due. Thus Archbp Trench quotes five passages to shew<sup>1</sup> 'the very good and careful scholarship 'brought to bear upon this [the Genevan] revision,' in which 'it is the first to seize the exact meaning...which 'all the preceding versions had missed.' They are all derived from Beza. In one case the English translator has adopted his alternative rendering; in the four others he simply takes Beza's translation :

*Examples  
of right ren-  
derings  
taken from  
Beza.*

Luke xi. 17 one housse shall (doth *Great Bible*) fall upon another (*Tindale G.B.*).

domus super domum cadit (*Erasmus*).

domus adversus se partita cadit (*Beza* 1556, 1559).

a house deuied against it self (an house G. T.) falleth (Gt).

Acts xxiii. 27 cam I...and rescued him, and perceaved that he was a Romaine (*Tind. G.B.*).

superveniens...exemi, cognito quod Romanus esset (*Beza* 1556, 1559).

I came...& rescued him, perceauing that he was a Romaine (Gt).

Acts xxvii. 9 because also that we (they *G.B.*) had overlonge fasted (*Tind. G.B.*).

quod jam etiam jejunium [tempus designat Lucas ex more Judaici populi] præteriisset (*Beza*).

because also y<sup>e</sup> tyme of (om. the time of G. T.) the Fast was now passed (Gt).

James i. 13 God tempteth not (cannot tempt *G.B.*) unto evil (*Tind. G.B.*).

Deus tentari malis non potest (*Beza*).

God cannot be tempted with euyl (Gt)<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> On the Authorized Version, p. 113 n. p. 197) is found in the Great Bible after the first edition.

<sup>2</sup> This rendering (as we have seen,

Mark xiv. 72 [he] began to weep (*Tind. G.B.*),  
 animum adiiciens fleuit (*Beza* 1556, 1559 *not.*).  
 waying that with himselfe, he wept (Gt).

The credit of recognizing the right turning remains, but the Genevan translator can have no claim to original sagacity on this evidence.

To place the relation of the Genevan translator to Beza in a still clearer light it will be worth while, though it is an ungracious task, to quote an equal number of cases where under the same influence the Genevan version first goes wrong.

*Examples  
of false  
readings  
taken from  
Beza.*

Matt. i. 11 Iosias begate *Iacim* And *Iacim* begate *Iechonias*.  
 Luke ii. 22 When the tyme of *Maries* purification...was  
 come.

Luke iii. 36 (Sala) which was the sonne of Arphaxad...

Rev. xi. 1 Then was geven me a rede, lyke vn to a rodde,  
*and the Angel stode by*, saing...

Hebr. x. 38 But if *any* withdraw him selfe.

Mark xvi. 2 When the sunne *was yet rysing*.

Of these, which include four arbitrary corrections of the text, the second and fourth and fifth have been incorporated in our present version: the first was abandoned by Beza in his third edition: the sixth is suggested in a note<sup>1</sup> and has modified the received rendering.

*Beza's in-  
fluence on  
the whole  
beneficial.*

A comparison of the two groups of passages will shew at once the strength and the weakness of Beza, and so of the revisions which were moulded after him. In the interpretation of the text he was singularly clear-sighted: in the criticism of the text he was more rash than his contemporaries in proportion as his self-reliance was greater. But though it is a far more grievous matter to corrupt the text than to misinterpret it, the cases in which Beza has corrected the renderings of former translators

<sup>1</sup> One still more surprising change has been adopted in A.V. though it is not in 1557, ἀποθανόντος for ἀπο-

θανόντες in Rom. vii. 6 (He being dead in whom we were holden, T.).

are incomparably more numerous than those in which he has introduced false readings; and on the whole his version is far superior to those which had been made before, and so consequently the Genevan revisions which follow it<sup>1</sup>.

The notes of the Genevan Version contributed so greatly to its influence that some examples of them may be added which will be sufficient to shew the general character and scope of the commentary.

'Thogh we prouoke God iustly to angre, yet he wil  
'neuer reiect his.

*The notes of  
the Genevan  
Bible.*

*Ex. iv. 14.*

'God repeteth this point because the whole keping of  
'the Lawe standeth in the true vse of the Sabbath, w<sup>e</sup> is to  
'cease from our workes. & to obey the wil of Ged.

*Ex. xxxi.  
14.*

'For finding nothing in mā that cā deserue mercie, he  
'wil frely saue his.

*Ex. xxxiii.  
19.*

'Hereby it appeareth that Naomi by dwellig amōg  
'idolaters was waxen colde in y<sup>e</sup> true zeale of God, w<sup>e</sup>  
'rather hathe respect to the ease of y<sup>e</sup> body than to y<sup>e</sup>  
'comfort of y<sup>e</sup> soule.

*Ruth i. 9.*

'Herein he shewed y<sup>t</sup> he lacked zeale: for she oght to  
'haue dyed bothe by the couenant, and by the Lawe of  
'God: but he gaue place to foolish pitie, & wolde also  
'seme after a sorte to satisfie the Lawe.

*2 Chron. xv.  
16.*

'Tabór is a moūtaine Westwarde frō Ierusalē, &  
'Hermón Eastwarde: so the Prophet signifieth y<sup>t</sup> all  
'partes & places of the worlde shal obey Gods power for  
'the deliuerance of his Church.

*Ps. lxxxix.  
12.*

'He speaketh this for two causes: y<sup>e</sup> one, because  
'he y<sup>t</sup> was a mortal creature, and therefore had more nede  
'to glorifie God then the Angels, did it not: and the other,  
'because y<sup>e</sup> more nere y<sup>t</sup> man approacheth to God, the more  
'doeth he knowe his owne sinne, & corruption.

*Is. vi. 5.*

<sup>1</sup> The books of the Bible are thus arranged:

'The Names and order of all the  
'Books of the Old and New Testa-  
'ment...' ['Genesis...Malachi.

'The Books called Apocrypha. 1

'Esdr. 2 Esdr.—1 Macc. 2 Macc.

'The Books of the New Testa-  
'ment. Matthew...The Epistle of  
'Paul to the Romans...Titus, Phile-  
'mon. To the Ebrewes. James...  
'Jude. Revelation.'

*Jerem.*  
*xxxi. 35.*

'If the sunne, moone, and starres can not but giue  
'light according to mine ordinance, so long as this worlde  
'lasteth, so shal my Church neuer faile, nether shal anie  
'thing hinder it: and as sure as I wil haue a people, so  
'certeine is it, that I wil leaue them my worde for euer to  
'gouverne them with.

*Rom. i. 18.*

'He deuicid the law of nature corrupt into vngodlines,  
'& vnrighteousnes. Vngodlines conteineth the false wor-  
'shipp of God: vnrighteousnes, breache of loue towarde  
'man.

*Rom. ix.*  
*15.*

'As the onelie wil & purpose of God is the chief cause  
'of election & reprobacion, so his fre mercie in Christ  
'is an inferior cause of saluacion, & the hardening of the  
'heart, an inferior cause of damnacion.

*James v. 16.*

'Open that w<sup>c</sup> greueth you, y<sup>t</sup> a remedie may be  
'founded: and this is cōmanded bothe for him y<sup>t</sup> com-  
'plaineth, & for hī that heareth y<sup>t</sup> the one shulde shew his  
'grief to the other.

*Rev. vi. 9.*

'The soules of the Saintes are vnder the altar which  
'is Christ, meanīg that they are in his safe custodie in the  
'heauens.

*Rev. ix. 3.*

'Locustes are false teachers, heretikes, and worldlie  
'suttil Prelates, with Monkes, Freres, Cardinals, Patriarkes,  
'Archebishops, Bishops, Doctors, Baschellers & masters  
'which forsake Christ to mainteine false doctrine<sup>1</sup>.

## § 7. THE BISHOPS' BIBLE.

*The design  
of the  
Bishops'  
Bible.*

The correspondence on the subject of the Bishops' Bible which has been already quoted explains the general design of the revisers<sup>2</sup>. It was their object to remove from the Great Bible all errors which seemed to impair the sense, and at the same time to produce a popular and not a literary version. In both respects—in the alteration of the renderings and in the alteration of the

<sup>1</sup> In the New Testament the notes in the Bible (1560) differ from those in the Testament of 1557 (e.g. Matt. xxviii. 15; Mark i. 1; Rom. xvi. 7),

but chiefly by additions made in the Bible.

<sup>2</sup> See pp. 96 ff.

language—they proposed at least in the first instance to confine themselves to necessary changes, for the revision was essentially conservative in its conception. But in the execution of the plan some of the revisers certainly made use of far wider liberty than the original scheme permitted.

The execution of the work is indeed, if a very partial examination may be trusted, extremely unequal; and the Greek scholarship of the revisers is superior to their Hebrew scholarship. How far the separate sections are marked by the special characteristics of the men engaged upon them I cannot say, and the inquiry is not one which would reward the labour which it would cost. Still the revision has received far less attention than it deserves, and in the New Testament it shews considerable vigour and freshness.

*The design  
unequally  
carried out.*

The historical books of the Old Testament follow the text of the Great Bible very closely<sup>1</sup>. The Hagiographa, as far as I have examined them, are corrected with considerable freedom. The Prophets are altered very frequently, but in these the new renderings can generally be traced to some other source. The influence of the Genevan revision is perceptible throughout, but it is more obvious in the Prophets than elsewhere. Castalio was certainly consulted and had some influence with the revisers, but with the exception of the Genevan version itself no fresh sources were open to them in addition to those which the Genevan exiles had used<sup>2</sup>.

*General  
character.*

One or two passages will illustrate what has been said<sup>3</sup>. *Examples.*

<sup>1</sup> [This is not strictly accurate. The changes may not be important, but they are numerous. In Gen. i. out of 31 verses 22 are altered. In Gen. ii. out of 25 verses 17 are altered. In Gen. xiv. out of 24 verses 17 are altered. In Gen. xxii. out of 22 verses 12 are altered. In Gen. xxiv. out of the first 14 verses 8 are altered. In Ruth iii. out of 18 verses 8 are altered. These instances are taken from the narrative portions of the

historical books. In the poetical parts, such as Gen. xlix., Ex. xv., Num. xxiii., xxiv., Deut. xxxii., xxxiii., Judg. v., 1 Sam. ii., 2 Sam. i., xxii., xxiii., 1 Chr. xvi., the changes are equally numerous.]

<sup>2</sup> See pp. 212, 213.

<sup>3</sup> The passages are taken from the Great Bible of 1550. The readings of the Bishops' Bible are from the first edition of 1568.

Is. liii.

- GREAT BIBLE, 1550<sup>1</sup>. 1 But who hath geuen credence vnto *the thing we haue heard?* Or to whom is the arme of the Lorde knowne?
- 2 For he dyd grow before the Lord lyke as a braunch and as a rote in a drye grouūd. He hath nether bewtye nor fauour. When we *shall loke* vpon him, there shalbe no fayrnesse: we shal haue no lust vnto him.
- 3 He is despysed and abhorred of men, he is suche a mā as *is full of sorowe and as hath good experience of infirmyties.* We haue rekened him so vyle, that we hyd oure faces from him, *yee he was despysed & therefore we regarded him not.*
- 4 Howebeit he only hath taken on him our *infirmities* & borne our paynes. Yet we dyd iudge him, as though he were plaged & cast downe of God: *& punished*
- 5 where as he (*not with standinge*) was wouūded for our offences, & smyttē for our wickenes. For the *chastisemēt of our peace* was layde vpon him, and with his stripes are we healed.
- 6 As for vs, we *haue* gone all astray (lyke shepe) euery one hath turned his owne waye. But the lorde hath *heaped together* vpon him *the iniquitie of us all.*
- 7 He suffered violence and was euel intreated: and dyd not *yet* opē his mouth. He shall be led as a shepe to be slayne, yet shal he be as styl as a lambe before the shearer, and not open his mouth.
- 8 *He was had awaye frō prison: his cause not hearde and without any iudgement. Whose generation yet who may numbre?* he was cut of from the grounde of the lyuinge: whiche punishment dyd go vpon him for the transgression of my people, *which in dede had deserued that punishment.*
- 9 His graue was geuen him with the condempned, and with the ryche man at his death, Where as he did neuer violence: nor vnright, neyther hath there bene any disceatfulnesse in his mouth.

<sup>1</sup> The italics, as before, indicate words and phrases which were changed in the revision. The renderings substituted are given in detail afterwards.



- 10 Yet hath it pleased the Lorde *thus, to bruste him with plages, and to smite him with infirmitie*, that when he had made his soule an offeringe for sinne, he might see longe lastinge sede. And this deuyce of the Lorde shall prosper in his hande.
- 11 *With tranayle and laboure of his soule, shall he optayne fruyte, and he shalbe satisfied by the knowledge of him whiche is my righteous seruaunte: he shal iustifie the multitude, for he shall beare awaye their sinnes.*
- 12 Therfore will I geue him *the multitude* for his parte: and he shall dcuyde the spoyle with the *strongest*, because he geueth ouer his soule to death: And is rekened amonge the transgressours, which neuertheles hath taken awaye the sinnes of the multitude, and made intercession for the misdoers.
- 1 *the thinge we haue heard: our preaching* (our reporte *Is. liii.* Geneva 1560) *prædicationi nostræ* Leo Juda<sup>1</sup> (1)
- 2 *shall loke.* (shall se G.): *loke* videmus J. (2)
- 3 *as is full...infirmities: as hath good experience of sorowes and infirmities.* homo dolorum ægritudinis-que gnarus C. (3)
- *yee he was dyspyed & therefore we regarded him not* (similarly G. and all): *omit* (4)
- 4 *infirmities* (so all): *infirmities* (5)
- *& punished* (and humbled G., similarly all): *omit* (6)
- 5 *not with standinge:* (in brackets and smaller type). (*om.* G.) (7)
- *the chastisemēt of our peace* (so P. M. G.): *the payne of our punishment* *mulcta correctionis nostræ* J. (8)
- 6 *we haue gone all* (G.): *we are all gone* (9)
- *heaped together...all* (hathe layed vpon hym the iniquitie of vs all G.): *throwen vpon hym all our sinnes* in eum omnium nostrum crimen coniecit C. (10)
- 7 *dyd not yet* (similarly M. J. G.): *dyd not* P. (11)
- 8 *He was had awaye.. iudgement: From the prison and*

<sup>1</sup> The translation of Leo Juda will be indicated by J. The Geneva version and the versions of Pagninus,

Münster, and Castalio are indicated by G, P, M, C.

- iudgement was he taken* (he was taken out from prison and from judgment G.): so P. C. otherwise J. (12)
- 8 *Whose generation...nembre: and his generation who can declare?* (and who shall declare his age? G.) generationem ejus quis enarrabit P. M. (13)
- *he was: for he was* (so P. M. J. G.) (14)
- which...punishment* (M): *om.* (so P. J. G.) (15)
- 10 *thus, to bruste him with plages, and to smite* (somewhat similarly P. M. G.): to smite (infirmendo atterere J.) (libuit autem Jovæ eum ægritudine contundere C.) (16)
- 11 *With trauayle...shalbe...: Of the trauayle and labour of his soule shall he see the fruite & be...* so M. (he shall see of the trauayl of his soule, (and) shalbe...G.) (17)
- *by the knowledge...shal iustifie* (M.): *My righteous servaunt shall with his knowledge iustifie* (by his knowledge shall my righteous servant justify many G.) cognitione sui multos justificabit justus servus meus J. similarly C. (18)
- *beare awaye...*: beare... (so P. M. J. C.) (19)
- 12 *the multitude for his parte...*: *among the great ones* his part... (give him a portion with the great G.) similarly P. M. C. otherwise J. (20)
- *the strongest* (M): *the mightie* (the strong G.) fortibus P. J. (21)

Thus of the twenty-one corrections five are due to the Genevan version (7, 12, 18, 20, 21): five more agree with Pagninus (11, 13, 14, 15, 19): three with Leo Juda (1, 2, 8): three with Castalio (3, 10, 16); and one with Münster (17). One change is simply linguistic (9), and three are apparently original (4, 5, 6).

In a passage from the Psalms the reviser shews far greater originality and the influence of the Genevan revision is considerably less<sup>1</sup>:

<sup>1</sup> [The original version of the Psalms in the Bishops' Bible of 1568 was reprinted in the quarto edition of 1569 and in the folio of 1571, where it was

accompanied by the Great Bible version printed side by side with it. It only appeared once more, in the Bible of 1585. One peculiarity of this ver-

- GREAT BIBLE 1550. 1 The heauens declare the glory of *Ps. xix.*  
 God, & the firmamente sheweth his handy worcke.  
 2 *One day telleth another: and one nighte certifieth another.*  
 3 *There is nether speache ner langage, but their voyces are*  
*heard amonge them. Their sounde is gone out (gone May*  
*1541) into all lādes: & their wordes īto the endes of the*  
*world.*  
 4 In them *hath he* set a tabernacle for the sunne, whiche  
 commeth forth as a brydgrome out of his chaumbre, and  
 reioyseth as a giaunt to runne his course.  
 5 *It goeth furthe* from the vtmost parte of *the* heauen, and  
 runneth about vnto the *ende of it agayne*, and there is  
 nothinge hid from *the heate therof.*  
 6 The lawe of *the Lord* is *an undefiled law* cōuerting the  
 soule. The testemony of *the Lorde* is sure, and geueth  
 wisdomē, vnto the simple.  
 7 The statutes of *the Lorde* are righte and reioyse the  
 herte, the commaundement of *the Lorde* is pure and  
 gyueth lighte vnto the eyes.  
 8 The feare of *the Lorde* is *cleane*, and endureth for euer,  
 the iudgementes of *the Lorde* are *true and righteous all*  
*together.*  
 9 *More to be desired are they* then golde, ye then much fine  
 golde: *sweter also* then honye, and the hony combe.  
 10 Moreouer, by thē *is thy seruauent taught*, and in kepinge  
 of them there is *greate* rewarde.  
 11 Who can *tell, how ofte he offendeth?* Oh clense thou me  
 frō (*my*) *secrete fautes.*  
 12 Kepe thy seruauente also from presumptuous *sinnes*, *Lest*  
*they get the dominion* ouer me: so *shal I* be *undefiled*, and  
*innocente frome the greate offence.*  
 13 Let the wordes of my mouth and the meditacyon of my  
 herte be (alwaye) acceptable in thy sight. O *Lorde*, my  
 strength and my redemer.  
 2 *One...another: A day occasioneth talke therof vnto a*  
*day: and a night teacheth knoweledge vnto a nyght.*

sion is that the words 'God' and 'Lord' are almost uniformly inter- changed, as will be seen in the examples here given.]

- (Daie vnto daie vttereth the same, and night vnto night teacheth knowledge G.) similarly P. M. (1)
- 3 *There is...gone out: No language, no wordes, no voyce of theirs is hearde: yet their sounde goeth* (non est [illis] sermo, non verba, neque auditur vox eorum, in omnem tamen... J.) (2)
- 4 *hath he: he hath* (3)
- 5 *It...furthe: His setting foorth is* egressus ejus M. his going out is G. (4)
- *the: om.* (5)
- *runneth about: his circuite* revolutio ejus P. M. his compas G. (6)
- *ende of it agayne: utmost part therof* ad extrema eorum M. J. (7)
- *the heate therof: his heat* (8)
- 6 *the Lord: God* (so throughout), not P. M. J. G. C. (9)
- *an vndefiled law: perfect* G. (10)
- 8 *cleane* (P. J. G.): *sincere* sincerus M. (11)
- *true* (J.): *trueth* P. M. G. (12)
- *and...all together: they be iust in all poyntes* (justificata pariter P. M. simulque justa J.) (13)
- 9 *More...they: They are more to be desired...* (14)
- *sweter also* (G.): *they are also sweeter* (15)
- 10 *is...taught: thy servaunt is well aduertised.* (perspicue admonetur J.) (16)
- *greate* (G): *a great* (17)
- 11 *tell...offendeth: knowe his owne errours* (errores quis intelligit M. errores quis animadvertat J.) (18)
- *(my) secret faults: those that I am not priue of* (19)
- 12 *sinnes: [sinnes]* (20)
- *Lest...dominion: let them not raigne* So G. (ne dominantur mihi P. M. J.) (21)
- *shal...vndefiled: I shall be perfect* perfectus ero P. (22)
- innocente...greate: voyde from all haynous* (innocens a quovis grandiori scelere J.) (23)
- 13 *(alway): omit.* So G. (24)
- *Lorde: God* (25)

Of these changes one-fifth appear to be original (1, 5, 13, 20, 25): seven more are linguistic (3, 8, 9, 14, 15, 17, 19): three are coincidences with Pagninus (6, 12, 22): five with Leo Juda (2, 7, 16, 18, 23); four with the Genevan version (4, 10, 21, 24): and one with Münster (11).

There is but little to recommend the original renderings of the Bishops' Bible in the Old Testament. As a general rule they appear to be arbitrary and at variance with the exact sense of the Hebrew text<sup>1</sup>. The revision of the New Testament however will repay careful study.

*The revision of the New Testament more important than that of the Old.*

Among the revisers was Lawrence, 'a man in those times of great fame for his knowledge in the Greek<sup>2</sup>', of whose labours Strype has preserved a singularly interesting memorial in a series of 'notes of errors in the translation of the N. T.<sup>3</sup>' Some of these are worthy of quotation.

*Lawrence's Notes.*

Matt. xxi. 33. '*There was a certain man, an householder, which made a vineyard. ὅστις ἐφύτευσεν ἀμπέλωνα (that is) which planted a vineyard. The word (made) is too general...I allow not such generalities in translation when our tongue hath as apt words as the Greek, ἵδ. he putteth (made) for ὥρυξεν (that is) he digged. The first error is amended in the Geneva Bible; the second is noted in the margin.*'

Matt. xxv. 20. '*I have gained with them five talents more: ἄλλα πέντε τάλαντα ἐκέρδησα ἐπ' αὐτῇ, signifieth over and besides them...*'

<sup>1</sup> It is possible that I have been unfortunate in the parts which I have examined; for what I saw did not encourage me to compare very much of the Bishops' text with the other versions.

<sup>2</sup> Strype's *Parker*, II. 223. [There is no reason to suppose that Lawrence was a reviser. He seems to have criticised certain passages in the translation of the New Testament of 1568, and his notes were at one time in the possession of Strype. It has been conjectured that he was Thomas Law-

rence, who was headmaster of Shrewsbury School, but this is impossible. Strype says the writer of the notes was an eminent Greek scholar who had taught Greek to Lady Burghley, once Mildred Cooke. Now Mildred Cooke was married in 1545, when Thomas Lawrence, who took his degree in 1566, must have been an infant. It was no doubt Giles Lawrence, Professor of Greek at Oxford, to whom Strype referred.]

<sup>3</sup> Strype, *App.* LXXXV. Lawrence notices twenty-nine passages.

Matt. xxviii. 14. '*We will save you harmless*: ἀμερίμ-  
'vous (that is,) *careless*: ἀβλαβής or ἀζήμιος is *harmless*:  
'ἀμέριμος, *careless*. I may be *harmless* in body and  
'goods, and yet not *careless*. This is not considered in  
'the Geneva Bible.'

Luke i. 3, 4. '*I determined also, as soon as I had searched*  
'out diligently all things from the beginning that then I  
'would write unto thee...that thou...hast been informed.'  
This Lawrence translates: '*It seemed good to me having*  
'perfect understanding [as they that follow foot by foot]  
'of all things from the beginning to write to thee in order...  
'that thou...hast been taught by mouth.'

Mark xv. 3. 'These words αὐτὸς δὲ οὐδὲν ἀπεκρίνατο  
'be omitted both here and in the Geneva translation. Yet  
'the Greek printed by Stephanus hath it.'

Mark xiii. 16. '*Let him that is in the field not turn*  
'back again unto the things which he left behind him. For  
'all these words there be no more in the Greek but, ὁ εἰς  
'τὸν ἄγρον ὢν, μὴ ἐπιστρεψάτω εἰς τὰ ὀπίσω (that is) *he*  
'that is in the field let him not turn back. εἰς τὰ ὀπίσω  
'signifieth no more but *back*: John vi. 66...This superfluity  
'is in the Geneva translation.'

It is not known how far Lawrence's labours extended,  
but an examination of a difficult passage of an Epistle will  
prove that the reviser who corrected it was not deficient  
in originality and vigorous scholarship<sup>1</sup>:

*Eph. iv.*

GREAT BIBLE, 1550. 7 Vnto euey one of vs is geuen  
grace, accordyng to the measure of the gifte of  
Christ.

8 Wherefore he saith: when he wente vp on hye, he led  
captuitie captiue and gaue giftes vnto men.

9 That he ascended: what *meaneth* it? but that he also  
descended fyrst into the *lowest* partes of the erthe?

10 He that descended, is euen the same also that ascended  
vp, *aboue* all heauens, to fulfill al thinges.

11 And *the very same made* some Apostles, *some* Prophetes,  
*some* Euangelistes, *some* Shepherdes and Teachers:

<sup>1</sup> The text is taken as before from the Great Bible of 1550.

- 12 to the *edifyinge* of the saynctes, *to* the worke *and* ministracion, *euen to* the edifyinge of the bodye of Christe,
- 13 till we all *come to* the vnitie of faith, and knowlege of the sonne of God, vnto a perfect man, vnto the measure of the *full perfite age* of Christ.
- 14 That we hence forthe *shoulde* be nomore children, wauerynge and caryed aboute with euery wynde of doctrine, *by* the wilynes of men, *thorowe* craftynes *whereby they laye a wayt for vs to deceaue vs.*
- 15 But *let vs folowe the* trueth in loue, *and in all thynges growe in him,* which is the head, *euen* Christ,
- 16 in whome *if* all the bodye *be* coupled and knet together *thorowe oute* euery ioynt *wherewith one ministreth to another* (accordinge to the *operation as euery parte hath his measure*) *he increaseth* the body, vnto the edifyinge of it self *thorowe* loue.
- 7 Vnto: *But* vnto G.<sup>1</sup> (1)
- 9 That: *But* that (Now, in that G.) (2)
- *meaneth: is* G. (3)
- *lowest* G.: *lower* (4)
- 10 aboue: *farre* aboue G. (5)
- 11 *the very...made: he gaue* G. (6)
- some (three times): *and* some G. (7)
- 12 *edifyinge: gatheryng together* G.: that the Saints myght be gathered together Gt. for the repairing of the saints T. (8)
- *to: into* (9)
- *and: of* (10)
- *euen to: into* (11)
- 13 *come to: meete together into* (meet together, in G.) (12)
- *full...age: age of the fulnesse* G. (13)
- 14 *shoulde: omit* G. (14)
- *by: in* (15)

<sup>1</sup> The notation is the same as before. The Testament of the Genevan Bible (1560) is represented by G, the Genevan Testament by Gt,

Tomson's revision by T. The readings of the Bishops' Bible are taken from the first edition of 1568. See p. 241.

- 14 *thorowe: in* (16)  
 — *whereby...vs* (whereby they laye in waite to deceiue G.):  
     *to the laying wayte of deceyte* (17)  
 15 *let vs folowe* G.: *folowyng* (18)  
 — *and in...him: let vs growe vp into him in all thynges*  
     (and in all things growe vp into him G.) (19)  
 — *euen: om.* (20)  
 16 *if: om.* G. (21)  
 — *be: beyng* G. (22)  
 — *thorowe oute...another: by euery ioynt of subministration*  
     (by euerie ioynt, for the furniture thereof G.) (23)  
 — *operation...measure: effectuall power in y<sup>e</sup> measure of*  
     *euery part* (effectual power, which is in &c. G.)  
     (24)  
 — *he increaseth: maketh increase of* (receiueh increase of  
     G.) (25)  
 — *thorowe: in* G. (26)

Of these twenty-six variations no less than sixteen are new, while only ten are due to the Genevan version; and the character of the original corrections marks a very close and thoughtful revision based faithfully upon the Greek. The anxiously literal rendering of the particles (2) and prepositions (9, 11, 12, 15, 16) is specially worthy of notice: so too the observance of the order (19), and of the original form of the sentences (17, 18, 20, 23, 24), even where some obscurity follows from it. In five places the Authorised Version follows the Bishops' renderings (3, 4, 10, 25, 26); and only one change appears to be certainly for the worse in which the rendering of the Genevan Testament has been followed (8 Beza *ad coagmentationem*). The singular independence of the revision as compared with those which have been noticed before is shewn by the fact that only four (3, 10, 11, 18) of the new changes agree with Beza and at least nine are definitely against him (4, 12, 15, 16, 17, 20, 23, 24, 25)<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> According to Mr Offor (MS. Collections, III. 54 ff.) the New Testament in the Bishops' Bible is taken

from a revision of Sir J. Cheke's (?) New Testament published by Jugge in 1561. The collations which he



In 1572 a new edition of the Bishops' Bible was published. In this the translation of the Old Testament, as far as I have been able to examine it, is unchanged, but that of the New Testament is carefully revised. The later editions follow this revision with very few intentional variations; and I am not aware that the text of 1568 was ever reprinted. As was natural this second edition was taken as the basis of the Authorised Version, though there are numerous cases in which the rendering of the edition of 1568 is restored there<sup>1</sup>. The collation of a single epistle will shew the extent of the differences, and the proportion in which the respective readings were preferred by King James' revisers<sup>2</sup>.

*Second edition of the Bishops' Bible.*

| BISHOPS' BIBLE 1568.         | BISHOPS' BIBLE 1572, 1578.     | <i>Revision of the New Testament.</i> |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Eph. i. 2 Grace be...& from  | grace [be]...and [from] A.V.   |                                       |
| — 5 predestinate 1569        | 1569 (1)                       |                                       |
| — 10 heauen A.V.             | predestinated A.V. (2)         |                                       |
| — 13 In whom also ye         | heauens 1569 A.V. mg. (3)      |                                       |
| — 21 not in this worlde only | In whom also ye [hoped] 1569   |                                       |
| 1569                         | (similarly A.V.) (4)           |                                       |
|                              | not only in this worlde only   |                                       |
|                              | (1572) (5)                     |                                       |
|                              | not onely in this world (1575— |                                       |
|                              | 1602) A.V.                     |                                       |
| ii. 1 And you                | And [he quickened] you 1569    |                                       |
| — 5 by grace are ye saved    | (similarly A.V.) (6)           |                                       |
| (A.V. ye are)                | by [whose] grace ye are saued  |                                       |
| — 6 in the heauenly          | 1569 (7)                       |                                       |
|                              | in heauenly thynges 1569 (8)   |                                       |

gives of John i., Acts i., Rom. i., Rev. i., certainly go far to establish the statement, but I have not been able to consult the edition referred to. The Testament which answers to it in Dr Cotton's list is described as 'Tindale's.' Mr F. Fry has taken great pains to ascertain the truth of this statement, but has not been able to find the least trustworthy evidence in support of it. [I have not been

able to verify all the statements in this paragraph with regard to Beza. They do not agree with the editions of 1556 and 1565. W.A.W.]

<sup>1</sup> Mr F. Fry has shewn (*N. & Q.* 4<sup>th</sup> S. vii. Jan. 28, 1871) that the edition used by the Revisers of K. James was probably that of 1602.

<sup>2</sup> [To shew the intermediate character of the edition of 1569 I have indicated the renderings found in it.]

| BISHOPS' BIBLE 1568.                             | BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1572, 1578.  |
|--|--|
| ii. 7 in kyndnesse                               | in [his] kyndenesse 1569 A.V.<br>(9)   |
| — 10 hath ordeyned 1569                          | hath before ordeyned A.V. (10)   |
| — 14 the wall 1569                               | the mydle wal A.V. (11)  |
| — 17 preached 1569 A.V.                          | preached the glad tidinges of<br>(12)  |
| — — you which were A.V.                          | you [whiche were] 1569 (13)  |
| — 18 both haue 1569 A.V.                         | haue both (14)   |
| — 19 citezins 1569                               | fellowe citizens A.V. (15)   |
| — 22 ye also A.V.                                | also ye 1569 (16)  |
| iii. 3 shewed he                                 | shewed [God] 1569 (17)   |
| — 6 That the A.V.                                | [That] the 1569 (18)   |
| — 7 am made 1569                                 | was made A.V. (19)   |
| — 8 Vnto me the least 1569                       | vnto me whiche (who, A.V.)<br>am lesse then the least A.V.<br>(20)                               |
| — 12 confidence which is by                      | confidence by 1569 A.V. (21)   |
| — 13 in my                                       | for my 1569 (22)   |
| — 19 knowledge A.V.                              | [al] knowledge 1569 (23)   |
| — 21 Be praise 1569                              | Be glory A.V. (24)   |
| iv. 14 in the wylynesse 1569                     | and in the wylynesse (25)  |
| — 15 Christ                                      | [euen] Christe 1569 A.V. (26)  |
| — 16 beyng coupled 1569                          | being conueniently coupled (27)  |
| — — ioynt of subministration<br>1569             | ioynt, yeeldyng nourishment<br>(28)  |
| v. 13 rebuked of the lyght,<br>are manifest 1569 | rebuked, are made manifest of<br>the light (29)  |
| — 15 howe ye walke                               | mg. some reade, rebuked of y <sup>e</sup><br>light, are made manifest<br>that ye walke A.V. (30) |
| — 24 to Christ                                   | vnto Christ 1569 A.V. (31)   |
| — 26 clensyng [it] 1569                          | when he had cleansed [it] (32)   |
| — 27 To make it vnto 1569                        | That he might present it vnto<br>(to A.V.), A.V. (33)  |
| vi. 1 your fathers and mothers<br>1569           | your parentes A.V. (34)  |
| — 5 your bodyly                                  | [your] bodily 1569 (similarly<br>A.V.) (35)  |
| — 9 threatnyng A.V.                              | threatenynges 1569 (36)  |

| BISHOPS' BIBLE 1568.                                   | BISHOPS' BIBLE 1572, 1578.                 |
|--|--|
| vi. 12 rule 1569                                       | rules (37)                                 |
| — spiritual craftynesse                                | spiritual wickednesse 1569 A.V.<br>(38)    |
| — 14 loynes 1569                                       | your loynes A.V. (39)                      |
| — — putting on 1569                                    | hauyng on A.V. (40)                        |
| — 15 hauyng your feet 1569                             | your feete A.V. (41)                       |
| — 18 watche thervnto 1569                              | watching for the same purpose<br>A.V. (42) |
| — 23 Peace [be] vnto (to<br>A.V.) the brethren<br>A.V. | peace [be unto you] brethren<br>1569 (43)  |
| — 24 Grace be  | Grace [be], A.V. 1569 (44)                 |

Of the changes introduced in 1572, 1, 6, 7, 21, 26, 30, 34, 38, 39, 40, 41, 44 appear to be due to the Genevan version; but the revision generally bears the same mark of independent judgement as that of 1568.

The notes in the Bishops' Bible differ generally in their character from those in the Genevan. They are shorter and more epigrammatic, and deal more frequently with the interpretation than with the application of the text. Yet there are in them, as will be seen even in the following examples, many dogmatic statements which are of importance in estimating the standard theology of the age. The chief part of the commentary on a single chapter will shew the general range of the notes: a few detached specimens will illustrate their doctrinal nature.

*The commentary.*

'Naturall sorowe yf it be in measure, is not to be  
'reprehended. Gen. 1.  
1

'*to embalm*: This was to the godly then an out- 2  
'warde token of incorruption: but to y<sup>e</sup> ignoraunt a vayne  
'ceremonie. ['but...ceremonie' omitted in 1569.]

'*Am I God?* Or, *In the place of God.* 19

'That is, he woulde not turne that to their shame, 20  
'which God had disposed to their wealth.

'*kindly.* *To their heartes.* 21

'*born* Or, *brought vp, or nourished.* 23

'The trueth of gods promise is immortall which men 24

'must loke for patientlye, and not prescribe God a  
'tyme<sup>1</sup>.'

*Ps. lxxiii.* 'his name Everlasting. Iah, a name of God that  
4 'signifieth hym to be alwayes, and other thinges to be  
'of hym.

11 'preachers. The women that tolde it abroad.

12 'the ornament of an house divided the spoil. That is,  
'a woman, meanyng Debora.

14 'in it. In the lande of promise.

30 'the people lyke vnto calves. Calves of the people.

31 'princes. Embassadours. [In the text in 1569.]

33 'the most hyghest eternall heauens. Vpon the heauens,  
'the heauens of eternitie.'

*Luke iv. 6.* 'Satan betrayeth hymselfe, shewing his bold sacrallege,  
'vsurping the empire of the earth.

*John xix.* 'The misterie of mans redemption & saluation, is per-  
30. 'fected by the only sacrifice of Christ: the promise to the  
'fathers fulfilled: the ceremonies of the law ended.'

*Rom. ix. 11.* 'The wyll and purpose of God, is the cause of the  
'election and reprobation. For his mercie and calling,  
'through Christe, are the meanes of saluation: and the with-  
'drawyng of his mercie, is the cause of damnation.'

*Phil. ii. 12.* 'Our health hāgeth not on our workes: & yet are they  
'sayd to worke out their health, who do run in y<sup>e</sup> race  
'of iustice. For although we be saued freely in christ  
'by fayth, yet must we walk by the way of iustice vnto  
'our health.'

*Hebr. xiii.* 'They that sticke to the ceremonies of the law, can not  
10. 'eate, that is, can not be partakers of our aulter, which  
'is thankesgeuyng and liberalitie, whiche two sacrifices or  
'offerings, are nowe only left to the Christians<sup>2</sup>.'

<sup>1</sup> [Omitted in 1569.]

<sup>2</sup> [Omitted in 1569.] The books  
are arranged in the following manner  
in the table of contents:

'The order of the bookes of the  
'Old Testament.'

'The first part:' Genesis—Deut-  
eronomy.

'The seconde part:' Joshua—Job.

'The thirde part of the Bible:'  
The Psalter—Malachi.

'The fourth part of the Bible called  
'Apocryphus:' 3 Esdr.—1 Macc.,  
2 Macc.

'The order of the bookes of the  
'newe Testament.'

## § 8. THE RHEMES AND DOWAY BIBLE.

The Rhemish Bible, like Wycliffe's, lies properly outside the line of English Bibles, because it is a secondary translation based upon the Vulgate. But it is nevertheless of considerable importance in the internal history of the authorised text, for it furnished a large proportion of the Latin words which King James' revisers adopted; and it is to this rather than to Coverdale's Testaments that we owe the final and most powerful action of the Vulgate upon our present Version.

*The Rhemish Version a secondary translation from the Vulgate.*

The Rhemish translators give a very interesting and ingenious defence of their method, but they express no obligation to the earlier English translations which still formed the groundwork of their version<sup>1</sup>. They take the current Latin Vulgate for their guide, and expressly disclaim the intention of acting as interpreters where that is obscure. What they say upon each point is well worth quoting, and may serve as a commentary on Romish views of Scripture at the end of the 16th century.

*The translators' account of this work.*

'We translate the old vulgar Latin text, not the 'common Greeke text, for these causes.

*The choice of a ground text.*

'1. It is so auncient, that it was vsed in the Church 'of God above 1300 years agoe.

'2. It is that (...by al probabilitie) which S. Hierom 'afterward corrected according to the Greeke, by the 'appointment of Damasus then Pope...

'The fifth part.'

The four Gospels. The Acts.

St Paul's Epistles: Romans—Hebrews.

St James.

1, 2 St Peter.

1, 2, 3 St John.

St Jude.

Revelation.

<sup>1</sup> This will appear, at least in the New Testament, by a comparison of any chapter in the Rhemish Version with the earlier English translations.

The coincidences with the Genevan revision alone (1560) in a single chapter are striking. Rom. i. 6 *the called of Jesus Christ*; 10 *haue a prosperous journey*; 12 *be comforted together in you*; 17 *revealed*; 23 *corruptible*; 28 *a reprobate sense*; id. *are not convenient*. Some of these words may have come independently from the Vulgate, but a comparison with Wycliffe shews that it is unlikely that all did. Cf. ii. 5, 17; iv. 14; vii. 6, &c.

'3. Consequently it is the same which S. Augustine  
'so commendeth...

'4. It is that, which for the most part euer since hath  
'been vsed in the Churches seruice...

'5. The holy Councel of Trent, for these and many  
'other important considerations, hath declared and de-  
'finde this onely of al other latin translations, to be  
'authenticall...

'6. It is the grauest, sincerest, of greatest maiestie,  
'least partialitie, as being without all respect of contro-  
'uersies and contentions, specially these of our time...

'7. It is so exact and precise according to the Greeke,  
'both the phrase and the word, that delicate Heretikes  
'therefore reprehend it of rudenes...

'8. The Aduersaries them selues, namely Beza, pre-  
'ferre it before al the rest...

'9. In the rest, there is such diuersitie and dissension  
'and no end of reprehending one an other, and translating  
'euery man according to his fantasie, that Luther said,  
'If the world should stand any long time, we must receiue  
'again (which he thought absurd) the Decrees of Councils,  
'for preseruing the vnitie of faith, because of so diuerse  
'interpretations of the Scripture...

'10. It is not onely better than al other Latin trāsla-  
'tions, but then the Greeke text it self, in those places  
'where they disagree...

This last statement is supported by the argument that  
as the first heretics were Greeks, the Greek Scriptures  
suffered much at their hands. Further, it is shewn that  
many Latin readings are supported by ancient Greek  
authority; but it is also allowed that some errors had crept  
into the current text by the fault of scribes as *in fide* for  
*in fine* (1 Pet. iii. 8), *præscientiam* for *præsentiam* (2 Pet. i. 16),  
*placuerunt* for *latuerunt* (Hebr. xiii. 2)<sup>1</sup>.

In the Preface to the translation of the Old Testament  
the same arguments are repeated briefly. The Hebrew  
text is said to have been 'fouly corrupted by Iewes,' as

*Similar  
arguments  
applied to  
the Old  
Testament  
(1609-10).*

<sup>1</sup> Preface to the New Testament.

the Greek by heretics. But in the interval between the publication of the New and Old Testaments an authoritative text of the Vulgate had been printed (by Clement VIII. 1592), and the English version of the Old Testament was made to agree with this. 'Only one thing we haue donne,' the editors say, 'touching the text...We haue againe conferred this English translation, and conformed it to the 'most perfect Latin Edition'.'

Their choice of a text being thus defended<sup>1</sup>, the translators explain also the principles on which they rendered it. They claim for themselves absolute impartiality. Their utmost desire was to reproduce the Vulgate in English without removing its technicalities or its obscurity. 'We 'haue vsed no partialitie for the disaduantage of our 'aduersaries, nor no more licence then is sufferable in 'translating of holy Scriptures: continually keeping our 'selues as neere as is possible, to our text & to the very 'wordes and phrases which by long vse are made venerable, 'though to some prophane or delicate eares they may 'seeme more hard or barbarous, as the whole style of 'Scripture doth lightly to such at the beginning: acknowledging with S. Hierom, that in other writings it is 'ynough to giue in trāslation sense for sense, but that in 'Scriptures, lest we misse the sense, we must keepe the 'very wordes.' They add, 'but to the discrete Reader 'that deeply weigheth and considereth the importance 'of sacred wordes and speaches, and how easily the 'voluntarie Translatour may misse the true sense of the 'Holy Ghost, we doubt not but our consideration and 'doing therein, shal seeme reasonable and necessarie: yea 'and that al sortes of Catholike Readers wil in short

*Method of  
translation.*

<sup>1</sup> The delay in the appearance of the Old Testament is set down by the editors to 'one general cause, our 'poore estate in banishment.' When they published the New Testament (1582) the Old Testament was lying by them, 'long since translated.'

I do not know what edition of the Vulgate they followed in the New

Testament. It was probably one by Hentenius. The text differs from the Complutensian (Apoc. xvi. 7) and the Clementine (Apoc. xxii. 9).

<sup>2</sup> It may be noticed that the translators retain without comment the interpolations in 1 Samuel; e.g. iv. 1; v. 6; x. 1; xiv. 22; xv. 12; xvii. 36.

'time thinke that familiar, which at the first may seeme  
'strange, & wil esteeme it more, when they shal otherwise  
'be taught to vnderstand it, then if it were the common  
'knownen English.'

Use of  
strange  
words.

Thus they retain *Amen*, *Amen* and *Alleluia* 'for the  
'more holy and sacred authoritie thereof.' In the same  
way they keep *Corbana*, *Parasceue*, *Pasche*, *Azymes*, *the  
bread of Proposition*, just as we retain Pentecost. *Neophyte*  
(1 Tim. iii. 6) they defend by *Proselyte*; *Didragmes*, *Pre-  
puce* and *Paraclete* by *Phylacteries*. 'How is it possible,'  
they ask, 'to expresse *Euangelizo*, but as we do, *Evan-  
gelize*?...Therefore [also] we say *Depositum* (1 Tim. vi. 20)  
'and, He *exinanited* him self, (Phil. ii. 7), and, You haue  
'*reflorished* (Phil. iv. 10) and, to *exhaust*, (Heb. ix. 28),  
'because we can not possibly attaine to expresse these  
'wordes fully in English, and we thinke much better, that  
'the reader staying at the difficultie of them, should take  
'an occasion to looke in the table following<sup>1</sup>, or otherwise  
'to aske the ful meaning of them, then by putting some  
'vsual English wordes that expresse them not, so to de-  
'ceiue the reader...The *aduent* of our Lord, and, *Imposing*  
'of handes...come out of the very Latin text of the  
'Scripture. So did *Penance*, *doing penance*, *Chalice*, *Priest*,  
'*Deacon*, *Traditions*, *aultar*, *host*, and the like...'

Difficulties  
purposely  
left un-  
solved.

From these principles it followed consistently that the  
translators did not scruple to leave the version unintelligible  
or ambiguous where the Latin text itself was so. This  
they distinctly profess:

<sup>1</sup> In this table, which contains  
fifty-five terms, the following words  
occur as 'not familiar to the vulgar  
'reader:'

*acquisition*, getting, purchasing Eph.  
i. 14.

*aduent*, The coming Matt. xxiv. 28.

*adulterating*, corrupting 2 Cor. ii. 17.

*allegory*, a mystical speech Gal. iv. 23.

*cooperate*, signifieth working with  
others Rom. viii. 28.

*euangelize*.

*eunuchs*.

*holocaust*, a kind of sacrifice...Hebr.  
x. 6.

*paraclete*, John xiv. 16.

*prescience*, foreknowledge Acts ii. 23.

*resuscitate*, raise, quicken, renew  
2 Tim. i. 6.

*victims*, sacrifices Acts vii. 42.

The list is a singular commentary  
on the large infusion of classical words  
into common language since the be-  
ginning of the xviith century. Comp.  
p. 253.



'Moreouer, we presume not in hard places to mollifie  
'the speaches or phrases, but religiously keepe them word  
'for word, and point for point, for feare of missing, or  
'restraining the sense of the holy Ghost to our phantasie.  
'as Eph. 6 [12], *Against the spirituals of wickednes in the*  
'*celestialls*...James 4, 6, *And giueth greater grace*, leauing  
'it indifferent to the *Scripture*, or to the *holy Ghost*, both  
'going before...'

In itself then the Version has no independent merit as a version of the original texts. It is said indeed to have been compared with the Hebrew and Greek, but the collation must have been limited in scope or ineffectual, for the Psalter (to take one signal example) is translated, not from Jerome's version of the Hebrew, but from his revision of the very faulty translation from the Septuagint, which commonly displaced it in Latin Bibles. As it stands, the Doway Bible is simply the ordinary, and not the pure, Latin text of Jerome in an English dress. Its merits, and they are considerable, lie in its vocabulary. The style, so far as it has a style, is unnatural, the phrasing [as a rule] is most unrhythmical, but the language is enriched by the bold reduction of innumerable Latin words to English service<sup>1</sup>.

*The value  
of the trans-  
lation lies in  
its vocabu-  
lary.*

One or two examples will be sufficient to indicate its merits and defects:

*Examples  
from the  
Old Testa-  
ment.*

## DOWAY.

## VULGATE.

18 Incline my God thine eare,  
& heare: open thine eyes,  
and see our desolation, &  
the citie *upon which thy name*  
*is inuocated*: for neither in  
*our iustifications doe we pros-*  
*trate prayers* before thy face,  
but in thy manie commiserations.

19 Heare o Lord, be pacified o

Inclina Deus meus aurem  
tuam et audi; aperi oculos tuos  
et vide desolationem nostram et  
civitatem *super quam invocatum*  
*est nomen tuum*; neque enim  
*in justificationibus nostris pro-*  
*sternimus preces* ante faciem  
tuam, sed in miserationibus tuis  
multis.

*Dan. ix.*

Exaudi, Domine, placare, Do-

<sup>1</sup> I am not aware that English lexicographers have examined this

subject, but it would repay examination.

## DOWAY.

Lord: attend & doe, delay not for thine owne sake my God: because thy name is inuocated vpon thy citie, & vpon thy people.....

- 24 Seuentie weekes are abbreviated vpon thy people, & vpon thy holie citie, *that prauarication may be consummate*, and sinne take an end, & iniquitie be abolished; and euerlasting iustice be brought; & vision be accomplished, and prophecie; & the Holie one of holies be anointed.

- 25 Know therfore, & marke: From the going forth of the word, that Ierusalem be built againe vnto Christ the prince, there shal be seuen weekes, and sixtie two weekes, & the streete shal be built againe, & the walles in straitnes of the times.

- 26 And after sixty two weekes Christ shal be slaine: *and it shal not be his people, that shal denie him.* And the city, & the sanctuary shal the people dissipate with the prince to come: *& the end therof waste*, & after the end of the battel the appoynted desolation.

## VULGATE.

mine, attende et fac: ne moreris propter temetipsum, Deus meus: quia nomen tuum inuocatum est super civitatem et super populum tuum.....

Septuaginta hebdomades abbreviatæ sunt super populum tuum, et super urbem sanctam tuam, *ut consummetur prævaricatio* et finem accipiat peccatum et deleatur iniquitas, et adducatur justitia sempiterna et impleatur visio et prophetia et ungatur sanctus sanctorum.

Scito ergo et animadverte: ab exitu sermonis ut iterum ædificetur Jerusalem usque ad Christum ducem, hebdomades septem et hebdomades sexaginta duæ erunt; et rursum ædificabitur platea et muri in angustia temporum.

Et post hebdomadas sexaginta duas occidetur Christus *et non erit ejus populus qui eum negaturus est.* Et civitatem et sanctuarium dissipabit populus cum duce venturo, et *finis ejus vastitas* et post finem belli statuta desolatio.

The correspondence with the Latin text is thus absolutely verbal, and it is only through the Latin that the English in some places becomes intelligible. But on the other hand Jerome's own greatness as a translator

is generally seen through the second version. A very familiar passage will shew how closely the rendering can approach our own even in the Prophets:

- 6 For a little childe is borne to vs, and a sonne is geuen *Is. ix.*  
 to vs, and principalitie is made vpon his shoulder:  
 and his name shal be called, Meruelous, Counseler,  
 God, Strong, Father of the world to come, the Prince  
 of peace.
- 7 His empire shal be multiplied, and there shal be no  
 end of peace; he shal sit vpon the throne of Daud,  
 and vpon his kingdom: that he may confirme it,  
 and strengthen it in iudgement and iustice, from  
 this time & for ever: the zeale of the Lord of hostes  
 shal doe this.

The Psalter is the most unsatisfactory part of the whole book. Even where the sense is sufficiently clear to remain distinct through three translations, from Hebrew to Greek, from Greek to Latin, from Latin to English, the stiff, foreign style sounds strangely unsuited to words of devotion; and where the Latin itself has already lost the sense, the English baffles understanding. One specimen of each kind may be added:

*The Psalter  
 extremely  
 unsatis-  
 factory.*

- 8 The Law of our Lord is immaculate conuerting soules: *Ps. xix.*  
 the testimonie of our Lord is faithful, geuing wise-  
 dome to litle ones.
- 9 The iustices of our Lord be right, making hartes ioy-  
 ful: the precept of our Lord lightsome; illuminating  
 the eies.
- 10 The feare of our Lord is holie, permanent for euer  
 and euer; the iudgmentes of our Lord be true,  
 iustified in themselves.
- 11 To be desired aboue gold and much precious stone:  
 and more sweete aboue honie and the honie combe.
- 12 For thy seruant keepeth them, in keeping them is  
 much reward.
- 13 Sinnes who vnderstandeth? from my secrete sinnes  
 cleanse me: and from other mens spare thy seruant.

This is not what a translation of the Psalms should be, but the following passage is positively painful from the ostentatious disregard of meaning in the words<sup>1</sup>:

*Ps. lvii.*

- 9 As waxe that melteth, shal they be taken away:  
fyr hath falne on them, and they haue not seene  
the sunne.  
10 *Before your thornes did vnderstand the old bryar: as  
liuing so in wrath he swalloweth them.*  
11 The iust shal reioice when he shal see reuenge: he  
shal wash his handes in the bloud of a sinner.  
12 And man shal say: If certes there be fruite to the  
iust: there is a God certes iudging them on the  
earth.

*The version  
of the New  
Testament  
obscure, es-  
pecially in  
the Epistles.*

The translation of the New Testament is exactly similar to that of the Old; and next to the Psalter the Epistles are most inadequately rendered. Neither the Psalter, indeed, as translated by the Rhemists, nor the Epistles had the benefit of Jerome's independent labour. He revised the Latin texts of both hastily and imperfectly, but in both he left much which he would not himself have written. A few isolated quotations will be enough to shew the character of the Rhemish Version:

- Rom. v. 18 Therefore as by the offence of one, vnto al men to condemnation: so also by the iustice of one, vnto al men to iustification of life.  
vi. 13 Exhibite your selues to God as of dead men, aliue.  
vii. 23 I see another law in my members, repugning to the law of my minde, and captiuing me in the law of sinne that is in my members.  
viii. 18 I thinke that the passions of this time are not condigne to the glorie to come.  
ix. 28 For, consummating a word, and abbridging it in equitie: because a word abridged shal our Lord make vpon the earth.

<sup>1</sup> The translation follows the Gallican Psalter verbally. Jerome's own translation is wholly different.

Eph. vi. 12 Our wrestling is...against Princes and Potestats, against the rectors of the world of this darke-nes, against the spirituals of wickednes in the celestials.

Heb. xiii. 16 Beneficence and communication do not forget: for with such hostes God is promerited<sup>1</sup>.

Such translations as these have no claim to be considered vernacular renderings of the text: except through the Latin they are unintelligible. But still they only represent what there was in the Vulgate incapable of assimilation to an English version. And on the other hand a single Epistle furnishes the following list of Latin words which King James' translators have taken from the Rhemish Testament: *separated* (Rom. i. 1), *consent* (mg.) (i. 32), *impenitent* (ii. 5), *approvest* (ii. 18), *propitiation* (iii. 25), *remission* (id.), *grace* (iv. 4), *glory* in tribulations (v. 3), *commendeth* (v. 8), *concupiscence* (vii. 8), *revealed* (viii. 18), *expectation* (viii. 19), *conformable* (viii. 29), *confession is made to salvation* (x. 10), *emulation* (xi. 14), *concluded* (xi. 32), *conformed* (xii. 2), *instant* (xii. 12), *contribution* (xv. 26)<sup>2</sup>.

Examples of Latin words which have been adopted in our Version.

But at the same time it must be added that the scrupulous or even servile adherence of the Rhemists to the text of the Vulgate was not always without advantage. They frequently reproduced with force the original order of the Greek which is preserved in the Latin; and even while many unpleasant roughnesses occur, there can be little doubt that their version gained on the whole by the faithfulness with which they endeavoured to keep the original form of the sacred writings. Examples of this simple faithfulness occur constantly, as for instance: Matt. xviii. 9, *having one eye to enter into life*; id. 27, *the dette*

Preservation of the original order; and

<sup>1</sup> All the quotations are made from the first editions. In the later (Chaloner's and Troy's) editions of the Rhemes and Doway Bible and New Testament there are considerable alterations, and the text is far nearer to that in the A. V. Examples are given by Dr Cotton, *Rhemes and*

*Doway*...Oxford, 1853, pp. 183 ff.

<sup>2</sup> [But *consent* is found in the Genevan margin, *revealed* in the Genevan text of i. 17, 18, viii. 19; *impenitent* is in Coverdale, and *propitiation*, *grace*, *instant* are in the Bishops' Bible in the passages quoted. W.A.W.]

*he forgaue him; xx. 12, the burden of the day and the heates; id. 23, My cuppe in deede you shal drinke of; xxi. 41, The naughtie men he wil bring to naught; xxiii. 13, those that are going in, you suffer not to enter; xxvi. 11, the poore you haue.*

*form of  
expression.*

The same spirit of anxious fidelity to the letter of their text often led the Rhemists to keep the phrase of the original where other translators had unnecessarily abandoned it: *e.g.* Matt. xviii. 1, *houre*; id. 6, *it is expedient*; id. 9, *the hel of fire*; xx. 20, *the sonnes of Z.*; xxii. 2, *likened*; id. 44, *the foote stole of thy feete*; xxvi. 25, *Is it I Rabbi?* (contrasted with v. 22) and so v. 49.

*The Greek  
Scholarship  
of the  
Rhemists.*

When the Latin was capable of guiding them the Rhemists seem to have followed out their principles honestly; but wherever it was inadequate or ambiguous they had the niceties of Greek at their command. Their treatment of the article offers a good illustration of the care and skill with which they performed this part of their task. The Greek article cannot, as a general rule, be expressed in Latin. Here then the translators were free to follow the Greek text, and the result is that this critical point of scholarship is dealt with more satisfactorily by them than by any earlier translators. And it must be said also that in this respect the revisers of King James were less accurate than the Rhemists, though they had their work before them. For example the Rhemish version omits the definite article in the following passages where it is wrongly inserted by A.V. and all earlier versions: Matt. ii. 13 (*an angel*); Luke ii. 9 (*an angel*); John vi. 26 (*signes, not the miracles*). Much more frequently it rightly inserts the article where other versions (including A.V.) omit it: *e.g.* Matt. iv. 5 (*the pinnacle*); vi. 25 (*the meate, the rayment*); xiv. 22 (*the boate*); xxv. 30 (*the vtter darknesse*); xxviii. 16 (*the mount*); John v. 35 (*the lampe*); 1 Cor. x. 5 (*the more part*); Gal. iii. 25 (*the faith*); Apoc. vii. 13 (*the white robes*)<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> For most of these and of the other references to the Rhemish Version, I am indebted to the kindness of Prof. Moulton, who placed at my

There are also rarer cases in which the Rhemists furnish a true English phrase which has been adopted since, as *felow seruant* (Matt. xviii. 28), *kingdom against kingdom* (Matt. xxiv. 7), *faile* (Luke xvi. 9), *darkened* (Rom. i. 21), *foreknewe* (Rom. xi. 2). Elsewhere they stand alone in bold or idiomatic turns of expression: *thratled him* (Matt. xviii. 28), *workemen* (Matt. xx. 1), *stagger not* (Matt. xxi. 21), *vipers broodes* (Matt. xxiii. 33), *bankers* (Matt. xxv. 27), *ouergoe* (1 Thess. iv. 6).

*English words.*

### § 9. THE AUTHORISED VERSION.

The Rhemish Version of the New Testament, supported by Martin's attack on the English Bible, had once again called attention to the importance of the Latin Vulgate before the revision of King James was undertaken. During the sixteenth century this had been in a great degree thrust out of sight by the modern translations of Erasmus and Beza, which had influenced respectively the Great and the Genevan Bibles. At the same time the study of Hebrew and Greek had been pursued with continued zeal in the interval which had elapsed since the publication of the Bishops' Bible; and two important contributions had been made to the interpretation of the Old Testament.

*The study of the Vulgate renewed.*

In 1572 Arias Montanus, a Spanish scholar not unworthy to carry on the work of Ximenes, added to the Antwerp Polyglott, which he edited by the command of Philip II., an interlinear Latin translation of the Hebrew text, based on that of Pagninus, whose readings he added to his own. The translation is rigidly verbal, but none the less it helped to familiarize ordinary scholars with the exact forms of Hebrew idioms which were more or less hidden in the earlier versions. Seven years afterwards Tremellius, by birth a Jew, published an original Latin translation of the Old Testament (1579), with a commentary, which rapidly obtained a very extensive currency.

*New Latin Versions of the Old Testament. Arias Montanus.*

*Tremellius.*

disposal a most exact collation of the portion of the Gospels.  
English versions, reaching over a large

His son-in-law Junius added a translation of the Apocrypha. The whole Bible was completed by a translation of the New Testament by Tremellius from the Syriac; but for this the New Testament of Beza was frequently substituted<sup>1</sup>.

*Vernacular  
Versions.*

*French.*

Besides these works, which were designed for scholars, three important vernacular versions also had been published.

In 1587-8 an authoritative revision of the French Bible was put forth by the venerable company of Pastors at Geneva, which was based upon a careful examination of the original texts. The chief part of the work is said to have been executed by C. B. Bertram, a Hebraist of distinguished attainments, and he was assisted by Beza,

*Italian.*

Goulart and others. An Italian translation was printed in the same city in 1607 by J. Diodati, who was a professor of Hebrew there. This translation has maintained its

*Spanish.*

place to the present day, and though it is free, it is of very great excellence. In the mean time two Spanish versions had appeared, the first at Basle in 1569 by Cassiodoro de Reyna, and the second, which was based on Reyna's, at Amsterdam in 1602 by Cipriano de Valera. All these versions have an independent value, and when King James' revisers speak of their pains in consulting 'the Spanish, French and Italian translators,' there can be no doubt that it is to these they refer<sup>2</sup>.

Thus King James' revisers were well furnished with external helps for the interpretation of the Bible, and we have already seen that they were competent to deal independently with questions of Hebrew and Greek scholarship. Like the earlier translators they suffered most from the corrupt form in which the Greek text of the New Testament was presented to them. But as a whole their work was done most carefully and honestly. It is possible to point out inconsistencies of rendering and other traces of

<sup>1</sup> [In the edition of 1585 the versions of the New Testament by Beza and Tremellius are printed in parallel columns.]

<sup>2</sup> The French version [1566] of René Benoist (Renatus Benedictus) is said to have no independent value.



compromise, but even in the minutest details the translation is that of a Church and not of a party. It differs from the Rhemish Version in seeking to fix an intelligible sense on the words rendered: it differs from the Genevan Version in leaving the literal rendering uncoloured by any expository notes<sup>1</sup>. And yet it is most worthy of notice that these two Versions, representing as they do the opposite extremes of opinion, contributed most largely of all to the changes which the revisers introduced.

The important use which was made of the Rhemish and Genevan Versions shews that the revisers did not hold themselves to be closely bound by the instructions which were given them. The Rhemish Version was not contained in the list which they were directed to consult<sup>2</sup>; and on the other hand the cases are comparatively rare in which they go back from the text of the Bishops' Bible to an earlier English rendering. If indeed they had not interpreted liberally the license of judgment which was given them, they could not have accomplished their task. As it is, their work is itself a monument of the catholicity of their design.

*Use of the  
Genevan and  
Rhemish  
versions.*

An examination of the chapter of Isaiah which has

<sup>1</sup> The most extreme form in which Calvinistic opinion appears in the translation of the Bible is in the French translation of 1588, which has been severely criticized by P. Coton in his *Genève plagiaire* in connexion with the other Genevan versions. One or two examples may be quoted:

Rom. v. 6 *desnués de toute force...du tout meschans.*

— x. 15 *Sinon qu'il y en ait qui soyent enuoyés.*

Acts x. 35 *qui s'addonne à justice* (cf. Coton, p. 2091).

Phil. ii. 12 *employez vous à...* (Coton, p. 1746).

John vi. 50 *qui est descendu* (Coton, p. 158).

— 51 *viuifiant* (Coton, p. 174).

In all these places the English

Genevan version is unobjectionable; but in other places an unfair bias appears:

Acts iii. 21 contain (cf. Coton, p. 255).

1 Cor. ix. 27 reproved (Coton, p. 1718).

1 Cor. iv. 6 that no man presume above that which is written (Coton, p. 1486).

And to this must be attributed the avoidance of the word 'tradition' in 1 Cor. xi. 2; 2 Thes. ii. 15; iii. 6.

One notable phrase at least has passed from the French through the Genevan Bible into our own: Jerem. xvii. 9 *Le cœur est cauteleux, & des-espérémēt malin par dessus toutes choses* (cf. Coton, 1926).

<sup>2</sup> See p. 116.

been traced through the earlier versions will exhibit more clearly than a general description the method by which the revision was guided and the extent to which it was modified by the different authorities which the revisers consulted. The text of the Bishops' Bible is of course taken as the basis.

*Is. liii.*

BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1568, 1572. 1 *But who hath geuen credence vnto our preaching? or to whom is the arme*  
 2 *of the Lorde knowne? For he dyd growe before the Lorde like as (om. 1602) a braunche, and as a roote in a drye grounde, he hath neither beautie nor fauour: when we loke vpon hym, there shalbe no fairenesse, we shall haue*  
 3 *no lust vnto him. He is dispised and abhorred of men, he is such a man as hath good experience of sorowes and infirmities: We haue reckened hym so vile, that we hyd*  
 4 *our faces from hym. Howbeit, he only hath taken on him our infirmitie, and borne our paynes: Yet we dyd iudge hym as though he were plagued, and cast downe of*  
 5 *God. Wheras he [notwithstandyng] was wounded for our offences, and smitten for our wickednesse: for the payne of our punishment was layde vpon hym, and with*  
 6 *his stripes are we healed. As for vs we are all gone astray lyke sheepe, euery one hath turned his owne way:*  
 7 *but the Lord hath throwen vpon hym all our sinnes. He suffered violence, and was euyll intricated, and dyd not open his mouth: He shalbe led as a sheepe to be slayne, yet shall he be as styll as a lambe before the shearer, and*  
 8 *not open his mouth. From the prison and iudgement was he taken, and his generation who can declare? for he was cut of from the grounde of the lyuyng, which punishment dyd go vpon hym for the transgression of*  
 9 *my people. His graue was geuen hym with the condemned, and with the riche man at his death, wheras he did neuer violence nor vnright, neither hath there ben*  
 10 *any disceiptfulnesse in his mouth. Yet hath it pleased the Lord to smite hym with infirmitie, that when he had made his soule an offeryng for sinne, he might see long lastyng seede: and this deuice of the Lorde shall prosper*

11 in his hande (hands 1602). Of the trauayle and labour  
 of his soule, *shall he see the fruite & be satisfied*: My  
 righteous seruauant shall *with his knowledge iustifie the*  
 12 *multitude*, for he shall beare their *sinnes*. Therefore  
 wyll I geue hym *among the great ones his part*, and  
 he shall deuide the spoyle with the *mightie*, because  
 he *geueth ouer his soule to death*, and *is reckened among*  
 the transgressours: *which neuerthelesse hath taken away*  
 the *sinnes of the multitude*, and made intercession for the  
*misdoers*.

- 1 *Who hath believed our report*<sup>1</sup> (wil beleue our *report*  
 Genevan). *credidit* Pagninus. *credit* Tremellius (1)  
 — *and* so G. P. Tr. (2)  
 — *revealed* so G. *revelatum est* P. *revelatur* Tr. (3)  
 2 *shall grow up before him as a tender plant* (*shall growe*  
 ...as a branche G.) (*tenera planta* Tr.) (4)  
 — *out of a* so G. Tr. (5)  
 — *no form nor comeliness* (nether *forme* nor *beautie* G.)  
*non est forma ei neque decor* P. Tr. (6)  
 — *and when we shall see him* so G. (omitting *and*)  
*(vidimus* P. *quando intuemur* Tr.) (7)  
 — *there is no beauty that we should desire him*. (there  
 shalbe no *forme that...him* G.) *et non erat aspectus*  
*ut desideraremus eum* P. *non inest species* cur de-  
 sideremus eum Tr. (1593) (8)  
 3 *rejected of* so G. (*abjectus inter viros* P. *desiit viris*  
 Arias Montanus. *abjectissimus virorum* Tr.) (9)  
 — *a man of sorrows and acquainted with grief*. (a man  
 ful of sorows and hathe experience of infirmities  
 G.)  
*vir dolorum et expertus infirmitatem* (notus ægritudine  
 A. M.) P. otherwise Tr. (10)  
 — *and we hid as it were our faces from him; he was*  
*despised and we esteemed him not* so G. and P.  
 otherwise Tr. (1593), *velut homo abscondens faciem*  
*a nobis...* (11)

<sup>1</sup> The renderings given are those of the Authorised Version corresponding to the italicised words in the text of the Bishops' Bible.

- 4 *surely* he hath *borne* our *griefs* (infirmities G. languores P.) and *carried* our *sorrows* so G. P. Tr. (12)
- *esteem* him *stricken*, *smitten* of God, and *afflicted* (judge hī, as plagued, and *smitten* of God, & humbled G.) et nos reputavimus eum plagatum, percussum a Deo (Dei A. M.) et humiliatum (*afflictum* Tr.) P. (13)
- 5 *But* he was... so G. (14)
- *transgressions* so G. (15)
- *he was bruised* (broken G.) for our *iniquities* (so G.) (16)
- *the chastisement* of our *peace* was upon him G. P. Tr. (17)
- *we are* G. (18)
- 6 *All* we, like sheep, *have* gone astray G. (19)
- *we have turned* every one to his... G. (20)
- *and* G. (21)
- *laid* on (upon G.) him *the iniquity of us all* G. (Vulg.) (22)
- 7 He *was oppressed* and *he was afflicted*, yet *he opened not* (did he not opē G.)... so G. (23)
- he *is brought* as a *lamb* (shepe G.) to the *slaughter*, and as a *sheep* before *her shearers* (shearer G.) *is dumb*, so *he openeth not*... so G. Tr. (ducetur... non aperiet P.) (24)
- 8 He *was taken* from (out from G.) prison and *from judgment* and *who shall* declare his generation (age G.) so G. P. Tr. (25)
- cut off (cut G.) *out of the land* of... so G. (26)
- for the tr. of m. p. *was he stricken* (plagued G.) so G. (27)
- 9 And *he made* (dedit P.) his grave with the *wicked*. G. P. Tr. (populus exposuit improbis sepulchrum ipsius Tr.) (28)
- the rich *in*... G. (29)
- *because* he *had done no violence*... (thogh *he had done no wickednes* G.) eo quod non iniquitatem (injuriā A. M.) fecerit P. eo quod non fecit violentiam... Tr. (30)

- 9 neither *was* any *deceit*... G. (31)
- 10 Yet it pleased the Lord to *bruise* him; *he hath put him to grief*: (Yet the Lord wolde breake him, & make him subiect to infirmities G.) *Et Dominus voluit conterere eum, aegrotare fecit* P. similarly Tr. (32)
- when *thou shalt make* his... (whē he shall make his... G.) *si posuerit seipsam pro delicto* (posuerit delictum A. M.) *anima eius* P. (quandoquidem exponebat se ipse sacrificium pro reatu, dicens Tr. 1593) (33)
- *he shall see his seed, he (& G.) shall prolong his days, and the pleasure* (wil G. P.) of... so G. P. Tr. (34)
- 11 *He shall see* of the travail of... and *shall be*... so G. (35)
- *by his knowledge* shall my righteous servant justify *many* G. P. Tr. (36)
- *iniquities* G. P. Tr. (37)
- 12 *divide* (giue G. dabo Tr.) him *a portion with* the great... (so G.) (*cum multis* P. *pro multis* Tr.) (38)
- *strong* G. P. Tr. (39)
- *hath poured out...unto...* G. P. Tr. 1593 (40)
- *he was numbered* (counted G.) *with...* (so G.) P. Tr. (41)
- *and he bare the sin of many...* so G. P. Tr. 1585 (42)
- *transgressors* (trespassers G.) (43)

Thus as far as the variations admit of being reduced to a numerical form about seven-eighths are due to the Genevan Version, either alone or in agreement with one or both of the Latin Versions. Two renderings appear to be due to Tremellius (4, 30): the same number to Pagninus (10, 32), including the noble rendering 'a man 'of sorrows and acquainted with grief.' Three times the Genevan translation is abandoned (30, 32, 33); and once the rendering appears to be independent (33). But

*Analysis  
of the  
changes.*

throughout the most delicate care is given to the choice of words, and there is scarcely a verse which does not bear witness to the wisdom and instinctive sense of fitness by which it was guided, e.g. 2 *no beauty...* (3 *a man of sorrows...*) 4 *our griefs...stricken...* 5 *bruised...* 7 *as a lamb...* 16 *put him to grief...* 12 *transgressors*. Even subtleties of rhythm are not to be disregarded, as 7 *he opened not...* 8 *from prison...* 12 *numbered...*; nor yet the endeavour after a more exact representation of the original, as 10 *he shall...* 12 *divide...*

*General  
character  
of the re-  
vision.*

The example which has been taken is undoubtedly an extreme one, but it only represents on an exaggerated scale the general relation in which the Authorised Version stands to the Genevan and Bishops' Bibles in the Prophetical books. In the Historical, and even in the Poetical books, it is far less divergent from the Bishops' Bible. In the Apocrypha it is, as far as I can judge, nearer to the Bishops' Bible than to the Genevan, but marked by many original changes. A passage from Wisdom, which has been already examined<sup>1</sup>, will be sufficient to shew the character of the revision in this part of the Bible, and the independent freedom with which the reviser performed his work.

- Wisdom vii.* BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1568, 1572. 15 God hath graunted me  
to speake *what my mynde conceaueth*, and to *thynke*  
as is meete for the thynges that are geuen me: *For*  
it is he that leadeth vnto wisdome, and *teacheth to*  
*use wisdome a ryght*.
- 16 For in his hande are both we and our wordes, *yea all*  
*our wisdome and knowledge of [his] workes*.
- 17 For he hath geuen me *the true sciencie* of the thinges  
that are, *so that I knowe howe the worlde was made,*  
and the *powers* of the elementes:
- 18 The begynnyng, endyng, and myddest of the tymes,  
*howe the tymes alter, howe one goeth after another, &*  
*howe they are fulfilled,*

<sup>1</sup> p. 219.

- 19 *The course of the yere, the ordinaunces of the starres,*  
 20 *The natures of lyuyng thynges, the furiousnesse of*  
*beastes, the power of the wyndes, the imaginations*  
*of men, the diuersities of young plants, the vertues*  
*of rootes ;*  
 21 *And all such thynges as are either secrete or manifest,*  
*them haue I learned...*  
 27 *And beyng [but] one, she (wisdom) can do all thinges :*  
*and remaynyng in her selfe she renueth all, and in all*  
*ages of tymes entryng into holy soules, she maketh*  
*Gods friendes, and prophetes,*  
 28 *For God loueth none, if he dwell not with wisdom.*  
 29 *For she is more beautifull then the sunne, and geueth*  
*more lyght then the starres, and the day is not to be*  
*compared vnto her.*  
 30 *For vpon the day commeth nyght : but wickednesse can*  
*not ouercome wisdom.*

- 15 *what...conceaueth : as I would ex sententia Junius* (1)  
 — *thynke : conceive* (Greek) (2)  
 — *For G. : because* (3)  
 — *teacheth...a ryght : directeth the wise.* (directer of the  
 wise G.) (4)  
 16 *yea all our wisdom : all wisdom also* (5)  
 — *[his] workes : workmanship* (opificiorum scientia J.) (6)  
 17 *the true science : certain knowledge cognitionem certam*  
 J. (7)  
 — *so that I G. : namely to* (8)  
 — *powers G. : operation* (Gr. ?) (9)  
 18 *howe...fulfylled : the alterations of the turning of the sun*  
*and the change of seasons* (how the times alter, and  
 the change of the seasons G.) *solstitiorum mutationes et*  
*varietates temporum* J. (10)  
 19 *course...of the : the circuits of years and the positions of :*  
*anni circuitus, et stellarum situs* J. (11)  
 20 *thynges G. : creatures* (12)  
 — *the...beastes G. : the furies of wild beasts* (Gr.) (13)  
 — *power of the G. : the violence of* (Gr.) (14)

- 20 *the imaginations* G.: *and the reasonings* (Gr.) (15)  
 — *young*: om. so G. J. (Gr.) (16)  
 — *the*: *and the* G. J. (Gr.) (17)  
 21 *haue I learned*: *I know* G. J. (18)  
 27 *renueth all* G.: *maketh all things new* (19)  
 — *maketh Gods friends*: *maketh them friends of God* (*the friends* G.) (20)  
 28 *if...not* G.: *but him that dwelleth* *nisi cum qui habitat* J. (Gr.) (21)  
 29 *geueth...the*: *above all the order of* (G. is *aboue...the starres*) (22)  
 29 *and the...her*: *being compared with the light, she is found before it* *cum luce comparata prior esse deprehenditur* J. (Gr.) (23)  
 30 *upon the day*: *after this* (24)  
 — *wickednesse...ouercome* G.: *vice shall not prevail against sapientie* *non est prævalitura malitia* J. (25)

Of these changes three seem to be due to Junius (10, 11, 25), and perhaps four others (1, 6, 7, 23): two to the Genevan Version (4, 18), and perhaps two others (16, 17): the remainder are either linguistic (3, 5, 8, 12, 19) or closer renderings of the Greek (2, 9, 13—15, 20—22, 24).

*The marginal renderings in Malachi.*

The marginal renderings offer a certain clue to the authorities on which the revisers chiefly relied; and an analysis of those given in Malachi fully confirms the conclusions which have been already obtained.

- Malachi i. 1 by: *Heb.* by the hand of. *per manum* M.  
 5 from: *or* upon *Heb.* from upon.  
 7 ye offer: *or* bring unto &c. (1)  
 8 for sacrifice: *Heb.* to sacrifice.  
 9 God: *Heb.* the face of God.  
 — by your means: *Heb.* from your hand.  
 13 and ye have snuffed at it (Münster, Genevan):  
*or* whereas you might have blown it away,  
*quum id vel diffiare possitis Castalio; quum exsufflare possetis illud Tremellius.* (2)



- i. 14 which hath in his flock (G.): *or* in whose flock is. (quum sit in grege ipsius Tr.) (3)
- ii. 3 corrupt (G.): *or* reprove increpabo Leo Juda. (4)
- spread: *Heb.* scatter spargam M. J. (5)
- *one* shall take you away with it: *or* it shall take you away to it. (et tollet vos ad se M. Similarly Pagninus, J.: otherwise G.) it shall take you with it Douay. ut abripiat vos ad se Tr. (6)
- 8 stumble at the law: *or* fall in the law (fall by...G.) (impingere in lege M. J.) (7)
- 9 have been partial in (G.): *or* lifted up the face against *Heb.* accepted faces. attollitis faciem contra legem Tr. (8)
- 11 loved (G. and all except Tr.): *or* ought to love amaturus fuerat Tr. (9)
- 12 the master and the scholar: *or* him that waketh and him that answereth, so M. Tr. (10)
- 15 residue: *or* excellency, so P. (11)
- a godly seed: *Heb.* a seed of God.
- treacherously: *or* unfaithfully. (12)
- 16 that he hateth putting away (so Fr. 1588. Sibi odio esse dimissionem ait Tr.): *or* if he hate *her*, put *her* away (similarly P. M. J. C. G.) *Heb.* to put away. (13)
- iii. 4 former: *or* ancient P. (14)
- 5 oppress: *or* defraud fraudant C. (15)
- 10 pour you out: *Heb.* empty out.
- 11 destroy: *Heb.* corrupt.
- 14 his ordinance: *Heb.* his observation.
- mournfully: *Heb.* in black.
- 15 are set up: *Heb.* are built.
- 17 jewels (mes plus précieux ioyaux Fr. 1588): *or* special treasure. peculium M. J. C. Tr. (16)

Thus of the sixteen alternative renderings four are found in Tremellius (2, 3, 8, 9), four in Münster with Leo Juda or Tremellius or both (5, 7, 10, 16), two in Pagninus (11, 14), one in Castalio (15), one in the Genevan (13), the Douay (6) and Leo Juda's Version (4) respectively; while two alone cannot be certainly referred to any one of these authorities (1, 12)<sup>1</sup>.

*The revision of the New Testament.*

*Use of the Rhemish Version.*

The revision of the New Testament was a simpler work than that of the Old, and may be generally described as a careful examination of the Bishops' Version (1572) with the Greek text, and with Beza's, the Genevan, and the Rhemish Versions<sup>2</sup>. Examples of words derived from the Rhemish Version have been given already, but the use of this version is so remarkable that it may be well to add more unequivocal proofs of its reality. Thus in the Epistle to the Romans the following phrases are found which are common, I believe, to the Rhemish and Authorised Versions alone; and it is impossible that the coincidences can have been accidental<sup>3</sup>.

*Rom.*

- i. 10 *if by any means*  
— 13 I would *not have you ignorant*

<sup>1</sup> [The first of these is from Münster.]

<sup>2</sup> See note at the end of the chapter for a collation of some chapters of the Bishops' Bible with the Authorised Version.

<sup>3</sup> Some of the phrases, it may be noticed, are found also in Wycliffe, and these may be taken to represent the amount of natural coincidences in two versions made independently from the Latin.

A still more certain proof of the influence of the Rhemish Version (Vulgate) on A.V. is found in changes of words and phrases in the earlier version which had been objected to by Romish controversialists. Thus, among renderings identical with, if not adopted from, those of the Rhemish Version in passages objected to by Martin, the

following may be mentioned:

Matt. ii. 6 *rule*.

— xxvi. 26 *blessed*.

John ix. 22 *put out of the synagogue*.

Acts i. 26 *numbered with*.

— iii. 21 *heaven must receive* (so Bishops').

— xiv. 23 *ordained* (*for ordained by election*).

James v. 16 *confess*.

2 Cor. ii. 10 *person*.

— iv. 17 *worketh*.

2 Thess. ii. 15 *traditions*.

Tit. iii. 5 *regeneration* (so Bishops').

Hebr. xii. 23 *Church*.

Other passages objected to, as Eph. v. 5, Col. iii. 5, Tit. iii. 10, were altered already in the Genevan Version: others, as 2 Thess. ii. 15, were altered independently in the Authorised Version.

- i. 23 *changed* the glory (so 25)
- 28 did not *like* (*liked* not Rh.)
- ii. 5 *revelation* of the
- 10 *glory, honour and peace to every man that worketh good*
- 13 *for not the hearers of the law are just*
- 15 *the work* of the law
- iii. 7 *why yet am I also judged as a sinner*
- v. 3 *and not only* so
- 15 *but not as the offence so also*
- xi. 14 *provoke to emulation*
- xii. 16 be not wise *in your own conceits*
- xiii. 4 minister unto *thee for good*
- 8 owe *no man anything*

The relation in which the different authorities stand to one another in the execution of the revision will appear from an analysis of the changes in a passage of moderate difficulty.

- BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1572. 5 Let your conuersation be without couetousnesse, *beyng* content with suche things as ye haue. For he hath sayde, I wyl *in no case* (not 1568, 1569) *fayle* thee, *neyther* forsake thee. *Hebrews xiii.*
- 6 So that we may boldely say, The Lord is my helper, and I wyl not feare what man *may* doe vnto me.
- 7 Remember them whiche haue the *ouersight* of you, *whiche* haue spoken vnto you the worde of God : whose *ende of conuersation ye consydering, folowe their fayth.*
- 8 Jesus Christ *yesterday* and today, and *the same* for euer.
- 9 Be not caried about with diuers and strange doctrines: For it is a good thing that the hart be *stablished* with grace, *and* not with meates, whiche haue not profited them that haue benne occupied therein.
- 10 We haue an aluter, whereof they haue no right to eate, which serue in the tabernacle.
- 11 For the bodies of those beasts, whose blood is brought into the *holye place*, by the hygh priest for sinne, are burnt without ye *tentes*.

- 12 *Therefore* Jesus also, that he myght sanctifie the people with his owne blood, suffered without the gate.
- 13 Let us goe foorth therefore vnto hym *out of the tentes*, bearyng his reproche.
- 14 For here haue we no continuing citie: but we seeke one to comme.
- 15 By hym therefore let vs (*do we* 1568, 1569) offer *sacrifice of laude alwayes to God*, that is, the fruite of *lippes confessing* his name.
- 16 *To doo* good and to *distribute* forgeat not, for with suche *sacrifice* God is wel pleased.

- 5 *beyng*: and be Genevan (1)
- *in no case* (not 1568, 9) *fayle*: never leave (not leaue Rhemish) (2)
- *neyther G.*: nor (3)
- 6 *may*: shall Rh. (*can G.*) *facturus est* Tremellius (4)
- 7 *ouersight of G.*: rule over (*gubernatorum Tr.*) (5)
- *whiche G.*: who (6)
- *ende...fayth*: whose faith follow considering the end (*what hathe bene the end G.*) of their conversation (7)
- 8 yesterday G.: the same yesterday (Rh. different) (8)
- *the same (the same also is G.)*: omit (9)
- 9 *stablished G.*: established Rh. (10)
- *and G.*: omit, so Rh. (11)
- 11 *holye place G.*: sanctuary (*sacrarium* Beza) (12)
- *tentes*: camp G. (13)
- 12 *Therefore G.*: wherefore (*quapropter B.*) (14)
- 13 *out...tentes*: without the camp Rh. (*out of the campe G.*) (15)
- 15 *sacrifice*: the sacrifice G. (16)
- *laude alwayes to God*: praise to God continually (*praise alwayes to God G. Rh.*) (17)
- *lippes*: our lips (*the lippes G.*) (18)
- *confessing*: giving thanks to (*quæ gratias agunt Tr.*) (*whiche confesse G.*) (19)
- 16 *To doo G.*: but to do (*beneficentiæ vero B.*) (20)

- 16 *distribute* G.: *communicate* (communication Rh. B.)  
 (21)  
 — *sacrifice* 1569: *sacrifices* 1568 G. (22)

Thus about seven changes are due to Beza (12, 14, 20), or the Genevan version (1, 7, 13, 16); nearly an equal number to the Rhemish (2, 4, 10, 11, 15, 21); two were perhaps suggested by Tremellius' version of the Syriac (5, 19); and six are original, reckoning three linguistic variations (3, 6, 17).

The chief influence of the Rhemish Version was on the vocabulary of the revisers, that of Beza and the Genevan Version on the interpretation. But still our revisers exercise an independent judgment both in points of language and construction. Thus in the latter respect they often follow Beza, rightly and wrongly, when the Genevan Versions do not; and again they fail to follow him where these had rightly adopted his rendering. In the former class such passages as these occur:

*The use  
of Beza's  
Version.*

- Mark xi. 17 called *of* all nations.  
*ab omnibus gentibus* (Beza 1565).  
 Rom. vii. 6 that being dead wherein...  
*mortuo eo in quo...* (Beza).  
 Hebr. xi. 13 and *embraced* them.  
*postquam...et ea amplexi fuissent* (Beza).  
 1 John ii. 19 *they went out* that...  
*egressi sunt ex nobis ut...* (Beza 1585).

On the other hand the Authorised Version retains (by no means unfrequently) the old rendering of the Great Bible when it had been rightly corrected from Beza in the Genevan revisions:

- Matt. xxviii. 14 if this come to the governor's ears.  
*come before* the Gouvernour (Gt.), if *y<sup>e</sup> gouvernour*  
*heare of this* (G.).  
*Quod si hoc auditum fuerit apud præsidem* (Beza  
 1585).

periculum erat ne hoc nomine milites apud  
Pilatum *deferrentur* (*Beza note*).

Acts xxviii. 4 *suffereth* not to live.

hath not suffered (*Gen.*) non *sivit* (*Beza*): ser-  
vanda præteriti temporis significatio. Comp.  
1 John v. 4 *vicit*.

Eph. iv. 18 *blindness*.

*hardness* (*Gen.*).

*obduratione* (*Beza*, 1556, 1559).

1 Pet. i. 17 If ye *call on the* Father...

If ye *call him* Father... (*Gen.*).

Si patrem *cognominatis eum* qui... (*Beza*).

And still further, some right renderings of Beza are  
neglected both by the Genevan revisers and by our own :

Mark vii. 4 *tables*.

*lectorum* (*Beza*: so *Vulg.*: *beds Wycl. Rh.* and  
A.V. marg.).

1 Tim. vi. 5 that *gain is godliness*.

*quæstui habent pietatem* (*Beza*)<sup>1</sup>.

*Analysis of  
various ren-  
derings in  
St Mark.*

If we apply the same test as before and examine the  
sources of the various renderings given in St Mark, the  
same authorities, as we have already noticed, reappear, and  
not disproportionately distributed.

Mark i. 4 for: unto Rhemish (1)

— 10 opened: cloven Genevan (2) *or* rent (*se fendre*  
Fr. 1588) (3)

— 34 to speak because they knew him: to say that  
they knew him (to speak that Rh.) G. so  
Beza, 1598 and Fr. (4)

ii. 14 at the receipt of custom: at the place where

<sup>1</sup> Archbp Trench, to whom I owe  
the references to most of the exam-  
ples just given, has collected some  
very instructive instances of improve-  
ments (p. 121): Hebr. iv. 1: Acts  
xii. 19 (*Beza's note*): John i. 3, 4:  
Acts i. 4 (*Beza*); and striking phrases

(p. 37): Acts iii. 15; Hebr. ii. 10;  
xii. 1. The list might easily be in-  
creased. It is unfortunate that Arch-  
bp Trench, like many other writers,  
confounds the Genevan Testament of  
1557 with the New Testament of the  
Genevan Bible.

- the custom was received (au lieu du peage Fr.) (5)
- ii. 21 new: raw Rh. (6) *or* unwrought (new and undressed Gt. escru Fr.) (7)
- iii. 5 hardness: blindness Tindale, Great Bible, Rh. (8)
- 10 pressed: rushed (Vulgate and Erasmus *irru-erent*) (9)
- 19 into an house: home G. (10)
- 21 friends: kinsmen (kinsfolkes G.) (11)
- iv. 29 brought forth: ripe (adolevit Castalio) (12)
- vi. 19 a quarrel: an inward grudge (en auoit à lui Fr.) (13)
- 20 observed him: kept him Rh. (le gardoit en prison Fr. mg.) (14) *or* saved him (15)
- 27 an executioner: one of his guard (erant spiculatores principum satellites *Beza note*) (16)
- 45 unto Beth.: over against Beth. Beth. oppositam B. (1598) (17)
- 56 him: it (so B. as alternative) (18)
- vii. 2 defiled: common Ti. G. B. Gen. Rh. (19)
- 3 oft: diligently (summo studio *B. note*) *in the original*, with the fist: Theophylact [quoted by B.] up to the elbow. (20)
- 4 tables: beds Rh. B. (21)
- 9 reject: frustrate Rh. (22)
- 26 Greek: Gentile Rh. (23)
- ix. 16 with them: among yourselves G. (24)
- 18 teareth him: dasheth him Rh. (25)
- 43, 47 offend thee: cause thee to offend G. (26)
- x. 42 are accounted (qui reputantur Tremellius): think good (qui font estat Fr., quibus placet B.) (27)
- 52 made thee whole: saved thee Ti. G. B. Gen. (28)
- xi. 22 Have faith in God: have the faith *of* God (have faith in God Rh.) (29)
- 29 question: thing Ti. B. Gen. (30)

- xiv. 3 spikenard: pure nard (nard that was pure...  
Ti. G. B. Gen.) (31) *or* liquid nard (so B.)  
(32)
- 15 killed: sacrificed G. (33)
- 26 hymn: psalm G. (34)
- 72 he wept: he wept abundantly (35) *or* he began  
to weep Ti. G. B. Rh. (36)
- xvi. 14 at meat: together G. (37)

Thus of the thirty-seven alternative renderings nearly one-half agree with the Genevan Version (2, 7, 10, 11, 24, 26, 33, 34, 37) or Beza's (4, 16—18, 20, 21, 27, 32); seven agree with the Rhemish Version (1, 6, 14, 22, 23, 25, 29); three more or less with the French (3, 5, 13); seven with the earlier English versions (8, 19, 28, 30, 31, 35, 36); one with Castalio (12); and one with the Vulgate (9).

*General  
review of  
1 John.*

Once again: the examination of the first Epistle of St John will shew very fairly how far K. James' revisers generally availed themselves in the New Testament of earlier labours, and how far they impressed a special character upon the Version. In six (four) places, if I reckon rightly, they have altered the construction of the text:

- i John i. 3 'and *truly* our fellowship *is* with...' for 'that our fellowship *may be* with...'
- (ii. 19 'they went out that they might be...' so Beza 1585)
- (ii. 29 '*ye know* that...' B.) for '*know ye* that...' G. so A.V. *marg.* 'ye haue knowen' (Gt.)
- iii. 16 'Hereby perceive we the love of God, because...' (B.) for 'hereby perceive we (haue we perceiued G.) love, that' (because Great Bible)...
- iv. 17 'Herein is our love (love with us *marg.* so B. 1598) made perfect, that...' for 'Herein is the love perfect in us, that...'



- v. 6 'This is he that came by water and blood,  
even Jesus Christ...' (so B.)  
for 'This Jesus Christ is he that cam...' (Tindale, G. B.)  
or 'This is that Jesus Christ that came...' (G.)

The changes of words are far more frequent, and of these a large number introduce phrases identical with those used in the Rhemish Version. Examples occur i. 9 'confess' for '[ac]knowledge': ii. 2 (iv. 10) 'he is the propitiation for...' for 'he it is that obtaineth grace for...': iv. 10 'to make agreement for...' (*propitiatio* Vulg.): ii. 17 'he that doeth...' for 'he that fulfilleth...': ii. 20 'an unction' for 'an ointment': ii. 26 'seduce' for 'deceive' (*seducunt* Vulg.): ii. 28 (iii. 21, v. 14) 'have confidence' for 'be bold' (*habeamus fiduciam* Vulg.): iii. 15 'murderer' for 'manslayer': v. 20 'an understanding that...' for 'a mind to...' (*sensum ut cognoscamus* Vulg.).

In other cases the revisers aimed at a more literal exactness, as in iii. 14 'have passed' for 'are translated': iv. 18 'is made perfect' for 'is perfect': iii. 1 'bestowed' for 'shewed': iii. 9 'doth not commit sin' (committeth not sinne Rh.) for 'sinneth not': ii. 22 (article): v. 9, 10 (tense); or at consistency of rendering, as ii. 27 'abideth' for 'dwelleth': iii. 10 'manifest' for 'known'<sup>2</sup>; or at clearness, as ii. 24, iii. 8 'that he might destroy the works of the devil' for 'to loose...'; or at emphasis, as ii. 3 'do know...'. Once an unhappy combination of renderings is attempted, iii. 17 'Bowels of compassion...' (*Bowels* Rh. *compassion* Tind. &c.): once a neater word is introduced, iii. 3 'purifieth' for 'purgeth'<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Other coincidences are found: ii. 8 *which thing...*: ii. 9 until *now...*: ii. 10 occasion of *stumbling* (marg. *scandal*): iv. 15 *shall* confess.

<sup>2</sup> The converse change of 'record' to 'witness' in v. 8 is quite inexplicable.

<sup>3</sup> The substitution of 'torment'

for 'painfulness' in iv. 18 is less completely successful: neither word renders *κόλασις*.

The scrupulous and watchful care with which the revisers worked is nowhere seen more remarkably than in their use of italics to mark the introduction of words not directly

This analysis, in which I have endeavoured to include all the variations introduced into the Authorised Version, will shew better than any description the watchful and far-reaching care with which the revisers fulfilled their work. No kind of emendation appears to have been neglected; and almost every change which they introduced was an improvement. They did not in every case carry out the principles by which they were generally directed; they left many things which might have been wisely modified; they paid no more attention than was commonly paid in their time to questions of reading<sup>1</sup>; but when every deduction is made for inconsistency of practice and inadequacy of method, the conclusion yet remains absolutely indisputable that their work issued in a version of the Bible better—because more faithful to the original—than any which had been given in English before<sup>2</sup>.

represented in the original. The detail may seem at first sight trivial, and Luther neglected it entirely; but in reality it involves much that is of moment. It is of importance as marking distinctly that the work is a translation; and yet more the use distinguishes in many cases an interpretation from a rendering: *e.g.* Hebr. x. 38. This question has been exhaustively treated by Dr Turton in his pamphlet on *The Text of the English Bible* (1833), who shews conclusively that the Cambridge text of 1638 bears clear marks of representing very exactly the true form of the Authorised Revision. In the use of italics it is far more consistent than the editions of 1611, which seem to have been hastily printed.

<sup>1</sup> I have given an account of the Greek text followed by the revisers in Smith's *Dictionary of the Bible*, II. 524 n. But the question is of no real importance, as they do not appear to have been influenced by any consistent critical views, and the variations are too superficial to admit a

general classification or discussion.

An examination of the headings of the chapters, the running headings, and the marginal references does not fall within my scope, though in itself interesting. Some remarks on these points will be found in a paper by Mr Kegan Paul in the *Theological Review* for 1869, pp. 99 ff.

<sup>2</sup> It is impossible to enter here upon the question of the language of the Authorised Version. Linguistic changes were common in each successive revision, as has been already noticed; but it does not at once follow that no archaisms were retained. The following examples of old words contained in the Genevan Bible and altered in A.V. are interesting. I am indebted for them to an anonymous [by the Rev. J. Gurnhill] Essay called *English retraced* (Cambridge, 1862), which contains many excellent criticisms on the English of the Genevan Version. The readings of A.V. are given in ( ). The other notation is as before.

- Ex. xxviii. 8 garde Genevan (girdle so Matthew, Bishops')  
 1 Sam. ii. 26 profited and grewe M. G. Bp. (grew on)  
 1 Sam. xxx. 18 frailes (*mg.* clusters) G. Bp. bondelles M. (clusters, *mg.* lumps)  
 1 K. xx. 39 be lost, and want G. be missed M. be myssed or lost Bp. (be missing)  
 2 K. xix. 24 plant G. soles M. steppe of my goyng Bp. (sole)  
 Ps. cxxxvi. 23 in our base estate G. when we were brought lowe Bp. (in our low estate)  
 Pr. cxlii. 7 art beneficial vnto G. (shalt deal bountifully with)  
 Prov. xxii. 6 in the trade of his way G. (in the way he should go. *mg.* in his way)  
 Is. xxiii. 8 chapmen G. factours Bp. (traffickers)  
 Mark v. 35 diseasesest Tindale, Great Bible, G. Bp. (troublest)  
 Mark x. 41 disdaine at Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (be much displeased with)  
 Mark xii. 42 quadrin G. (farthing Ti. G. B. Bp.)  
 Mark xv. 26 cause Ti. G. B. G. Bp. Rh. (accusation)  
 Acts xxi. 15 trussed up our fardeles G. made ourselves ready Ti. toke vp oure burthens G. B. Bp. (took up our carriages)  
 Acts xxi. 35 a grece Ti. a stayre G. B. the grieces G. (the stairs so Bp.)  
 Acts xxv. 18 crime G. (accusation) so Ti. G. B. Genevan Test. Bp.  
 Rom. xiv. 16 treasure Ti. G. B. commoditye G. (good so Bp.)  
 2 Cor. ix. 9 sparsed Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (dispersed so Bp.)  
 2 Cor. xii. 17 pill Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (make a gain of)  
 2 Tim. iv. 2 improue Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (reprove)  
 Tit. i. 8 herberous Ti. harberous G. a keper of hospitalite G. B. (a lover of hospitality so Bp.)  
 Heb. viii. 2 pight Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (pitched)  
 1 Pet. iv. 9 Be ye herberous Ti. G. B. G. Bp. (use hospitality) See above, Tit. i. 8.

The valuable *Bible Word-Book* (1866) of Mr Eastwood and Mr Aldis Wright [second edition, 1884] furnishes an admirable foundation for a study of the English of A. V. There can hardly be a more instructive lesson in English than to trace to their first appearance a number of the archaisms there noticed. It will appear that not a few of them are due to K. James' revisers them-

selves and not to the earlier texts. The charges brought by the Rhemists against the language of the earlier English Versions are all summed up by Martin and met by Fulke, *Defence of the English translations*, pp. 218, 569 (ed. P. S.). The argument of Martin, it will be seen, loses all its point, when applied to the Authorised Version.

*Note to p. 266.*

The following selection of variations in some chapters of St Matthew will give a fair idea of the relation of A.V. to the Bishops' Bible.

| BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1568, 1569, 1572.  | AUTHORISED VERSION, 1611.   |
|--|---|
| i. 18 The birth  | Now the birth Comp. vv. 21, 22 ;<br>ii. 1, 13 ; iii. 15 ; iv. 12 ; vii. 3 ;<br>viii. 18 ; x. 2 ; xi. 2, &c. |
| — — betrothed  | espoused  |
| — 23 is by interpretation  | being interpreted is  |
| ii. 1 (a citie) of Iurie   | of Judæa  |
| — 10 excedyngly with great ioy   | with exceeding great joy  |
| — 12 after they were   | being Comp. ver. 22 ; iv. 13, 21 ; viii. 5.   |
| — 13 it wyll come to passe, that<br>Herod shall                                  | Herod will  |
| — 16 as many as were   | from  |
| — — searched out   | enquired  |
| — 23 Nazarite  | Nazarene  |
| iii. 7 anger   | wrath   |
| — 9 be not of suche mynde, that<br>ye woulde                                     | think not to  |
| — 11 I baptize you in  | I indeed baptize you with   |
| — 16 (John) sawe   | he saw  |
| iv. 10 Auoyde 1568, 1569 : get thee<br>hence behind me 1572                      | get thee hence  |
| — 12 delyuered up <i>mg.</i> That is,<br><i>cast in pryson</i>                   | cast into prison <i>mg.</i> delivered up  |
| — 21 the shippe  | a ship Comp. xiii. 2.   |
| v. 6 satisfied   | filled  |
| — 10 which suffer persecution 1568,<br>1569 ; which have been<br>persecuted 1572 | which are persecuted  |
| — 11 lying, shall say all maner of<br>euyl saying agaynst you                    | shall say all manner of evil against<br>you falsely   |
| — 12 be glad   | be exceeding glad   |
| — 22 vnaduisedly   | without a cause   |
| — 44 hurt  | despitefully use  |
| — 47 singuler thyng do ye  | do ye more than others  |
| — 48 Ye shall therfore be  | Be ye therefore   |
| vi. 7 babble not much<br>much bablinges sake                                     | use not vain repetitions<br>much speaking   |
| — 19 Hoorde  | lay   |
| — 25 be not carefull   | take no thought Comp. vv. 27, 28,<br>34.  |
| — 28 weery not [them selues] with<br>labour                                      | toil not  |
| — 29 royaltie  | glory   |

| BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1568, 1569, 1572.   | AUTHORISED VERSION, 1611.                                     |
|---|---|
| vii. 4 suffer me, I will plucke (caste<br>1572) out a mote                                  | Let me pull out the mote                                      |
| — 24 of me these sayinges   | these sayings of mine Comp. ver. 26.                          |
| — 29 power  | authority   |
| viii. 7 when I come, I wyll   | I will come and   |
| — 11 rest   | sit down  |
| — 32 russhed headlong   | ran violently down a steep place                              |
| — 33 done of  | befallen to   |
| ix. 21 touche but euen his vesture<br>only  | but touch his garment   |
| — 36 were destitute   | fainted   |
| x. 9 Possesse not   | Provide neither   |
| — 15 easier   | more tolerable Comp. xi. 22.                                  |
| — 18 in witnesse to   | for a testimony against                                       |
| — 21 their fathers, and mothers   | their parents   |
| — — put them to death   | cause them to be put to death                                 |
| — 29 litle sparowes   | sparrows Comp. xi. 16; xv. 26.                                |
| — — light   | fail  |
| xi. 12 plucke it [vnto them]  | take it by force  |
| — 19 and wisdom is (was 1572)   | but wisdom is   |
| — 26 was it thy good pleasure   | it seemed good in thy sight                                   |
| — 28 labour sore  | labour  |
| — — laden   | heavy laden   |
| — — ease you  | give you rest   |
| xii. 18 childe  | servant   |
| — — well delighteth   | is well pleased   |
| — 23 Is not this that   | Is this the   |
| — 41 in the iudgement   | in judgment   |
| xiii. 11 secretes   | mysteries   |
| — 19 that euyl  | the wicked one Comp. ver. 38.                                 |
| — 28 the malicious man  | an enemy  |
| — 32 make their nestes  | lodge   |
| — 46 precious pearle  | pearl of great price  |
| — 54 commeth this wysdome and<br>powers (1568, 1569 :<br>mighty woorkes 1572) vn-<br>to him | hath this man this wisdom and these<br>mighty works           |
| xiv. 8 platter  | charger   |
| — 15 let the people depart  | send the multitude away Comp. xv.<br>32.                      |
| — 30 a myghty wynde   | the wind boisterous   |
| xv. 5 by the gyft that [is offered]<br>of me, thou shalt be helped                          | It is a gift by whatsoever thou mightest<br>be profited by me |
| — 13 Euery plantyng 1568 : All<br>maner plantyng 1569, 1572                                 | every plant   |
| — 39 parties  | coasts  |
| xvi. 3 lowryng redde  | red and lowering  |
| — — outwarde appearaunce  | face  |
| — 17 happy  | Blessed Comp. xi. 6.  |
| — 18 congregation   | church  |

| BISHOPS' BIBLE, 1568, 1569, 1572.                         | AUTHORISED VERSION, 1611. |
|---|---------------------------|
| xvi. 20 Iesus Christe                                     | Jesus the Christ          |
| — 22 Lorde, fauour thy selfe                              | Be it far from thee, Lord |
| — 23 go after me  | Get thee behind me        |
| — 24 forsake  | deny                      |
| — 26 for a raunsome of                                    | in exchange for           |
| xvii. 16 heale  | cure Comp. ver. 18.       |
| — 22 were occupyed (1568, 1569 :<br>were conuersant 1572) | abode                     |
| — 25 tribute or toule                                     | custom or tribute         |
| — 27 peece of twenty pence                                | piece of money            |

## CONCLUSION.

THUS step by step and in slow degrees, under every variety of influence, the English Bible assumed its present shape; and the record of its progress is still partially shewn in our public services. Among its other manifold memorials of the past, the Book of Common Prayer preserves clear traces of this eventful history. Some of the Scriptural translations which it contains are original, some are from the Great Bible, some from the Authorised Version. The Offertory sentences and the 'comfortable words' are not taken from any version, but are a rendering of the Latin, made probably by Cranmer. The same independence is found in the Evangelic Hymns, the *Benedictus*, the *Magnificat* and the *Nunc dimittis*, which differ more or less from the Great Bible and the Authorised Version. But even here the labour of correction was not neglected; for after their introduction into the first Prayer-Book of 1548 these Hymns were elaborately revised in 1549 and again in 1552. So also the *Benedicite* was revised in 1549, and the burden of the Hymn was altered throughout in 1552.

*The Prayer-Book contains traces of the three stages of translation.*

*Original renderings from the Vulgate.*

The Psalms *Venite, Jubilate, Cantate, Deus misereatur*, agree almost literally with the Great Bible (April, 1540), though even in these there are traces of a minute and careful revision; and the same remark holds true also of the Psalms and the Occasional Services<sup>1</sup>.

*Passages from the Great Bible.*

<sup>1</sup> Two changes of tense are worthy of notice. In the *Cantate* (Ps. xcvi. 9) 'he cometh' is read for 'he is come'; and in Ps. cxvi. 4 (The

Churching of Women) 'I found,' 'I called' for 'I shall find,' and 'I will call.'

*The Psalter.*

But the great and enduring monument of the earlier Version of Coverdale and Cranmer<sup>1</sup> is the Psalter itself, which had, as we have seen, become so completely identified with the expression of religious feeling that it was felt to be impossible to displace it<sup>2</sup>. When the last changes in the Prayer-Book were made it was found, it is said, smoother to sing; but this is not a full account of the matter, and it cannot be mere familiarity which gives to the Prayer-Book Psalter, with all its errors and imperfections, an incomparable tenderness and sweetness. Rather we may believe that in it we can yet find the spirit of him whose work it mainly is, full of humility and love, not heroic or creative, but patient to accomplish by God's help the task which had been set him to do, and therefore best in harmony with the tenour of our own daily lives.

*Passages  
from the  
Authorised  
Version.*

But when the Psalter and the Hymns were left unaltered in 1662, the Introductory Sentences and the Epistles and Gospels were at last taken from the Authorised Version. Up to that time the Epistles and Gospels had been printed from the Great Bible with a few, perhaps unintentional, discrepancies, and the Introductory Sentences, like those at present in the Communion Service, had been an original translation. Thus the cycle was completed, and each great stage in the history of our Bible represented in the Prayer-Book.

*Historic  
characteris-  
tics of the  
Version.*

Whatever else may be thought of the story which has been thus imperfectly told, enough has been said to shew that the history of the English Scriptures is, as was remarked by anticipation, unique. The other great vernacular

<sup>1</sup> [It may be confidently stated that the Prayer-Book Version of the Psalms is due to Coverdale alone. If all the words which are taken from Coverdale (1535) be underlined with red, those from the Great Bible of 1539 with blue, and those from the edition of April 1540 (Whitchurch) with black, it will be found that there remain

only slight verbal changes, with some errors, which have been made in later editions. There is no reason to suppose that Cranmer had anything to do with the translation of the Psalter, though he wrote the Prologue to the Bible of April 1540.]

<sup>2</sup> See p. 199.



versions of Europe are the works of single men, definitely stamped with their impress and bearing their names. A German writer somewhat contemptuously remarks that it took nearly a century to accomplish in England the work which Luther achieved in the fraction of a single lifetime. The reproach is exactly our glory. Our version is the work of a Church and not of a man. Or rather it is a growth and not a work. Countless external influences, independent of the actual translators, contributed to mould it; and when it was fashioned the Christian instinct of the nation, touched, as we believe, by the Spirit of God, decided on its authority. But at the same time, as if to save us from that worship of the letter, which is the counterfeit of true and implicit devotion to the sacred text, the same original words are offered to us in other forms in our Prayer-Book, and thus the sanction of use is distinguished from the claim to finality. Our Bible in virtue of its past is capable of admitting revision, if need be, without violating its history. As it gathered into itself, during the hundred years in which it was forming, the treasures of manifold labours, so it still has the same assimilative power of life.

One Version only in old times, the Latin Vulgate, can in this respect be compared with it. This also was formed by private efforts silently and slowly till it was acknowledged by the acceptance of the Western Church. One supremely great man, Jerome, partly revised and partly renewed it, and by a strange coincidence even he could not displace the old Psalter which had been adopted for public use. But the English Bible has what the Latin Bible, as far as we know, had not. It has not only the prerogative of vitality while the other has been definitely fixed in one shape, but it has also the seal of martyrdom upon it. In this too it differs from the other great modern versions. Luther defied his enemies to the last. Lefèvre in extreme old age mourned that when the opportunity was given him he had not been found worthy to give up his life for Christ. Calvin died sovereign at

*Compared  
with the  
Vulgate.*

*Crowned by  
martyrdom.*

Geneva. But Tindale, who gave us our first New Testament from the Greek, was strangled for his work at Vilvorde: Coverdale, who gave us our first printed Bible, narrowly escaped the stake by exile: Rogers, to whom we owe the multiform basis of our present Version, was the first victim of the Marian persecution: Cranmer, who has left us our Psalter<sup>1</sup>, was at last blessed with a death of triumphant agony.

*Words of the  
translators.*

The work was crowned by martyrdom and the workmen laboured at it in the faith and with the love of martyrs. The solemn words in which they commend the Bible to their readers, the prayers which they offer for the spiritual enlightenment of their countrymen, the confessions which they make of their own insufficiency, have even now lost nothing of their eloquence. These are the moral of the story.

TINDALE,  
1525.

'I haue here translated,' writes Tindale, and these were his first words, '(brethern and susters moost dere and 'tenderly beloued in Christ) the newe Testament for youre 'spirituall edyfyng, consolacion, and solas: Exhortynge 'instantly and besechynge those that are better sene in 'the tonge then y, and that have hyer gyfte of grace to 'interpret the sence of the scripture, and meanyng of 'the spyrite, then y, to consydre and pondre my laboure, 'and that with the spyrite of mekenes. And yf they 'perceyve in eny places that y have not attayned the 'very sence of the tonge, or meanyng of the scripture, 'or haue not geuen the right englysshe worde, that they 'put to there hande to amende it, remembryng that so 'is there duetie to doo. For we have not receyved the 'gyfte of god for oure selues only, or forto hyde them: 'but forto bestowe them vnto the honouringe of god and 'christ, and edyfyng of the congregacion, which is the 'body of christ.'

COVERDALE,  
1535.

'As for the commendacyon of Gods holy scripture,' writes Coverdale, 'I wolde fayne magnifye it as it is 'worthy, but I am farre vnsufficiēt therto. & therefore

<sup>1</sup> But see note 1, p. 280.

'I thoughte it better for me to holde my tonge, then  
'with few wordes to prayse or commēde it: exhortynge  
'y<sup>e</sup> (most deare reader) so to loue it, so to cleue vnto it,  
'& so to folowe it in thy daylye conuersacyon, y<sup>e</sup> other  
'men seyng thy good workes & the frutes of y<sup>e</sup> holy  
'goost in the, maye prayse the father of heauen, & geue  
'his worde a good reporte: for to lyue after the lawe of  
'God, and to leade a vertuous conuersacyon, is the greatest  
'praysse y<sup>e</sup> thou canst geue vnto his doctryne...'

'Euery man,' writes Cranmer, 'that commeth to the  
'readyng of thys holy booke ought to brynge wyth him  
'fyrst and formoste thys feare of almyghtye God, and  
'then nexte a fyrme and stable purpose to reforme hys  
'awne selfe accordyng ther vnto, and so to continue,  
'procede, and prospere frō tyme to tyme, shewynge hym  
'selfe to be a sober and frutefull hearer ād learner, whych  
'yf he do, he shall proue at the length well able to  
'teache, though not wyth his mouth, yet with his liuyng  
'and good example, whych is suer the moost lyuely, and  
'effecteouse forme and maner of teachyng.'

CRANMER,  
1540.

'It remaineth, that we commend thee to God, and to  
'the Spirit of his grace, which is able to build further  
'than we can aske or thinke. Hee remoueth the scales  
'from our eyes, the vaile from our hearts, opening our  
'wits that we may vnderstand his word, enlarging our  
'hearts, yea correcting our affections, that we may loue  
'it aboue gold and siluer, yea that we may loue it  
'to the end. Ye are brought vnto fountaines of liuing  
'water which yee digged not: doe not cast earth into them  
'with the Philistines, neither preferre broken pits before  
'them with the wicked Iewes. Others haue laboured, and  
'you may enter into their labours; O receiue not so great  
'things in vaine, O despise not so great saluation!.....  
'It is a fearefull thing to fall into the hands of the liuing  
'God; but a blessed thing it is, and will bring vs to  
'euerlasting blessednes in the end, when God speaketh  
'vnto us, to hearken; when he setteth his word before vs,

*Preface to  
Authorised  
Version,  
1611.*

‘to reade it; when he stretcheth out his hand and calleth,  
‘to answer, Here am I; here we are to doc thy will,  
‘O God. The Lord worke a care and conscience in vs to  
‘know him and serue him, that we may be acknowledged  
‘of him at the appearing of our Lord Iesus Christ, to  
‘whom with the holy Ghost, be all prayse and thankes-  
‘giuing. Amen.’

## APPENDICES.

- I. SPECIMENS OF THE EARLIER AND LATER WYCLIFFITE VERSIONS.
- II. CHRONOLOGICAL LIST OF EDITIONS OF BIBLES AND OF PARTS OF THE BIBLE OF CRITICAL IMPORTANCE IN THE HISTORY OF THE AUTHORISED VERSION.
- III. COLLATION OF I JOHN IN THE THREE TEXTS OF TINDALE.
- IV. AN EXAMINATION OF THE SOURCES OF COVERDALE'S NOTES.
- V. SPECIMENS OF THE NOTES OF TINDALE AND MATTHEW.
- VI. SPECIMENS OF THE LATIN-ENGLISH TESTAMENTS OF COVERDALE.
- VII. PASSAGES FROM THE PENTATEUCH AND HISTORICAL BOOKS IN TINDALE, COVERDALE, &c.
- VIII. THE RELATION OF THE WYCLIFFITE TO THE LATER VERSIONS.
- IX. THE REVISION OF THE AUTHORISED VERSION.
- X. PHRASES IN THE PSALMS MARKED IN THE PSALTER OF THE GREAT BIBLE AS ADDITIONS FROM THE VULGATE.
- XI. SOURCES OF THE NOTES IN MATTHEW'S BIBLE.
- XII. NOTES ON THE TRANSLATORS OF THE AUTHORISED VERSION.
- XIII. RULES FOR THE TRANSLATION OF THE AUTHORISED VERSION AS REPORTED TO THE SYNOD OF DORT.



## APPENDIX I.

### *Specimens of the earlier and later Wycliffite Versions.*

| WYCLIFFE.  | VULGATE.  | PURVEY.   |
|--|---|---|
| Lord oure Lord ;<br>hou myche meruei-<br>lous is thi name in al<br>the earthe.   | Domine Dominus<br>noster, quam admi-<br>rabile est nomen<br>tuum in universa ter-<br>ra !                                   | Lord <i>thou art</i> oure <i>Ps. viii. 1.</i><br>Lord ; thi name is ful<br>wonderful in al erthe.   |
| For rerid vp is thi<br>grete doing, ouer<br>heuenes.   | Quoniam elevata<br>est magnificentia tua<br>super cælos.  | For thi greet doying<br>is reised, aboue he-<br>uenes.  |
| Of the mouth of<br>vnspekende childer<br>and soukende thou<br>performedist prei-<br>sing, for thin enemys ;<br>that thou destroye the<br>enemy and the ven-<br>iere. | Ex ore infantium<br>et lactentium perfe-<br>cisti laudem propter<br>inimicos tuos, ut de-<br>struas inimicum et<br>ultorem. | Of the mouth of 2<br>jonge children, not<br>spekyng and souk-<br>ynge mylk, thou ma-<br>dist perfilti heriying,<br>for thin enemyes ;<br>that thou destrie the<br>enemy and avengere. |
| For I shal see thin<br>heuenes, the werkis<br>of thi fingris ; the<br>mone and the sterris,<br>that thou hast found-<br>id.  | Quoniam videbo<br>cælos tuos, opera di-<br>gitorum tuorum : lu-<br>nam et stellas, quæ<br>tu fundasti.                      | For Y schal se thin 3<br>heucnes, the werkis<br>of thi fyngris ; the<br>moone and sterris,<br>whiche thou hast<br>foundid.  |
| What is a man,<br>that myndeful thou<br>art of hym ; or the<br>son of man, for thou<br>visitist hym ?  | Quid est homo,<br>quod memor es ejus ?<br>aut filius hominis,<br>quoniam visitas eum ?                                      | What is a man, that 4<br>thou art myndeful of<br>hym ; ethir the sone<br>of a virgyn, for thou<br>visitist hym ?  |
| Thou lassedest<br>hym a lytil lasse fro<br>aungelis ; with glorie<br>and worshippe thou  | Minuisti eum pau-<br>lo minus ab angelis,<br>gloria et honore co-<br>ronasti eum :  | Thou hast maad 5<br>hym a litil lesse than<br>aungels ; thou hast<br>corouned hym with  |

## WYCLIFFE.

crounedest hym, and  
settist hym ouer the  
werkis of thin hondys.

- 6 Alle thingus thou  
leidest vnder his feet,  
7 shep and oxen alle;  
ferthermor and the  
bestis of the feeld;

- 8 the foulis of heuene,  
and the fishis of the  
se; that thurȝ gon the  
sties of the se.

- 9 Lord, oure Lord;  
hou myche meruei-  
lous is thi name in al  
erthe.

*Eph. iv. 8.* For which thing  
he seith, He stynginge  
into hij, ledde caitifte  
caytif, *or prysonynge*  
*prisoned*, he ȝaf ȝiftis  
to men.

- 9 Forsoth that he  
assendide, what is it,  
no but for he dessen-  
dide first into the  
lowere partis of the  
erthe?

- 10 He it is that cam  
down, and that sti-  
jede vp on alle he-  
uenes, that he schulde  
fulfille alle thingis.

- 11 And he ȝaf summe  
sotheli apostlis,  
summe forsoth pro-  
phetis, othere forsothe  
euangelistis, othere  
forsoth schepherdis  
and techeris,

- 12 to the ful endyng

## VULGATE.

et constituisti eum  
super opera manuum  
tuarum.

Omnia subiecisti  
sub pedibus ejus, oves  
et boves universas:  
insuper et pecora  
campi;

volucres cæli, et  
pisces maris, qui per-  
ambulant semitas  
maris.

Domine Dominus  
noster, quam admi-  
rabile est nomen  
tuum in universa ter-  
ra!

Propter quod di-  
cit: Ascendens in al-  
tum captivam duxit  
captivitatem: dedit  
dona hominibus.

Quod autem ascen-  
dit, quid est, nisi quia  
et descendit primum  
in inferiores partes  
terræ?

Qui descendit, ipse  
est et qui ascendit  
super omnes cælos,  
ut impleret omnia.

Et ipse dedit quos-  
dam quidem aposto-  
los, quosdam autem  
prophetas, alios vero  
evangelistas, alios au-  
tem pastores et doc-  
tores.

ad consummatio-

## PURVEY.

glorie and onour, and  
hast ordeyned hym  
aboute the werkis of  
thin hondis.

Thou hast maad  
suget alle thingis vn-  
der hise feet; alle  
scheep and oxis, fer-  
thermore and the  
beestis of the feeld;  
the briddis of the eir,  
and the fischis of the  
see; that passen bi  
the pathis of the see.

Lord, *thou art* oure  
Lord; thi name is  
wonderful in al erthe.

For which thing  
he seith, He stynginge  
an hij, ledde caitifte  
caitif, he ȝaf ȝiftis to  
men.

But what is it, that  
he stiede vp, no but  
that also he cam down  
first in to the lowere  
partis of the erthe?

He it is that cam  
down, and that stiede  
on alle heuenes, that  
he schulde fille alle  
thingis.

And he ȝaf summe  
apostlis, summe pro-  
phetis, othere euange-  
listis, othere scheep-  
herdis, and techeris,

to the ful endyng



## WYCLIFFE.

of seyntis, into the  
work of mynisterie,  
into edificacioun of  
Cristis body,

til we rennen alle,  
in vnyte of feith and  
of knowynge of God-  
dis sone, into a parfyt  
man, into the mesure  
of age of the plente  
of Crist;

that we ben not  
now litile children,  
mouynge as wawis,  
and be borun aboute  
with al wynd of tech-  
inge, in the weyward-  
nesse of men, in sutil  
witt, to the discey-  
uyng of errour.

## VULGATE.

nem sanctorum in  
opus ministerii, in  
ædificationem corpo-  
ris Christi:

donec occurramus  
omnes in unitatem  
fidei, et agnitionis Fi-  
lij Dei, in virum per-  
fectum, in mensuram  
ætatis plenitudinis  
Christi:

ut jam non simus  
parvuli fluctuantes,  
et circumferamur om-  
ni vento doctrinæ in  
nequitia hominum, in  
astutia ad circum-  
ventionem erroris.

## PURVEY.

of seyntis, in to the  
werk of mynystrie,  
in to edificacioun of  
Cristis bodi,

til we rennen alle,  
in to vnyte of feith  
and of knowyng of  
Goddis sone, in to a  
parfit man, aftir the  
mesure of age of the  
plente of Crist;

that we be not now  
litile children, mo-  
uyng as wawis, and  
be not borun aboute  
with ech wynd of  
teching, in the wei-  
wardnesse of men, in  
sutil wit, to the dis-  
seyuyng of errour.

## APPENDIX II.

### *Chronological List of Editions of Bibles and of parts of the Bible of critical importance in the History of the Authorised Version.*

In the following list I have only included those editions which have a direct literary bearing on the history of the Authorised Version. It has no bibliographical object whatever. In foreign versions it has generally seemed sufficient to mark the *first* edition of each work. In the case of rare books I have indicated the copies which I have been allowed to use. The principal sources of the several English versions are added in brackets.

| <i>Foreign Translations.</i>   | <i>English Translations.</i>   |
|--|--|
| 1516 Erasmus' first Edition of<br>the Greek Testament with<br>a new Latin Translation. |  |
| 1519 Erasmus' second Edition.  |  |
| 1520 The Complutensian Poly-<br>glott, Hebrew, Chaldee,<br>Greek and Latin texts.      |  |
| 1522 ERASMUS' THIRD EDITION.   |  |
| — LUTHER'S GERMAN NEW<br>TESTAMENT (Sept. and<br>Dec.)                                 |  |
| 1523 LUTHER'S PENTATEUCH.  |  |
| 1524 LUTHER'S HISTORICAL AND<br>POETICAL BOOKS OF<br>THE OLD TESTAMENT.                |  |
| — ZURICH VERSION OF THE<br>PROPHETS.   |  |
|  | 1525 Tindale's New Testament<br>in two shapes.<br>[Erasmus, Luther.] |

*Foreign Translations.**English Translations.*

- 1527-29. ZURICH VERSION finished.  
 1528 SANCTES PAGNINUS' Latin Version of the Bible.  
 1532 LUTHER'S VERSION finished.  
 1534 LUTHER'S BIBLE published.  
 1534-5 SEB. MÜNSTER'S Latin Version of the Old Testament.  
 1534 Lefèvre's French Version.  
 1535 Olivetan's French Version.

- 1530 Tindale's Pentateuch.  
 1534 Tindale's New Testament revised<sup>1</sup>.  
 [First edition, Luther, Complutensian readings, Erasmus.]  
 — Tindale's Pentateuch revised.  
 1535 Tindale's New Testament again revised<sup>2</sup>. [See p. 161.]  
 1535 Coverdale's Bible<sup>3</sup>.  
 [Vulgate, Luther, Zurich, Pagninus, Tindale.]  
 1536 Coverdale's Bible, second edition<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> The newe Testament, dylygently corrected and compared with the Greke by Willyam Tindale: and fyneshed in the yere of oure Lorde God A.M.D. & xxxiii. in the moneth of Nouember.

*Second title:*

The newe Testament. Imprinted at Anwerp by Marten Emperowr. Anno .M.D.xxxiiij.

[Univ. Libr. & Trin. Coll. Cambridge. Brit. Mus.]

<sup>2</sup> The newe Testament, dylygently corrected and compared with the Greke by Willyam Tindale: and fyneshed in the yere of oure Lorde God A.M.D. and xxxv.

No imprint. [Probably pirated.]

[Univ. Libr. Cambridge.]

<sup>3</sup> The following are the title-pages of the different issues of the first edition of Coverdale's Bible.

(a) Biblia The Bible, that is, the holy Scripture of the Olde and New Testament, faithfully and truly translated out of Douche and Latyn in to

Englishe. M.D.xxxv.

*Colophon:*

Prynted in the yere of oure LORDE M.D.xxxv. and fynished the fourth daye of October.

[Earl of Leicester. British Museum (not quite perfect).]

(β) Biblia The Byble: that is, the holy Scrypture of the Olde and New Testament, faythfully translated in to Englyshe. M.D.xxxv.

[Marquess of Northampton.]

(γ) Biblia The Byble: that is, the holy Scrypture of the Olde and New Testament, faythfully translated in to Englyshe. M.D.xxxvi.

[Earl of Jersey (now in the Earl of Carysfort's Library). Gloucester Cathedral Library.]

<sup>4</sup> Bible The Byble, that is the holy Scrypture of the Olde and New Testament, faythfully translated in Englysh, and newly ouersene & corrected. M.D.xxxvii.

Imprynted in Sowthwarke for Iames Nycolson.

*English Translations.*1537 Matthew's Bible<sup>1</sup>.

[Tindale, Coverdale.]

1538 Coverdale's Latin-English Testaments.

1539 April. First Edition of the Great Bible<sup>2</sup>.

[Matthew, Münster, Erasmus, Complutensian Polyglott.]

1539 Taverner's Bible<sup>3</sup>.

[Matthew's, Vulgate, Greek text.]

1540 April. Second Edition of the Great Bible<sup>4</sup>.

[First Edition, Münster, Erasmus, Complut. Pol.]

1540 Nov. Fourth Edition of the Great Bible<sup>5</sup>.

[First and second editions.]

[Baptist College, Bristol. Lincoln Cathedral Library. British Museum (imperfect).]

<sup>1</sup> The Byble, which is all the holy Scripture: In which are containyd the Olde and Newe Testament truely and purely translated into Englysh by Thomas Matthew...M,D,xxxvii,... Set forth with the Kinges most gracious lycēce.

*Colophon:*

To the honoure and prayse of God was this Byble prynted and fynessed, in the yere of oure Lorde God a, M,D,xxxvii.

<sup>2</sup> The Byble in Englyshe, that is to saye the content of all the holy scrypture, bothe of y<sup>e</sup> olde and newe testament, truly translated after the veryte of the Hebrue and Greke textes, by y<sup>e</sup> dylygent studye of dyuerse excellent learned men, expert in the forsayde tonges... Prynted by Rychard Grafton & Edward Whitchurch. Cum priuilegio ad imprimendum solum. 1539... Fynisshed in Apryll, Anno .M.CCCC.xxxix. *A Dño factū est istud.*

[British Museum. Baptist College,

Bristol.]

<sup>3</sup> The most sacred Bible, Whiche is the holy scripture, conteynynge the old and new testament, translated in to English, and newly recognised with great diligence after most faythful exemplars, by Rychard Taverner. Prynted at London...by Iohn Byddell, for Thomas Barthlet. Cum Priuilegio ad imprimendum solum. M.D.xxxix.

<sup>4</sup> The Byble in Englyshe...testament, with a prologe therinto, made by the reuerende father in God, Thomas archbysshop of Cantorbury, This is the Byble apoynted to the vse of the churches... Cum priuilegio...M.D.XL.... *Colophon:* Fynisshed in Apryll, Anno M.CCCC.XL. *A dño factū est istud.*

[British Museum. Baptist College, Bristol.]

<sup>5</sup> The Byble in Englyshe of the largest and greatest volume, auctorysed and apoynted by the commaundemente of oure moost redoubted Prynce and soueraygne Lorde Kyng Henrye the .viii. supreme heade of this his churche and realme of Eng-

*Foreign Translations.**English Translations.*

- 1543 LEO JUDA'S Latin Version.  
 1550 Stephens' third edition of  
 the Greek Testament (ed.  
 regia).  
 1551 CASTALIO'S Latin Version.  
 1556 Beza's Latin Version of the  
 New Testament.

1558 Revised edition of the Bible  
 of Olivetan.

[1559 Beza's first edition of the  
 Greek Testament with  
 Latin Version.]

[1565 Beza's second edition.]

[1569 Spanish Version of the Bible  
 by Cassiodoro de Reyna.]

1572 ARIAS MONTANUS' inter-  
 linear translation of the  
 Hebrew text with Pagni-  
 nus' Version.

lande : to be frequented and vsed in  
 euery churche w<sup>th</sup>in this his sayd realme,  
 accordynge to the tenour of his for-  
 mer Iniunctions geuen in that behalfe.  
 Ouersene and perused at the cōm-  
 aundemēt of the kynges hyghnes, by  
 the ryghte reuerende fathers in God  
 Cuthbert bysshop of Duresme and  
 Nicolas bisshop of Rochester. Printed  
 by Edwarde Whitchurch. Cum  
 priuilegio ad imprimendum solum.  
 1541. Fynyshed in Nouember anno  
 M CCCC XL. *A Dñō factū est istud.*

1557 Genevan Testament<sup>1</sup>.  
 [Tindale, Beza.]

1560 Genevan Bible<sup>2</sup>.  
 [Original texts, Great Bible,  
 Leo Juda, Beza, French  
 Version.]

1568 The Bishops' Bible<sup>3</sup>.  
 [Great Bible, Genevan, Ori-  
 ginal texts, Castalio.]

1572 The Bishops' Bible, second  
 edition.  
 [First edition, Greek Testa-  
 ment.]

1576 Tomson's revised Genevan  
 Testament.  
 [Genevan Bible, Beza, Greek  
 text.]

[British Museum.]

<sup>1</sup> The Newe Testament of our Lord  
 Iesus Christ...

*Colophon:* Printed By Conrad Badius,  
 M.D.LVII. this x. of Iune.

<sup>2</sup> The Bible and Holy Scriptures  
 conteyned in the olde and newe  
 Testament. Translated according to  
 the Ebrue and Greke, and conferred  
 with the best translations in diuers  
 langages... At Geneva. Printed by  
 Rouland Hall. M.D.LX.

<sup>3</sup> The Holie Bible.

*Foreign Translations.**English Translations.*

1579 TREMELLIUS' Latin Version  
of the Old Testament,  
and version of the Syriac  
New Testament.

— JUNIUS' Latin Version of  
the Apocrypha.

1582 Beza's third edition of the  
Greek Testament.

1588 FRENCH BIBLE revised by  
the Pastors at Geneva.

1602 CyprianodeValera's Spanish  
Version.

1607 Giovanni Diodati's Italian  
Version.

1582 Rhemish New Testament<sup>1</sup>.  
[Vulgate, Genevan.]

1609-10 Douai Old Testament<sup>2</sup>.  
[Vulgate, Genevan.]

1611 Authorised Version.  
[Original texts, Bishops'  
Bible, Genevan, Rhemish,  
Tremellius, Beza and  
earlier Latin Versions.]

<sup>1</sup> The New Testament of Iesus  
Christ, translated faithfully into Eng-  
lish, out of the authentical Latin...  
1582. Cum privilegio.

<sup>2</sup> The Holie Bible faithfully trans-  
lated into English, out of the authen-  
tical Latin...Tom. 1. M.DC.IX.  
Tom. II. M.DC.X.

## APPENDIX III.

### *Collation of 1 John in the editions of Tindale's New Testament, 1525, 1534, 1535.*

The reading of Tindale's revision of 1534 (T<sub>2</sub>) is given first: that for which it is substituted is the reading of the original translation (T<sub>1</sub>, 1525). Where the reading of the revision of 1535 (T<sub>3</sub>) is not specified it agrees with T<sub>2</sub>. When the reading of T<sub>3</sub> alone is given T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> agree against it.

- i. 1 om. 'declare we unto you' after 'beginning' (1)  
       T<sub>1</sub>T<sub>3</sub> om. 'concerning' before 'which' (33). So Matthew.
- 4 'oure ioye' for 'yours ioye' (2). Not Matthew.
- 7 'Iesus Christ' for 'Christ' (3)
- 8 'yf we saye' for 'yf we shall saye' (4)
- ii. 1 'synne not' for 'shulde not sinne' (5)  
       — 'yf...yet' for 'and yf...yet' (6)
- 2 T<sub>3</sub> 'yours synnes' for 'oure synnes' (34). Not Matthew.
- 3 'we are sure' for 'we knowe' (7)
- 'knowe him' for 'have knowen him' (8)
- 5 'therby' for 'therin' (9)
- 9 'the light' for 'the true light' (10)
- 11 T<sub>3</sub> 'the darkness' for 'thatt darknes' (35). Not Matthew.
- 13 T<sub>3</sub> 'ye know' twice for 'ye have knowen,' and so ver. 14 (36). So Matthew.
- 17 T<sub>3</sub> 'abideth euer' for 'abydeth for ever' (37). So Matthew<sup>1</sup>.
- 21 T<sub>3</sub> 'know not' for 'knewe not' (Matthew) (38)
- 22 'the same is the Antichrist' for 'he is Antichrist' (11)

[<sup>1</sup> But T<sub>1</sub> T<sub>2</sub> T<sub>3</sub> all read 'abideth ever.' The error is in Bagster's Hexapla.]

- iii. 1 T<sub>3</sub> 'on *to* us' for 'on us' (Matthew) (39)  
 — 'knoweth not him' for 'hath not *known* him' (12)  
 2 'dothe not *appere*' for 'hath not *apered*' (13)  
 4 'for synne is' for 'and synne is' (14)  
 11 'that *we* shuld' for 'that *ye* shulde', which is also in T<sub>3</sub> (15)  
 15 T<sub>3</sub> 'hate' for 'hateth' (Matthew) (40)  
 16 'and *therfore*' for 'and' (16)  
 — 'ought we' for 'we ought' (17)  
 17 'have *neade*' for 'in *necessitie*' (18)  
 18 T<sub>3</sub> 'with *the* dede' for 'with dede' (41). So Matthew.  
 19 'for *therby*' for 'and *hereby*' (19)  
 — 'can before him *quiet* our hertes' for 'will before hym *put* oure hertes *out of dout*' (20)  
 20 'But' for 'For' (21)  
 21 'Beloved' for 'Tenderly beloved': comp. iv. 1, 7, 11 (22)  
 24 'therby' for 'herby' (23)
- iv. 1 'Ye beloved' for 'Derely beloved' (24)  
 — T<sub>3</sub> 'or *not*' for 'or *no*' (42). So Matthew.  
 3 T<sub>3</sub> 'that confesseth' for 'which confesseth' (Matthew) (43)  
 5 'and therfore' for 'therfore' (25)  
 — T<sub>3</sub> 'and *that* world' for 'and *the* worlde' (Matthew) (44)  
 7 'Beloved' for 'Derely beloved,' so iv. 11 (26)  
 8 'knoweth not' for 'hath nott *known*' (27)  
 20 'hate' for 'hateth' (28)  
 — 'For how' for 'Howe' (29)
- v. 1 T<sub>3</sub> 'that Jesus Christ' for 'Iesus *is* Christ' (Matthew) (45)  
 7 'for there'... 'are one.' In smaller type and in brackets.  
     In T<sub>3</sub> the words are in ( ). In the first edition no difference is marked (30)  
 8 'For' for 'And' (31)  
 15 'desire' for 'desired' (32)

In this list 1, 4, 5, 10, 11, 18, 22, 24, 26, 29 are closer renderings of the Greek text.

On the other hand 6, 9, 14, 16, 19, 21, 25, 31 are instances of



the abandonment of the more literal renderings in order (as it seems) to bring out the argument with greater distinctness.

The aorist which was first rendered by a perfect form is rendered by an indefinite present in 8, 12, 13, 27: a mode of rendering adopted for the perfect in 32. The change in 7 seems to be a consequence of the change in 8 to avoid repetition.

An error of grammar is corrected in 28, and an improvement of rhythm is introduced in 17.

Two false readings are corrected in 3, 15; and a new reading adopted in 2. The spurious passage in v. 7 is marked (30).

The changes are more frequently away from Luther than to Luther; but it is impossible not to think that Luther suggested the longest change of rendering (20), for which he has (1534) 'dass wir...*können* vnser Hertz fur ihm *stillen*<sup>1</sup>.'

Of the renderings first introduced in 1535 three are improved translations (33, 35, 38): two are worse renderings for emphasis (41, 44): one is a false reading [? a misprint] (34): one is a substitution (as before) of an indefinite present for a perfect (36): two appear to be indifferent (42, 43): three are probably misprints (39, 40, 45).

In the Epistle to the Ephesians the changes generally are of the same character. Two of these very worthy of notice have influenced our present text, of which one is the singularly beautiful '*making melody* in your hearts' (v. 19) for '*playing*': and the other the strange substitution of 'which before *believed* in Christ' (i. 12) for 'before *hoped* in Christ', which is altered into 'trusted' in A.V.

[The edition of 1534-5, printed by G. H., agrees with that of 1535 except in 1 John ii. 9, 11, 21, iii. 1, 15, iv. 5, v. 1.]

<sup>1</sup> The rendering for which it is substituted was also due to Luther (1522): 'vnd bereden vnser hertz fur yhn.'

## APPENDIX IV.

### *An Examination of the sources of the Notes in Coverdale's Bible of 1535.*

- Gen.*      ii. 12<sup>1</sup> Some call it *Schoham*. So Zurich (1)  
              18 to *beare him cōpany*  
                      Some reade: to *stōde nexte by him*  
                      Luther (1523): *gegen yhm*  
                      Luther (1534): *die sich zu jm hielte*  
                      Zurich (1530): *der zunächst bey jm stunde* (2)  
                      Pagninus: quod sit coram eo  
                      Tindale: to *beare him companye*  
                      Vulgate: simile sibi
- iii. 6 a *pleasaunt tre to make wyse*  
                      Some reade: *whyle it made wyse*  
                      L. (1523) *weyt er klug mechte* (3)  
                      Z. *diuweil er k. m.*  
                      P. concupiscibilis arbor ad intelligendum  
                      T. a pleasant tre for *to make wyse*  
                      V. aspectu delectabile
- 16 *thy lust shal pertayne vnto thy huszbande*  
                      Some reade: *Thou shalt bowe downe thy self before thy*  
                                       *husbande*  
                      L. (1523) *du solt dich ducken fur deynem man* (4)  
                      Z. *zu deinem mān deine gelüst* oder begird  
                      P. ad virum tuum erit desiderium tuum  
                      T. *thy lustes shall pertayne vnto thy husbond*  
                      V. sub viri potestate eris

<sup>1</sup> In some cases I have given only the Versions from which Coverdale's renderings are derived: in others I have thought it worth while to add parallel renderings for comparison and contrast. Simply explanatory notes as 2 Sam. xvi. 22, 1 K. ii. 17 are neglected, and one or two others; but the list of various renderings is nearly complete.

- iv. 7 *Shal he then be subdued vnto the? and wilt thou rule Gen.  
him?*  
Some reade: *Let it be* subdued vnto the, and *rule*  
*thou it*  
L. (1534) *Las du ir nicht jren willen, sondern herrsche*  
*über sie*  
Z. *Stadt dan sein aufsehen zu dir, vnd wilt über in*  
*herrschen?*  
P. in te erit appetitus ejus et dominaberis ei  
T. *Let it be* subdued vnto the, *ād see* thou *rule it* (5)  
V. sub te erit appetitus ejus et dominaberis illius
- viii. 7 came agayne  
Some reade: came *not* agayne  
so Vulgate (6)
- xi. 2 *towarde* the East (L)  
Some reade: *frō* the East (T)  
so Vulgate (7)
- xvii. 2 I am the *allmightie* God (V. L. P. T.)  
Some reade I am the God *Schadai* (*that is: plenteous*  
*in power, abundaunt, sufficiēt, and full of all good*)  
so Z. (1530) (*das ist, ein vollmächtiger, vnd ein über-*  
*flüssige genugsamme vnd volly alles gutenn*) (8)
- xviii. 10 aboute this tyme twolue moneth, (*yf I lyue*)  
Some reade: *As soone as the frute cā lyue*  
L. (1523) *nach der zeyt die frucht leben kan*  
L. (1534) *so ich lebe*  
Z. so ich läb  
P. revertar ad te secundum tempus vitæ  
T. *as soone as the frute can lyue* (9)  
V. Revertens veniam ad te tempore isto, vita comite
- xxiii. 4 bury my coarse *by me*  
Some reade: my coarse *that lyeth before me*  
L. (1523) *der fur mir legt* (10)  
Z. *mein leyh bey mir*
- xxiv. 31 thou *blessed* of the Lorde (V. L. P. T.)  
Some reade thou *beloved*  
Z. *du geliebter* (11)

- Gen. xxvii. 25 that my soule *maye blesse the* (V. L. P. T.)  
that my hert *maye wysh the good*  
Z. *das ich von hertzen dir guts wiünsche* (12)
- xxviii. 1 *blessed him* (V. L. P. T.)  
Some reade: *talked louigly with him*  
Z. *redt freünlich mit jm* (13)
- xxxiii. 19 *an hundred pence* (L., Z. *umb hundred grosschen*)  
Some reade: *an hūdreth lābes* (So V. P. T.) (14)
- xli. 44 called him *Zephnath Paena*  
Zaphnath Paena, that is to saye: *An expōider of*  
*secrete thinges, or a man to whō secrete thiges are*  
*opened*  
L. (1534) *Den heimlichsten Rat*  
Z. Zaphnath Paena, L. (1523) *Zaphnath paenea*  
P. *vir cui abscondita revelata sunt, vel absconditorum*  
*expositor* (15)  
V. *Salvatorem mundi*
- Ex. ix. 16 haue I *stered y<sup>e</sup> vp*  
Some reade: I haue *holden the vp*  
L. (1523) *hab ich dich erweckt*  
Z. *hab ich dich aufrecht behalten* (16)  
P. *Stare feci te*  
V. *posui te*
- xvi. 15 *This is Mā* (So L. Z. *Das ist Man. Man est P.*)  
Some reade: *What is this?* (So V. T.) (17)
- xvii. 15 The Lorde Nissi (L.)  
That is: *The Lord is he that lifteth me vp*  
P. *dominus elevatio mea* (18)
- xxix. 28 in their *deade offrynges*  
Some call thē *peace offeringes* (So T.)  
L. (1534) *an jren danckopffern*  
Z. *an jren tödopffern* (So L. 1523)  
P. *De sacrificiis pacificorum suorum* (19)  
V. *de victimis eorum pacificis*
- Yosh. iii. 15 full of all maner waters *of the londe*  
Some reade: *of the harvest*  
L. (1524) *vol an allen seynen vffern von allerley gewesser*  
*der erndten* (20)

- iii. 15 Z. voll an allen seinen gstadē, *von allerley gewässer Josh.*  
*der erden*  
 P. *omnibus diebus messis*  
 V. *tempore messis*
- iii. 3 *moffell the* *Ruth*  
 Some reade: *Ancynthe the*  
 Z. *verhülle dich* (So L.)  
 P. *unge te* (21)
- xxiii. 28 Sela Mahelkoth (L. Z.) *1 Sam.*  
 The rocke of *partinge asunder*  
 P. *petra divisionum* (So L. marg.) (22)
- viii. 18 *prestes* (So V. L. Z.) *2 Sam.*  
 Some reade: *rulers*  
 P. *principes* (23)
- xxv. 6 And *he gaue iudgmēt vpon him* *2 Kings*  
 Some reade: And *they talked with hī of iudgment*  
 L. (1534) sie sprachen ein vrteil vber jn  
 Z. *sy redtend mit jm vom rechten* (So L. 1523) (24)  
 V. *locutus est cum eo iudicium*  
 P. *locuti sunt cum eo iudicium*  
 Matthew, they reasoned with hym
- vii. 20 rote *you out* *2 Chron.*  
 Some reade: *them*  
 L. (1523) sie aus wurtzelen  
 Z. *sy auszwurtzle*  
 P. *evellam eos* (25)  
 V. *evellam vos*
- ix. 10 so madest thou *the* a name *Nehem.*  
 Some reade: *them*  
 Z. *jnenn* (26)  
 L. (1523) *yhn* L. (1534) *jnen*
- xiv. 5—7 These thre verses are not in the Hebrue (27) *Psalms*
- xxxvi. (xxxvii.) 21 *The vngodly boroweth and paieth not agayne*  
 (So V. L. P. Z. (1530))  
 Some reade thus: *The vngodly ledeth vpon vsury and*  
*not for naught*  
 Z. (1531, 1534, 1536) *Auff wücher leicht der Gottlos,*  
*nit v̄m sunst* (28)

- Psalms* xxxix. (xl.) 7 but *a body hast thou ordeined me* (Hebr. x. 5)  
 Some reade thus: but *myne eares hast thou opened*  
 (So L. Z.) (29)  
*P. aures fodisti mihi*  
*V. aures autem perfecisti mihi*
- Amos* vii. 7 *a masons trowell*  
 Some call it *a lyne*  
 L. (1532) *eine bleischnur* (30)  
 Z. ein *maurerkellen*  
*P. perpendiculum*
- Malachi* ii. 14 So dyd not the one  
 The one. This the interpreters rekē to be spoken  
 of Abraham  
 L. (1532) Also thet der Einige nicht  
 Z. er hat nit allein einen menschen gemachet  
*P. nec unus quidem Abraham fecit ut facitis* (31)
- 4 Esdr.* iv. 52 as touchinge y<sup>1</sup> life<sup>1</sup>  
 Some rede, *my life*  
 Z. (1530) *dein läbenn*  
 Z. (1531, 1534, 1536) *mein läben* (32)  
*V. de vita tua*
- xii. 1 y<sup>e</sup> heade  
 Rede, I sawe, and beholde  
 Z. do hab ich gesehen (33)
- xv. 55 receaue *rewarde*  
 Some rede, *no rewarde* (Z. 1531, 1534, 1536) (34)
- Tobit* i. 14 hauynge ten talētes of *syluer* (V.)  
 Some reade: ten talētes of *golde*  
 Z. zähennt Talent *golds* (35)
- iv. 10 delyuereth *frō death*  
 Some reade: *frō all synne & from death*  
*P. ab omni peccato et a morte* So L. (1534) (36)
- xii. 6 shewed his mercy vnto *vs* (P. Z.)  
 Some reade, vnto *you* So V. L. (1534) (37)

<sup>1</sup> [Bagster's reprint has y<sup>e</sup>.]

- iii. 23 curious in many of *his* workes (P.) *Eccius.*  
 Some rede, *thy* workes  
 Z. *deiner* wercken (38)
- xxxiii. 15 there are euer two agaynst *two* (P.)  
 Some reade : two agaynst *one*  
 Z. *zwey* gegen *einen* (39)
- ii. 13 wrytynges of *Jeremy* *2 Macc.*  
 Some reade : *Nehemias*  
 Z. *Jeremie*  
 L. (1534) *Nehemias*  
 P. *Nechemiah* (40)
- xii. 43 *two* thousande drachmas  
 Some reade : *twolue* thousande  
 Z. *zweytausent* So L. (1534)  
 P. *duodecim* milia (41)
- i. 18 before they *came together* *Matt.*  
 Some reade : before they *sat at home together*  
 L. (1534) ehe er sie heim holet  
 Z. *ee sy miteinander zu hause sassend* (So L. 1522)  
 (42)  
 Erasmus : priusquam congressi fuissent  
 Tindale : cam *to dwell* to gedder
- xi. 11 *lesse* (T. 1534)  
 Some reade : *least*  
 L. (Sept. 1522) der *kleynist* (43)
- xvi. 13 y<sup>e</sup> sonne of mā *is*  
 Some reade that *I the* sonne of man *am* (T.)  
 L. (Sept. 1522) *das da sey des menschen son*  
 L. (Dec. 1522) *das des menschen son sey* (44)  
 Z. (1531) *das da sey dess menschen sun*
- xx. 25 the greatest *exercise power* (T.)  
 Some reade, The greatest *deale with violence*  
 L. (1534) *haben gewalt*  
 L. (Sept. 1522) die vberherrnn *faren mit gewalt* (So Z.)  
 (45)
- xxiii. 25 *excesse* (T.)  
 Some reade : *vnclennes*  
 L. (1534) *frasses*

- Matt.*      xxiii. 25.    L. (1522) vnreynes  
                               Z. *vnreyns* (46)  
                               P. injustitia  
                               E. intemperantia
- xxvi. 7    a boxe with precious *oyntment*  
                               Some reade: *A glas with precious water*  
                               L. (1522) *eyn glas mitt kostlichem wasser* (47)  
                               T. an *alablaster* boxe of precious oyntment
- Mark*            i. 11    in whom I *delyte* (T.)  
                               Some reade: In whō I *am pacified*  
                               L. (1522) *ynn dem ich eyn wolgefallen habe*  
                               Z. in dem ich zu friden bin (48)
- iii. 21    he *taketh to moch vpon him*  
                               Some reade: He *wil go out of his witt*  
                               L. (1534) *er wird von Sinnen komen* (49)  
                               Z. *Er thut jm zu vil* So L. (1522)  
                               P. in stuporem versus est  
                               E. in furorem versus est  
                               T. (1534) he had bene beside him selfe
- xiii. 9    *councels* (T.)  
                               Some reade: *coūcell-houses*  
                               L. (1522) *radtheuser* So Z. (50)
- Acts*            ix. 40    she sat *hir downe agayne*  
                               Some reade: She sat *vp* (T.) (51)  
                               L. (1522) *satzt sie sich widder*
- xv. 3    *conuersation* (T. 1525)  
                               Some reade: *conuersion* (T.)  
                               L. *wandel*  
                               V. *conversionem* (52)
- xvii. 18    new *goddes*  
                               Some reade: *deuyls* (T.)  
                               L. *Götter*  
                               V. *dæmoniorum* (53)
- Rom.*            iii. 28    by faith (T.)  
                               Some reade: By faith *onely*  
                               L. (1522) *alleyn durch den glawben* So Z. (54)



x. 17 by *hearynge* (T.)

Rom.

Some reade: By *preachinge*

L. aus der *predigte* So Z. (55)

Thus of the whole number (54) of alternative renderings twelve (3, 4, 5, 10, 29, 42, 43, 47, 49, 50, 54, 55) agree with Luther: nineteen (1, 2, 8, 11, 12, 13, 16, 24—6, 28, 32, 33, 34, 35, 38, 39, 46, 48) with the Zurich Version: ten with Pagninus (15, 18, 21—3, 30—1, 36, 40—1): nine with the Vulgate (6, 7, 14, 17, 19, 20, 37, 52, 53); and four with Tindale (9, 44—5, 51). Of these the most remarkable coincidences with Luther are 3, 4, 10, 42, 47, 54, 55, with the Zurich Version 8, with Pagninus 15, 31, with Tindale 9, 52, 53. Of the readings adopted the most singular are 17, 19 (Luther) and 10, 20 (Zurich); 24 is apparently adapted from the Vulgate.

Nothing could sum up the internal history of Coverdale's Bible more accurately than this analysis.

## APPENDIX V.

### *Specimens of Notes from Tindale and Matthew.*

*Matt. i. 18.* Tindale (Cologne), 1525. Iesus is asmoche to saye as a saver, for he onli saveth all men from their synnes bi his meretes with oute there deserving.

Tindale, 1534. *None.*

*Matthew.* Messiah, it sygnifyeth, annoynted. Iesus Christ then is the earnest & pledge of Goddes promes, by whom y<sup>e</sup> grace and fauoure of God is promesed to vs, wyth the holy goost: whych illumineth lyghteth & renueth oure hertes to fulfyll the lawe. [From Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534.]

*ii. 1.* Tindale, 1525. Of mathew they ar callid Magi, & in certeyne coûtreis i the est, philosophers conynge in naturall causes & effectes, and also the prestes, were so callyd.

Tindale, 1534. *None.*

*Matthew.* These were nother kynges nor princes, but as Strabo saith (whych was in their tyme) sage men amōge y<sup>e</sup> Persiens as Moses was amonge the Hebrues, he sayth also y<sup>t</sup> they were the prestes of y<sup>e</sup> Persiens. [From Lefèvre's French Bible of 1534.]

*iii. 9.* Tindale, 1525. Put youre truste i goddes wordes only, & not i abraham. Let saynctes be an ensāple vnto you & not youre truste & cōfidence For then ye make Christ of them.

Tindale, 1534. *None.*

*Matthew.* *None.*

*vi. 34.* Tindale, 1525. Trouble, is the dayly laboure. He wil hit be ynough that we laboure dayly wyth oute further care.

Tindale, 1534. *None.*

*Matthew.* It is cōmaunded vs i in the swet of oure face to

winne our bred, that trauayle must we dayly, dilygently & earnestly do : but not be carefull what profet shall come vnto vs therof, for that were to care for to morow : we must therfore cōmytt that to God, which is readye to prospere oure laboures wyth his blessing, and that aboundaūty, so that most shall we profet when we are lest carefull.

Tindale, 1525. Compare dede too dede, so ys one greater x. 42.  
then another : but cōpare them to god, so are they all lyke, ād one as good as another. even as the spyrite movyth a mā, & tyme & occasiō gevyth.

Tindale, 1534. Couenanus.

*Matthew. None.*

Tindale, 1525. Tradicions of men muste fayle att the last : xv. 9-13.  
god's word bydeth ever.

Tindale, 1534. Mennes preceptes. What defileth a man. Plantes. Blynde leaders. With what a mā is defiled.

*Matthew* ver. 13. Origen and Chrisostom vnderstande thys of the Pharises because of their euell opinions. Hilarius And Erasmus vnderstand it of mennes tradicions.

Tindale, 1525. Stronge feyth requyreth fervent prayer, & xvii. 21.  
prayer requyreth fastyng to subdue the bodye, that lustes vnquyet nott a mānes mynde.

Tindale, 1534. Prayer & fastyng.

*Matthew. None.*

Tindale, 1525. By this similitude maye ye pceave that no xx. 8-12.  
similitude serveth throwgh out, but sū one thyng cōteyned ī the similitude. As this lōge parable pteyneth butt here vnto, that werke holy shall despise weeke synners, which same werke holy shall not there have ther rewarde as these which come fyrst have here butt shalbe reiecte & put awaye, because they chalenge hit of merit' & nott of mercy & grace.

Tindale, 1534, ver. 5. The Iewes reken one, whē the sonne is vp an houre.

*Matthew. None.*

## APPENDIX VI.

### *Specimens of the Latin-English Testaments of Coverdale.*

#### (COVERDALE'S BIBLE.)

- 1 *John i.* 1 That which was from y<sup>e</sup> begynnyng, *which* we haue herde, *which* we haue sene with oure *eyes*, *which* we haue *loked vpon*, and oure handes haue handled *of* the worde of life: 2 and the life *hath appeared*, and we haue sene, and *beare wytnes*, and shewe vnto you y<sup>e</sup> life *that is euerlastinge*, which was *with* the father, and *hath apeared* vnto vs. 3 *That* which we haue sene & *herde*, *declare we* vnto you, that ye also maye haue fellishippe with vs, and that oure fellishippe *maye be* with the father and with his sonne Iesus Christ. 4 And *this wryte we* vnto you, that *your* ioye maye be full. 5 And this is the tydinges *which* we haue herde of him, & *declare* vnto you, that God is lighte, and *in him is no darknes at all*. 6 Yf we saye that we haue fellishippe with him, and *yet walke* in darknes, we lye, and do not the trueth. 7 But yf we walke in lighte, *euen as he* is in lighte, *then haue we* fellishippe together, and the bloude of *Iesus Christ his sonne* clenseth vs from all synne. 8 Yf we *saye* that we haue no synne, we disceaue oure selues, and the trueth is not in vs. 9 *But yf* we knowlege oure synnes, he is faithfull and *iust to forgeue* vs oure synnes, & *to clense* vs from all *unrighteousnes*. 10 Yf we *saye*, *we* haue not synned, we make him a lyar, and his worde is not in vs.
- v. 1 *that* which (3) Nicolson. Hollybushe  
*eyen* N. H.  
*beholden* N. H.  
*cōcerning* Regnault. *of* N. H. (*de* Vulgate)

- 2 *is manifest* N. H.  
*testify* N. H. R.  
*euerlastyng* N. H. R. (*vitam æternam* V.), omitting *that is*  
*hy* N. H.  
*appeared* N. H.
- 3 *Euē* that R. (*Quod vidimus* V.)  
*haue* heard N. H.  
*do we shew* N. H.  
*be* N. H.
- 4 *these thynges* N. R. (*hæc* V.)  
*do I write* N. *do we wryte* H.  
*ye may reioice and (that R.) your* N. H. R. (ut gaudeatis  
et gaudium vestrum V.)
- 5 *that* N. H.  
*do shewe* N. H.  
*ther is no darkenesse in hym* N. H.
- 6 *walke* N. H. R.
- 7 *as he also* N. H. R. (*sicut et ipse* V.)  
*we haue* N. H.  
*hys sonne Iesus Christe* N. H.
- 8 *do saye* N. H.
- 9 *if* N. R. *Yf* H.  
*ryghteous that he do* N. H.  
*clense* N. H.  
*wyckednesse* N. H.
- 10 *do saye* N. H.  
*that we* N. H. R. (*quoniam* V.)
- v. 16 *Yf eny man se his brother synne a synne not vnto death, let*  
him axe, and *he shal geue him life, for the y<sup>t</sup> synne not vnto*  
death. There is a synne vnto death, *for the which saye I*  
*not* that a man shulde praye. 17 *All vnrighteousnes* is  
synne, and there is *synne not* vnto death. 18 We knowe,  
that *whosoever* is borne off God, *synneth not*: but *he that is*  
*begottē* of God, kepeth *himselfe*, & *y<sup>t</sup>* wicked toucheth him  
not. 19 We knowe that we are of God, & the *worlde* is set  
*alltogether* on *wickednes*. 20 *But* we knowe, that the sonne  
of God is come, and hath geuen vs a *mynde*, to knowe him

<sup>1</sup> *John v.*  
16—end.

*which is true: and we are in him y<sup>t</sup> is true, in his sonne  
Iesu Christ. This is the true God, and cuerlastinge life.  
21 Babes kepe youre selues from ymages. Amen.*

- 16 *He that knoweth N. H. R.  
to synne N. H. R.  
lyfe shalbe geuen vnto hym that synneth (synninge N. H.)  
N. H. R.  
I saye not that any man shulde (do N.) praye for that (N.)  
R. H.*
- 17 *Euery wyckednesse N. H.  
a synne N. H. R. omit not N. H. R.*
- 18 *euery one that N. H.  
doth not synne N. H. R.  
the generacion N. H. R.  
hym N. H. R.  
the N. H. R.*
- 19 *the whole worlde is set on (in N.) myschefe N. H. R.*
- 20 *And N. H. R.  
vnderstandynge, that we maye N. H. R.  
the true God N. H. R.  
be (we are N. H.) in hys true sonne N. H. R.  
The (This N. H.) same N. H. R.*
- 21 *Little children N. H. Lytle chyl dren H.  
you N. H. R.  
om. Amen N. H. R.*

## APPENDIX VII.

### *Collation of Passages from the Pentateuch and Historical Books in Tindale, Coverdale, Matthew, the Great Bible, the Genevan Bible, and the Bishops' Bible.*

COVERDALE. *I will synge vnto y<sup>e</sup> LORDE, for he hath done gloriously: horse & charet hath he ouer throwne in the see.*

The LORDE is my strength, and my songe, and is become my saluacion.

This is my God, I wil *magnifie* him: He is my fathers God, I wil *exalte* him.

TINDALE (1530). *Let vs synge vnto the Lorde, for he is become glorious, the horse and him that rode vpon him hath he ouerthrowne in the see.*

The Lorde is my strength *ād* my songe, *ād* is become my saluation.

He is my God *and* I will *glorifie* him, he is my fathers God *and* I will *lifte him vp an hie*<sup>2</sup>.

MATTHEW agrees verbally with TINDALE.

TAVERNER agrees verbally with TINDALE.

<sup>1</sup> Luther's Version (1534), with the Latin Version of the Wittenberg Bible (1829), may be added for comparison:

Ich wil dem Herrn singen, denn er hat eine herliche that gethan, Ros vnd wagen hat er ins meer gestortzet.

Der Herr ist mein sterck vnd lobsang, vnd ist mein Heil. Das ist mein Gott, ich wil jn preisen. Er ist meines vaters Gott, ich wil jn erheben.

In the Wittenberg Bible the passage runs:

ZURICH VERSION. Ich wil dem Herren singē, dañ er hat herrlich gehandelt, rossz vnnd wagen hat er gestürtzt ins Meer. *Ex. xx. 1, 2.*

Der Herr ist mein stercke, vñ lobgesang, vnd ist mein helffer worden.

Das ist mein Gott, ich wil jn beherbergen. Er ist meines vaters Gott, ich wil jn erheben<sup>1</sup>.

Canteinus Domino, gloriose enim *egit*, equum et ascensorem deiecit in mare.

Fortitudo mea et *carmen meum* Dominus, *qui* factus est mihi in salutem.

*Iste est* Deus meus, et *ornabo* eum, Deus patris mei, et exaltabo eum.

This is nearer to the Vulgate than to Luther, and differs from it only in the italicized words.

<sup>2</sup> The italics mark variations between Tindale and Coverdale.

GREAT BIBLE (1539, 1540, 1541). I wyll...hath *triūphed* gloriously: the horse & hym that rode vpon him:...my strength and *prayse*, and *he* is...He is...glorifye him: my fathers God, and I wyll exalte hym.

[MÜNSTER. ...*triumphando* magnifice egit...Fortitudo mea et *laus* dominus, *factusque* est... Iste Deus meus et *decorabo* eum: deus patris mei, et exaltabo eum.]

GENEVA. I will...hathe triūphed gloriously: y<sup>e</sup> horse and him that rode vpon him....

The Lord *is* (ital.)...praise, and he is...He is...*prepare him a tabernacle: he is* (ital.)...exalt him.

BISHOPS' agrees verbally with GREAT BIBLE.

Num. xvi.  
28—30.

COVERDALE. And Moses sayde: Hereby *shal ye* knowe that the LORDE hath sent me, to do all these workes, and that I haue not done them of myne awne *hert*. Yf these men dye the comon death of all men, or be vysited *as all men are vysited*, then *hath not the* LORDE sent me. But yf the LORDE make a new thinge, and the earth open hir mouth, and swalowe them *with all that they haue*, so that they go downe quicke in to hell, *thē shal ye knowe*, that these men haue *blasphemed* the LORDE.

LUTHER (1534). Vnd Mose sprach, Dabey solt jr mercken, das mich der Herr gesand hat, das ich alle diese werck thet, vnd nicht aus meinem hertzen. Werden sie sterben, wie alle menschen sterben, oder heimgesucht wie alle menschen heimgesucht werden, so hat mich der Herr nicht gesand. Wird aber der Herr etwas neues schaffen, das die erde jren mund auff thut, vnd verschlinget sie mit allem das sie haben, das sie lebendig hinunter jnn die Helle faren, so werdet jr erkennen, dass diese leute den Herrn gelestert haben<sup>1</sup>.

TINDALE. And Moses sayed: Hereby *ye shall* knowe that the Lorde hath sent me to doo all these workes, and that I haue not done them of myne awne *mynde*: Yf these men dye the comon deth of all men or *yf they* be visyted *after the visitacion of all men*, then the Lorde hath not sent me. But *and yf* the Lorde make a new thinge, and the erth open hir mouthe and swalowe them *and all that pertayne vnto them*, so that they goo doune quicke in to hell: then ye shall *understōd*, that these mē haue *rayled vpon* the Lorde.

<sup>1</sup> The Wittenberg Bible differs from the Vulgate only by rendering *universa opera hæc et non ex proprio corde* for *universa quæ cernitis et*


*non ex proprio ex corde protulerim*, and by adding *viri isti* after *blasphemaverint*. The Zurich Bible simply differs by dialectic peculiarities.



MATTHEW agrees verbally with TINDALE.

TAVERNER agrees verbally with TINDALE except in reading: of myne own *hed*: But yf (*om.* and).

GREAT BIBLE (1539, 1540, 1541) agrees with Tindale except: swalowe them *vp* with all that they haue, *and* they go... *prouoked*.

A note is indicated (in 1539, 1540) by  to 'visited.'

[MÜNSTER. devoraverit eos una cum omnibus quæ habent et descenderint...: *irritarint*...dominum.]

GENEVA. for *I haue* (*ital.*) not *done them* (*ital.*)...: the Lord (*om.* then): but if: swalowe...go downe quicke into y<sup>e</sup> *pit*.

BISHOPS' agrees with GREAT BIBLE except in reading: for I have not done them (*Gen.*): into *the pit* (*Gen.*).

COVERDALE. And Iosua wrote *this acte* in the boke of the lawe of GOD, and toke a greate stone, and set it *vp there* vnder an oke, *which was* in y<sup>e</sup> Sanctuary of y<sup>e</sup> LORDE, and sayde vnto all the people: Beholde, this stone shall be witnesse *ouer you*; For it hath herde all the wordes of the LORDE, which he *hath spoken vnto* us, and shall be a witnesse *ouer you*, *that ye denye not* youre GOD. So Iosua let the people go euery *one* to his inheritaunce.

LUTHER. Vnd Josua schreib dis alles jns Gesetzbuch Gottes, vnd nam einen grossen stein, und richtet jn auff daselbs vnter einer Eiche, die bey dem Heiligthum des Herrn war, vnd sprach zum gantzen volck. Sihe, dieser Stein sol zeuge sein zwisschen vns, denn er hat gehöret alle rede des Herrn, die er mit vns geredt hat, vnd sol ein zeuge vber euch sein, das jr ewrn Gott nicht verleuck[n]et. Also lies Josua das volck, einen jglichen inn sein ertheil<sup>1</sup>.

*Josh. xxiv.*  
26—28.

MATTHEW. [TINDALE.] And Iosua wrotte *these wordes* in the boke of the lawe of God, and toke a great stonne & *pitched it on ende in y<sup>e</sup> sayde place* euen vnder an ocke *that stode* in the sanctuary of the Lorde. And *Iosua* sayde vnto all the people: beholde, thys stone shalbe a witnesse *vnto vs*, for it hath hearde all the wordes of the Lorde whych *he spake wyth vs*. *It* shalbe *therfore* a wytnesse *vnto* you, *lest ye lye vnto* youre God. And so Iosua let the people *departe* euery *man vnto* hys enherytaunce.

<sup>1</sup> The Wittenberg Bible differs from the Vulgate only in reading *grandem* (pergrandem), *audivit* (audierit), and *locutus est nobis, et erit testis* (loc. est vobis).

The Zurich Bible has the following significant variations:—...schreyb *disen handel*... die inn dem Heyligthumb... zeüg über euch sein...

TAVERNER agrees verbally with [TINDALE] MATTHEW except in reading: spake with *you*: leest y<sup>t</sup> after this tyme ye wyll denye and lye vnto your God (Vulg. see below).

GREAT BIBLE (1539, 1540, 1541) agrees with TINDALE except by reading: (1) that *was*: and (2) lest ye *denye*\* (*and dissemble with*).

[MÜNSTER. (1) *quæ erat* (2) *ne forte abnegare velitis* deum vestrum (*Vulg.* *ne forte postea negare velitis et mentiri Domino Deo vestro*).]

GENEVA agrees with TINDALE except by reading: pitched it *there*: that *was*: a witnes *against* you: lest ye deny your God: *Thē* Joshua....

BISHOPS' agrees with GREAT BIBLE exactly, only omitting the added clause '*and dissemble with*.'

2 Sam. xii.  
1-5.

COVERDALE. There were two men in one cite, *the one riche, the other poore*. The riche *man* had *very many* shepe and oxen: but the poore *man* had nothinge saue one litle *shepe* which he *had* boughte and norished *it*, so that it grewe vp with him and his children *together*. It ate of his *bred*, and dranke of his cuppe and slepte in his *lappe*, and he *helde it* as a doughter. But *whan* there came a straunger vnto the riche man, he *spared* to take of his awne shepe & oxen (to *prepare oughte* for the straunger that was come vnto him) and toke the poore mans *shepe*, and *prepared* it for the man that was come vnto him. *Thē* was David wroth *with greate displeasure agaynst* that man, and sayde unto Nathan: As *truly* as the LORDE liueth, the *man* that hath done this, is the childe of death.

<sup>1</sup> The Wittenberg Bible agrees with the Vulgate, except in reading *et creverat* (om. *quæ*), *ut pararet* (*ut exhiberet*), and in one or two transposi-

LUTHER. Es waren zween menner inn einer stad, einer reich, der ander arm. Der reich hatte seer viel schafe vnd rinder, aber der arme hatte nichts, den ein einigs kleins scheflin, das er gekauft hatte, vnd er necrete es, das es gros ward, bei jm vnd bey seinen kindern zu gleich, Es ass von seinem bitten vnd tranck von seinem becher, und schlieff jnn seinem schos, vnd er hielt wie eine tochter. Da aber dem reichen man ein gast kam, schonet er zu nemen von seinen schafen und rindern, das er dem gast etwas zurichtet, der zu jm komen war, vnd nam das schaf des armen mans, vnd richtet zu dem man der zu jm komen war. Da ergrimmet Daudid mit grossem zorn wider den man, vnd sprach zu Nathan, So war der Herr lebt, der man ist ein kind des tods, der das gethan hat<sup>1</sup>.

tions, &c. which are probably various readings of the Vulgate text. The Zurich text has only two unimportant verbal differences.

MATTHEW [TINDALE]. There were two men in one citie, *a* (1) ryche and *a* (1) poore. And the ryche (2) had *excedyng great aboundaunce of* (3) shepe and oxē. But the poore had nothyng saue one lytle *lambe* (4) whych he bought (5) & norysshed *vp*. And it grew vp with hym and hys children (6), and *did* eate of his *awne meate* and drancke of his *awne* cuppe, & slept in his *bosome*, & *was as dere vnto hym as his daughter* (7). And there cam a straūger vnto the ryche man. And he *coude not fynde in his heart* to take of his awne shepe *nor of hys beestes* (8) to *dresse* for y<sup>e</sup> straunger y<sup>t</sup> was come vnto him. But toke the poore mānes *lambe* (4) & *dressed* it for the mā that was come to him. And Dauid *was excedyng wroth w<sup>t</sup> the man*, and sayd to Nathan: as *surely* as y<sup>e</sup> Lorde lyueth y<sup>e</sup> *felow* (9) y<sup>t</sup> hathe done this *thyng*, is y<sup>e</sup> chylde of deeth....

TAVERNER agrees verbally with Matthew except by reading: to *make* of his own (error): to *prepare* for the s.: is *worthye of deathe*.

GREAT BIBLE (1539, 1540, 1541) agrees with TINDALE except (1) *the one—the other* (Cov.): (2) *The ryche man* (C): (3) *excedyng many*: (4) *shepe* (C): (5) had b. (C): (6) *wyth* his ch. *also*: (7) was unto him as his d.: (8) *and of his own oxen*: (9) *man*. Before 'the child of death' stands (in 1539, 1540) a ¶ to indicate an intended note, such as is given in Matthew. [That is, is *worthye to dye*.]

[MÜNSTER. (1) *unus—alter* (2) *Dives* (3) *multos valde* (4) *ovis* (5) *emerat* (6) *apud filios ejus pariter* (7) *eratque ei quasi filia* (8) *atque de bobus suis*.]

GENEVA agrees with the GREAT BIBLE except in reading: had *none at all*: his own *morsels*: *Now* there came: *who refused* to take: As the Lord liueth: *shall surely dye*.

BISHOPS' agrees with the GREAT BIBLE except in reading: he *spared* to take: as the Lord liveth (Gen.).

To the phrase 'The child of death' a note is added: 'that is *shall surely die*' (Gen.).

## APPENDIX VIII.

### *The Relation of the Wycliffite to the later Versions.*

The History of our English Bible begins with the work of Tindale and not with that of Wycliffe. Every step in the descent of our present Authorised Version, from Tindale's first New Testament and Matthew's composite Old Testament and Apocrypha, is clearly made out; but neither Tindale's nor Coverdale's translation has any direct filiation on Wycliffe's. As far as Tindale is concerned, his own explicit statement leaves no room even for raising the question: 'Them that are learned Christenly, 'I beseche: for as moche as I am sure, ād my conscience beareth 'me recorde, that of a pure entent, singilly and faythfully I have 'interpreted itt [the New Testament] as farre forth as god gave me 'the gyfte of knowledge ād vnderstandynge: that the rudnes off 'the worke nowe at the fyrst tyme, offende them not: but thatt 'they consyder howe that *I had no man to counterfet, nether was 'holpe with englysshe of eny that had interpreted the same, or soche 'lyke thȳge ī the scripture beforetyme.*' And on the other hand Coverdale is equally explicit (see p. 162) as to the sources from which he himself derived help for his first great work. At the same time the words of Tindale imply that he knew of the Wycliffite versions (nor could it have been otherwise), and admit the supposition that he had used them, though he deliberately decided that he could not (1) 'counterfeit' them, that is follow their general plan, as being a secondary translation only, or (2) adopt their language. It is possible however that some of the earlier renderings may have obtained a traditional currency, and in this way have affected Tindale's or Coverdale's own work. But coincidences which can be referred to this origin are very rare in Tindale, and the fact that they are much more frequent in Coverdale's Latin-English Testaments appears to shew that they were really due to the immediate influence of the Vulgate and not to the Wycliffite translation of it.

A few specimens will place the relations between the earlier and later works in a clear light.

## PURVEY.

3 Blessid ben pore men in spirit, for the kyngdom of heuenes is herne.

5 Blessid ben mylde men, for thei shulen welde the erthe.

4 Blessid ben thei that mornen, for thei schulen be coumfortid.

6 Blessid ben thei that hungren and thristen rijtwisnesse, for thei schulen be fulfillid.

7 Blessid ben merciful men, for thei schulen gete merci.

8 Blessid ben thei that ben of clene herte, for thei schulen se God.

9 Blessid ben pesible men, for thei schulen be clepid Goddis children.

9 Oure fadir that art in heuenes, halewid be thi name;

10 Thy kyngdome come to; be thi wille don in erthe as in heuene;

11 3yue to vs this dai oure breed ouer othir substaunce;

12 And for3yue to vs oure dettis, as we for3yuen to oure dettouris;

13 And lede vs not in to temptacioun, but delyuere vs fro yuel. Amen.

24 Therfor ech man that herith these my wordis, and doith hem, schal be maad lik to a wise man that hath bildid his hous on a stoon.

25 And reyn felde doun, and flodis camen, and wyndis blewen, and russchiden in to that hous;

## TINDALE (1534).

3 Blessed are the povre in sprete: for theirs is the kyngdome of heven. *Matt. v. 3-9.*

4 Blessed are they that morne: for they shalbe confortid.

5 Blessed are the meke: for they shall inheret the erth.

6 Blessed are they which honger and thirst for rightewesnes: for they shalbe filled.

7 Blessed are ye mercifull: for they shall obteyne mercy.

8 Blessed are the pure in herte: for they shall se God.

9 Blessed are the peacemakers: for they shalbe called the chyl-dren of God.

9 O oure father which arte in hevē, halowed be thy name. *Matt. vi. 9-13.*

10 Let thy kyngdome come. Thy wyll be fulfilled, as well in erth, as it ys in heven.

11 Geve vs this daye oure dayly breede.

12 And forgeve vs oure trespasses, evē as we forgeve oure trespassers.

13 And leade vs not into tēptacion: but delyver vs frō evell. For thyne is ye kyngedome and ye power, & ye glorye for ever. Amen.

24 Whosoever heareth of me these sayinges and doethe the same, I wyll lyken hym vnto a wyse man which bylt hys housse on a rocke: *Matt. vii. 24-27.*

25 & aboundance of rayne descended, & the fluddes came, & the wyndes blewe and bet

## PURVEY.

and it felde not down, for it was foundun on a stoon.

26 And euery man that herith these my wordis, and doith hem not, is lijk to a fool, that hath bildid his hous on grauel.

27 And reyn cam down, and floodis camen, and wyndis blewen, and thei hurliden aȝen that house; and it felde down, and the fallyng doun therof was greet.

In the whole of the Sermon on the Mount I have only noted the following coincidences in which the Wycliffite rendering may have suggested that of Tindale:

|  |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| vii. 3 litil mote W. ( <i>festucam</i> ) | moote T.           |
| — 6 al to tere ȝou W.                    | all to rent you T. |
| — 16 breris W. ( <i>tribulis</i> )       | bryres T.          |
| — 23 knoueleche to W.                    | knowlege vnto T.   |

In the whole of the first Epistle of St John I have observed only one coincidence in any way remarkable: iii. 15 *mansleere* W., T., a common rendering which recurs in 1 Tim. i. 9 (so also A.V.), while elsewhere Tindale uses *murderers*, and Purvey sometimes *mansleere* and sometimes *manquillere*. The differences on the other hand are very striking:

## PURVEY.

The world schal passe, and the couetise of it; but he that doith the wille of God, dwellith with outen ende.

Ech man that dwellith in hym, synneth not; and ech that synneth, seeth not hym, nether knew hym.

He that woot that his brother synneth a synne not to deth, axe he, and lijf schal be ȝouun to hym that synneth not to deth. Ther is a synne to deth; not for it Y seie, that ony man preie.

## TINDALE.

vpon that same housse, and it fell not, because it was grounded on the rocke.

26 And whosoever heareth of me these sayinges & doth them not, shalbe lykened vnto a folysh man which bilt hys housse apō the sonde:

27 & abundaūce of rayne descended, & the fluddes came, and y<sup>e</sup> wyndes blewe and beet vpon that housse, and it fell and great was the fall of it.

|                    |
|--------------------|
| moote T.           |
| all to rent you T. |
| bryres T.          |
| knowlege vnto T.   |

## TINDALE.

The worlde vannyssheth awaye, and the lust therof: but he that fulfilleth the will of god abydeth ever.

As many as byde in him synne not: whosoever synneth hath not sene him, nether hath known him.

Yf eny man se his brother synne a synne that is not vnto deeth, let him axe, and he shall geve him lyfe for them that synne not vnto deeth. Ther is a synne vnto deeth, for which saye I not that a man shuld praye.

In the Epistles of St Paul the differences between Purvey and Tindale are even greater. Thus the only two striking phrases common to them in Romans viii., *tranelith with peyne* (ver. 22 W., *travayleth in payne* T.) and *tribulacioun, or anguysch* (ver. 35), seem to be due to the Latin *parturit* (συνωδίνει) and *tribulatio an angustia*.

A comparison of the Wycliffite versions of Ps. viii. given in App. I. with the same Psalm in the Prayer-Book Psalter will shew the wide difference between the Old Versions and Coverdale's work.

## APPENDIX IX.

### *The Revision of the Authorised Version.*

The question of the revision of the 'Authorised Version' of the Bible was discussed more or less seriously at various times after the abortive attempt under the Commonwealth (see p. 120), but did not take any practical shape till the present generation. It is unnecessary to notice here the different private attempts at revision, which at least kept the way open for a more complete solution of the problem and furnished materials for the work. The question assumed a new character when at length in the year 1870 it was brought before the Convocation of the Province of Canterbury. On Feb. 10th the Bishop of Winchester (S. Wilberforce) submitted the following motion to the Upper House: 'That a Committee of both Houses be appointed, with 'power to confer with any Committee that may be appointed by 'the Convocation of the Northern Province, to report upon the 'desirableness of a revision of the Authorized Version of the New 'Testament, whether by marginal notes or otherwise, in all those 'passages where plain and clear errors, whether in the Hebrew '(sic) or Greek text originally adopted by the translators, or in the 'translation made from the same, shall, on due investigation, be 'found to exist'.

In the course of the discussion which followed, the Bishop of Llandaff (A. Ollivant) proposed to include the Old Testament in the scope of the inquiry; and the motion was agreed to with the addition of the words 'Old and' before 'New Testaments.' Upon this the Bishops of Winchester, Bath and Wells (Lord

<sup>1</sup> *Chronicles of Convocation*, 1870, p. 74. The words, 'Hebrew or' seem to be either a relic of an original

motion of a wider scope, or an anticipation of the motion as afterwards amended.



A. C. Hervey), St David's (C. Thirlwall), Llandaff, Gloucester and Bristol (C. J. Ellicott), Ely (E. H. Browne), Lincoln (Chr. Wordsworth) and Salisbury (G. Moberly) were appointed members of the Committee to represent the Upper House. The resolution was at once communicated to the Lower House; and the following members of that House were nominated to serve upon the joint Committee. The Prolocutor (E. Bickersteth), the Deans of Canterbury (H. Alford), Lincoln (J. A. Jeremie) and Westminster (A. P. Stanley), the Archdeacons of Bedford (H. J. Rose), Exeter (P. Freeman) and Rochester (A. Grant), Chancellor Massingberd, Canons Blakesley, How, Selwyn, Swainson, Woodgate, Drs Kay and Jebb and Mr De Winton.

The Convocation of York, however, declined to meet the advances of the Southern Province. A resolution was adopted by that body in which they stated that 'although blemishes existed 'in [the text of the Authorized Version] such as had from time 'to time been pointed out, yet they would deplore any recasting 'of the text. [They did not] accordingly think it necessary to 'appoint a Committee to co-operate with the Committee appointed 'by the Convocation of Canterbury, though favourable to the 'errors being corrected<sup>1</sup>.'

In spite of this disappointment the Committee of the Convocation of Canterbury proceeded with their work.

On May 3rd, 1870, a report which 'was unanimously agreed 'to by all the members of the Committee who were present' was laid before the Upper House by the Bishop of Winchester and before the Lower House by the Prolocutor<sup>2</sup>. This report was embodied in the following resolutions:

1. 'That it is desirable that a revision of the Authorized 'Version of the Holy Scriptures be undertaken.
2. 'That the revision be so conducted as to comprise both 'marginal renderings and such emendations as it may be found 'necessary to insert in the text of the Authorized Version.
3. 'That in the above resolutions we do not contemplate 'any new translation of the Bible, or any alteration of the lan- 'guage, except when in the judgment of the most competent 'scholars such change is necessary.
4. 'That in such necessary changes, the style of the language employed in the existing version be closely followed.

<sup>1</sup> *Chronicles of Convocation*, p. 210.

<sup>2</sup> *Ib.* pp. 209 ff., 234 f., 328 ff.

5. 'That it is desirable that Convocation should nominate 'a body of its own members to undertake the work of revision, 'who shall be at liberty to invite the cooperation of any eminent 'for scholarship, to whatever nation or religious body they may 'belong.'

This report was adopted in the Upper House without any amendment having been proposed; and it was at once resolved, without any opposition, 'That a committee be now appointed to 'consider and report to Convocation *a scheme of revision* on the 'principles laid down in the report now adopted.

'That the Bishops of Winchester, St David's, Llandaff, Gloucester and Bristol, Salisbury, Ely, Lincoln, and Bath and Wells 'be members of the Committee.

'That the Lower House be directed to appoint an equal 'number from their own body as members of the Committee'.

'That the Committee be empowered to invite the cooperation 'of those whom they may judge fit from their Biblical Scholarship 'to aid them in their work.'

These resolutions were communicated to the Lower House on the same day; and the report and resolutions were discussed in that House on May 5th. Various amendments were proposed to the different sections of the report, but met with little support, and the report was adopted without change. There was, however, considerable opposition to the direction which fixed the representatives of the Lower House at the same number as those of the Upper House. It was urged that the usual practice of Convocation with respect to joint Committees, according to which the Lower House is represented in the proportion of two of its members to one of the Upper House, ought to be observed in this case. A resolution embodying this opinion was communicated to the Upper House, which however again affirmed its judgment, still leaving to the Lower House the power of asking for a larger number of representatives, if after this second expression of opinion they thought it well to do so. The subject was again debated in the Lower House, but it was finally decided, by 27 voices to 25, to accept the number suggested by the Upper

<sup>1</sup> *Chronicles of Convocation*, pp. 227 ff., 269 ff. In the first place referred to the third paragraph does not appear, and there is no indi-

cation in the published records of Convocation as to its introduction into the resolution agreed to by the Upper House.

House. On this the Prolocutor, in virtue of his office, nominated the following members of the House to act on the joint Committee: the Prolocutor [apart from all other considerations 'it was judged necessary for the Prolocutor to be on the Committee'], the Dean of Canterbury, the Dean of Westminster, the Archdeacon of Bedford, Canon Selwyn, Canon Blakesley, Dr Jebb (Canon of Hereford), and Dr Kay.

In the course of the debates some doubt was expressed as to the exact duty of the joint Committee which was described by the phrase 'considering and reporting *a scheme of revision*.' The phrase was interpreted by some as if it were equivalent to drawing up a plan for making a revision; but this interpretation was overruled. It was laid down that 'the scheme of revision' necessarily included those changes by the adoption of which it was proposed that the revision should be carried out<sup>1</sup>.

At this point then the action of Convocation as to the work of revision was for a time ended. Thenceforward the joint Committee had to carry out on their own responsibility the instructions which they had received, and whenever 'the scheme of revision' is completed they will present it with their report to Convocation according to the laws of that body. It will then rest with Convocation to adopt or reject or modify 'the scheme of revision' offered to them.

The Committee lost no time in carrying out the work with which they were entrusted. 'At the first meeting [May 25th, 1870] the following Resolutions and Rules were agreed to, as the 'fundamental principles on which the Revision is to be conducted:

'RESOLVED,—

'I. That the Committee, appointed by the Convocation of Canterbury at its last Session, separate itself into two Companies, the one for the revision of the Authorized Version of the Old Testament, the other for the revision of the Authorized Version of the New Testament.

'II. That the Company for the revision of the Authorized Version of the Old Testament consist of the Bishops of St David's, Llandaff, Ely, Lincoln, and Bath and Wells, and of the following Members from the Lower House, Archdeacon Rose, Canon Selwyn, Dr Jebb, and Dr Kay.

<sup>1</sup> *Chronicles of Convocation*, pp. 400 ff.

'III. That the Company for the revision of the Authorized Version of the New Testament consist of the Bishops of Winchester, Gloucester and Bristol, and Salisbury, and of the following Members from the Lower House, the Prolocutor, the Deans of Canterbury and Westminster, and Canon Blakesley.

'IV. That the first portion of the work to be undertaken by the Old Testament Company, be the revision of the Authorized Version of the Pentateuch.

'V. That the first portion of the work to be undertaken by the New Testament Company, be the revision of the Authorized Version of the Synoptical Gospels.

'VI. That the following Scholars and Divines be invited to join the Old Testament Company:—

Alexander, Dr W. L.<sup>1</sup>

Chenery, Professor<sup>2</sup>

Cook, Canon<sup>3</sup>

Davidson, Professor A. B.<sup>4</sup>

Davies, Dr B.<sup>5</sup>

Fairbairn, Professor<sup>6</sup>

Field, Rev. F.<sup>7</sup>

Ginsburg, Dr<sup>8</sup>

Gotch, Dr<sup>9</sup>

Harrison, Archdeacon<sup>10</sup>

Leathes, Professor<sup>11</sup>

M<sup>c</sup>Gill, Professor<sup>12</sup>

Payne Smith, Canon<sup>13</sup>

Perowne, Professor J. S.<sup>14</sup>

Plumptre, Professor<sup>15</sup>

Pusey, Canon<sup>16</sup>

Wright, Dr (British Museum)<sup>17</sup>

Wright, W. A. (Cambridge)<sup>18</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Professor of Theology to the Congregationalists of Scotland.

<sup>2</sup> Lord Almoner's Professor of Arabic, Oxford.

<sup>3</sup> Canon of Exeter.

<sup>4</sup> Professor of Hebrew in New College, Edinburgh.

<sup>5</sup> One of the Tutors at the Baptist College, Regent's Park. [d. 1875.]

<sup>6</sup> Principal of the Free Church College, Glasgow. [d. 1874.]

<sup>7</sup> Formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Editor of the *Hexapla of Origen*, &c.

<sup>8</sup> Translator and Editor of *Ecclesiastes*, &c.

<sup>9</sup> Principal of the Baptist College, Bristol.

<sup>10</sup> Archdeacon of Maidstone.

<sup>11</sup> Professor of Hebrew in King's College, London.

<sup>12</sup> Professor of Oriental Languages

in the University of St Andrew's.

<sup>13</sup> Regius Professor of Divinity, Oxford. [Dean of Canterbury, 1871.]

<sup>14</sup> Canon of Llandaff: Professor of Hebrew and Vice-Principal of St David's College, Lampeter. [Prelector in Divinity, Trinity College, Cambridge, 1872. Afterwards Hulsean Professor of Divinity, Dean of Peterborough, and Bishop of Worcester.]

<sup>15</sup> Formerly Fellow of Brasenose College, Oxford. Professor of Divinity, King's College, London. [Resigned 1874.]

<sup>16</sup> Regius Professor of Hebrew, Oxford.

<sup>17</sup> [Professor of Arabic, Cambridge, 1870.]

<sup>18</sup> Bursar (formerly Librarian) of Trinity College, Cambridge.

·VII. That the following Scholars and Divines be invited to join the New Testament Company:—

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Angus, Dr <sup>1</sup>           | Newman, Dr J. H. <sup>10</sup>          |
| Dublin, Archbishop of            | Newth, Professor <sup>11</sup>          |
| Eadie, Dr <sup>2</sup>           | Roberts, Dr A. <sup>12</sup>            |
| Hort, Rev. F. J. A. <sup>3</sup> | Smith, Rev. G. Vance <sup>13</sup>      |
| Humphry, Rev. W. G. <sup>4</sup> | Scott, Dr (Balliol Coll.) <sup>14</sup> |
| Kennedy, Canon <sup>5</sup>      | Scrivener, Rev. F. <sup>15</sup>        |
| Lee, Archdeacon <sup>6</sup>     | Tregelles, Dr <sup>16</sup>             |
| Lightfoot, Dr <sup>7</sup>       | Vaughan, Dr <sup>17</sup>               |
| Milligan, Professor <sup>8</sup> | Westcott, Canon <sup>18</sup>           |
| Moulton, Professor <sup>9</sup>  |   |

·VIII. That the General Principles to be followed by both Companies be as follows:—

1. To introduce as few alterations as possible into the Text of the Authorized Version consistently with faithfulness.
2. To limit, as far as possible, the expression of such alterations to the language of the Authorized and earlier English versions.
3. Each Company to go twice over the portion to be

<sup>1</sup> President of the Baptist College, Regent's Park, London.

<sup>2</sup> Professor of Biblical Literature in the Divinity Hall of the United Presbyterian Church, Glasgow. [d. 1876.]

<sup>3</sup> Formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. [Fellow of Emmanuel College, Cambridge, 1872. Afterwards Hulsean Professor and Lady Margaret's Reader in Divinity.]

<sup>4</sup> Formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Rector of St Martin's in the Fields.

<sup>5</sup> Canon of Ely and Regius Professor of Greek, Cambridge.

<sup>6</sup> Archdeacon of Dublin. Archbishop King's Lecturer in Divinity in the University of Dublin.

<sup>7</sup> Fellow of Trinity College, and Hulsean Professor of Divinity, Cambridge. [Canon of St Paul's, 1871.]

<sup>8</sup> Professor of Biblical Criticism,

Aberdeen.

<sup>9</sup> Professor of Classics, Wesleyan College, Richmond.

<sup>10</sup> Formerly Fellow of Oriel College, Oxford.

<sup>11</sup> Professor of Classics, New College, London [Principal 1872].

<sup>12</sup> Professor of Humanity, St Andrew's [1871].

<sup>13</sup> Minister of St Saviour's-gate Chapel, York.

<sup>14</sup> Master of Balliol College, and Professor of Exegesis, Oxford. [Dean of Rochester, 1870.]

<sup>15</sup> Editor of the *Codex Bezae*, &c.

<sup>16</sup> Editor of the New Testament in the original Greek.

<sup>17</sup> Formerly Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge. Master of the Temple [and Dean of Llandaff].

<sup>18</sup> Canon of Peterborough. [Regius Professor of Divinity, Cambridge, 1870.]

revised, once provisionally, the second time finally, and on principles of voting as hereinafter is provided.

4. That the Text to be adopted be that for which the evidence is decidedly preponderating; and that when the Text so adopted differs from that from which the Authorized Version was made, the alteration be indicated in the margin.

5. To make or retain no change in the Text on the second final revision by each Company, except *two-thirds* of those present approve of the same, but on the first revision to decide by simple majorities.

6. In every case of proposed alteration that may have given rise to discussion, to defer the voting thereupon till the next Meeting, whensoever the same shall be required by one-third of those present at the Meeting, such intended vote to be announced in the notice for the next Meeting.

7. To revise the headings of chapters, pages, paragraphs, italics, and punctuation.

8. To refer, on the part of each Company, when considered desirable, to Divines, Scholars, and Literary Men, whether at home or abroad, for their opinions.

'IX. That the work of each Company be communicated to the other as it is completed, in order that there may be as little deviation from uniformity in language as possible.

'X. That the Special or Bye-rules for each Company be as follows :—

1. To make all corrections in writing previous to the Meeting.

2. To place all the corrections due to textual considerations on the left-hand margin, and all other corrections on the right-hand margin.

3. To transmit to the Chairman, in case of being unable to attend, the corrections proposed in the portion agreed upon for consideration.

S. WINTON, *Chairman.*'

May 25, 1870.

Of the scholars who were invited to take part in the work, in accordance with this resolution, Canon Cook, Dr Newman, Dr Pusey, and Dr W. Wright declined the invitation; and Dr Tregelles was unable from ill health to take his seat among the

revisers. Dr Alford and Professor McGill were removed by death in 1871 from a work to which they had already rendered important services. The Bishop of Lincoln and Dr Jebb resigned their places on the original Committee of Convocation, shortly after their labours had commenced<sup>1</sup>. On the other hand the following new members were appointed:—

(1) For the Old Testament Company:

Mr R. L. Bensly, Assistant University Librarian, Cambridge.

Dr Douglas, Professor of Hebrew, Free Church College, Glasgow.

Rev. J. D. Geden, Professor of Hebrew, Wesleyan College, Didsbury.

Dr Weir, Professor of Oriental Languages in the University of Glasgow [d. 1876].

(2) On the New Testament Company:

Dr Charles Wordsworth, Bishop of St Andrews.

Dr David Brown, Professor of Divinity in the Free Church College, Aberdeen.

Dr C. Merivale, Dean of Ely. [Resigned 1871.]<sup>2</sup>

The Companies entered upon the work as soon as they were organized. The New Testament Company met for the first time on June 22nd (1870), in the Jerusalem Chamber, Westminster Abbey: the Old Testament Company on June 30th.

<sup>1</sup> [The Bishop of Llandaff resigned in 1875, but continued a corresponding member of the Company till his death in 1882. Archdeacon Rose died in 1873; Canon Selwyn and Bishop Thirlwall in 1875.]

<sup>2</sup> [To these were added to the Old Testament Company in 1874:

The Rev. C. J. Elliott, Vicar of Winkfield,

The Rev. J. R. Lumby, afterwards Norrisian Professor and Lady Margaret's Reader in Divinity, Cambridge,

The Rev. J. Birrell, Professor of Oriental Languages, St Andrew's,

The Rev. A. H. Sayce, Fellow of Queen's College, Oxford; now Professor of Assyriology,

The Rev. Professor W. Robertson Smith, Free Church College, Aberdeen:

and in 1875:

The Rev. T. K. Cheyne, Fellow of Balliol College, Oxford; now Professor of Exegesis and Canon of Rochester,

Dr William Wright, Professor of Arabic, Cambridge,

Mr S. R. Driver, Fellow of New College, Oxford; now Regius Professor of Hebrew and Canon of Christ Church,

F. Chance, M.B., of Trinity College, Cambridge.

To the New Testament Company was added in 1873, in place of the Bishop of Winchester,

The Rev. Edwin Palmer, Professor of Latin, Oxford, and afterwards Archdeacon of Oxford.]

Before the first Session (June 22nd) a large number of the revisers joined in the Holy Communion, which was celebrated by the Dean of Westminster in Henry VIIIth's Chapel. From that time the Companies have continued their work regularly, except during the summer vacation, the Old Testament Company in bi-monthly sittings of ten days, and the New Testament Company in monthly sittings of four days each.

Shortly after the work was commenced negotiations were opened by the Committee of Convocation with the two Universities of Oxford and Cambridge on the subject of the copyright of the revised Version [*i.e.* the Authorised Version amended according to the scheme of revision prepared by the Companies]. These negotiations led to an arrangement in 1872, by which the Presses of the two Universities undertook to provide a sum probably sufficient to pay the bare expenses of the production of the work (travelling expenses, printing, &c.) in return for the copyright. The revisers, it need scarcely be added, offer their time and labour as a free contribution to the great work in which they have been allowed to join. In the course of these negotiations it was for the first time laid down that the Apocrypha should be included in the scheme of revision, the two Companies combining to produce this part of the work.

When the revision was fairly in progress in England, the Committee of Convocation, according to the tenor of their instructions, and a more specific resolution of July 7th<sup>1</sup>, opened communications with Biblical scholars in America. Dr Angus arrived in New York in August 1870 and conferred with Dr Ph. Schaff (a pupil of Neander, who stands in the foremost rank among American theologians), and after the negotiations thus commenced were brought to an end, the following groups of scholars were organized to assist the English Companies by their criticisms and suggestions.

#### THE OLD TESTAMENT COMPANY.

Prof. Thomas J. Conant, D.D. (Baptist), Brooklyn, N.Y.

„ George E. Day, D.D. (Congregationalist), New Haven, Conn.

„ John De Witt, D.D. (Reformed), New Brunswick, N.J.

„ Wm. Henry Green, D.D. (Presbyterian), Princeton, N.J.

„ George Emlen Hare, D.D. (Episcopalian), Philadelphia, Pa.

<sup>1</sup> *Chronicles of Convocation*, 1870, p. 565.



- Prof. Charles P. Krauth, D.D. (Lutheran), Philadelphia, Pa.  
 „ Joseph Packard, D.D. (Episcopalian), Fairfax, Va.  
 „ Calvin E. Stowe, D.D. (Congregationalist), Cambridge, Mass.  
 „ James Strong, D.D. (Methodist), Madison, N.J.  
 „ C. V. A. Van Dyck, M.D.<sup>1</sup> (Missionary), Beyrut, Syria.  
 „ Tayler Lewis, LL.D. (Reformed), Schenectady, N.Y. [d. 1877]<sup>2</sup>.

THE NEW TESTAMENT COMPANY.

- Bishop Alfred Lee, D.D. (Episcopalian), Wilmington, Delaware.  
 Prof. Ezra Abbot, LL.D. (Unitarian), Cambridge, Mass.  
 Rev. G. R. Crooks, D.D. (Methodist), New York [resigned].  
 Prof. H. B. Hackett, D.D. (Baptist), Rochester, N. Y. [d. 1875].  
 „ James Hadley, LL.D. (Congregationalist), New Haven, Conn. [d. 1872].  
 „ Charles Hodge, D.D., LL.D. (Presbyterian), Princeton, N.J. [d. 1878].  
 „ A. C. Kendrick, D.D. (Baptist), Rochester, N.Y.  
 „ Matthew B. Riddle, D.D. (Reformed), Hartford, Conn.  
 „ Charles Short, LL.D. (Episcopalian), New York.  
 „ Henry B. Smith, D.D., LL.D. (Presbyterian), New York, attended only one session [resigned, and died, 1877].  
 „ J. Henry Thayer, D.D. (Congregationalist), Andover, Mass.  
 „ W. F. Warren, D.D. (Methodist), Boston, Mass. [resigned].  
 Rev. Edward A. Washburn, D.D. (Episcopalian), New York.  
 „ Theo. D. Woolsey, D.D., LL.D. (Congreg.), New Haven, Conn.  
 Prof. Philip Schaff, D.D. (Presbyterian), New York<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Dr Van Dyck, the distinguished translator of the Arabic Bible, cannot be expected to attend the meetings, but may be occasionally consulted on questions involving a thorough knowledge of Semitic languages.

<sup>2</sup> [To these were added :

Professor Charles A. Aiken, D.D., Theological Seminary, Princeton, N.J.  
 The Rev. T. W. Chambers, D.D., Collegiate Reformed Dutch Church, N.Y.

Professor Charles M. Mead, D.D., Theological Seminary, Andover, Mass.

Professor Howard Osgood, D.D., Theological Seminary, Rochester, N.Y.]

<sup>3</sup> [Besides these were :

The Rev. J. K. Burr, D.D., Trenton, N.J.

President Thomas Chase, LL.D., Haverford College, Pa.

Chancellor Howard Crosby, D.D., LL.D., New York University, New York.

Professor Timothy Dwight, D.D., Divinity School of Yale College, New Haven, Conn.]

'In the delicate task of selection, reference was had (so Dr 'Schaff writes'), first of all, to ability, experience, and reputation 'in Biblical learning and criticism; next, to denominational connection and standing, so as to have a fair representation of the 'leading churches and theological institutions; and last, to local 'convenience, in order to secure regular attendance. Some 'distinguished scholars were necessarily omitted, but may be 'added hereafter by the committee itself.

'So far as I know, the selection has given general satisfaction. 'A few gentlemen (not included in the above list) declined the 'invitation for personal reasons, but not from any hostility to 'the pending revision. One of these, a learned bishop of the 'Protestant Episcopal Church, wrote to me: "Let me assure 'you, it is from no feeling that a revision is not needed, nor yet 'from any unwillingness to invoke aid in making it from others 'than members of the Church of England, that I have been led 'to this view of my duty." Another wrote: "Respecting the 'success of the enterprise I have little doubt. The result of the 'best scholarship of the Church in England and America will 'command assent, and the opposition will speedily subside." And 'a third one, likewise a bishop, who is esteemed by all denomina- 'tions, expresses himself in this way: "I am glad that, as the revision 'in England was set on foot by a Convocation of the Church of 'England, and is proceeding mainly under such guidance and 'control, in constituting an American Committee, to co-operate, 'the work of formation has been given by the British Committee 'to a *non-Episcopalian* and to *you*". This will greatly help not 'only the *all-sidedness* of the work, but, in case it shall be desir- 'able to introduce it into substitution for the present revision, will 'very materially prepare the way for such result."

Meanwhile Dr Schaff visited England in 1871, and was present by a special vote at one of the Sessions of the New Testament Company. Having thus become familiar with the method of procedure, he was able to make provision for the efficient co-operation of the American Companies. The result was that in December 1871 the following constitution was adopted for their guidance:

'I. The American Committee, invited by the British Com-

<sup>1</sup> Preface to 'Lightfoot *On Re-*      <sup>2</sup> 'The italics are the Bishop's.'  
*vision*.'

mittee engaged in the revision of the Authorized English Version of the Holy Scriptures to co-operate with them, shall be composed of Biblical scholars and divines in the United States.

‘II. This Committee shall have the power to elect its officers, to add to its number, and to fill its own vacancies.

‘III. The officers shall consist of a President, a Corresponding Secretary, and a Treasurer. The President shall conduct the official correspondence with the British revisers. The Secretary shall conduct the home correspondence.

‘IV. New members of the Committee, and corresponding members, must be nominated at a previous meeting, and elected unanimously by ballot.

‘V. The American Committee shall co-operate with the British Companies on the basis of the principles and rules of revision adopted by the British Committee.

‘VI. The American Committee shall consist of two Companies, the one for the revision of the Authorized Version of the Old Testament, the other for the revision of the Authorized Version of the New Testament.

‘VII. Each Company shall elect its own Chairman and Recording Secretary.

‘VIII. The British Companies will submit to the American Companies, from time to time, such portions of their work as have passed the first revision, and the American Companies will transmit their criticisms and suggestions to the British Companies before the second revision.

‘IX. A joint meeting of the American and British Companies shall be held, if possible, in London, before final action.

‘X. The American Committee to pay their own expenses.’

In the summer of 1872 Dr Schaff again visited England and had further conference with members of the Revision Companies. In July of that year all the details of co-operation between the English and American Companies were arranged, the copies of the ‘first and provisional revision,’ so far as it was then completed, were forwarded to the American revisers for their private and confidential use.

[The Revised New Testament was published in May, 1881, and the Old Testament in May, 1885. The Revision of the Apocrypha was undertaken by four Committees, three formed by members of the New Testament Company and one by members

of the Old Testament Company. Of the former, one, called the London Committee, consisted of the Bishops of Gloucester and Bristol, Salisbury, and St Andrew's, the Deans of Rochester and Lichfield, the Master of the Temple, Dr Angus and Prebendary Humphry. The Bishops of Salisbury and St Andrew's were unable to attend. This Committee undertook the revision of Ecclesiasticus. To the second, called the Westminster Committee, were nominated the Archbishop of Dublin, the Dean of Westminster, the Archdeacons of Dublin and Oxford, Dr Scrivener, Dr Brown, Principal Newth, and Dr Vance Smith. Dr Brown declined to serve. They revised the books of Tobit, Judith, and 1 Maccabees.

The third Committee, which met at Cambridge, consisted originally of the Bishop of Durham (Lightfoot), the Dean of Lincoln (Blakesley), Professors Hort, Kennedy, and Westcott, Dr Milligan, Dr Moulton, and Dr Roberts. The Bishop of Durham, the Dean of Lincoln and Professor Kennedy were unable to attend, and Dr Roberts was a corresponding member. They revised the books of Wisdom and 2 Maccabees. It does not appear from the minutes of the Committee that Dr Milligan took any part in the revision.

The fourth Committee, consisting of members of the Old Testament Company, also met at Cambridge. The following were appointed to serve. The Dean of Peterborough (Perowne), Professors Lumby and Robertson Smith, Mr (afterwards Professor) Bensly, Mr Cheyne, and Mr W. A. Wright. Dr Field was invited to assist in the formation of the text. The Dean of Peterborough and Mr Cheyne were unable to take part in the work, and the death of Dr Field in 1885 deprived the Committee of his assistance. They revised the following books: 1 and 2 Esdras, Esther, Baruch, Song of the Three Children, Susanna, Bel and the Dragon, and the Prayer of Manasses.

The Revised Version of the Apocrypha was published in 1896. In 1898 the Revised Version of the Old and New Testaments and Apocrypha was issued with marginal references. After the publication of the English edition of the Revised Version the American Revision Committee continued their organization in order to prepare an American recension of the English Revision. The result of their labours appeared in 1901.]

## APPENDIX X.

*Phrases in the Psalms marked in the Psalter of the Great Bible in smaller type as additions from the Vulgate.*

Some of the additions made to the text of the Psalter from the Vulgate Latin are of interest: and, as copies of the Great Bible are not always accessible, it will be worth while to give a list of them. The fact that these additions form an integral part of the text in the Prayer-Book Psalter has frequently led to error; and even a writer who proposes to discuss the relation of the Bible and Prayer-Book Psalters as a scholar (Sir L. C. Lee Brenton), appears to be wholly ignorant of the original notation, which ought not indeed to have been abandoned in the reprint.

- Ps.    i.    5    from the face of the earth.  
      ii. 11    unto him.  
      — 12    right.  
      iii. 2    his.  
      iv. 8    and oil.  
      vii. 12    strong and patient.  
      xi. 5    the poor.  
      xii. 1    me.  
      xiii. 6    Yea, I will praise the name of the Lord the most  
                                  highest.  
      xiv. 2    no not one.  
      — 5—7    Their throat.....eyes.  
      — 9    even where no fear was.  
      xviii. 6    holy.  
      — 49    cruel.  
      xix. 12    my.  
      — 14    alway.  
      xx. 9    upon thee.

- xxii. 1 look upon me.  
 --- 16 many.  
 --- 31 my.  
 --- 32 the heavens.  
 xxiii. 6 thy.  
 xxiv. 4 his neighbour.  
 xxviii. 3 neither destroy me.  
 xxix. 1 bring young rams unto the Lord.  
 xxx. 7 from me.  
 xxxiii. 3 unto him.  
 --- 10 and casteth out the counsels of princes.  
 xxxvii. 29 the unrighteous shall be punished.  
 --- 37 his place.  
 xxxviii. 16 even mine enemies.  
 --- 22 God.  
 xli. 1 and needy.  
 xlii. 12 that trouble me.  
 xlv. 10 wrought about with divers colours.  
 --- 12 God.  
 xlvii. 6 our (1°).  
 xlviii. 3 of the earth.  
 l. 21 wickedly.  
 li. 1 great.  
 lv. 13 peradventure.  
 --- 25 O Lord.  
 lxv. 1 in Jerusalem.  
 lxvii. 1 and be merciful unto us.  
 lxxi. 7 that I may sing of thy glory.  
 --- 18 again.  
 lxxiii. 12 and said.  
 --- 27 in the gates of the daughter of Sion.  
 lxxvii. 13 our.  
 lxxxv. 8 concerning me.  
 xc. 6 dried up.  
 xcii. 12 of the house.  
 xcv. 7 the Lord.  
 cviii. 1 my heart is ready (2°).  
 {cxi. end. Praise the Lord for the returning again of Aggeus  
 and Zachary the prophets. The heading of  
 Psalm cxii. in Vulg.}

- cxv. 9 house of.  
 cxviii. 2 that he is gracious and.  
 — 25 me.  
 cxix. 97 Lord.  
 cxx. 6 unto them.  
 cxxxii. 4 neither the temples of my head to take any rest.  
 cxxxiv. 1 now.  
 — 2 even in the courts of the house of our God.  
 cxxxvi. 27 O give thanks to the Lord of Lords, for his  
 mercy endureth for ever. [In April 1540. Not  
 in 1539.]  
 cxxxvii. 1 thee, O.  
 cxlv. 15 O Lord.  
 cxlvii. 8 and herb for the use of men.  
 cxlviii. 5 he spake the word and they were made.

It may be added that Ps. lxxii. 20 (Here end the prayers of David the son of Isai) and Ps. cxiii. 1a (Praise the Lord) are omitted in the Prayer-Book Psalter as well as the addition to Ps. cxi. (cxii.). [But they are in the Bible of 1539.]

Other additions of the nature of glosses have been introduced from Münster [in April 1540]:

- xx. 9 heaven.  
 xxxix. 4 at the last.  
 — 12 fretting a garment.  
 l. 21 the things that thou hast done.  
 lxviii. 4 as it were upon a horse.  
 cii. 20 (children) appointed (unto death).  
 cix. 30 unrighteous (judges).  
 cxxxvi. 5 excellent (wisdom).

## APPENDIX XI.

### *Sources of the notes in Matthew's Bible (p. 72).*

#### LEFÈVRE, 1534.

- Gen. i. 22. Beneir por aug-  
menter & multiplier.
- ii. 17. Telles repetitions de pa-  
rolles signifient aucunesfois  
hastuete ou vehemence, au-  
cunesfois certitude, cōme  
Pseau. 117. c.
- Ex. xi. 8. Soudaine mutation de  
parler en diuerses personnes,  
cōme Ps. 15. a. & ce est referre  
a la fin du chapitre precedent.
- Lev. xxi. 29. Action de graces,  
est quant les benefices de dieu  
sont recitez, par quoy la foy en  
Dieu est confermee de tant  
plus confidentemēt attendre ce  
que lon desire. Ephe. 5. a.  
1. Timot. 4. a. b.
- Num. v. 22. Amen est vng mot  
Hebrieu, qui signifie, aīsy soit  
faict, ou ce soit ferme, approu-  
uāt la parolle precedente : &  
quāt il est double il augmēte la  
confirmation, cōme en plusieurs  
pseaulmes, & en Jeā 5 & 6.
- Deut. i. 27. Le seigneur est dict  
hair aucun, quant il le met hors  
de sa cure, & quil ne luy fait  
pas de grace. Pseaulme 5. b. &  
30. b.

#### MATTHEW, 1537.

Here is blessinge takē for en-  
creasyng & multiplyenge.

Soche rehearsalls of wordes  
dothe sygnifye somtyme an hasty-  
nes or vehemēce, somtyme an  
asseurance that the thinge shalbe  
performed that is promysed, as  
it is Psal. cxvii. c.

A soudayne chaunge of speak-  
yng to dyuerse personnes, as in  
the Psal. xv. a., and thys is re-  
ferred to the ende of the chapter  
that goeth before.

Thankes geuyng is when the  
benefytes of God are recyted,  
wherby the fayth to Godward is  
strēgthened the moare fastly to  
loke for the thyng that we desyre  
of God. Ephe. v. a. 1. Tīmo. iij. a.  
& b.

Amen is an Hebrew word &  
sygnifyeth, euen so be it, or be it  
fast and sewer, approuyng &  
alowing the sentēce going before :  
and when it is doubled it aug-  
menteth the confyrmacyon, as in  
many Spalm. & Iohn v. & .vi.

God is sayd to hate a man whē  
he putteth him forth of hys hert,  
& geueth him not of his grace.  
Psal. v b and .xxx. b.



## LEFÈVRE, 1534.

Josh. ii. 12. Iurer par le Seigneur  
& iurer au Seigneur sont dif-  
ferens, cōme est dict. 2. Paralip.  
15. c.

Judg. iii. 9. Par ces saluateurs  
sont entenduꝝ les Ducꝝ ou iuges,  
lesquelꝝ en Luc. 22. sont ap-  
pelleꝝ bienfaicteurs ou bene-  
ficiẽꝝ. 2. Esd. 9. c.

Ruth iv. 1. La porte es escrip-  
tures signifie souuent le lieu  
publicque ou le peuple se ras-  
semble, & ou les iugemens se  
font & les causes. Car iadis se  
faisoiẽt les iugemens es portes,  
cōe maintenāt es maisons de la  
ville. 2. des Roix. 15. a.

1 Sam. xv. 11. La repentance de  
dieu est seullemeẽt la mutation  
du faict. Et comme affection  
de misericorde & de paternelle  
beneuolence est attribuee a  
Dieu, aussy attribue l'escripture  
a dieu selon sa maniere de  
parler affection de ire & de  
fure': car autremẽt ne peul-  
lent les hōes parler de Dieu,  
Genese. 6. a.

2 Sam. i. 11. Rōpre ses veste-  
mens estoit signe de grosse  
tristesse, & aussy de gros cour-  
roux por le zele du Seigneur,  
cōme Matthieu. 26. g. & i. 3. f. &  
13. f.

1 Kings i. 13. Cy apert cōmẽt  
souuẽt estre assis signifie regner  
& auoir domination ou iudica-  
ture, cōme en plusieurs lieux  
cy apres, & Matthieu. 19. d.

2 Kings i. 6. L'escripture a de  
coustume de nōmer les dieux  
des gẽtilꝝ de nōs infames, com-  
me pseau. 105. e. Aussy Beel-

## MATTHEW, 1537.

To sweare by the Lorde & to  
the Lord are ij. thinges as it is  
sayd. ij. Paralip. xv. c.

By these sauers are vnderstāded  
Rulars or iudges: which in Luke  
xxij. are called graciouse Lord  
ij. Esdr. ix. e.

The Gates in the scripture do  
oft tymes signifeye the places  
where the people dyd cōmenlye  
assemble, and where Iudgements  
were geuẽ and causes determyned:  
for in olde time were soche  
thynges done in the Gates. ij. Re.  
xvi. a.

The Repentaunce of God, is  
onely the chaungynge of the  
deade. And as the affection of  
mercy & of fatherly loue is at-  
tribute to God: euen so dothe  
the scripture attribute to God  
after his maner of speache the  
affeccyon of Anger and of furye  
& of repẽtaunce also: for men  
can not other wise speake of God.  
Gene. vi. a.

The rentynge of his clothes was  
a signe of great sadnesse, & also  
of great anger for the zele of the  
Lorde, as in Mat. xxvi. g And  
beneth iij. f. and xij. f.

Here it apereth that to be sett  
vpon the seate, sygnifyeth to bere  
rule and to haue dominyon or  
iurisdiccion, as in many places  
here after and Matth. xix. d.

The scripture of customenameth  
the Goddes of the gentyles by in-  
famouse names as in the Psal. cv.  
e. Beelzebub sygnifyeth y<sup>e</sup> God of

## LEFÈVRE, 1534.

zebug signifie le dieu de la mousche. Luc 11. c.

1 Chron. xxviii. 2. La scabelle, &c. estoit le propiciatoire auq̄l & sus leq̄l Dieu auoit promis aux Hebrieux de les exaucer & parler a eulx leq̄l estoit sus larche, cōme appert Exo. 25. b.

2 Chron. vii. 2. La maieste du Seigneur réplissant la maison, estoit cōme vne nuee visible prefigurant q̄ Dieu deuoit estre presche, loue, & annōce par tout le mōde en la cōgregation des fideles, comme il dit Nōbre. 14. d. & Pseaulme. 7. d.

Ezra viii. 13. Les iunes dōt le scripture fait mention, ont este publiques humiliatiōs avec supplicatiōs faictes deuant Dieu, ou po' quelque grande tribulation soufferte ou eminente, ou po' singuliere penitēce des pechez, cōme est escript. 1. roix 7. b. & 31 d. 2 Esdras. 1. a. &c.

Neh. ix. 25. Ceste grasse terre signifie terre fertile & abondante en to' biēs cōme est dict du paī gras de Aser Genese. 49. c.

Esther iv. 3. Les Iuifz premierement estoient appellez Hebrieux, de Heber premier filz de Sale filz de Arphaxat, cōme appert Genese. 11. b. & 1. Paralip. 1. b. puis furēt appellez Israel de Iacob, puis furent appellez Iuifz de lung des filz de Iacob, ascauoir Iudas.

Psalm v. 3. Il dit au matin, pour le tēps conuenable a oraison & a ouyr la parolle de Dieu, auquel tēps conuenoit & au tabernacle,

## MATTHEW, 1537.

a flee Luc. xi. c.

The fotestole &c. was y<sup>e</sup> mercye seate at which and on which God had promesed y<sup>e</sup> Hebrues to heare thē and speake vnto thē: which was vpon the Arcke, as it appeareth Exodi. xxv. b.

The glorye of God fylling the house, was as a vysyble cloude prefiguryng that God ought to be preached prayesd & magnified thorow the whole worlde in y<sup>e</sup> congregacion of y<sup>e</sup> faythfull, as he sayth Nume. xiiij. d.

Fastynges, as the scripture maketh mēcyon, haue bene comen humilyacions & supplicaciōs done before God: other for some great trybulacyon suffered or cōmyng at hād, or for a syngular repētaunce & earnest forthynckynge of their synnes, as it is wrytten 1. Reg. vii. b. and .xxxij. d. ij. Esdr. i. a. &c.

This fat lāde signifieth a frutefull ground that aboūdeth wyth all good thinges as it is sayd of fat bred of Aser. Gene. xlix. c.

The Iewes were fyrst called Hebrues, of Heber the eldest sonne of Sale sone of Arphaxat, as it appeareth. Genes. xi. b. & 1. Paral. 1. c. after were they called Israel of Iacob, & after Iewes of one of the sonnes of Iacob that is to wete of Iuda.

He sayth betymes & early in the morning because y<sup>e</sup> tyme is conuenient to praye and to heare the word of God in: at which

## LEFÈVRE, 1534.

& au tēple de Dieu.

xxxvi. 4. Couche selon les escriptures, signifie les secretz du cueur, cōme Pseaul. 4. b. & Ecclēs. 10. d.

Prov. iv. 27. Par la dextre est entendue faulse confidence & mauuaise seurete : & par la senestre desperation. Ou decliner a la dextre est adiouter aux parolles de Dieu & decliner a la senestre est y diminuer, cōe est escript Deut. 18. b. & Iosue. 23. b.

Eccles. iv. 17. car dieu est pres plus pour ouyr ta parolle, q̄ pour receuoir le sacrifice que les folz donnent.

Canticles.

Isaiah x. 12. Visiter souuēt signifie prendre vengeance, cōme Exode. 32. g.

Jer. vii. 31. Ceste vallee estoit le lieu ou les corps mortz & les ordures de Hierusalem estoient portees, la ou les ydolatres immoloient leurs enfans a Moloch.

Lam. iii. 5. Fiel pour amertume, maledictions ou iniures. Pseaulme. 68. e.

Ezek. xxxiii. 27. Je suis viuāt, est le sermēt que fait le Seigneur en promettant quelque chose, cōme Nom. 14. d. e.

Daniel.

Hosea ii. 2. Mere icy signifie par

## MATTHEW, 1537.

tyme also they customably came together both to the tabernacle and vnto the tēple of God.

Bedde after the scripture synifeth ye secretes of the herte, as in the Psal. iij. b. & Ecclē. x. d.

By the right hand is vnderstande the false & wycked confidence in worckes, & by y<sup>e</sup> left, desperacyō. To turne asyde or adde to y<sup>e</sup> right hand is, to adde that to the worde of god, which God neuer cōmaunded. To turne a syde or bowe to the left hande is, to take awaye frō the worde of God, or to do that which is forbiddē. As it is written in Deuter. xxviii. b. & Iosue. xxij. b.

Some reade: For he is readier to heare (vnderstād, thy worde) thā to reseawe the sacrifices that foles geue.

The headings of the chapters are taken almost literally from Lefèvre.

To vyset doth often synifye for to take vengeance, as in Exodi. xxxij. g.

Topheth is a valleye wher vnto all y<sup>e</sup> deed bodyes & fylthines of Ierusalem were caried & where Idolatres offred their chyldren to Moloch.

Gall, for soroufulnes: as in the Psalme lxix. e.

As truely as I lyue, is an othe which the Lorde comenly vseth, when he promeseth any thyng. Nume. xiiij. d. e.

No notes borrowed.

Mother here synifieth the

## LEFÈVRE, 1534.

figure la Sinagogue des Iuifz  
ou leglise & la congregatiō du  
peuple. Esaie 50. a.

Joel i. 1. Saict Hierome dit au  
Prologue sus Osee, que les Pro-  
phetes qui ne mettēt point le  
tēps de leur prophetie, ont pro-  
phetise au mesme temps que le  
precedent Prophete qui declaire  
le tēps de sa prophetie.

Amos vi. 12. Changer le iuge-  
ment. &c. est deliurer le coul-  
pable, & opprresser linnocēt.  
Deuterono. 27. c.

Obad. 20.

Jonah ii. 2. Lescriture parle  
denfer cōmunement pour le lieu  
commun a tous descendant en  
la terre, come auez es Pseaulmes  
& en Genese.

Micah vii. 2. Aguatter apres le  
sang est faire la vie des homes  
laborieuse & angoisseuse p me-  
nasses, murdres & rapines, cōme  
il declaire....Prouerbes i. b.

Haggai i. 14. Susciter lesperit  
de lhome, est quāt Dieu p son  
esperit conforte & anime les  
cueurs pour sans crainte entre-  
prēdre quelque grand affaire.

Zechar. v. 2. Volume volant es-  
toit vng rollet q' se tournoit  
autour dung bastō: ce q̄ en-  
coire ceulx Dorient appellent  
liure, & en vsent de telz.

Malachi i. 7. Offrir le pain pollut  
est faire quelque chose par  
hypocrisie & nō pour la gloire  
de Dieu, cōme est dict Osee.  
9. a.

## MATTHEW, 1537.

Synagoge of the Iewes, or the  
churche or congregaciō of the  
people, as in Esai. l. a.

S. Hierome sayth in the pro-  
loge of Osee, y<sup>e</sup> those prophet<sup>r</sup>  
which shewe not the tyme of their  
prophecye, dyd prophecy in the  
tyme of the prophet that standeth  
next before thē, which declareth  
the tyme of hys prophecye.

To turne iudgement is to delyuer  
y<sup>e</sup> fautie, & to oppresse the in-  
nocent. Deu. xxvij. c.

The marginal notes are from  
Olivetanus.

The scripture speaketh of hel  
comely as of a place comē for al  
thē that go doune in to the earth,  
as in to a graue, or to the depe of  
y<sup>e</sup> see &c. as ye haue in Genesi  
and in the Psalmes.

To labour to shede bloude, or  
to lye in wayte for bloude, is, to  
make mennes lyues laborous &  
miserable, by threatnynges, mur-  
thers, & violēce. Prouer. i. b.

To wake vp the sprete of a man  
is, when god by his sprete com-  
forteth & boldeneth the hert to  
take vpon him without feare any  
acte or deade of greate import-  
aunce.

This flying boke was a rolle  
turned roūd aboute a staffe, which  
the inhabyters of the east part  
of the worlde do yet call a boke,  
and do also vse them.

To offer defyled bredde is, to  
do any thing by hypocrysye, &  
not to gloryfye God, as he hath  
commaunded in hys worde, but  
accordyng to the inuencions and  
dreames of men. Osee. ix. a.

## LEFÈVRE, 1534.

Matthew ii. 1. Ceste Euangile mōstre asseȝ q̄ ces sages icy nestoiēt ne roix ne Princes : mais cōme dit Strabo, q̄ estoit de leur tēps estoient gens sages q' enseignoiēt aux gētilz les diuīs enseignemēs cōme estoit Moyse aux Hebreux & dit que cestoiēt les Prestres des Perseens.

Mark vi. 48. De ceste quatriesme veille est dict Matth. 14. c.

Luke vi. 20. Christ appelle icy pources ceulx q' le sont desperit ascauoir qui ne se confient en nulle chose de ce monde, delaissez & mesprisez des autres, & aucunement affligez, pources & contritz de cuer, desquelz leurs choses ne vont guieres biē : & ne se adherent que a Dieu, qui leur est tout en tout. Mat. 5. a.

John xiv. 13. Le pere est glorifie au filz quāt on cōgnoit & quō luy rend' grace de ce quil a dōne son filz po<sup>r</sup> nous sauuer.

Acts xiii. 9. Du nom de Paul plusieurs en dispuēt, mais suyuant la plus saine opinion est q̄ par les Hebr. estoit appelle Saul : & selon la maniere de parler des gentilz & Romains estoit appelle Paul.

Romans vii. 4. Estre mort a la loy est estre faictz libres de la loy & de sa charge, & receuoir lesperit p̄ lequel nous puysions faire selon la loy. Et ce mesme est estre deliure de la loy de mort. Gala. 2. d.

1 Cor. i. 24. Les Grecz aucunes fois signifient seullement leur natiō, cōme Acte. 6. a. & au-

## MATTHEW, 1537.

These were nother kynges nor princes, but as Strabo saith (whych was in their tyme) sage men amōge y<sup>e</sup> Persiens as Moses was amonge the Hebrues, he sayth also y<sup>t</sup> they were the prestes of y<sup>e</sup> Persiens.

The fourth quarter is the iiij. watche as in Mat. xiiij. c.

Christ calleth them here poore whych are poore in spirit: y<sup>t</sup> is, which trust in no worldly thyng<sup>r</sup> and are forsakē and despyed of other, beyng poore & cōtryte in hert, whych often do not prospere in the worlde because they leade a godly lyfe & put their hole trust and cōfydence in God, as in Matth. 5. a.

The father is glorified by the sonne, whe we knowledge and geue thanckes that he gaue hys sonne for vs to saue vs.

Of the name of Paul do many dispute, but the most alowed opyniō is, that of the Hebrues he was called Saul : & after y<sup>e</sup> maner of speache of y<sup>e</sup> Gentyles and Romaynes he was called Paul.

To be deed concernig the lawe is to be made fre frō the lawe and from the burthē therof : & to receaue the spirite, by which we may do after y<sup>e</sup> law. And the same, is to be delyuered from the lawe of deeth. Galat. ij. d.

The Grekes sygnifye some time their awne nacyon onely, as in the Actes. vi. a. Some tyne all the

## LEFÈVRE, 1534.

cunesfois tous gentilz, cōme icy,  
& Romains. i. b.

2 Cor. i. 17. Ouy & non icy est  
mis pour instabilite, vanite, in-  
constance, & diuersite de pler.

Gal. i. 16. Chair & sang signifie  
icy les homes ou humaī conseil,  
cōe Matthieu.

Eph. ii. 21. Tēple es escript-  
ures Apostoliques signifie le  
peuple saint assemble, ou le  
cue<sup>r</sup> dung chascun Chrestien,  
cōme 2. Corinth. 6. d. & 1.  
Corint. 3. & 6. d.

Phil. iv. 3. De ce livre de vie est  
dict en la Pseaulme. 68 f.

2 Thess. ii. 4. Estre assis au  
temple de Dieu, est regner &  
commander sus les consciences  
des homes, selon ce qui est dict.  
1 Corint. 3. c. du tēple de Dieu.

Hebrews v. 13, 14. Par le laict  
est entendue la parolle non diffi-  
cile a entendre, & par la ferme  
viande les choses plus difficiles  
& haultaines.

James i. 4. Entier selon les Heb-  
rieux, signifie celuy q' en delais-  
sant la prudence des filz de ce  
mond & la finesse po<sup>r</sup> son prof-  
fit vit de vie simple & sans  
macule. Tel que estoit Iacob,  
du q̄l est dict Gen. 25. d.

1 Peter i. 3. Viue esperāce est  
celle par laquelle nous sommes  
certains de la vie eternelle.

2 Peter i. 10. Combien q̄ la voca-  
tiō de Dieu soit ferme & cer-  
taine: neant moins veult Lapos-  
tre q̄ par oeuvres declairions  
aux hōes icelle estre vraye,  
comme est dict.

## MATTHEW, 1537.

Gentyles, as here, and Rom. i. b.

Yee yee, and Naye naye is here  
put for vnstables, incōstātnes,  
faynīg or flyttinge of with wordes.

Flesshe and bloudde here signi-  
fie men or mennes cōsell.

Temple in the Epystles of the  
Apostles sygnyfyeth the congre-  
gacyon of faythfull holy & ver-  
tuouse men. Sometyme it sygny-  
fyeth the hert of euery Christian:  
as in ij. Corint. vj. d. & 1 Corin.  
ijj. and vj. d.

Of the boke of lyfe is spokē  
in y<sup>e</sup> Psal. lxvij. f.

To syt in the temple of God, is,  
to rule in the cōsciēces of men,  
and there to cōmaunde, &c.

By mylck are vnderstāde  
thynges easye to perceauce, by  
stronge meate soche as are harde  
& obscure

Sounde after y<sup>e</sup> Hebrues sygni-  
fieth him which in leug the  
wisdomē of the chyldren of thys  
worlde, & the procuring for hys  
awne profet, lyueth a symple life  
and with oute blame. Soche a one  
was Iacob of whō Genes. xxv. d.

A lyuely hope is that wher by  
we be certertayne of euerlastyng  
lyfe.

Al though y<sup>e</sup> callyng of God  
be stable & suer, neuerthelesse y<sup>e</sup>  
Apostle wyll, y<sup>e</sup> oure workes shulde  
declare vnto men that we are  
called.

## APPENDIX XII. (p. 117.)

*Gibson Papers, Vol. 5, No. 41 (Lambeth Palace Library).*

ABOUT THE TRANSLATORS JULY 22. 1604.

1. Dn. Westminster was Lancelot Andrews, borne in London, brought up in Ratcliffe Schole under Mr. Mulcaster; sent to Pembroke Hall, was y<sup>e</sup> first who had exhibitio of Dr. Watts schollerships. He was M<sup>r</sup> of Pembroke, D.D. 1590 (I thinke) Exceedingly commended by Dr. Whitaker. He wrote divers things. Was

The 1st  
Classis consists of 9 in  
yours, 10 in  
my copy.

Bishop of  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Chichester} \\ \text{Ely} \\ \text{Winchester} \end{array} \right.$  and  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (? \text{ King's}) \text{ Almoner} \\ \text{Dean of the Chappell.} \end{array} \right.$

Died 1626, Septemb. 21, aged 71.

2. John Overall Dn. of Paul<sup>r</sup>, was borne at Hadleigh, Suffolke: of Trinity Colledge. M<sup>r</sup> of Catherine Hall. Regius Professor of Divinity, when Dr. Whitaker died about 1596. Bishop of  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Coventry} \\ \text{Norwich} \end{array} \right.$ . Died 1618. I have not seen anything of his in print. Both these at Hamptō Court Conference. Neither of the appeared against Barret 1595, when all the Heads (but these 2 and the M<sup>r</sup> of Clarehall whyther Dr.  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Bing or} \\ \text{Smith} \end{array} \right.$ ) did.

3. Dr. Adrian Saravia was a French man borne (as I have heard): Prebend in Westminster.

Wrote  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Of y<sup>e</sup> divers degres of y<sup>e</sup> ministers of y<sup>e</sup> gossell.} \\ \text{Of y<sup>e</sup> Honour due to priests and prelates.} \\ \text{Of sacriledge.} \end{array} \right.$

Since his works new printed in Latin ad annum 1611, as Jus Divinū page 17 tells us. He was a married mā, but never had child. His wife was remaried to Dr. Robt. Hill. Francis Dee

Bp. of Peterboroug was his foster-son (as I haue heard), i.e. the Dr. put him to Westm. Schole. Jno. Theme(?) procured him chosen into your Trinity Colledge.

4. Dr. Clarke Cant. His workes I have seene in a thin folio. I take it his name was Richard, but—quaere.

5. Dr. John Layfeild was D.D. 1603 (I take it), {preacher  
parson  
at Clement Danes.

6. Who is not in yours is Dr. Teigh. I have a catechism made by Williā Tye dedicated to Prince Henry 1612, wherein he mentiōs that Christopher Tye his grandfather was Tutour to Edw. 6. Christopher Tye was Dr. Musick 1545. This is all of him. I suppose Will Tye might be chaplein to y<sup>e</sup> Prince, quaere tom... (illegible.)

7. Mr. Francis Burleigh. There was a B.D. 1594 of this name; after D.D. 1607, but whyther the same or not I know not.

8. Mr. Jeffery King, Sussex Coll. Regall. There was one Mr. King a Cambridge-mā, parson of Warbleton, 4 miles from Battell Abbey to y<sup>e</sup> west. Mr. Joseph Bennett of my yeare, whē he came to cōmence told me that he married Mr. King's D<sup>ter</sup>, and that he was at Harletō for his father in law. Mr. King was Yorkshire borne as he told me also.

9. Mr. Richard Tomsō, Clare hall. There was a B.D. 1593. Noe more doe I know.

10. Mr. Bedwell. I never heard of him in any place but in this besines.

The 2nd  
Classis consists of 8 in  
mine and  
(?illegible) in  
yours.

1. Dr. Richardson was Dr. John Richardsō borne at Lintō 7 miles from Cambridge, to which he gaue y<sup>e</sup> old pulpit at S<sup>te</sup> Maryes whē that which now stands was set up in August 1618. Of him see *Catalog. Mss. Peterhouse and Trinity*. He died Aprile 21, 1625. Dr. Walford preached his funerall sermō, buried in your Chappell. Gave part of his Library to Emā Colledg.

My copy places this mā in y<sup>e</sup> second place after Mr. Lively, and calls him Mr. and not Dr. Richardson. If myne be him, thē there might be some other Mr. Richardson, and thē why not Mr. Alexander Richardsō? quaere.



2. Mr. Edward Lively, Coll. Trin. fellow, profess<sup>r</sup> Hebrew.

3. Mr. Laurance Chadertō, Cheshire borne, came to Cambridge aged 20 years, 7th of the queen of X<sup>th</sup> Colledge, fellow there. Made Master of Emā by the Founder himselfe (who (as Mr. Acknell told vs in his sermō 2 Oct. 1622, the day they chose Dr. Prestō M<sup>r</sup> Emā) the founder told him, that if he would not be M<sup>r</sup> and take that charge, He would desist frō his purpose of Founding the Colledge. He was lecturer a long time at Clements, till he was D.D. 1613. Then succeeded by Mr. Bentley. He was one of the 4 at Hampton Court Conference for y<sup>e</sup> ministers who petitioned y<sup>e</sup> King; but with noe satisfactiō to thē. I have heard, Mr. Humphrey Fen wrote to him, not to betray their cause, as Mr. Fen told a friend of mine, and he told mee: who also (Mr. Fen I mean) lamented that they should have 4 men to act for thē, whereof 3 never tooke the cause to Heart. Dr. Chadertō died Nov. 1640.

4. Mr. Francis Dillingham Soc. Coll. Chr. There was one of this name B.D. 1599. I make account that this mā you seeke for was he who was psō of Dean in Bedfordshire. He died 1648. In my Catalogue of silenced ministers I find one Mr. Dillingham in Lincolne Diocese, whyther this or some other man I cannot tell, but I am informed his name was Thomas, younger brother To Francis. Francis was psō of Wildon in Bedfordsh., a single man all his time: gave his estate to his brother Mr. Thomas. He died 30 years ago. Wrote divers bookes. The father of Mr. Dillingham of Barnwell, and Bartō Segrave was a 3<sup>rd</sup> brother to Francis and Thomas.

5. Mr. Thomas Harrisō, fellow and vice-M<sup>r</sup> of Trinity. B.D. but what yeare I dare not say, there were 2 or 3 of that name about his standing. He lived all his time in y<sup>e</sup> Colledge. I have heard that when Robt Earle of Essex came to Trinity Colledge, as a student, That this Mr. Harrisō was father of the Freshmē that yeare, and cōmended that son of his. quaere.

6. Mr. Robt. Spalding. Fellow Coll. Johan. B.D. 1600. Hebrew Professor.

7. Mr. Roger Andrews, Brother to Launcelot Andrews, borne in Londō. Fellow Pembroke.

Vicar of { Chigwell in Essex. } Prebend. { Chichester } B.D. 1604.  
                  { Cowfeild in Sussex. }                   { Southwell. }

D.D. 1609. Master of Jesus Colledg. Put out there as I take it vpō complaint of y<sup>e</sup> fellows to y<sup>e</sup> King.

8. Mr. Bridges, as your copy hath it. Mine reads him Bing, and well as I conceive, This was Mr., or rather Dr. Andrew Bing, 1603 D.D. Whē I came to Cambridge He was profess<sup>r</sup> Heb. of Trinity Colledg. He used to sit next to Dr. Richardson, a tall mā of a smiling countenance. Archdn. Norwich. He was living in the beginning of the Parlem<sup>t</sup>. Left Cambridge 1621.

Whē we sate in the Scholes for Bachelers { Mr. Medcalfe  
Mr. Cheney } stood  
Mr. Creiton }

to be Hebrew Lecturers, This man was 1617 parsō of Broughton in Buckinghamshire, but he left it before his death.

The 3rd  
Classis in  
your copy  
hath 6 in  
mine are 7.

1. Dr. John Harding was parsō of Halsey in Oxfordsh. 4 miles frō Oxon. toward y<sup>e</sup> east. Hebrew professor, and 6 or 7 yeares before his death president of Magdalene. He was married. His wife died July last in Dr. Reignolds' lodgings in Corpus Christi, Mrs. Reignolds was their only daughter. The Dr. died 1617.

2. Dr. John Reignolds, Devonsh. of Corpus X<sup>ti</sup> Coll. Fellow. Thē upon exchange with Dr. Will. Cole, who was president, he succeeded him in y<sup>e</sup> Colledg and Dr. Cole was Dn. of Lincolne. He wrote divers things. A sermon of his I haue, wherein I perceiue he had knowledge of the Italiā and French tongues besides his vniversity languadges. He died May 21. 1607, buried May 25 with much honour and lamentation. See *Abel redivivus*. A letter of his to S<sup>r</sup> Francis Knowles that noe Scripture makes difference betw. a Bp. and presbiter ag. Dr. Bancroft's sermō is famous.

3. Dr. Thomas Holland, Shropshire, of Exeter Colledg. fellow, then Rectour. Regius professour of Divinity above 20 yeares, that is frō Dr. Humphreys death, 1589, till he died himscfē, 1612. He was noe man for episcopacy. In the Act 1608 he concluded, quōd episcopatus nō sit ordo distinctus a presbiteratu, eoq<sup>ue</sup> superio<sup>r</sup> jure divino. He was succeeded in his 2 Universitye preferments by 2 most learned mē, Dr. Robt. Abbot in the chaire, and Dr. John Prideaux in his rectorship and after in the chaire. See more of him *Abel redivivus*: *Mr. Sam. Clerk's lives*: and *Mr. Hugh Holland's lives*.

4. Dr. Rich. Killby, Leicestersh., is omitted in your copy. He was D<sup>n</sup>. D. fell. of Lincolne Coll. Hebrew profess<sup>r</sup> after Dr. Harding; was Rector of Lincol. Colledg. Died about such time that King James did. Dr. Bret preached his funerall.

5. Mr. Miles Smith, against whose name you write Hereford, I thus make it out; Mr. Miles Smith, Canon Residentiary in Hereford. I have heard that he was of Corp. X<sup>ti</sup> Collē. quaere comē. He was 1612 made Bp. Gloster. See *Goodwin de presulibus title Gloster*.

6. Mr. Bret was borne in London, son of Robt. Bret. Sir John Bret was Mr. Bret's elder brother. fellow of Lincoln Colledg; tutour to our Mr. Robt. Boltō. He proceeded D.D. whē he left Oxford he was made psō of Quaintō in Buckinghamshire. He was a married mā, daughters of his 2 or 3 I have seen. His wife was a citizē's daughter of Oxford. After her Husband's death (which was about Easter 1636,) she came and lived in Northampton. If you remember: you, Mr. Encen(?) and she were susceptores to Sam. Ball, 1642. She died 1643 in Northampton, caried to be buried by her husband. Dr. Bret reported that the Bps. altered very many places that the translators had agreed upō: He had a note of y<sup>e</sup> places.

7. Mr. Faireclough. Enquiring after him, I find by two Oxford mē that Dr. Hen. Fearley was called Fearley alias Farcrough. The Dr. cannot be the man you seeke. He was too young. He proceeded Dr. 1617, and therfore was little above 20 years old, when the Bihles Translation was put forward. Therfore Ile tell you what I met with all els where, viz. in my wiues Brother's House, in a little Booke which relates y<sup>e</sup> County of Suffolke divided into 12 or 14 Classes. In Clare or Sudhury Classis there is mentiō of Mr. Faireclough. He is Minister of Kattō, or as in the mapp it is writtē Kediton, 2 miles frō Haverill to y<sup>e</sup> north, the same Town where Sir Nathan<sup>l</sup>. Barnardiston lives. He had (it may be hath) sons, scholars in Cambridge as I heard.

1. Dr. John Duport, Lecestershire borne. He died a little before I came to Cambridge. Dr. Bollē died Jan. 28, 1617. This Dr. made verses for Bollen, but fell sicke, died, and was

The 4th  
Classis  
hath 7.

buried himselfe before the other, as I heard in Emā Collē. One sermon of this Dr. I have heard of, but never saw it.

2. Dr. Will. Brainthwait. Norwich mā borne. fellow of Emā. B.D. 1593. M<sup>r</sup> of Caius Colledg. He was the 2nd Dr. for seniority whē I came to Cambridg. Died Vice-Chancellor Feb. 15. 1618.

3. Dr Jeremy Ratcliffe, Col. Tr. C. Soc. I find nothing of him but that a D.D. cōmencē 1588.

4. Mr. Wood, Immanuel. It should be as mine hath it Mr. Sam. Ward, Immanuel. Who was borne in the Bp<sup>rick</sup> Durham. Fellow Emā. B.D. 1603. M<sup>r</sup> of Sidney. To speake of him to you, is nedlesse for me. Yet one small thing I wil add, that is this, He made y<sup>e</sup> Diall over the great gate in Eman. Colledg. He died 1644.

5. Mr. Andrew Downes. fellow Johns Coll. greek profess<sup>r</sup> all my time, and long before, for there is hut one between him and Mr. Barthol. Doddingtō, who was professour in y<sup>e</sup> very beginning of queen Elisab.

6. Mr. John Boyse, C. Joh. This mā was neither Will. Boys, Proctor of Clarhall, 1599; nor yet elegant Dr. Boyse, D<sup>n</sup> of Canterbury; but a 3<sup>d</sup> B.D. 1590. Mr. Palmer tells me that this Mr. Boys was prebend in Ely, and that he lived in y<sup>e</sup> isle. Was living till within these 5 or sixe yeares.

7. Mr. Robt. Ward. Coll. Regall. I have nothing of him. and Kgs. Col. Catal. A. 1588.

The 5th  
Classis hath  
according to  
your copy 8;  
according to  
mine but 7.

1. D<sup>n</sup> of X<sup>t</sup> X<sup>h</sup> was Thomas Ravis D.D., borne he was at Maldon in Surrey. a Westminster scholler, frō thence sent to Oxford. He took all Academicall degres, and enjoyed all Collegiat dignities, *ōnibus perfunctus est dignitatibus*, i.e. was student, canon, and D<sup>n</sup> of X<sup>t</sup> X<sup>h</sup>. Chaplein to ArchBp. Whitgift. ViceChancellor of Oxford twice. Bp. of Gloster 1604, and of London 1607. He died Decemb. 14, 1609, as appears by his monument in Pauls, where he lies buried. He was a great mā ag. y<sup>e</sup> ministers who petitioned King James.

2. Dn. Winchester was Dr. George Abbot borne in Surrey at Guilford; younger Brother to Robt. Abbot. Chaplein to Thom. Earl Dorset 1<sup>st</sup> Tresurer. Master of University Coll. Vice-Chancellor 1603. Bp. of Coventry, of London, and ArchBp. all in a yeare and quarters space. He made a chorographically descriptiō of y<sup>e</sup> world. He wrote ag: Dr. Hill a papist. He died August 4, 1633. A sermon of his at y<sup>e</sup> Earle of Dorsets funerall I have: not anything els.

4. Dn. of Winsor was Giles Tomsō D.D., all these thre at Hamptō Court Conference. He was made Bp. of Gloster 1611, but sate not above a yeare. of C. C. C. in Oxford but quaere.

3. Dn. of Worcester. Is not in my Copy at all, but in yours it is as it seemes. Ag. the title you write Dr. Lake. Here I have a doubt (though I grant that y<sup>e</sup> Dn. of Worster was employed) that Dr. Lake was not y<sup>e</sup> man. I deny not but Dr. Lake might be Dn. Vigorn. but at this time, 1603 or 1604, I cānot see how possible. Reason is, 1597, Dr. Rich. Eedes was Dn.; whē he died Dr. Henry Parry succeeded; when he preferred Dr. James Montague came; when he made Bp. Aprill 19, 1618, Then came Dr. Lake. I take it Dr. Henry Parry was Dn. at this time. He was Corp. X<sup>th</sup> Coll. Chaplein to Henry Earl Pembroke, to whō he dedicated his translation of Vrsins Catechisme. He was after Chaplein to the Queene, wayted that very March whē she died. See *Com. Prefer. to Q. Elis.* Made Bp. Gloster 1607, and then of Worster 1610.

Dr. Arthur Lake was Warden of New Colledg. Master of the Hospitall of Crosse near Winchester. Vice-Chancell. Oxford that yeare when Wadham Colledg was built. He layd the first stone and 2 Halfe-penies under it, made a taking speech, sayth my Authour who heard it, in cōmendatiō of good workes. 1616 He was Bp. of Bath and Wells. My Tutour Dr. Stoughtō had institution into Artus (?) frō him and much respect as he reported, whē he came back to y<sup>e</sup> Colledge. 1624 in August.

5. Mr. Savill, was suerly S<sup>r</sup> Henry Savill, who might be fellow of New Colledg, but not Warden. He was anno 1598 Warden of Mertō Colledg I am sure. A great greciā witnes his editiō of Chrysostome, and a mathematiciā. He erected 2 Mathematical lectures in Oxford; read thē both himselfe awhile. His first lecturers were Dr. Bambridge and Mr. Brigs. Mr. John

Wallis of Emā Coll. is now Savile geometry reader. Sir Henry Savill was also Provost of Eaton Colledge, wherein he was succeeded by Sir Henry Wotton.

6. Mr. Harman. My copy calls him Mr. Harmer. There was one Harmā vnder scholm' in Magdalene, but he will be too young to be the man you seeke for.

7. Dr. Perin was canō X<sup>t</sup> X<sup>h</sup>, D.D. greek Professor. Died an old mā in Oxford.

8. Mr. Ravins, or as mine hath him Mr. Ravens. I haue nothing of him.

The sixt  
classis  
hath 7.

1. Dn. Chester was Dr. Will. Barlow B.D. 1594, D.D. 1599 of Trinity Colledg, Camb. I think. He was Chaplen to ArchBp. Whitgift: wrote the Hampton Court Conference. Translated several feuelyes (? feuilles) of Lavater which I have. Wrote some thing to y<sup>e</sup> disparadgment of y<sup>e</sup> silenced Ministers, whereof they complaine to K. James in a supplicatiō of theirs to y<sup>e</sup> King which I have. He was Bp. Rochester, thē of Lincolne, died 1613. His father was Bp. of Chichester, of whō that place in Ascham's preceptor I thinke ment, p. 51, "A Bp. that now liveth, a good mā, whose Judgment in religiō I better like thā opinion in pfectness in other learning." However this Dr. was well seene in greek as his father little. He was a mā of strong memory.

2. Dr. Hutchinson.

3. Dr. J. Spencer. Author geneal. There was a Dr. Spencer who succeeded Dr. Reignolds in Corp. X<sup>u</sup> Coll. presidentship, who had some publick place in y<sup>e</sup> Vniversity, Lady Margaret professor, I suppose. Author geneal. I understand not what that means. The genealogicall....

[The rest of the document is missing.]

## APPENDIX XIII. (p. 118.)

### ACTA SYNODI NATIONALIS...DORDRECHTIANAE

HABITAE 1618.

DORDRECHTI. 4to, 1620.

Sessione Septima

xx Novembris die Martis ante meridiem.

[ACCOUNT BY SAMUEL WARD.]

Modus quem Theologi Angli in versione Bibliorum sunt secuti :

Theologi Magnae Britanniae, quibus non est visum tantae questioni subitam & inopinatam responsionem adhibere, officii sui esse judicârunt, praematura deliberatione habita, quandoquidem facta esset honorifica accuratissima translationis Anglicanae mentio, à Serenissimo Rege Jacobo, magna cum cura, magnisque sumptibus nuper editae, notum facere huic celeberrimae Synodo, quo consilio, quaque ratione sacrum hoc negotium a Serenissima ejus Majestate praestitum fuerit.

Primo, in opere distribuendo hanc rationem observari voluit. Totum corpus Bibliorum in sex partes fuit distributum : cuilibet parti transferendae destinati sunt septem vel octo viri primarii Linguarum peritissimi.

Duae partes assignatae fuerunt Theologis quibusdam Londinensibus ; quatuor vero partes reliquae divisae fuerunt aequaliter inter utriusque Academiae Theologos.

Post peractum a singulis pensum, ex hisce omnibus duodecim selecti viri in unum locum convocati integrum opus recognoverunt et recensuerunt. Postremo Reverendissimus Episcopus Wintonensis, Bilsonus, una cum doctore Smitho, nunc Episcopo Glocestrensi

viro eximio et ab initio in toto hoc opere versatissimo, omnibus mature pensitatis et examinatis, extremam manum huic versioni posuerunt.

Leges Interpretibus praescriptae fuerunt hujus modi :

Primo, cautum est vt simpliciter nova versio non adornaretur, sed vetus, & ab Ecclesia diu recepta ab omnibus naevis & vitiis purgaretur ; idque hunc in finem, ne recederetur ab antiqua translatione, nisi originalis textus veritas, vel emphasis postularet.

Secundo, ut nullae annotationes margini apponerentur : sed tantum loca parallela notarentur.

Tertio, vt ubi vox Hebraea vel Graeca geminum idoneum sensum admittit ; alter in ipso contextu, alter in margine exprimeretur. Quod itidem factum, ubi varia lectio in exemplaribus probatis reperta est.

Quarto, Hebraismi et Graccismi difficiliores in margine repositi sunt.

Quinto, in translatione Tobit et Judithae, quandoquidem magna discrepantia inter Graecum contextum et veterem vulgatam Latinam editionem reperietur, Graecum potius contextum secuti sunt.

Sexto, ut quae ad sensum supplendum ubivis necessario fuerunt contextui interserenda, alio, scilicet minusculo, caractere, distinguerentur.

Septimo, ut nova argumenta singulis libris, & novae periochae singulis capitibus praefigerentur.

Denique, absolutissima Genealogia et descriptio Terrae sanctae, huic operi conjungeretur.

Then followed a discussion.

Sententiam suam...prolixè exposuerunt.



# INDEX.

- Ales, A., 64 f.
- Anderson's Annals of the English Bible quoted, 27, 32, 35, 36, 38, 39, 42, 47, 48, 52, 55, 67, 76, 78, 86, 92, 107, 109, 110, 111, 112, 114, 118, 126, 131, 138, 192
- Anglo-Saxon versions of Scripture, 4 ff.
- Anne of Bohemia, Q., studied the Scriptures, 18 n.
- Anne Boleyn's, Q., copy of Tindale's New Testament, 48
- Arber's edition of Tindale's quarto fragment, 30, 34, 35, 36, 54 ff.
- Arias Montanus' Latin Version, 255
- Arundel, Abp, condemns Wycliffe's writings and version of Scripture, 17
- Authorised Version, scholars engaged on, 112, 113
- rules for its execution, 114 ff.
- published, 119
- relation to earlier versions, 257 ff.
- use of italics, 273 n.
- character of the language, 274 n.
- compared with the Latin Vulgate, 281 f.
- revision of, 320 ff.
- Bancroft, Archbp, takes part in the preparation of A.V., 109 ff.
- Barnes circulates Tindale's New Testament, 37
- attacks Wolsey: does penance at St Paul's, 38 f.
- Bede translates St John's Gospel: his death, 5
- Beza's New Testament, 213, 222, 227 ff.
- Bible, study of, 20, 24, 80, 86, 94, 101
- new version proposed under the Commonwealth, 120
- destroyed, 18, 35 ff., 86 f.
- Bible, quotations from, by Elizabethan divines, 107 n.
- Society, Catalogue of Bibles in the Library of the, 93
- Bilney, anecdote of, 27 n.: his Latin Bible, *ib.*
- Bishops' Bible, The, 95
- scholars engaged on it, 99 ff.
- specimens of the translation, 233, 235, 239, 258, 262, 267, 276 ff., 311 ff.
- enjoined to be used, 101
- specimens of the notes, 243 f.
- Bodleian copy of, 118 n.
- version of the Psalms in, 234 n.
- Bodley, J., assists in bringing out the Genevan Bible, 92
- Bonner's, Bp, admonition on the reading of the Bible in St Paul's 79
- Bradshaw, Henry, on English copies of Latin Bibles, 15 n.: on Tindale's New Testament of 1536, 49 n.
- Broughton's, H., translations of Scripture, 121 n.
- Bülbring, Dr Karl, *The earliest complete English Psalter*, 12 n.
- Carleton, Dr J. G., on the Rheims Version, 103 n.
- Castalio's version of the Bible, 213
- Chaucer's English quotations of Scripture, 19 n.
- Cheke's, Sir J., translation of St Matthew, 88
- Cochläus' account of the preparation of Tindale's first New Testament, 31 f.
- Complutensian Edition used by Coverdale in the Great Bible, 197
- Polyglott, 197: Cranmer's copy, 180 n.
- Conference at Hampton Court, 108
- Cook, A. S., Biblical Quotations in Old English Prose Writers, 7 n.

- Coton's, P., Genève plagiaire, 257 n.  
 Coverdale intimate with Crumwell,  
 More, Tindale, 55 f.  
 — the first edition of his Bible,  
 56; different title-pages, &c., 57,  
 167 n., 291 f.  
 — his description of his work as  
 a secondary translation, 59, 162,  
 165  
 — his Latin-English Testaments,  
 62, 308 ff.  
 — second edition of his Bible, 66,  
 167 n.  
 — superintends the preparation of  
 the Great Bible, 74 f.  
 — specimens of his translation,  
 168, 174, 176, 181, 186, 311 ff.  
 — sources of his alternative ren-  
 derings, 298 ff.  
 Cox, Bp, on the revision of the  
 Great Bible, 98  
 Cranmer rejoices on receiving a copy  
 of Matthew's Bible, 69  
 — prepares a preface for the  
 second edition of the Great Bible,  
 77  
 — engages Bucer and Fagius upon  
 the Bible, 87 f.  
 Crumwell furthers Coverdale's trans-  
 lation of the Bible, 56  
 — his views on the authority of  
 Scripture, 65  
 — obtains the king's sanction for  
 the sale of Matthew's Bible, 70  
 — enjoins that a Bible be set up  
 in each church, 76  
  
 Dalaber's account of the first English  
 Testament at Oxford, 40 ff.  
 Demaus' *Life of Tyndale*, 26 n.  
  
 Edward VI.'s zeal for the Bible, 86  
 Elizabeth, Q., on the English Bible,  
 96  
 Erasmus at Cambridge, 25  
 — his New Testament, 27, 196 n.  
 — used by Tindale, 135  
 — used by Coverdale in the Great  
 Bible, 196 ff.  
  
 Fisher, Bp, attacks Luther, 39  
  
 Fox, Bp, at the Council held by  
 Crumwell, 64  
 Foxe, John, quoted, 20, 24, 26, 27,  
 29, 30, 35, 39, 42, 43, 55, 65 f., 79,  
 80, 171  
 Francis I. licenses the printing of  
 the English Bible at Paris, 74  
 French translations of Scripture, 71 n.,  
 130, 256  
 — specimens of the versions, 219 ff.  
 Froude's, Mr, estimate of Tindale,  
 27 n.  
 Fry, Mr F., 26 n., 33 n., 44 n., 54,  
 56 n., 57 n., 58 n., 72 n., 91 n.,  
 107 n., 185 n.  
 Fryth at Oxford, 126  
 — does penance there, 42  
 — works with Tindale, 52 n.  
 Fulke, *Defence of the English Trans-  
 lations of the Bible*, 63 n., 75 n.,  
 106 n., 192 n., 275 n.  
  
 Gardiner, Bp, prevents a transla-  
 tion of the New Testament being  
 undertaken, 85  
 Garret's story told by Dalaber, 40 ff.  
 Gasquet, Abbot, his theory on the  
 Wycliffite Versions, 20 n.  
 Genevan Testament, 91, 223 ff.  
 — Psalms, 91 n.  
 — Bible, 90 ff., 212; woodcuts in,  
 93 n.  
 — specimens of the translation,  
 215 ff., 311 ff.  
 — specimens of the notes, 229 ff.  
 German Versions of Scripture, 129 f.  
 Ginsburg, Dr, 57 n., 162 n.  
 Grafton, with Whitchurch, defrays  
 the expense of Matthew's Bible, 68  
 — seeks Crumwell's protection, 72  
 — examined as to the proposed  
 notes to the Great Bible, 78  
 Great Bible, The, 73 ff., 179 ff.  
 — successive revisions, 185, 192 ff.  
 — specimens of the translation,  
 182, 214 ff., 232, 311 ff.  
 — analysis of the changes intro-  
 duced in it, 183 ff., 187 ff.  
 — Crumwell's copy of, 75 n.  
 — varieties in different editions  
 of, 203 ff.

- Greek, the study of, in England,  
25 n., 126, 127  
— on the Continent, 127  
Guest, Bp, on the revision of the  
Great Bible, 97
- Hebrew, study of, 127
- Henry IV. takes severe measures  
against the Wycliffites, 17
- Henry VI. gave a copy of Wycliffe's  
Bible to the Charterhouse, 18
- Henry VIII., Coverdale's Bible de-  
dicated to, 61  
— Matthew's Bible dedicated to, 69  
— declaration as to reading the  
Bible, 79
- James I. presses forward a new ver-  
sion of the Bible, 110 f.
- John of Gaunt favoured Wycliffe,  
18 n.
- Joye revises Tindale's New Testa-  
ment, 45, 144 n.  
— specimen of his work, 46  
— Tindale's comments on it, 53
- Juda's, Leo, version of the Bible,  
212, 215 ff.
- Junius' translation of the Apocrypha,  
256
- Lawrence works on the New Testa-  
ment in the Bishops' Bible, 237
- Lee's, Archbp, letter to Henry VIII.  
on Tindale's New Testament, 33
- Lewis' History of Translations, 120 n.
- Lollard opinions in Purvey's *Pro-  
logue*, 14 n.
- Luther's New Testament used by  
Tindale, 132 f.
- Luther's writings adapted freely by  
Tindale, 146 ff.
- Maldon's narrative, 81 f.
- Marler, A., defrays the expense of  
the Great Bible, 78 n.
- Matthew's Bible, 67 n., 169 f.  
— the New Testament from Tin-  
dale (1535), 178 f.  
— notes, 71 n., 306 f.
- Meteren, Jacob von, and Coverdale's  
Bible, 57 n.
- More, Sir T., attacks Tindale's trans-  
lation, 35
- Munmouth's account of Tindale, 28 f.
- Münster's, S., Latin translation of  
the Old Testament used for the  
Great Bible, 181 ff., 186 ff., 311 ff.
- Nix, Bp, complains of the circula-  
tion of the English Testament, 42
- Offor's, Mr, manuscript collections  
for a history of the English Bible,  
169 n., 208 n., 240 n.
- Pagninus' Latin Version of the Bible,  
128, 215 ff.
- Parker's, Archbp, judgment on the  
Genevan Bible, 94  
— plans the Bishops' Bible, 94
- Paues, Miss, *A Fourteenth Century  
English Biblical Version*, 12 n.
- Prayer-book, variety of translations  
in, 279 f.
- Psalter, the Prayer-book, 200 ff.,  
206, 280 n., 333 ff.  
— the Canterbury, 7 n.
- Purvey revises Wycliffe's Version, 13  
— Lollard opinions in his *Pro-  
logue*, 14 n.
- Reynolds, Dr, proposes a new Ver-  
sion at the Hampton Court Con-  
ference, 108
- Rheims and Doway Bible, 102  
— method of translation, 247 ff.  
— specimens of the translation,  
249 ff.  
— influence on the A.V., 257,  
266, 269, 273
- Rogers (see Matthew's Bible), 89, 171
- Rolle, Richard, of Hampole, 11 n.
- Roye, W., his *Rede me and be nott  
wrothe*, 35 n.
- Rudelius, Latin Bible edited by,  
163 n.
- Sandys, Bp, on the revision of the  
Great Bible, 97
- Scriptures, translation of, interrupted  
by national causes, 4, 7  
— zeal in studying, 20

- Scriptures, perils of possessing, 24  
 — burnt, 36, 39, 42  
 Selden's criticism on the A. V., 117 n.  
 Smith's, Bp Miles, Preface to the  
 Authorised Version, 108 n., 116 f.  
 Spalatinus' account of Tindale's New  
 Testament, 35  
 Stevens, Henry, of Vermont, on  
 Coverdale's Bible, 57 n., 58 n.
- Taverner at Oxford, 126  
 — doing penance there, 42  
 — his Bible, 84, 207 ff., 311 ff.
- Tindale, birth and early life, 25 f.;  
 visit to London, 27 f.; exile, 29;  
 his first *New Testament*, 29 ff.,  
 137; pirated editions, 45 n.; trans-  
 lates the *Pentateuch*, 44; *Jonah*,  
 44, 68 n.; revises his New Testa-  
 ment, and adds 'the Epistles out  
 of the Old Testament,' 47, 156 ff.,  
 172 ff.; revises his New Testament  
 for the last time, 50, 144 ff.; his  
 martyrdom, 50; his character and  
 spirit, 51 ff.
- leaves a manuscript translation  
 of part of the Old Testament (Josh.  
 — 2 Chron.), 67, 172 n., 175 n.  
 — independence of his transla-  
 tions, 132 ff., 152  
 — glosses on the New Testament  
 of 1525, 306 f.  
 — glosses on the New Testament  
 of 1534, 141 ff., 306 f.  
 — influence of Luther on his  
 writings, 146 ff.  
 — his prologues to the books of  
 the N. T., 149 ff.  
 — specimens of his translation,  
 133 ff., 155 ff., 173 f., 176, 181,  
 224, 225, 311 ff.  
 — comparison of the three texts of  
 his New Testament in 1 John, 295  
 — his translation of St Matthew  
 compared with Coverdale's, 167 n.  
 — his translation of Jonah com-  
 pared with Coverdale's, 68 n.
- Tomson's, L., New Testament, 94 n.,  
 223 ff.
- Tremellius' Latin Version of Old  
 Testament, 255
- Trench, Archbp, on A. V., 270 n.
- Tunstall, Bp, declines to receive  
 Tindale, 27  
 — orders the destruction of Tin-  
 dale's New Testament, 35;  
 preaches against the book, 35  
 — sanctions the third edition of  
 the Great Bible, 77
- Turton, Dr, on The Text of the  
 English Bible, 274 n.
- Tyball's account of the circulation  
 of Tindale's New Testament, 37
- Warham, Archbp, orders the de-  
 struction of Tindale's Testaments,  
 35  
 — calls an assembly to discuss the  
 use of Scripture, 43
- Whittaker, Dr, on Coverdale, 162
- Whittingham engaged on the English  
 Bible at Geneva, 90 ff.  
 — his wife, 90 n.
- Wright's, Mr A., Bible Word-book,  
 275
- Wycliffe's translation of Scripture,  
 12 f.  
 — from the Vulgate, 13  
 — opposition to his work, 15  
 — remaining manuscripts, 18 ff.  
 — disputed by Abbot Gasquet,  
 20 n.  
 — not used by Tindale, 130 n.,  
 App. viii. p. 316  
 — specimens of the Versions (see  
 Purvey, Arundel, John of Gaunt,  
 Anne of Bohemia), 287 ff.  
 — printed editions, 20 n.
- Zurich Bible, 130 f.  
 — one of the chief sources of  
 Coverdale's, 163  
 — specimens of the translation,  
 181, 311 ff.